Jasim et al.

Iraqi Journal of Science, 2024, Vol. 65, No. 8(SI), pp: 4732-4739 DOI: 10.24996/ijs.2024.65.8(SI).5





ISSN: 0067-2904

# Proton Induced Nuclear Excitation Functions of <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc Reaction used as a Tracer in Nuclear Medicine

Mahdi Hadi Jasim<sup>\*</sup>, Muna Musa Abbas, Mohammed M. Jawad Al-Khalissi

Al Hadi University College, Department of Radiology Techniques

Received: 21/2/2023 Accepted: 3/8/2023 Published: 10/9/2024

#### Abstract

Because of the importance of using 99mTc isotope as a radioactive tracer for nuclear medicine, the production of this isotope from accelerating protons at energies 1-70 MeV is investigated carefully through the evaluation of the calculated excitation functions of the <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc reaction. For accuracy, the proton <sup>100</sup>Mo, interaction channels with the excitation functions of reactions,<sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc, <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, pn)<sup>99</sup>Mo, and <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, nonelastic) are calculated, using Kalbach Systematic approach in Exciton model gathered with Feshbach-Kerman-Koonin (FKK) statistical theory. The results are compared with the available published data in the experimental nuclear reaction data (EXFOR) version of November 2022. The comparisons show acceptable results for the maximum cross-section of producing <sup>99m</sup>Tc at 16 MeV protons. Also, rare data was searched for the reactions,  $^{100}$ Mo (p, p n) $^{99}$ Mo, and  $^{100}$ Mo (p, nonelastic), to compare the present calculated results.

**Keywords:**  $^{100}\text{Mo}(p,2n)^{99m}\text{Tc}$  reaction cross-section, Exciton model with FKK statistical theory,  $^{100}\text{Mo}$  (p, pn) $^{99}\text{Mo}$  and  $^{100}\text{Mo}$  (p, non-elastic) reaction cross-sections.

# وظائف التهيج النووي المحرض بالبروتونات للتفاعل <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n) المستخدم كمتتبع في التهيج النووي المحرض بالبروتونات الطب النووي

مهدي هادي جاسم\* , منى موسى عباس , محمد محمد جواد كلية الهادي الجامعة, قسم تقنيات الاشعة

الخلاصة :

نظرا لاهمية أستخدام نظير <sup>99m</sup>Tc كمتتبع اشعاعي للطب النووي, فقد تم التحقيق بعناية في حساب وتقييم وظائف الاثارة لانتاج هذا النظير من البروتونات المتسارعة عند الطاقات 1–70 م أ ف وللتفاعل <sup>100</sup>Mo(p, 2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc . لغرض الدقة تم حساب وظائف الاثارة لقنوات تفاعل البروتونات مع النظير <sup>100</sup>Mo والتي تشمل النفاعلات:

<sup>100</sup>Mo (p, pn)<sup>99</sup>Mo (p, 2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc, <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, pn)<sup>99</sup>Mo معلى التوالي . أستخدم نموذج (p,NON و Mo (p, 2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc, <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, pn)<sup>99</sup>Mo مع النظرية الاحصائية FKK في الحسابات . قورنت النتائج مع البيانات التجريبية المنشورة والمتاحة EXFION أصدار تشرين الثاني 2022 . تظهر المقارنات نتائج مقبولة عند أقصى مقطع عرضي

<sup>\*</sup>Email: mehdihadi@huc.edu.iq

<sup>100</sup>Mo(p, p n عند طاقة البرتون 16 م أف . أيضا تم البحث عن بيانات نادرة للتفاعلات Mo(p, p n م أف . أيضا تم البحث عن بيانات نادرة للتفاعلات Mo(p, p n م أف . أيثناج محسوبة .

#### 1. Introduction

The metastable state of the isotope technetium-99 (<sup>99m</sup>Tc) is extensively used in medical applications; it has a half-life of 6.01 hours and a convenient photopeak emission of 141keV energy [1].

