



ISSN: 0067-2904
GIF: 0.851

ON ECS modules

Sahira M. Yaseen*, Muna H. Abd alrazzaq

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

Let R be commutative ring with identity and let M be any unitary left R -module. In this paper we study the properties of ec-closed submodules, ECS- modules and the relation between ECS-modules and other kinds of modules. Also, we study the direct sum of ECS-modules.

Keywords: Closed submodule, ec-closed, CS -module, ECS-module, Uniform extending module.

حول المقاسات ECS

ساهرة محمود ياسين*، منى حميد عبد الرزاق

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

لتكن الحلقة R ابدالية وذات عنصر محايد وليكن M مقاساً أحادياً. في هذا البحث قمنا بدراسة الخواص الاساسية للمقاسات الجزئية المغلقة من النوع EC والتوسع من النوع ECS . و تم دراسة العلاقة بينهما من جهة و العلاقة بينه و بين انواع اخرى من المقاسات. كذلك درسنا المجموع المباشر لهذا النوع من المقاسات.

1. Introduction:

Throughout this paper R will be a commutative ring with identity and all modules will be unitary left R -module. A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called an essential in M if for every nonzero submodule L of M then $L \cap N \neq 0$, A uniform module is a module in which every two a nonzero submodules have a nonzero intersection[1]. A submodule N of M is called closed, if it has no proper essential submodule in M [1]. A module M is called extending CS, if every submodule of M is essential in direct summand. Equivalently every closed submodule of M is a direct summand of M [2]. In [3], Kamal and Elmnophy Introduce the concept of ec-closed submodule that an ec-closed submodule N of a module M , is closed submodule N which contains essentially a cyclic submodule, i.e. there exists $x \in N$ such that $xR \leq_e N$. A module M is said to be ECS- module if every ec-closed submodule is a direct summand, every CS-module is ESC- module, but not every ECS is CS -module [4]. This paper is structured in three sections, in the first section we introduce some general properties of ec-closed submodules and examples. In section two we give the definition of ECS-module and the relation between ECS-module and uniform extending module and CS-module. In section three, we study the direct sum of ECS- modules.

1. Ec-closed submodules

In this section we recall the definition of an ec-closed submodule and give some of basic properties of the class of submodules. let N be a submodule of an R -module M , by an ec-closed submodule N of a module M is a closed submodule N which contains essentially a cyclic submodule (i.e. there exists $x \in N$ such that xR is essential submodule in N [3].

Proposition 1-1: Every R -module M has ec-closed submodule.

Proof: Let M be any R -module and let xR is a cyclic submodule of M , then there exists a closed submodule H of M such that $xR \leq_e H$ [1]. Then H is ec-closed submodule of M .

*Email: Sahira.Mahmood@gmail.com

Remarks and examples 1-2:

- 1- (0) is an ec-closed submodule of M
- 2- Every simple R - module is ec-closed submodule
- 3- Every uniform R - module is ec-closed submodule
- 4- Let M be an R - module and A, B are submodule of M then B need not ec-closed in M , for example, $\langle 0 \rangle \leq 2Z \leq Z$, $\langle 0 \rangle$ is ec-closed in M but $2Z$ is essential in Z then $2Z$ is not ec-closed in Z
- 5- If an R -module which has closed uniform submodule N then N is ec-closed submodule.

Proposition 1-3: Let M be an R -module and A, B be submodules of M such that $A \leq B$ then

1. If A is ec-closed submodule of B and B is closed submodule of M then A is an ec-closed of M
2. If A is ec-closed of M then A is ec-closed of B
3. If A is ec-closed of B then A is ec-closed of M
4. If A is ec-closed of M and $\frac{B}{A} \leq \frac{M}{A}$, $\frac{B}{A}$ is ec-closed of $\frac{M}{A}$ then B is ec-closed of M .

Proof: It is straight ford see [1],[5].

Proposition 1-4[3]: Every direct summand of an ec-closed submodule of M is ec-closed submodule.

Proposition 1-5: If A is an ec-closed submodule of M and N is an essential submodule of M , then $A \cap N$ is ec-closed in N .

Proof: Its clear.

Proposition 1-6: Let $\{A_\alpha\}$ and $\{B_\alpha\}$ be collections of modules such that A_α is ec-closed submodule of B_α for each α then $\bigoplus A_\alpha$ is ec – closed submodule in $\bigoplus B_\alpha$

Proof:

Since A_α is ec-closed submodule of B_α then A_α is closed submodule of B_α , therefore $\bigoplus A_\alpha$ closed submodule of $\bigoplus B_\alpha$ by [1]. Since A_α is ec-closed of B_α , then there exists $a_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ such that $a_\alpha R$ is essential submodule in A_α . This implies that $\bigoplus a_\alpha R$ is essential in $\bigoplus A_\alpha$ by [1, Prop. 1.1] then $\bigoplus A_\alpha$ is ec-closed in $\bigoplus B_\alpha$.

