



ISSN: 0067-2904

Convexity Properties for Integro-Differential Operators Proposed by Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Type Functions

Layth T. Khudhuir¹, Ahmed M. Ali¹, Hiba F. Al-Janaby^{2*}¹Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq.²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

Received: 8/10/2022 Accepted: 7/2/2023 Published: 30/12/2023

Abstract:

In this paper, new integro-differential operators are introduced that defined by Salagean's differential operator. The major object of the present study is to investigate convexity properties on new geometric subclasses included these new operators.

Keywords: Analytic function, Convex function, Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Star-like function.

خصائص التحذب للمؤثرات التكاملية-التفاضلية الذي تم تعريفها بواسطة نوع من الدوال هورويتز ليرش زيتا

ليث طه خضر¹, أحمد محمد علي¹, هبة فوزي الجنابي^{2*}¹قسم الرياضيات، كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات، جامعة الموصل، موصل، العراق.²قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث، يتم تقديم مؤثرات تفاضلية-تكاملية متكاملة جديدة معرفة بواسطة مؤثر سالاجيان التفاضلي، الهدف الرئيسي، هدف هو مناقشة خواص التحذب في الفئات الجزئية الهندسية الجديدة التي تضمنت هؤلاء المؤثرات الجدد.

Introduction:

Let Γ symbolize the class of all functions have the form

$$g(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m \xi^m, (\xi \in \Delta).$$

which is analytic in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{\xi \in C : |\xi| < 1\}$ and $S = \{g \in \Gamma : g \text{ is univalent in } \Delta\}$.

For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $\xi \in \Delta$, the starlike function of order γ will be the subclass of Γ involving univalent function which is indicated by $S(\gamma)$ and convex function of order γ will be the subclass of Γ involving univalent function which is indicated by $C(\gamma)$, and are defined analytically by

*Email: fawzihiba@yahoo.com

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{\xi g''(\xi)}{g'(\xi)}\right) > \gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad Re\left(\frac{\xi g'(\xi)}{g(\xi)}\right) > \gamma, \quad \text{respectively, [1].}$$

The class K and S^* of convex functions and starlike functions, respectively are identical by $K \equiv K(0)$ and $S^* \equiv S^*(0)$. Bharti et al., [2] defined $k-S_p(\alpha)$ to be the class of functions g with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq k < \infty$ that satisfy the condition:

$$Re\left\{\frac{\xi g'(\xi)}{g(\xi)}\right\} \geq k \left| \frac{\xi g'(\xi)}{g(\xi)} - 1 \right| + \alpha.$$

Bharti et al., [2] defined $k-UCV(\alpha)$ to be the class of functions g with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq k < \infty$ that satisfy the condition:

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{\xi g''(\xi)}{g'(\xi)}\right\} \geq \left| \frac{\xi g''(\xi)}{g'(\xi)} \right| + \alpha.$$

On the other hand, the special functions (SFs) are quite advantageous in solving diverse types of differential equations. Those functions have great implementations in other fields of mathematics such as complex analysis, [3]. During the last century, the use of special functions (SFs) has been intensified fruitfully due to their importance in the Geometric Function Theory (GFT). The reason for attracting the authors towards SFs is that the class of hypergeometric functions was employed as a tool for resolving Bieberbach's problem in 1984 by de Branges, [4]. Afterward, numerous significant works on connections between analytic univalent and SFs have been discussed by several complex analysis such as, Jassim [5], Al-Janaby and Ahmad [6], Mahmoud et. al [7], Al-Janaby et. al [8 -10], Atshan et. al [11], Elhaddad and Darus [12], Yan and Liu [13], Oros [14], Ghanim et. al [15], Layth et. al [16] and Mahmood et. al [17].

The familiar $\Phi(\xi, s, \nu)$ Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function is shown by [18],

$$\Phi(\xi, s, \nu) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^m}{(m + \nu)^s}, \tag{1}$$

such that $(\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+; Re(s) > 1$ where $|\xi| = 1, s \in \mathbb{C}$, and where $|\xi| < 1)$.