<sup>99m</sup>Tc is a product of the <sup>99</sup>Mo decay (Figure 1). <sup>99</sup>Mo isotope ( $t_{1/2}$ =65.94hr) is practically produced from the parent isotope <sup>100</sup>Mo by two methods. The first is to use thermal reactors with High Enriched Uranium (HEU) or activation analysis techniques, and the second is to use electron, proton or deuterium accelerators [2,3].

In 2016, the IAEA launched a coordinated research project called CRP (referring to the Chalk River and Patten reactors after their shutdown in 2007, causing a shortage in <sup>99</sup>Mo supply). The aim of the project was to develop and improve methods for producing <sup>99m</sup>Tc via <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc reaction [4]. In studying this reaction, the excitation functions versus the bombardment of protons were measured. The results are convincing compared with theoretical results [5].

Since then, several other studies have been published examining the excitation functions of the reaction  ${}^{100}Mo(p,2n)^{99m}Tc$  with conflicting results regarding the extent of the data reported, including discovering errors in some experiments [6].

On the other hand, experimental results related to the decay of radionuclides <sup>99</sup>Mo and <sup>99m</sup>Tc were evaluated, which could partly explain the lower excitation functions reported for the <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc reaction. Additional experimental work and data have been published with a significant difference concerning this reaction data [7-13].

After reviewing the results of the publications, including the benchmark declared in the main library of the IAEA [14], which are related to the relationship of proton energies with the values of the interaction excitation functions for evaluating the  ${}^{100}Mo(p,2n){}^{99m}Tc$  reaction, a conflict in the experimental and theoretical results was found that prompted us to reevaluate the theoretical side in the present work. In addition, in the current research work, a Kalbach Systematic approach in the Exciton model gathered with Feshbach, Kerman and Koonin (FKK) statistical theory [15] was used to calculate the excitation functions of  ${}^{100}Mo$  (p, 2n)  ${}^{99m}Tc$ ,  ${}^{100}Mo$  (p, p n) ${}^{99}Mo$ , and  ${}^{100}Mo$  (p, nonelastic) reactions, and the results were compared with that of the published work.



**Figure 1:** the decay scheme and production cycle of <sup>99m</sup>Tc from parents <sup>100</sup>Mo and <sup>99</sup>Mo nuclei [2,3].

## 2. Theory

A pre-equilibrium mechanism of the Exciton Model gathered with FKK statistical theory [16-18] were used to calculate the excitation functions of the  $^{100}Mo(p,2n)^{99m}Tc$ ,  $^{100}Mo(p,pn)^{99}Mo$ , and  $^{100}Mo(p, NON)$  reactions. For the purpose of identifying the details of the equations used in the present work, one can refer to the study of Jasim and Idrees [15] to find the excitation functions of the above reactions.

For an A (a, b) B reaction, the probability of the Compound Nucleus (CN) decaying into the corresponding outgoing channel b is [19]:

$$\sigma(a,b) = \sigma_c(a) \frac{W_b}{\sum_b \Box W_b}$$

where:  $\sigma_c(a)$  is the cross-section of the CN formed by projectile a, W<sub>b</sub> is the probability of the CN decaying into channel b and  $\sum_{b} \bigcup W_{b}$  is the sum of the overall possible decay channels. Therefore, the decay probability of the CN is given by Weisskopf-Ewing evaporation formula [19]:

$$W_b(E,\varepsilon_b) = \frac{2s_b+1}{\pi^2\hbar^3} \mu_b \varepsilon_b \sigma_{b,invers}(\varepsilon_b) \frac{\Omega(U)}{\Omega(E)}$$
(1)

where:  $s_b$  is the spin of particle b,  $\mu_b$  is the reduced mass of particle b,  $\sigma_{b,invers}(\varepsilon_b)$  is the inverse reaction cross-section of particle b,  $\Omega(U)$  and  $\Omega(E)$  are the state densities at excitation energy, respectively, where U=E- $\varepsilon_b$ -B<sub>b</sub>, E is the interaction energy,  $\varepsilon_b$  is the channel energy and B<sub>b</sub> is the binding energy of particle b.

