



ISSN: 0067-2904 GIF: 0.851

Modulatory Effects of Date Palm (*Phoenix dactylifera L.*) Pits Extract Against Ethinylestradiol Induced Genotoxic Damage in Cultured Human Lymphocytes

Yasir H. Al-Juraisy*

Department of Biology, College of Science, Al-Mustansiriya University, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

Ethinylestradiol is widely used in oral contraceptive formulations and also for the treatment of various sexual and metabolic disorders. It is not only a genotoxic agent but also a tumor initiating agent. In the present study, the modulatory effect of aqueous extract of date pits was evaluated against the genotoxic effect induced by ethinylestradiol on human lymphocytes using chromosomal aberrations (CA), blast index (BI), mitotic index (MI), sister chromatid exchanges (SCE) and replication index (RI) as parameters. The date pits extract was evaluated at 1.075×10^{-4} , 2.125×10^{-4} , 3.15×10^{-4} and 4.17×10^{-4} g/ml along with 10 μ M of ethinylestradiol in culture medium. The results showed a significant dose-dependent decrease in the frequency of CA and SCE induced by ethinylestradiol. The results of the present study suggest that the plant extract *per se* does not have genotoxic potential, but can modulate the genotoxicity of ethinylestradiol in cultured human lymphocytes.

Keywords: Ethinylestradiol, Phoenix dactylifera, Genotoxicity, Chromosomal aberrations, Sister chromatid exchanges, Human lymphocytes.

الفعالية المُعدِّلة لمستخلص نوى التمر ضد عقار Ethinylestradiol الذي يسبب ضرراً بالمادة الفعالية المُعدِّلة لمستخلص الوراثية للخلايا اللمفاوية البشرية المزروعة

ياسر حسين زيدان الجريصي*

قسم علوم الحياة، كلية العلوم، الجامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

^{*}Email: yasir.aljuraisy@yahoo.com

Introduction

Date palm pits have not generally received much attention as antioxidant sources and this could be due to their lack of popularity and lack of commercial applications. However, there are considerably higher ratios of by-products arising from fruit-processing plants and derived products have experienced growing worldwide popularity [1].

Worldwide dates production has increased exponentially over the last four decades. Out of the total world production of 7.4 million tons of dates, 5.4 million are coming from the Arab World [2].

The date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.) has been an important crop in Iraq and Middle Eastern countries. These countries are the Favourable areas in cultivation of this crop, where 60 million date palms located there. In Iraq, the date palm cultivated area is about 125,000 ha. [3]. For Muslims all over the world, dates are of religious importance and are mentioned in many places in the Holy Quran. They are customarily used to break the day long fast during the holy month of Ramadan [4]. Therefore, utilization of date by-products, particularly pits, is very important to date cultivation and to increase the national income to this sector which supports over one million people. Recently, date pit powders are marketed and are a source of choice to people preferring a non-caffeinated coffee with coffee-related flavor [5].

Experimental studies have shown that feeding rats with the aqueous extract of date flesh or pits exhibit gastroprotective, hepatoprotective and nephroprotective effects in rodents [6-8]. The date pits have also been reported to exhibit anti-aging properties and significantly reduce skin wrinkles [9]. Moreover, date pits were grind and added to the feed of domesticated animals to enhance growth and this was ascribed to an increase in the plasma level of estrogens or testosterone [5, 10,11].

Estrogens are used for treating many types of sexual disorders and as part of various oral contraceptive formulations [12]. There is sufficient evidence for estrogen carcinogenicity and genotoxicity in various experimental models [13]. Ethinylestradiol (EE) is commonly used in oral contraceptives and in other drug formulations [14]. The prolonged use of oral contraceptives has been reported to induce various types of cancers [13]. There are also reports of the genotoxicity of ethinylestradiol in various experimental models [15-19]. Accordingly, the present investigation is a novel attempt to spotlight on the efficacy of the aqueous date pits extract for reducing DNA damage induced by ethinylestradiol in cultured human peripheral lymphocytes using cytogenetic parameters including blast index (BI), mitotic index (MI), chromosomal aberrations (CA), replication index (RI) and sister chromatid exchanges(SCE).