In [19-21], more clarity can be seen about the exposition of the properties of different generalizations and applications of $\Phi(\xi, s, \nu)$.

The following extension beta function $B(\hbar_1, \hbar_2; \rho)$ introduced by Chaudhry et al. [22],

$$B(\hbar_1, \hbar_2; \rho) = \int_0^1 t^{\hbar_1-1} (1-t)^{\hbar_2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{t(1-t)}\right) dt. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, Choi et al. [23] created the underlying generalization of extended beta functions $B_{\rho,q}(\hbar_1, \hbar_2)$ given by:

$$B_{\rho,q}(\hbar_1, \hbar_2) = \int_0^1 t^{\hbar_1-1} (1-t)^{\hbar_2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{t} - \frac{q}{1-t}\right) dt, \tag{3}$$

$(Re(q) > 0; Re(\rho) > 0$ and $Re(\hbar_1) > 0; Re(\hbar_2) > 0)$.

Motivated by those different fascinating extensions of $\Phi(\xi, s, \nu)$, researchers have created an extension of the generalized $\Phi(\xi, s, \nu)$ that includes $B_{\rho, q}(\hbar_1, \hbar_2; \rho, q)$ the extended beta function.

In [23] a new extension of the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions $\Phi_{\delta, \zeta; \gamma}(\xi, s, \nu; \rho, q)$ involving $B_{\rho, q}(\hbar_1, \hbar_2; \rho, q)$ Eq.(3) given by

$$\Phi_{\delta, \zeta; \gamma}(\xi, s, \nu; \rho, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{\rho, q}(\zeta + m, \gamma - \zeta)}{B(\zeta, \gamma - \zeta)} \frac{(\delta)_m}{m!} \frac{\xi^m}{(m + \nu)^s}, \tag{4}$$

such that $(q \geq 0, \rho \geq 0; \zeta, \delta \in C; \gamma, \nu \in Z^+; Re(s + \gamma - \delta - \zeta) > 1$ where $|\xi| = 1, s \in C$ and where $|\xi| < 1$).

For $g \in \Gamma$, Layth et. al [24] introduced the following linear operator $F_{\delta, \zeta; \gamma}^{s, \nu; \rho, q} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ as following

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\delta, \zeta; \gamma}^{s, \nu; \rho, q} g(\xi) &= \Phi_{\delta, \zeta; \gamma}(\xi, s, \nu; \rho, q) * g(\xi) \\ &= \xi + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\delta + m)}{\delta! m!} \frac{B_{\rho, q}(\zeta + m, \gamma - \zeta)}{B_{\rho, q}(\zeta + 1, \gamma - \zeta)} \left(\frac{1 + \nu}{m + \nu}\right)^s a_m \xi^m, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\Phi_{\delta, \zeta; \gamma}(\xi, s, \nu; \rho, q)$ given by Eq.(4) is the normalized extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function in terms of $B_{\rho, q}(\hbar_1, \hbar_2; \rho, q)$ given by Eq.(3).

Furthermore, for $F_{\delta, \zeta; \gamma}^{s, \nu; \rho, q} g(\xi)$ given in Eq.(5) and $g \in \Gamma$, Layth et. al [24] considered the following Salagean's differential operator

$$\Theta_{\lambda}^0 g(\xi) = g(\xi),$$

$$\Theta_{\lambda}^1 g(\xi) = (1 - \lambda)g(\xi) + \lambda \xi g'(\xi) = \Theta_{\lambda} g(\xi), \quad 1 \geq \lambda \geq 0,$$

$$= \xi + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\delta + m)}{\delta! m!} \frac{B_{\rho, q}(\zeta + m, \gamma - \zeta)}{B_{\rho, q}(\zeta + 1, \gamma - \zeta)} \left(\frac{1 + \nu}{m + \nu}\right)^s a_m \xi^m,$$