2. ECS-module

Definition 2.1: [4] An R -module M is called an ECS-module if every ec-closed submodule of M is a direct summand of M .

Remarks and Examples 2-2:

1-It is clear that every semisimple R -module is ECS- module and hence any simple module is ECS-module.

2-Every uniform module is ECS –module

3-The Z -module $M=Z \oplus Z_8$ is ECS-module. But the Z -module $M=Z_p \oplus Z_{p^3}$ is not ECS-module, where number P is a prime number.

4-Let M be π – injective R -module, then M is an ECS –module.

Proof: Let M be π –injective R -module. Since every CS- module is ECS and every π - injective module is CS-module [6], then M is ECS.

Proposition 2-3: Every direct summand of ECS is ECS

Proof: Let M be an R -module and $M=A \oplus B$. Let K be an ec-closed submodule of A . Since A is a summand of M then A is closed submodule of M thus K is ec-closed submodule of M by pro.1.3. But M is ECS module then K is a summand of M then K is a summand of A . Hence A is ECS.

Remark 2-4:

Let M be an R -module. If M is ECS- module then it is not necessary that every essential submodule of M is ECS.

Proof: Let M be R -module which is not ECS –module. Let $E(M)$ the injective hull of M . So M is essential in $E(M)$ since $E(M)$ is injective then $E(M)$ is ECS.

Proposition 2-5: If M be an ECS R - module and N is an ec-closed submodule in M , then $\frac{M}{N}$ is ECS.

Proof: Let $\frac{K}{N}$ be an ec-closed submodule of $\frac{M}{N}$. Since N is ec-closed submodule of M , then K is an ec-closed of M prop.1.3 (4) But M is ECS –module, then K is a direct summand of M , hence $\frac{K}{N}$ is direct summand of $\frac{M}{N}$. Therefore $\frac{M}{N}$ is an ECS –module.

Proposition 2-6: Any ec-closed submodule of an ECS –module M is always ECS.

Proof: Let A be an ec-closed submodule of M we must show that A is an ECS-module.

Let K be an ec-closed submodule of A . Since A is ec-closed submodule of M , then K is an ec-closed submodule of M prop.1-3. But M is an ECS -module, then K is a direct summand of M . Therefore K is a direct summand of A .

Definition 2-7[7]: An R -module M has finite uniform dimension n if M does not contain an infinite direct sum of non zero submodules. Equivalently, M contains an essential submodule of the form $U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$ for some uniform submodule $U_i \leq M, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Proposition 2-8[4]: Let M has a finite uniform dimension, then M is CS if and only if M is ECS.

Examples 2-9:

1- Let R be an R -module such that $M = Q \oplus \frac{Z}{Zp}$, then M is not CS by [4] and, since M has finite uniform dimension then M is not ECS.

2- Let $M = Z_8 \oplus Z$ be a Z -module, M is CS-module so M is ECS-module.

Proposition 2-10: Let M be an R - module then the following statements are equivalent:

1. M is an ECS-module
2. Every ec-closed submodule of M is a summand
3. If A is an ec-closed submodule of the injective null $E(M)$ of M , then $A \cap M$ is a summand of M

Proof: (1) \leftrightarrow (2) by definition of ECS-module.

(2) \rightarrow (3) Let A be an ec-closed submodule of $E(M)$ then $A \cap M$ is closed submodule of M by [6], and since A is an ec-closed submodule then there exists, $x \in A$ such that xR is an essential submodule of A . Since M is essential in M , then $xR \cap M$ is essential in $A \cap M$ [1, Prop.1.1] then $A \cap M$ is ec-closed submodule of M . By our assumption, then $A \cap M$ is a summand of M .

(3) \rightarrow (1) Let A be an ec-closed submodule of M and, let B be a relative complement of A . Then by [1, Prop.1.3] $A \oplus B$ is essential submodule in M . Since M is an essential submodule of $E(M)$, then $A \oplus B$ is essential submodule in $E(M)$. Thus $E(A) \oplus E(B) = E(A \oplus B) = E(M)$. Since $E(A)$ is a summand of $E(M)$, then $E(A)$ is ECS proposition 2-3. This implies that $E(A)$ is ec-closed submodule of $E(M)$ then by our assumption $E(A) \cap M$ is a summand of M . Now, A is essential in $E(A)$ and M is essential submodule in M thus by [1, Prop.1.1], $A = A \cap M$ is essential in $E(A) \cap M$. But A is ec-closed of M , then A is a closed submodule in M , then $A = E(A) \cap M$, which is a summand of M . Therefore A is a summand of M , hence M is ECS.