Here in this work, Equation (1) was characterized in terms of Exciton particle and hole,  $(p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu})$  (where  $\pi$  denotes proton and  $\nu$  neutron). The interaction energy and excitation energy are corrected to surface effect, pairing, shell structure and Pauli corrections, and so Equation (1) becomes [15,16-18]:

$$W_b((p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}, E, \varepsilon) = \frac{2s_b + 1}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \mu_b \varepsilon_b \sigma_{b,invers}(\varepsilon_b) \times$$

$$\sum_{T_B} \lim [C_b(T, T_B]^2 \frac{\Omega_{eff}((p_{\pi} - Z_b, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu} - N_b, h_{\nu}, U))}{\Omega((p_{\pi}, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu}, h_{\nu}, E)}$$
(2)

where, the effective corrected particle-hole state density is:

 $\Omega_{eff}((p_{\pi} - Z_b, h_{\pi}, p_{\nu} - N_b, h_{\nu}, U) = \sum_{i=i_{min}}^{h_{\pi}} \lim_{u \to \infty} \sum_{j=j_{min}}^{h_{\nu}} \lim_{u \to \infty} \Omega((p_{\pi} - Z_b, i, p_{\nu} - N_b, j, U))$ T<sub>B</sub> is the isospin quantum number in the residual nucleus B,  $C_b(T, T_B)$  is the isospin coupling Clebsch-Gordan coefficient in the exit channel; the sum extends over all the allowed isospins in the residual nucleus, and  $\Omega$  is the particle-hole state density with different corrections [20-22].

The total energy spectrum of the pre-equilibrium model for the emitted particle b at energy, E, and spin dependent formulations is [16-18,20,23-25]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{a,b}(E,T)}{d\varepsilon_{a}}|_{pre} = \sum_{T} \boxtimes \frac{d\sigma_{a,b}(E,T)}{d\varepsilon_{a}}|_{pre} = \sigma_{a}(\varepsilon_{a}) \sum_{T} \boxtimes [C_{a}(T,T_{A}]^{2} \times \sum_{P} \boxtimes \sum_{P_{\pi}} \boxtimes S_{pre}(p,p_{\pi},T) \times \Omega_{b}(p,p_{\pi},E,\varepsilon,T)$$
(3)

where: T is the composite isospin,  $\sigma_a(\varepsilon_a)$  is the cross-section of the complex nucleus,  $S_{pre}(p, p_{\pi}, T)$  is the average amount of time spent in each class of configuration channel and  $p=A_a+1$ ,  $A_a=n=p$  [15-18].

The systematics of the present model expand to calculate the angular distributions with Multistep Direct (MSD) and Multistep compound (MSC), regarding both the necessity of considering the unbound particle degree of freedom at each stage of reaction and that all particles are bound of reaction. The basic formula suggested by Kalbach for the double differential cross-section for including the mechanism in the reaction A(a,b)B can be written as [12]:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\omega d\varepsilon_b} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon_b} \frac{a_{ex}}{\sinh \sinh (a_{ex})} \left[\cosh \cosh \left(a_{ex} \cos \theta\right) + f_{msd} \sinh \left(a_{ex} \cos \theta\right)\right]$$
(4)

where:  $a_{ex}$  is the slope parameter associated with the Exciton model and its related components,  $f_{msd}(\varepsilon_b)$  is the fraction of the cross-section at the specified emission energy and  $\theta$  is the scattering angle in the center of mass system [6].

Equations (3) and (4) were applied to find out the appropriate proton energy for the highest production of  $^{99m}$ Tc from the  $^{99m}$ Tc the  $^{100}$ Mo(p,2n) $^{99m}$ Tc reaction, and to compare the excitation functions of the products of  $^{100}$ Mo(p,pn) $^{99}$ Mo,  $^{100}$ Mo(p,2p) $^{99}$ Nb and  $^{100}$ Mo(p, non-elastic) reactions.