then

$$\Theta_{\lambda}^T g(\xi) = \Theta_{\lambda}(\Theta_{\lambda}^{T-1} g(\xi)), \quad T \in N_0.$$

$$= \xi + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\delta + m)}{\delta! m!} \frac{B_{\rho, q}(\zeta + m, \gamma - \zeta)}{B_{\rho, q}(\zeta + 1, \gamma - \zeta)} \left(\frac{1 + \nu}{m + \nu}\right)^s (1 + (m - 1)\lambda) \right]^T a_m \xi^m, \tag{6}$$

when

such that $(q \geq 0, \rho \geq 0; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \zeta, \delta \in C; \gamma, \nu \in Z^+; Re(s + \gamma - \delta - \zeta) > 1$ when $|\xi| = 1, s \in C$ when $|\xi| < 1, T \in N_0$).

Let $S_{\lambda}^{\ell}(\gamma)$ symbolize the class of function $g(\xi) \in \Gamma$, which satisfies the following condition:

$$Re \left(\frac{z(\Theta_{\lambda}^T g(\xi))'}{\Theta_{\lambda}^T g(\xi)} \right) > \gamma, \tag{7}$$

for some $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $T \in N_0$.

Additionally, in [24], Layth et. al presented the following subclass of uniformly star-like functions.

Let $x-S_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ symbolize the class of function $g(\xi) \in \Gamma$, such as

$$Re \left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^T g(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g(z)} - \gamma \right) \geq x \left| \frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^T g(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1, z \in \Delta). \tag{8}$$

In this work, new generalized subclass of uniformly convex functions is introduced. Let $x-C_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ symbolize the class of function $g(z) \in \Gamma$, such as

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))''}{(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))'} - \gamma \right) \geq x \left| \frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))''}{(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))'} \right|, \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1, \xi \in \Delta), \tag{9}$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1, x \geq 0, T \in N_0, \xi \in \Delta$. Clearly, for $T = 0$ the class $x-S_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ and the class $x-C_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ coincide respectively, as:

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{\xi g''(\xi)}{g'(\xi)} \right) \geq x \left| \frac{\xi g''(\xi)}{g'(\xi)} - 1 \right|, \quad (\xi \in \Delta), \tag{10}$$

and

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi g'(\xi)}{g(\xi)} \right) \geq x \left| \frac{\xi g'(\xi)}{g(\xi)} - 1 \right|, \quad (\xi \in \Delta). \tag{11}$$

Let $x-C_\lambda^T(\nu)$ symbolize the class of function $g(\xi) \in \Gamma$, which satisfies the following condition:

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))''}{(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))'} \right) \geq x \left| \frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))''}{(\Theta_\lambda^T g(\xi))'} \right| + \nu, \quad (\xi \in \Delta), \tag{12}$$

for $T = 0$ in Eq.(12), here the class studied in Shams et. al [25] in 2004.

Moreover, based on Salagean’s operator Eq.(6), the following integral operators can be defined,

$$F_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left((\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(t))' \right)^{u_1} \dots \left((\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(t))' \right)^{u_\ell} dt, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\psi_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left(\frac{\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(t)}{t} \right)^{u_1} \dots \left(\frac{\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(t)}{t} \right)^{u_\ell} dt, \tag{14}$$

where $g_j \in \Gamma, u_j > 0$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.

For $T = 0$, there is the operator

$$F_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left((g_1(t))' \right)^{u_1} \dots \left((g_\ell(t))' \right)^{u_\ell} dt,$$

was introduced by Breaz et. al [26].

For $T = 0, \ell = 1, u = u_1$, the integral operator can be yield

$$F_u(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left((g(t))' \right)^u dt,$$

was studied by Pascu and Pescar [27].