Materials and Methods

Chemicals:

Ethinylestradiol (CAS: 57-63-6: Sigma-Aldrich); **RPMI** 1640. Fetal calf serum. Phytohaemagglutinin-M, Antibiotic-antimycotic mixture (Gibco, Invitrogen), 5-Bromo-2deoxyuridine, Colchicine (Sigma-Aldrich); Dimethylsulphoxide (SRL, India); Giemsa stain (Merk).

Preparation of date pits extract (DPE):

Date fruits were purchased from a local market in Baghdad, Iraq. The pits were manually separated from the flesh rip fruit and washed clear of any flesh by water. The dried date pits were oven dried for seven days at 60°C and then finely ground into powder using a stainless-steel blender. The water extract of the date pit of 100 g was made by adding distilled water to coarsely pounded date pit (1:2 ratio, weight to volume), and kept in water bath at 100°C for 6 hr, repeatedly for five times. The resulting brownish and dark extracts were filtered and then stored in fridge in dark place [20].

Human lymphocyte culture:

Duplicate peripheral blood cultures were prepared according to Carballo et al. [21]. Briefly, heparinized blood samples (0.5 ml), were obtained from healthy donors (non-smoker females, age range 20-25) and were placed in a sterile culture bottle containing 7 ml of RPMI-1640 medium, supplemented with fetal calf serum (1.5 ml), antibiotic-antimycotic mixture (1.0 ml) and phytohaemagglutinin (0.1 ml). The culture bottles were kept in an incubator at 37 °C for 24 hr.

Chromosomal aberrations analysis:

For CA analysis, after 24 hr, 10 µM of Ethinylestradiol treatment (dissolved in dimethylsulphoxide, 5 µl/ml) was given separately with 1.075x10⁻⁴, 2.125x10⁻⁴, 3.15x10⁻⁴ and 4.17x10⁻⁴ g/ml of plant extract, and kept for additional 48 hr of incubation at 37 °C. The untreated control was also kept. After 47 hr, 0.2 ml of colchicine (0.2 µg/ml) was added to each culture vial. Cells were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min.

The supernatant was removed and 5 ml of pre-warmed (37°C) 0.075 M KCl hypotonic solution was added. Cells were resuspended and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. After hypotonic treatment the cultures were centrifuged and the supernatant was removed, cells were fixed by adding chilled fixative (Methanol: Acetic acid; 3:1). The fixative was removed by centrifugation and the procedure was repeated twice. The slides were prepared by air drying method and stained with Giemsa stain (3%) in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) for 20 min. [22]. Later the slides were screened for chromosomal aberrations according to the standard protocol of Savage [23]. For each concentration of treated and control groups 200 metaphases were scored.

Blast Index Assay (BI):

The blast index was calculated as the number of blast cells per 1000 cells analyzed per culture for each treatment. As the following equation:

BI= no. of the blast cells/total no. of cells ×100

Mitotic index assay (MI):

The mitotic index was calculated as the number of metaphases per 1000 cells analyzed per culture for each treatment. As the following equation:

MI= no. of the dividing cells/ total no. of the cells $\times 100$

Sister chromatid exchange analysis (SCE):

For sister chromatid exchange analysis, bromodeoxyuridine (10 μ g/ml) was added at the beginning of the culture. After 24 hr of incubation, 20 μ M of EE (dissolved in Dimethylsulphoxide, 5 μ l/ml) treatment was given separately with 1.075x10⁻⁴, 2.125x10⁻⁴, 3.15x10⁻⁴ and 4.17x10⁻⁴ g/ml of pits extract and kept for another 48 hr in an incubator. Mitotic arrest was performed by adding 0.2 ml of colchicine (0.2 μ g/ml). Hypotonic treatment and fixation were performed in the same way as for the chromosomal aberration analysis. The sister chromatid exchange average was taken from an analysis of metaphase during second cycle of division [24].

Replication index (RI):

At least 200 metaphases for each treatment were scored to calculate the replication index (RI) by the formula:

RI = (M1 + 2M2 + 3M3)/100

Where M1, M2 and M3 represent the proportion of the first, second and third metaphases, respectively [25].

Statistical analysis:

Student t-test was used for analysis of CAs, MI and SCEs while chi-square test (χ^2) test was used for the analysis of RI [26].