### 3. Evaluatin and discussion of the results

# 3.1 <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, 2n) <sup>99m</sup> Tc Reaction

The increasing demand for the <sup>99m</sup>Tc isotope in medical applications has prompted scientists to use the accelerator method instead of using highly enriched uranium in the reactor because of the limitations imposed. Accordingly, proton acceleration was studied theoretically in this work for the direct production of the isotope <sup>99m</sup>Tc as one of the alternative production methods using the reaction <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc on a highly enriched target material such as <sup>100</sup>Mo. The calculated excitation functions of this reaction are shown in Figure 2, where the results are compared with the experimental results of other published studies [13,14,16,22,21,24-26]. The comparison distinguished the highest value of the proton energy of 16 MeV, which has the highest probability value for the formation of the isotope <sup>99m</sup>Tc with a value close to 300 mb. In addition, the deviation in the cross-section comparisons is definitely due to the accuracy and efficiency of the detection systems for this reaction in the experimental results.



**Figure 2:** The calculated excitation functions of the  ${}^{100}Mo(p,2n){}^{99m}Tc$  reaction at different proton energies with comparison with experimental and theoretical results [13,14,16,21, 22, 24-26].

For the CN [<sup>100</sup>Tc] and the primary residual nucleus <sup>99m</sup>Tc at excitation energy range between 1-5 MeV, the secondary emission of <sup>99m</sup>Tc decay, with 140.5keV gamma, is considered within the energy spectrum behavior of <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc reaction, as shown in Figure 3. As shown in this figure, the excitation function behavior for the reaction channel is concentrated at the excitation energy range between 1 and 2 MeV for compound <sup>100</sup>Mo and residual<sup>99m</sup>Tc nuclei, which are proportional to the incident proton energy  $E_p$  and the threshold energy  $E_{res}$ values of the channel.



**Figure 3:** The energy spectrum of the  ${}^{100}$ Mo (p, 2n) ${}^{99m}$ Tc reaction at different excitation energies for residual  ${}^{99m}$ Tc and compound  ${}^{100}$ Mo nucleuses.

# 3.2 <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,n+p)<sup>99</sup>Mo Reaction

Figure 4 shows an acceptable agreement with reference [26], noting that the highest cross-section value reaches 0.138mb at proton energy 30 MeV.



**Figure 4:** Excitation function of  ${}^{100}$ Mo(p,n+p)  ${}^{99}$ Mo reaction at different proton energy 1- 30 MeV, compared with the TALYS-based evaluated nuclear data library [26] where the present model is found appropriate to calculate the particle emission rates at equilibrium stage.

## 3.3 <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, non-elastic) reaction

The calculated excitation functions of the reaction are compared with other published data [26-28], as shown in Figure 5, and the convergence of the results appears at the proton

energy range of 1-70 MeV. The fitted maximum probability of the reaction <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, nonelastic) is found at 20-30 MeV proton energy, indicating that this model is appropriate for calculating the transmission coefficients.



**Figure 5:** Excitation function of  $^{100}$ Mo (p, NON) reaction at different proton energy compared with different references [26-28], where the present model is appropriate to calculate the transmission coefficients.

#### 4. Conclusion

Due to the importance of the radioactive isotope  $^{99m}$ Tc in medical applications, calculations were made for excitation functions of the reaction  $^{100}$ Mo(p,2n)  $^{99m}$ Tc when protons are accelerated between 1-70 MeV and the search for the energy to achieve the highest probability of producing such isotope. The Exciton model with FKK statistical theory was implemented. Compared with the experimental results, a match was found with the published data in the EXFOR-2022 issue. Where the highest excitation function value of 300mb was determined at 16 MeV proton energy compared to experimental results:  $327\pm43$  mb [6],  $307\pm26$  mb [11] and  $292\pm13.38$  mb [13] for different research work.