Definition 2-11[5]: An R -module M is called uniform extending module if every closed uniform submodule of M is a direct summand.

Proposition 2-12: Every ECS- module is uniform extending module.

Proof: Let M be ECS-module, and let A be a closed uniform submodule of M , then A is an ec-closed submodule in M by Remark 1.2.(5). But M is an ECS -module, then A is a direct summand of M . Hence M is a uniform extending module.

Corollary 2-13[5]: A module with finite uniform dimension is extending if and only if it is uniform extending module.

Proposition 2-14: Let M has finite uniform dimension then the following statement are equivalent.

- 1- M is an extending module
- 2- M is an ECS-module
- 3- M is a uniform extending module.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) by [4], (2) \Rightarrow (3) by prop. 2-12 and (3) \Rightarrow (1) by corollary (2.13).

Proposition 2-15: Let M be semisimple R -module then the following statement are equivalent.

- 1- M is an extending module
- 2- M is an ECS-module
- 3- M is a uniform extending module

Proof: (1) \rightarrow (2) clearly, (2) \rightarrow (3) by prop. 2-12, (3) \rightarrow (1) clearly

Before giving the next proposition, we need to give the following theorem

Theorem 2-16[5]: If R is an Acc ring, then M is an extending module if and only if M is uniform extending module.

Proposition 2-17: if R is an Acc ring, then the following statements are equivalent.

- 1- M is extending module
- 2- M is an ECS-module
- 3- M is uniform extending.

Proof: (1)→(2) clearly, (2)→(3) by prop. 2-12, (3)→(1) by theorem 2-16

3. The direct sum of ECS- modules:

The direct sum of ECS-module need not be ECS-module, for example Z_2 and Z_8 are ECS-Z module while the Z-module $Z_2 \oplus Z_8$ is not ECS-module.

Lemma3.1: Let $M=M_1 \oplus M_2$ where M_1 and M_2 are ECS-module, then M is ECS if and only if every ec-closed submodule K of M such that $K \cap M_1 = 0$ or $K \cap M_2 = 0$ is summand of M .

Proof: Assume that K is ec-closed in M such that $K \cap M_1 = 0$, then by assumption K is summand of M .

(⇐) let L_1 be ec-closed submodule of M , then L is closed in M and $xR \subseteq L$ thus there exists a closed submodule H in L Such that $L \cap M_2 \subseteq H$, $(xR) \cap L \cap M_2 \subseteq H \cap L$, $xR \cap M_2 \subseteq H$, H is an ec-closed in L and L is ec-closed submodule in M , then by (2-3) H is an ec-closed in M . Since $L \cap M_2 \subseteq H$, therefore $(L \cap M_2) \cap M_1$ is essential submodule of $H \cap M_1$ then $H \cap M_1 = 0$ thus by our assumption H is direct summand and $M=H \oplus H'$ when $H' \leq M$. Since L is ec-closed of M , then $L=L \cap M=L \cap (H \oplus H') = H \oplus (L \cap H')$ thus $L \cap H'$ is ec-closed in M But $(L \cap M_2) \cap H' \subseteq H \cap H' = 0$ Hence $(L \cap M_2) \cap H' = 0$, and by assumption $L \cap H'$ is a summand of M . Since $L \cap H' \subseteq H'$, then $L \cap H'$ is sammand of H' . Thus $H' = H' \cap L \oplus K$, $K \leq H'$ $M=H \oplus H'=H \oplus ((H' \cap L) \oplus K)=L \oplus K$. Hence L is a summand of M .

Proposition 3-2: Let $M=M_1 \oplus M_2$ be a finite direct sum of relatively injective modules M_i , then M is ECS -module if and only if M_i ($i=1, 2$) are ECS-modules.

Proof:- Assume that M is an ECS. Since M_i is a summand of M , then by prop.2-3 M_i ECS, for each $i=1,2,3,\dots,n$. The converse, by induction on n , it is sufficient to prove that M is an ECS when $n=2$. Let $M=M_1 \oplus M_2$ and K is an ec-closed submodule of M such that $K \cap M_1 = 0$. By [8, lemma 7.5] there exists a submodule M' of M such that $M=M_1 \oplus M'$ and $K \leq M'$, By the second isomorphism theorem $\frac{M}{M_1} = \frac{M_1 \oplus M_2}{M_1} \cong \frac{M_2}{M_1 \cap M_2} \cong M_2$ and $\frac{M}{M_1} = \frac{M_1 \oplus M'}{M_1} \cong \frac{M'}{M_1 \cap M'} \cong M'$, thus M_2 is isomorphic to M' , since M_2 is an ECS-module, then M' is ECS. But K is an ec-closed in M and $K \leq M'$, therefore K is an ec-closed in M' and K is a summand of M' therefore K is a summand of M .