Results

The results on the incidence of chromosomal aberrations in *in vitro* lymphocytes after treatment with EE and various concentrations of DPE individually and EE primed with DPE are shown in the Table-1.

The results indicate a significant increase of CA by EE compared with the untreated. A significant dose-dependent decrease in number of CA was observed when EE was treated separately with different dosages of DPE (Table 1). Maximum decrease in CA was found at the higher dose of DPE rather than with the lower doses. The decrease in CA was statistically significant (p<0.05) for different concentrations of DPE with EE treated groups in comparison to EE alone treated lymphocytes. For DPE alone treated group, the total number of aberrations did not show any significance level of changes. For the EE alone treatment, the total number of aberrations scored 13 which decreased to 2 when treated with both EE and 4.17 x 10^{-4} g/ml of DPE. This decrease in CA was statistically significant.

Type of treatment	Chromatid aberrations				omatid ations	Total number of	Number of
	Gaps	Breaks	Acentric fragments	Gaps	Breaks	aberration	polyploidy cells
EE (M)							
10	6	7	1	2	3	13 ^a	1
EE(M)+DPE(g/mL)							
$10+1.075 \times 10^{-4}$	5	5	1	1	2	8 ^b	1
$10+2.125 \times 10^{-4}$	4	3	1	1	1	6 ^b	0
$10+3.15 \times 10^{-4}$	2	2	0	0	1	3 ^b	0
$10+4.17 \text{x} 10^{-4}$	2	1	0	0	0	2 ^b	0
DPE (g/mL)							
$1.075 \text{x} 10^{-4}$	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
2.125×10^{-4}	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
3.15×10^{-4}	2	1	1	1	0	2	0
$4.17 \text{x} 10^{-4}$	2	1	1	1	0	2	0
Untreated (control)	1	1	0	1	0	1	0

Table 1-Effect of different concentrations of date pits extract on CA induced by ethinylestradiol in the cultured human lymphocytes

(^a) Significant with respect to untreated (P<0.005); (^b) Significant with respect to CPA (P<0.005); EE, ethinylestradiol; DPE, date pits extract.

The induction of SCE by EE was decreased at every dose of extract given with EE Table-2. The results also indicate a significant decrease in BI, MI and RI by EE in lymphocytes cultures Table-2. However, a significant dose-dependent increase was observed in BI, MI and RI, when treated with different dosages of the extract Table-2.

Table 2- Effect of date pits extract on BI, MI, RI and SCE induced by ethinylestradiol in the cultured human lymphocytes

Tymphocytes										
Type of treatment	BI%	MI%	Cell Cycle % (mean)		RI%	SCE %				
	(mean±S.E.)	(mean±S.E.)	M1	M2	M3	(mean+S.E.)	(mean+S.E.)			
EE (M)										
10	11.22 ± 0.08^{a}	0.92 ± 0.15^{a}	41	49	4	1.51±0.23 ^a	6.01 ± 0.40^{a}			
EE(M)+DPE										
(g/mL)										
$10+1.075 \times 10^{-4}$	18.75 ± 0.15^{b}	1.75 ± 0.46^{b}	36	54	7	1.65 ± 0.15^{b}	4.32 ± 0.32^{b}			
$10+2.125 \times 10^{-4}$	24.30±0.05 ^b	2.86±0.19 ^b	32	58	9	1.75 ± 0.42^{b}	3.62 ± 0.30^{b}			
$10+3.15 \times 10^{-4}$	31.33±0.12 ^b	2.74±0.04 ^b	30	59	9	1.75 ± 0.09^{b}	2.86 ± 0.19^{b}			
$10+4.17 \times 10^{-4}$	37.85 ± 0.30^{b}	3.11 ± 0.10^{b}	23	64	12	1.87 ± 0.51^{b}	2.44 ± 0.15^{b}			
DPE (g/mL)										
1.075×10^{-4}	38.50±0.50	3.31±0.15	23	65	13	1.92±0.13	1.78±0.13			
2.125×10^{-4}	36.66±0.07	3.22±0.02	25	64	13	1.92 ± 0.60	1.97±0.19			
3.15×10^{-4}	35.40±0.13	3.18±0.32	25	62	10	1.79±0.28	2.31±0.27			
$4.17 \text{x} 10^{-4}$	35.95±0.22	2.91±0.01	27	61	9	1.76±0.57	2.74±0.18			
Untreated (control)	38.72±0.18	3.35±0.12	19	67	14	1.95 ± 0.80	1.33±0.12			

(^a) Significant with respect to untreated (P<0.05); (^b) Significant with respect to CPA (P<0.05); EE, ethinylestradiol; DPE, date pits extract.