The relationship of the excitation functions with the excitation potential of the composite nucleus <sup>100</sup>Tc and the primary residual nucleus <sup>99m</sup>Tc was investigated. It was found that the maximum probability of the reaction <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n) <sup>99m</sup>Tc was at the excitation energy range 1-2 MeV, at which the secondary emission ( $E\gamma = 140.5 \ keV$ ) of the isotope occurs, see Figure 3. The highest value of the reaction's excitation functions for the reactions <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, n p) <sup>99</sup>Mo was 31mb at 16 MeV proton energy, which is consistent with TALYS calculations [26]. In addition, the results of calculating the excitation functions for the reaction <sup>100</sup>Mo (p, NON) were consistent with the results of [26,28], see Figure 5, where the highest probability was found in the proton energy range of 15-30 MeV.

In final conclusion, the most dominant excitation functions at different proton energies were found to be for the reaction  $^{100}Mo(p,2n)$   $^{99m}Tc$  exceeding the values of other channels,  $^{100}Mo$  (p, n p)  $^{99}Mo$  and  $^{100}Mo$  (p, 2p)  $^{99}Nb$ , which nominates the concept of  $^{99m}Tc$  isotope production cycle using cyclotron rather than irradiation  $^{100}Mo$  by thermal reactor.

#### References

- [1] Frank Barnaby and Egbert Boeker, "Is technetium-99(Tc-99) radiologically significant?" *Medicine, Conflict and Survival Journal*, vol. 15, no. 1, PP. 57-70, 1999.
- [2] Maroor Raghavan Ambikalmajan Pillai, Ashutosh Dash, and F.F. (Russ) Knapp, Jr., "Sustained Availability of <sup>99m</sup>Tc: Possible Paths Forward," *J Nucl Med*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 313-323, 2013.