Proposition 3-3 Let M be a finitely generated, faithful and multiplication R -module. Then M is ECS-module if and only if R is ECS.

Proof: Suppose that M is ECS-module and let I be an ec-closed ideal in R . To show that IM is ec-closed in M . Since M is a multiplication module, then $IM=(IM:M)M$. But M is finitely generated faithful and multiplication, by [2, th.6.1] is a cancellation module and hence $I=(IM:M)$. Since $(IM:M)$ ec-closed in R , then $(IM:M)$ is a closed in R , and then by [9, prop.3.31] $(IM:M)M=IM$ is closed in M . Since I is an ec-closed ideal in R then there exists, $r \in I$ such that $\langle r \rangle \subseteq_e I$ then $\langle r \rangle M \subseteq_e IM$ [9, prop.3.10]. This implies IM is an ec-closed submodule of M . But M is an ECS then IM is a submodule of M . Thus $M=IM \oplus (N:M)M$, where N is a submodule of M , and $M=(I+(N:M))M$. Now $0=IM \cap (N:M)M=(I \cap (N:M))M$, so $I \cap (N:M) \leq \text{ann}(M)$. Since M is faithful, then $I \cap (N:M)=0$ then $M=RM=(I \oplus (N:M)M)$, $R=I \oplus (N:M)$

Conversely, let N be an ec-closed submodule of M then $N=(N:M)M$. But N is an ec-closed in M then N is a closed in M therefore, $(N:M)$ is closed ideal in R by [9 pro.3.31]. Since N is an ec-closed then there exists $x \in N$ such that $\langle x \rangle \subseteq_e N$, then by [9, th.3-13], $(\langle x \rangle, M) \subseteq_e R$. Thus $(N:M)$ is an ec-closed ideal in R . But R is ECS then $(N:M)$ is a summand of R . This $R=(N:M) \oplus J$, Where J is an ideal of R , $M=RM=((N:M) \oplus J)M=(N:M)M+JM$, But by [10, th.1.6], $(N:M)M \cap JM=((N:M) \cap J)M=0M=0$, Hence $M=(N:M)M \oplus JM$, $=N \oplus JM$

Proposition 3-4 Let R be von Neumann regular ring and let M is a faithful multiplication R -module, then M is an ECS-module

Proof: Since R is a von Neumann regular ring, then R is an ECS and M be ECS-module.

Proposition 3-5: Let M and N be ECS R -module such that $\text{ann } M + \text{ann } N = R$, then $M \oplus N$ is ECS-module.

Proof: Let A be a nonzero ec-closed submodule of $M \oplus N$. Since $\text{ann } M_1 \oplus \text{ann } N = R$, then $A = C \oplus D$, Where C is a submodule of M and D is a submodule of N by [11, prop.4.2]. since $A \neq 0$, then either $C \neq 0$ or $D \neq 0$. If $C \neq 0$ and $D = 0$, then $C = A$, and C is an ec-closed. But M is an ECS-module, then C is a summand of M . But M is a summand of $M \oplus N$, then A is a summand of $M \oplus N$. Now, if $C \neq 0$

and $D \neq 0$. Since A is an ec-closed then C and D are ec-closed of M and N respectively, prop. 1.4, Since M and N are ECS then C is a summand of M and D is a summand of N then $A = C \oplus D$, Is a direct summand of $M \oplus N$ then $M \oplus N$ is ECS.

References:

1. Goodearl, K, R. **1976**. *Ring Theory, Non Singular rings and modules*. Marcel Dekker, New York.
2. Dung, N.V. Huynh, D.V. Smith, P.F. and Wisbauer, R. **1994**. *Extending modules*. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics. Series 313. Longman .New York.
3. M. Kamal and O El- Mnophy .**2005**, On P-extending modules, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianac*, LXXIV(2), pp:279-286.
4. CelepYucel C. and Tecrcan, A.**2009**. Modules whose ec-closed submodules are direct summand, *Taiwanese. J, Math*, 13, pp:1247-1256.
5. C.Santa, Clara Gomes, **1998**. Some generalization of injectivity .Ph.D. Thesis .Department of Mathematics, college of Science, University of Glasgow.
6. Zeinab.T.S.**2005**.On purly Extending Modules, M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Mathematics College of since, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
7. Rana.N.M.**2011**.On Min (Max)- Csmodules M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Mathematics, College of Education (Ibn Al-haitham) University of Baghdad, Iraq.
8. Naoum, A.G.**1996**.1/2 Cancellation modules, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, pp:97-106.
9. Ahmed, A.A. **1992**. On submodules of multiplication moduls. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Math. J, 13(3), pp:310-338.
10. Zeinab, A.E. and Patrick, F.S. **1988**.Multiplication modules, *Communications In Algebra*,16(4) pp:755-779.
11. Abbas M.S. **1991**. On fully stable modules, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.