For $T = 0$, the operator is give

$$\psi_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left(\frac{g_1(t)}{t}\right)^{u_1} \dots \left(\frac{g_\ell(t)}{t}\right)^{u_\ell} dt,$$

was posed by Breaz and Breaz [28].

For $T = 0, \ell = 1, u = u_1$, the operator can be got

$$\psi_u(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left(\frac{g(t)}{t}\right)^u dt,$$

was considered by Miller et. al [29].

For $T = 0, \ell = 1$ and $u_1 = 1$, the Alexander operator [30] can be obtained by,

$$\psi_u(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \frac{g(t)}{t} dt.$$

Next, for $f_j, g_j \in \Gamma$ and $0 < \alpha_j, \beta_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$, the integro-differential operator was introduced as follows: $\omega_\ell(z) : \Gamma^\ell \rightarrow \Gamma$, by

$$\omega_\ell(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \prod_{j=1}^\ell \left(\frac{\Theta_\lambda^T g_j(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_j} \left(\left(\Theta_\lambda^T f_j(t)\right)'\right)^{\beta_j} dt. \tag{15}$$

Remark:

- 1) For $\alpha_j = 0$, and $g_j = f_j$ operator Eq.(15) reduces to operator Eq.(13).
- 2) For $m_j = 0$ this operator Eq.(15) concides operator given by Eq.(14).
- 3) Operator $\omega_\ell(\xi)$ Eq.(15) generalizes the integral operators imposed by Breaz et. al. [26], Pascu and Pescar [27], Breaz and Breaz [28], Miller et. al [29], Alexander [30], Frasin [31] and Stanciu and Breaz [32].

Main Results:

Theorem 1. If $g_j \in x_j - S_\lambda^T(\gamma_j)$ with $x_j \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma_j < 1$ for all $\sum_{j=1}^\ell u_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$,

then the operator $\psi_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell} \in C(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^\ell u_j(\gamma_j - 1)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z\psi''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(z)}{\psi'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(z)} &= u_1 \left(\frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi)} - 1 \right) + \dots + u_\ell \left(\frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi)} - 1 \right) \\ \text{Re} \left(\frac{\xi\psi''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)}{\psi'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)} \right) &= u_1 \text{Re} \left(\frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi)} - 1 \right) + \dots + u_\ell \text{Re} \left(\frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi)} - 1 \right) \\ &= u_1 \text{Re} \left(\frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi)} \right) - u_1 - u_1\gamma_1 + u_1\gamma_1 + \dots + u_\ell \text{Re} \left(\frac{\xi(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi))'}{\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi)} \right) - u_\ell - u_\ell\gamma_\ell + u_\ell\gamma_\ell \end{aligned}$$

$$= u_1 Re \left(\frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)' }{\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi)} - \gamma_1 \right) - u_1 + u_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + u_\ell Re \left(\frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)' }{\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi)} - \gamma_\ell \right) - u_\ell + u_\ell \gamma_\ell$$

Since $g_j \in x_j - S_\lambda^T(\gamma_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. From Eq.(8), the result is

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi \psi''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)}{\psi'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)} \right) \geq u_1 x_1 \left| \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)' }{\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi)} - \gamma_1 \right| + \dots + u_\ell x_\ell \left| \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)' }{\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi)} - \gamma_\ell \right| - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j \gamma_j$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j (\gamma_j - 1),$$

so,

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi \psi''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)}{\psi'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)} + 1 \right) \geq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j (\gamma_j - 1),$$

Thus, $\psi_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell} \in C(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j (\gamma_j - 1)$, the result is that $0 \leq \sigma < 1$.

Corollary 1. If $g_j \in x_j - S_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ with $x_j \geq 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ for all $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$, then

the operator $\psi_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell} \in C(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = 1 + (\gamma - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j$.

Proof. Putting $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 1, we will have the required result.