- [3] P. Schaffer et al., "Direct Production of <sup>99m</sup>Tc via <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n) on Small Medical Cyclotrons," *Physics Procedia*, vol. 66, pp. 383 395, 2015.
- [4] S.A. McQuarrie et al., "Accelerator-based alternatives to Non-HEU production of <sup>100</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc," *IAEA, INIS BOOK, CRP F22062*, 2016.
- [5] J. Beaver, H. Hupf, " Production of <sup>99m</sup>Tc on a medical cyclotron: A feasibility study," J. Nucl. Med., vol. 12, no. 11, pp.739-41, 1971.
- [6] V.N. Levkovskij, "Middle mass nuclides (A=40–100) activation cross-sections by medium energy (E=10–50 MeV) protons and alpha particles (experiment and systematics)," *Inter-vesi, Moscow; IAEA,2009, Cyclotron produced radionuclides: Physical characteristics and production methods, technical Reports Series*, no. 468, 1991.
- [7] M.S. Uddin, M. Hagiwara, F. Tarkanyi, F. Ditroi, M. Baba, "Experimental studies on the protoninduced activation reactions of molybdenum in the energy range 22–67MeV," *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 911–920, 2004.
- [8] M.U. Khandaker, M.S. Uddin, K.S. Kim, Y.S. Lee, G.N. Kim, "Measurement of cross-sections for the (p,xn) reactions in natural molybdenum," *Nucl. Instrum. Methods in Phys. Res. B:Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 262, no. 2, pp. 171–181, 2007.
- [9] O. Lebeda, M. Pruszyński, "New measurement of excitation functions for (p,x) reactions on <sup>nat</sup>Mo with special regard to the formation of <sup>95m</sup>Tc, <sup>96m+g</sup>Tc, <sup>99m</sup>Tc and <sup>99</sup>Mo," *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, vol. 68, pp. 55–65, 2010.
- [10] F. Tárkányi, F. Ditrói, A. Hermanne, S. Takács, A.V. Ignatyuk, "Investigation of activation cross sections of proton induced nuclear reactions on <sup>nat</sup>Mo up to 40 MeV: new data and evaluations," *Nucl. Instr. Meth. B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 280, pp. 45-73, 2012.
- [11] K. Gagnon, F. Bénard, M. Kovacs, T.J. Ruth, P. Schaffer, J.S. Wilson, S.A. McQuarrie, " Cyclotron production of <sup>99m</sup>Tc: Experimental measurement of the <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,x)<sup>99</sup>Mo, <sup>99m</sup>Tc and <sup>99g</sup>Tc excitation functions from 8 to 18 MeV," *Nucl. Med. Bio.*, vol. 38, pp. 907-916, 2011.
- [12] S. Manenti, U. Holwarth, M. Loriggiola, L. Gini, J, Esposito, F. Groppi, F. Simonelli, "The excitation functions of <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,x)<sup>99</sup>Mo and <sup>100</sup>Mo(p,2n)<sup>99m</sup>Tc," *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, vol. 94, pp. 344–348, 2014.
- [13] S.M. Qaim, S. Sudár, B. Scholten, A.J. Koning, H.H. Coenen, " Evaluation of excitation functions of 100Mo(p,d+pn)99Mo and 100Mo(p,2n)99mTc reactions: Estimation of long-lived Tc impurity and its implication on the specific activity of cyclotron-produced <sup>99m</sup>Tc," *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, vol. 85, pp. 101–113, 2014.
- [14] IAEA, Nuclear Data Services: evaluated nuclear data library, 2021.
- [15] Mahdi Hadi Jasim, Maha Taha Idrees," Pre-equilibrium and equilibrium energy emission spectrum of nucleons and light nuclei induced nuclear reactions on <sup>63</sup>Cu nuclei, "Iraqi Journal of Science, vol. 57, no. 2A, pp. 910-917, 2016
- [16] Constance Kalbach Walker, "User's Manual for PRECO-2006: Exciton Model Preequilibrium Nuclear Reaction Code with Direct Reactions," *Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory report* (February 2007).
- [17] A. A. Selman, M. H. Jasim, Sh., S. Shafik, "Computation of two component transition rates of Pre-equilibrium states," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 52. no. 2, pp. 186-198, 2011.
- [18] Mahdi Hadi Jasim, "Evaluating the phenomenological approach models in predicting the neutron induced Deuteron emission spectra from different reactions," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 56, no. 3A, pp. 1964-1971, 2015
- [19] V. F. Weisskopf and D. H. Ewing, "On the yield of nuclear reactions with heavy elements," *Phys. Rev.* vol. 57, pp. 472-485, 1940.
- [20] Mahdi H. Jasim, Zaheda A. Dakhil and Rasha S. Ahmed, "The transition rates for <sup>232</sup>Th using two components particle-hole density with different corrections," *Iraqi Journal of Physics*, vol. 10, no. 19, pp. 98-109, 2012.
- [21] J. Dobes and E. Betak, "Two -component exciton model," Zeit. Phys. vol. A310, p. 329,1983.
- [22] J. Dobes and E. Betak, " the finite depth of the nuclear potential well in the exciton model of preequilibrium decay," *Zeit. Phys.*, vol. A279, p. 319, 1976.
- [23] C. K. Cline and M. Blann, " The pre-equilibrium statistical model: description of the nuclear equilibrium process and parametrization of the model," *Nucl. Phys.*, vol. A 172, pp. 225-259, 1971.

- [24] C. Kalbach, "Systematics of continuum angular distributions: Extensions to Higher energies," *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 37, pp. 2350-2370, 1988.
- [25] C. Kalbach, "Two-component exciton model: basic formalism away from shell closure," *Phys. Rev. C* vol. 33, pp. 818-833, 1986.
- [26] TENDL-2021, TALYS-based evaluated nuclear data library, 2021.
- [27] JENDEL-5, JAPAN ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, Nuclear data center, 2021.
- [28] JENDL/ImPACT-2018, "a new nuclear data library for innovative studies on transmutation of long-lived fission products," *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*, vol. 56, no. 12, pp. 1073-1091, 2019