Discussion

The parameters used in this study to evaluate the genotoxic effects on cultured human lymphocytes were: CA analysis, BI, MI, RI and SCE. The CA analysis is one of the widely used parameters for testing the protective effects of natural compounds on the drug and chemical induced toxicity. The modulatory effect of natural compounds on the CA induced by various kinds of chemicals and drugs is well established [27-30]. The MI, RI and SCE have been commonly used to evaluate cytogenetic responses to chemical exposure. In a recent study this parameter has been used for testing modulatory effects of allicin and L–ascorbic acid on the chlormadinone acetate induced genotoxicity [31].

This study reveals that ethinylestradiol at $10\mu M$ was genotoxic on cultured human lymphocytes. A previous study with ethinylestradiol has shown that the metabolic activation and possible conversion of ethinylestradiol to a reactive species is responsible for the genotoxicity [15].

Medicinal plants and their products have been used for centuries to cure various ailments [32]. Many plant products protect against xenobiotics either by inducing detoxifying enzymes or by inhibiting oxidative enzymes [33].

The results of the present study reveal that the PDE is potent enough to reduce the genotoxic effects of ethinylestradiol at all the selected doses. The selected doses of PDE didn't induce any significant difference in the percentage of all tested assays as compared to control, suggesting absence of its genotoxic effect. Similar findings were obtained in our previous study which has shown that the crude extracts of fruits and pits of date palm exerted a potent anticancerous effect toward some cancer cell lines *in vitro*, and antitumor effect toward transplanted mammary adenocarcinoma in mice [34]. The verification of the possible mutagenic and/or antimutagenic effects of medicinal plants, infusions or extracts is an important factor in such studies. Some plants may possess substances that can modulate the genotoxicity of the other compounds [35]. The protective effect of PDE in the present study i.e. significant reduction in chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges or increase in the BI, MI and RI may be due to the direct action of the compounds present in the extract on ethinylestradiol by inactivating it enzymatically or chemically.

The compounds present in the extract may also scavenge electrophiles or nucleophiles [36]. They may also enhance the DNA repair system or DNA synthesis or even may prevent the bioactivation of certain chemicals [37]. The antigenotoxic potential of plant extracts have been attributed to their total phenolic content [36]. Medicinal herbs contain complex mixtures of thousands of compounds that can exert their antioxidant and free radical scavenging effects either separately or in synergistic ways [38]. Identification and characterization of these active principles in the plant extract may lead to strategies to reduce the risk for developing cancer in humans [39]. A study on oral contraceptives and liver cancer has revealed that the oral contraceptives may enhance the risk of liver carcinomas [40]. The present study shows that the PDE reduced the genotoxic effects of ethinylestradiol, and hence suggests the possibility of having lower risk of carcinomas in the patients undergoing ethinylestradiol therapy. **Acknowledgement**

The author wish to thank Professor Emeritus, Dr. Badry Al-Ani for his helpful advice in this study. **References**