Corollary 2. If $g_j \in x - S_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ with $u \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $x \geq 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, then the operator $\psi_u \in C(\sigma)$

where

$$\psi_u(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left(\frac{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g(t) \right)' }{t} \right)^u dt \text{ and } \sigma = 1 + (\gamma - 1)u$$

Proof. Letting $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 1. Thus we will have the required result.

Theorem 2. If $g_j \in x_j - C_\lambda^T(\gamma_j)$ with $x_j \geq 0$, $0 \leq \gamma_j < 1$ for all $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$,

then the operator $F_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell} \in C(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j (\gamma_j - 1)$.

Proof.

$$\frac{\xi F''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)}{F'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)} = u_1 \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)' } + \dots + u_\ell \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)' }$$

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi F''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)}{F'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)} \right) = u_1 Re \left(\frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)' } \right) + \dots + u_\ell Re \left(\frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)' } \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= u_1 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)'} \right) + u_1 - u_1 - u_1 \gamma_1 + u_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + u_\ell \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)'} \right) + u_\ell - u_\ell - u_\ell \gamma_\ell + u_\ell \gamma_\ell \\
 &= u_1 \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)'} - \gamma_1 \right) - u_1 + u_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + u_\ell \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)'} - \gamma_\ell \right) - u_\ell + u_\ell \gamma_\ell
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_j \in x_j - C_\lambda^T(\gamma_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. From Eq.(9), we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi F''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)}{F'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)} \right) \geq u_1 x_1 \left| \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_1(\xi) \right)'} - 1 \right| + \dots + u_\ell x_\ell \left| \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_\ell(\xi) \right)'} - 1 \right| - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j \gamma_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j (\gamma_j - 1)$$

so,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi F''_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)}{F'_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell}(\xi)} + 1 \right) \geq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j (\gamma_j - 1),$$

Thus, $F_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell} \in C(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j (\gamma_j - 1)$, then we obtain $0 \leq \sigma < 1$.

Corollary 3. If $g_j \in x_j - C_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ with $x \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$ for all $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$, then

the operator $F_{u_1, \dots, u_\ell} \in C(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = 1 + (\gamma - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j$.

Proof. By putting $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 2, the result has been obtained.

Corollary 4. If $g_j \in x - C_\lambda^T(\gamma)$ with $x \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $u \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then the operator $F_u \in C(\sigma)$

where

$$\sigma = 1 + (\gamma - 1)u \text{ and } F_u(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \left(\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g(t) \right)' \right)^u dt.$$

Proof. Letting $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 2. Then we will have the required result.

Theorem 3. Let β_j, α_j be positive real numbers, and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. If $g_j \in S_\lambda^\ell \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_j} \right)$ and

$f_j \in x_j - C_\lambda^T(\nu_j), x_j \geq 0, 0 \leq \nu_j < 1$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} [\beta_j (1 - \nu_j) + \alpha_j] - \ell < 1$, then $\omega_\ell(\xi)$ given in

Eq.(15) is in the class $C(\sigma)$, where

$$\sigma = 1 + \ell + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} [\beta_j (\nu_j - 1) - \alpha_j].$$

Proof. Form differentiation of $\omega_\ell(\xi)$ which is given in Eq.(12) and by some calculation, we have

$$\frac{\xi \omega_\ell''(\xi)}{\omega_\ell'(\xi)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[\alpha_j \left(\frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_j(\xi) \right)' }{\Theta_\lambda^T g_j(\xi)} - 1 \right) + \beta_j \frac{\xi \left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_j(\xi) \right)''}{\left(\Theta_\lambda^T g_j(\xi) \right)'} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[\alpha_j \frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'}{\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi)} - \alpha_j + \beta_j \frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))''}{(\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'} \right], \\
 \frac{\xi \omega_{\ell}''(\xi)}{\omega_{\ell}'(\xi)} + 1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[\alpha_j \frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'}{\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi)} - \alpha_j + \beta_j \frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))''}{(\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'} \right] + 1, \\
 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi \omega_{\ell}''(\xi)}{\omega_{\ell}'(\xi)} + 1 \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[\alpha_j \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'}{\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi)} \right) - \alpha_j + \beta_j \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))''}{(\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'} + 1 \right) - \beta_j \right] + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

(16)

Since $g_j \in S_{\lambda}^{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_j} \right)$, then $\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'}{\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi)} \right) > \frac{1}{\alpha_j}$ and $f_j \in x_j - C_{\lambda}^T(\nu_j)$, form Eq.(16), there

is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi \omega_{\ell}''(\xi)}{\omega_{\ell}'(\xi)} + 1 \right) &> \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[1 - \alpha_j + \beta_j \left(x_j \left| \frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))''}{(\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'} \right| + \nu_j \right) - \beta_j \right] + 1 \\
 &> 1 + \ell - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \beta_j x_j \left| \frac{\xi (\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))''}{(\Theta_{\lambda}^T g_j(\xi))'} \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \beta_j (\nu_j - 1), \\
 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi \omega_{\ell}''(\xi)}{\omega_{\ell}'(\xi)} + 1 \right) &> 1 + \ell + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \beta_j (\nu_j - 1) \\
 &> 1 + \ell - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} [\beta_j (\nu_j - 1) - \alpha_j],
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\omega_{\ell}(\xi) \in C(\sigma), \quad \sigma = 1 + \ell + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} [\beta_j (\nu_j - 1) - \alpha_j].$$

Corollary 5. Let β_j, α_j be positive real numbers, and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. If $g_j \in S_{\lambda}^{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_j} \right)$ and

$f_j \in x_j - C_{\lambda}^T(\nu_j)$, $0 \leq \nu_j < 1$, $x_j \geq 0$, if $\beta_j (1 - \nu_j) + \alpha_j < 2$, then $\omega_{\ell}(\xi)$ which is given in Eq.(15) will be in the class $C(\sigma)$, where

$$\sigma = 2 + \beta_j (1 - \nu_j) + \alpha_j.$$

Proof. By setting $T = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 3, the result has been obtained.

Conclusion:

In the complex domain, Hurwitz–Lerch zeta functions has been importane in geometric function theory. Based on these type special function new integro-differential operator have been introduced in term Salagean’s differential operator. Moreover, convexity properties on new subclasses involving these considered operators have investigated and presented.

References:

- [1] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [2] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, “On Subclasses of Uniformly Convex Functions and Corresponding Class of Starlike Functions”, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no 1, pp. 17-32, 1997.
- [3] F. Ghanim, S. Bendak and A. Al-Hawarneh, “Certain implementations in fractional calculus operators involving Mittag-Leffler-confluent hypergeometric functions”, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 478, no. 2258, pp. 1-14, 2022. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2021.0839>.
- [4] L. de Branges, “A Proof of the Bieberbach Conjecture”, *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 154, no. (1-2), pp. 137-152, 1984.
- [5] K. A. Jassim, Some geometric properties of analytic functions associated with hypergeometric functions, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 57, no. 1C, pp. 705-712, 2016.
- [6] H. F. Al-Janaby and M. Z. Ahmad, “Differential Inequalities Related to Salagean Type Integral Operator Involving Extended Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function”, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1132, no. 1, 01206, pp. 1-9, 2018.
- [7] M. S. Mahmoud, A. R. S. Juma and R. A. M. Al-Saphory, “On a Subclass of Meromorphic Univalent Functions Involving Hypergeometric Function”, *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, vol.11, no. 3, pp.12–20, 2019.
- [8] H. F. Al-Janaby, F. Ghanim and M. Darus, “Some Geometric Properties of Integral Operators Proposed by Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function”, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1212, no. 1, 012010, pp. 1-6, 2019.
- [9] H. F. Al-Janaby and M. Darus, “Differential subordination results for Mittag-Leffler type functions with bounded turning property”, *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 573-582, 2019.
- [10] H. F. Al-Janaby, F. Ghanim and M. Darus, “On The Third-Order Complex Differential Inequalities of ξ -Generalized-Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta Functions”, *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1-21, 2020.
- [11] W. G. Atshan, A. H. Battor and A. F. Abaas, “On Third-Order Differential Subordination Results for Univalent Analytic Functions Involving an Operator”, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1664, no. 1, 012041, pp. 1-19, 2020.
- [12] S. Elhaddad and M. Darus, “Coefficient Estimates for a Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions Defined by q -Derivative Operator”, *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1-14, 2020.
- [13] C. M. Yan and J. L. Liu, “On Second-Order Differential Subordination for Certain Meromorphically Multivalent Functions”, *AIMS Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 4995–5003, 2020.
- [14] G. I. Oros, “New Conditions for Univalence of Confluent Hypergeometric Function”, *Symmetry*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2021.
- [15] F. Ghanim, K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus and H. F. Al-Janaby, “Subordination properties of meromorphic Kummer function correlated with Hurwitz–Lerch zeta-function”, *Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1-10, 2021.
- [16] T. K. Layth, A. M. Ali and H. F. Al-Janaby, “Complex Differential Implications of Linear Operator Imposed By Mittag-Leffler Type Function”, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1818, no. 1, 012070, pp. 1-11, 2021.
- [17] Z. H. Mahmood, K. A. Jassim and B. N. Shihab, Differential Subordination and Superordination for Multivalent Functions Associated with Generalized Fox-Wright Functions, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 675-682, 2022.
- [18] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, “Higher Transcendental Functions”, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 405-408, July 1954.
- [19] M. T. Rassias and B. Yang, “On an Equivalent Property of a Reverse Hilbert-Type Integral Inequality Related to the Extended Hurwitz-Zeta Function”, *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 315–334, 2019.
- [20] M. T. Rassias and B. Yang, “On a Hilbert-Type Integral Inequality Related to the Extended Hurwitz Zeta Function in the Whole Plane”, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 160, no. 1, pp. 67–80, Apr. 2019.

- [21] V. Nisar, "Further Extension of the Generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function of Two Variables", *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 2019.
- [22] M. A. Chaudhry, A. Qadir, M. Raflque and S. M. Zubair, "Extension of Euler's Beta Function", *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 19-32, 1997.
- [23] J. Choi, A. K. Rathie and P. K. Parmar, "Extension of Extended Beta, Hypergeometric and Confluent Hypergeometric Functions", *Honam Mathematical Journal*, vol. 36, no.2, pp. 357-385, 2014.
- [24] T. K. Layth, A. M. Ali and H. F. Al-Janaby, "A New Class of K-Uniformly Starlike Functions Imposed By Generalized Salagean's Operator", *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2398, no. 1, 2022.
- [25] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni, J. M. Jahangiri, "Classes of Uniformly Starlike and Convex Functions", *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2004; no. 55, pp. 2959-2961, Feb. 2004.
- [26] D. Breaz, S. Owa and N. Breaz, "A New Integral Univalent Operator", *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, vol. 16, pp.11-16, 2008.
- [27] N. Pascu and V. Pescar, "On the Integral Operators of Kim-Merkes and Pfaltzgraff", *Mathematica*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 185-192, 1990.
- [28] D. Breaz and N. Breaz, "Two Integral Operators", *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Mathematica*, vol. XLVII, no. 3, pp. 13-21, Sep. 2002.
- [29] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, "Starlike Integral Operators", *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 157-168, 1978.
- [30] W. Alexander, "Functions Which Map the Interior of the Unit Circle Upon Simple Regions", *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 12-22, 1915.
- [31] B. A. Frasin, "Univalence Criteria for General Integral Operator", *Mathematical Communications*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 115-124, 2011.
- [32] L. Stanciu L and D. Breaz, "Some Properties of a General Integral Operator", *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1433-1439, Dec. 2014.