- Soong, Y. and Barlow, P.J. 2004. Antioxidant activity and phenolic content of selected fruit seeds. *Food Chem.*, 88, pp:411–417.
- 2. FAO. 2011. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- **3.** Zaid, A. **2001**. The world date production: A challenging case study. The Second International Conference on Date Palm, UAE, March 25 27. Abstract, p: 151.
- 4. Al-Shahib, W. and Marshall, R.J. 2003. The fruit of the date palm: Its possible use as the best food for the future. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 54, pp:247–259.
- 5. Baliga, M.S., Baligab, B.R.V., Kandathilc, S.M., Bhatd, H.P. and Vayalile, P.K. 2011. A review of the chemistry and pharmacology of the date fruits (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). *Food Res. Inter.*, 44, pp:1812–1822.
- 6. Al-Qarawi, A.A., Mousa, H.M., Ali, B.E.H., Abdel-Rahman, H. and El-Mougy, S.A. 2004. Protective effect of extracts from Dates (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) on carbon tetrachloride–induced hepatotoxicity in rats. *Intern. J. Appl. Res. Vet. Med.*, 2, pp:176–180.
- 7. Al Qarawi, A.A., Abdel-Rahman, H., Ali, B.H., Mousa, H.M. and El-Mougy, S.A.2005. The ameliorative effect of dates (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) on ethanol-induced gastric ulcer in rats. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 98, pp:313–317.
- 8. Al Qarawi, A.A., Abdel-Rahman, H., Mousa, H.M., Ali, B.H. and El-Mougy, S.A. 2008. Nephroprotective Action of Phoenix dactylifera in gentamicin-induced nephrotoxicity. *Pharmaceut. Biol.*, 46, pp:227–230.
- 9. Bauza, E. 2002. Date palm kernel extract exhibits antiaging properties and significantly reduces skin wrinkles. *Int. J. Tissue React.*, 24, pp:131–136.
- **10.** Elgasim, E.A., Alyousif, Y.A. and Homeida, A.M. **1995**. Possible hormonal activity of date pits and flesh fed to meat animals. *Food Chem.*, 52, pp:149–150.

- **11.** Ali, B.H., Bashir, A.K. and Al-Hadrami, G.**1999**. Reproductive hormonal status of rats treated with date pits. *Food Chem.* 66, pp:437–441.
- **12.** Schwend, T.H. and Lippman, J.S. **1996**. Comparative review of recently introduced oral contraceptives containing norgestimate, desogestrel, and gestodene and older oral contraceptives, In: Pavlik, E.J. Estrogens, Progestins and their antagonists. Boston, Birkhauser, pp:273-296.
- **13.** IARC, International agency for research on cancer. **1979**. Sex hormones (II). IARC Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans. 21, IARC, Lyon, France.
- 14. Siddique, Y.H., Ara, G. and Beg, T and Afzal, M. 2010. Anticlastogenic effect of apigenin in human lymphocytes treated with ethinylestradiol. *Fitoterapia*, 81, pp:590-594.
- **15.** Siddique, Y.H., Beg, T. and Afzal, M., **2005**. Genotoxic potential of ethinylestradiol in cultured mammalian cells. *Chem. Biol. Interact.*, 151, pp:133-141.
- **16.** Siddique, Y.H., Beg, T. and Afzal, M. **2009**. Effect of *Centella asiatica* L. extract against ethinylestradiol induced genotoxic damage on cultured human lymphocytes. *Biomed. Res.*, 20, pp:166-172.
- 17. Hundal, B.S., Dhillon, V.S. and Sidhu, I.S. 1997. Genotoxic potential of estrogens. *Mutat. Res.*, 389, pp:173-181.
- 18. Drevon, C., Piccoli, C. and Montesano, R. 1981. Mutagenicity assays of estrogenic hormones in mammalian cells. *Mutat. Res.*, 89, pp:83-90.
- **19.** Shyama, S.K. and Rahiman, M.A. **1996**. Genotoxicity of lynoral (ethinylestradiol, an oestrogen) in mouse bone marrow cell *in vivo*. *Mutat. Res.*, 370, pp:175-180.
- **20.** Diab, K.A.S. and Aboul-Ela, E.I. **2012**. *In vivo* Comparative Studies on Antigenotoxicity of Date Palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) Pits Extract Against DNA Damage Induced by N-Nitroso-N-methylurea in Mice. *Toxicol. Int.*, 19(3), pp: 279–286.
- **21.** Carballo, M.A., Alvarez, S. and Boveris, S. **1993**. Cellular stress by light and Rose Bengal in human lymphocytes. *Mutation Research*, 288, pp: 215–222.
- **22.** Moorhead, P.S., Nowell, P.C., Mellman, W.J., Batipps, D.N. and Hungerford, D.A. **1960**. Chromosome preparations of leucocytes cultures from human peripheral blood. *Exp. Cell. Res.*, 20, pp: 613-616.
- 23. Savage, J.R.K. 1979. Annotation: Classification and relationship of induced chromosomal structural changes. J. Med. Genet., 13, pp: 103-122.
- 24. Perry, P. and Wolff, S. 1974. New Giemsa method for differential staining of sister chromatids. *Nature*, 261, pp: 156–158.
- 25. Lamberti, L., Bigatti-Ponzetto, P. and Ardito, G. 1983. Cell kinetics and sister chromatid exchange frequency in human lymphocytes. *Mutat. Res.*, pp:193–199.
- **26.** Fisher R.A. and Yates Y. **1963**. *Statistical table for biological, agricultural and medical research*, Sixth Edition, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburg, p:138.
- 27. Shukla, Y. and Taneja, P., 2002. Antimutagenic effects of garlic extract on chromosomal aberrations. *Cancer. Lett.*, 176, pp: 31-36.
- **28.** Bhattacharya, K., Yadava, S., Papp, T., Schiffmann, D. and Rahman, Q. **2004**. Reduction of chrysotile asbestos-induced genotoxicity in human peripheral blood lymphocytes by garlic. *Toxicol. Lett.*, 153, pp: 327-332.
- **29.** Siddique, Y.H. and Afzal, M. **2005**. Antigenotoxic effect of allicin against methyl ethanosulphonate induced genotoxic damage. *J. Environ. Biol.*, 26, pp: 547-550.
- **30.** Dutta, D., Devi, S.S., Krishnamurthi, K., Kumar, K., Vyas, P., Muthal, P.L., Naoghare, P. and Chakrabarti, T. **2007**. Modulatory effect of distillate of *Ocimum sanctum* leaf extract (Tulsi) on human lymphocytes against genotoxicants. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.*, 20, pp: 226-234.
- **31.** Siddique, Y.H. and Afzal, M. **2005**. Protective role of allicin and L-ascorbic acid against the genotoxic damage induced by chlormadinone acetate in cultured human lymphocytes. *Ind. J. Exp. Biol.*, 43, pp: 769-772.
- **32.** Asolkar, A.V., Kakkar, K.K. and Chakre, O.J. **1992**. Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants with active principles. CSIR, New Delhi, 1, p:287.
- **33.** Morse, M.A. and Stoner, G.D. **1993**. Cancer chemoprevention: principles and prospects. *Carcinogenesis*, 14, pp:1737-1746.

- 34. Aljuraisy, Y.H., Yaseen, N.Y. and Al-Ani, B. 2010. Effect of Crude Extracts of Fruits and Pits of Date Palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L. cv. Zahdi) on Some Cancer Cell Lines in vitro and Treatment of Transplanted Mammary Adenocarcinoma in Mice. *The Blessed Tree*, 2(1), pp:36-41.
- **35.** Roncada, T., Vicentini, V.E.P. and Mantovani, M.S. **2004**. Possible modulating actions of plants extracts on the chromosome breaking activity of MMC and Ara C in human lymphocytes *in vitro*. *Toxicol. in vitro*, 18, pp:617-622.
- **36.** Maurich, T., Pistelli, L. and Turchi, G. **2004**. Anti-clastogenic activity of two structurally related pterocarpans purified from *Bituminaria bituminosa* in cultured human lymphocytes. *Mutat. Res.*, 561, pp:75-81.
- 37. Kuroda, Y., Jain, A.K., Tezuka H and Kada, T. 1992. Antimutagenicity in cultured mammalian cells. *Mutat. Res.*, 267, pp:201-209.
- **38.** Romero-Jiménez, M., Campos-Sánchez, J., Analla, M., Muñoz-Serrano, A. and Alonso-Moraga A. **2005**. Genotoxicity and antigenotoxicity of some traditional medicinal herbs. *Mutat. Res.*, 585, pp:147-155.
- **39.** Dearfield, K.L., Cimino, M.C., McCarroll, N.E., Mauer, I. and Valcovic, L.R. **2002**. Genotoxicity risk assessment, a proposed classification strategy. *Mutat. Res.*, 521, pp:121-135.
- Heinemann, L.A.J., DoMinh, T., Guggennoos-Holzmann, I., Thie, C., Garbe, E., Feinstein, A.R., Thomas, D., Brechot, C., Spitzer, W.O., Watanabe, V.S., Beral, V. and Meirik, O. 1997. Oral contraceptives and liver cancer: Results of the multicentre international liver tumor study (MILTS). *Contraception*, 56, pp:275-284.