



Potential Using of Mixed Microalgae in the Bioremediation of Domestic Effluents

Aseel Najeeb Ajaweed*

Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

Microalgae widely used for bioremediation of inorganic (NH_4 and PO_4) nutrients as single isolates. This study aims to use a mix microalgae isolated from Dijlah river for bioremediation of municipal waste water. The experiments had been start with two different concentrations of nutrients. $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ values were 23.4 and 31.7mg/l, phosphorus $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ 6.7 and 10.7 mg/l and BOD_5 were 66 and 83 mg/l. both cycles of treatment showed complete removal for $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ levels after treatment were 1.7 and 0.7 mg/l for both of treatment cycle. Biological oxygen demand reduced to lowest value were 18.9 in 2nd cycle while in 1st cycle of treatment reduced to 21.3mg/l. The results showed that mix microalgae have the ability to remove nutrients and organic pollutants at different levels even at pH variation during experiment.

Keywords: mix microalgae, bioremediation, inorganic nutrients, BOD_5

امكانيه استخدام مزيج الطحالب في المعالجه الحيويه لمياه الصرف المنزلي

اسيل نجيب اجاويد*

قسم علوم الحياه، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصه

استخدمت الطحالب بصورة عامه بشكل عزلات منفردة في معالجه الملوثات اللاعضويه مثل الفوسفات والامونيوم. تهدف الدراسه الحاليه استخدام مزيج من الطحالب المعزوله من نهر دجله في معالجه مياه الصرف المنزلي حيث تم تنفيذ تجارب المعامله الحيويه مرتين لمياه الصرف المنزلي مع اختلاف تركيز الملوثات اللاعضويه في كل مره حيث بلغت قيمتها 23.4 و 31.7 ملغم لكل لتر للامونيوم اما الفوسفات فكانت قيمها 6.7 و 10.7 ملغم / لتر في بدايه التجربه. كذلك تم قياس المتطلب الحيوي للاوكسجين حيث بلغت قيمته 66 و 83 ملغم / لتر للمحاوله الاولى والثانيه. بينت نتائج الدراسه في المحاوله الاولى والثانيه بان المزيج الطحالب المستخدم كان فعالا في ازاله الامونيوم بنهايه التجربه بينما الفوسفات وصلت الى 1.7 و 0.7 ملغم / لتر. اما المتطلب الحيوي للاوكسجين فقد انخفض الى ادنى قيمه له الى 18.9 ملغم / لتر في المعالجه الثانيه بينما في المعالجه الاولى تم اختزال المتطلب الحيوي للاوكسجين الى 21.3 ملغم/لتر. يستنتج من ذلك بان مزيج الطحالب كان فعالا في ازاله الملوثات اللاعضويه والتلوث العضوي وبمستويات مختلفه على الرغم من التغيرات في قيمه الحموضه في نهايه التجربه.

Introduction

Phycoremediation by microalgae is low-cost effective method for removing nutrients that concomitant with eutrophication problem in surface and underground water bodies [1]. Eutrophication has negative effects on whole ecosystem species component with heterogeneous increasing algae and aquatic plants. Domestic waste water consists water and wastes disposal from houses, commercial

*Email: aseel.ajaweed@yahoo.com

(restaurants) and some industrial facilities. Wastewater contain microorganisms, organic matter and nutrients so it is represent a low-cost substrate for algal biomass production and nutrient elimination [2]. The main mechanism for algae in removing the nutrient in wastewater is intake by algal cells and stripping ammonia through increasing the pH, that concomitant with increase in algal biomass [3]. Many of studies have focused on bioremediation through monocultures of algae such as *Chlorella*, *Spirulina* [4, 5, 1]. *Chlamydomonas* used as feed stock and nutrient removal [6]. Microalgae also plays an important role in removing organics for wastewater by providing oxygen through photosynthesis which lead to promote degradation of organic waste through algal-bacterial symbiosis [7], they studied on settled domestic wastewater which BOD₅ reduced to 97% with *Chlorella* and *Nitzschia*. In rinse water for olive- oil industry BOD₅ reduced to 13-67% through biomass culture for *Scenedesmus obliquus* [8].

Few studies reported that mixed cultures of algae even more efficient in nutrient removal and lower in cost. Su, y. et al [9] who studied on mix of three types of algae *C. reinhardtii*, *S. rubescens* and *C. vulgaris*. Mixed cultures also is better for complete removal of organic acids, nitrate, ammonia and phosphate [10]. There were few studies on mix isolation of phytoplankton available naturally like remediation of crude oil [11]. Al-bestawi [12] studied on remediation mixed domestic – industrial wastewater through indigenous cyanobacteria isolated from lake Mariut which is very cheap and efficient process to remove pollutants.

This study aim to investigate the nutrients (NH₄, PO₄) and BOD₅ remediation by using mix microalgae isolated naturally from a fresh water and culture them grow in domestic wastewater.

Material and methods:

Mix of microalgae mainly compose of Green algae, Blue-green algae and few diatoms were collected from Dijlah river. These algae were cultivated and grew as stock culture in filtered domestic waste water with addition bold base nutrient salts as enriched media for one week before starting the experiments to obtain heavy growth. The experiments had been done twice for Domestic waste water collected from drainage near Abu-Nuwas in different period in February and in April for 1st and 2nd trail respectively containers. The experimental containers filled with 2.5 Liter of domestic wastewater, with addition 50-55 ml of cultured algae and the samples were analyzed every 48 hr.

Experimental containers were set up out door and sheltered from rain by corrugated acrylic roof fixed at high 1.5 metre above containers. Five replicates of containers were set up for each control and treatments.

Perkin Elmer lambda uv/vis spectrophotometer used for ammonium NH₄-N and PO₄-P content analysis. Ammonium (mg/L NH₄-N) and orthophosphate (mg/L PO₄-P) measured by phenate and ascorbic acid method respectively [13]. pH with the growth of algal biomass were measured as dry weight at first day and at the end of experiment [14]. The efficiency of algal bioremediation was assessed also by Biological oxygen demand (BOD₅) measured in the 1st day and last day determined by modified iodimetric method [13]. Statistical analysis were performed in ANOVA at p ≤ 0.05 to detect any significance for treatments.

Result and Discussion:

The initial concentration of main nutrients NH₄-N and PO₄-P for collected municipal wastewater were moderate for first trail and second trail (Table 1).

NH₄-N and PO₄-P removal

The reduction in the concentration NH₄-N in 9 days (1st trail) is as shown in Figure-1a. %79.2 from the initial concentration of NH₄-N has reduced in 3 days and the reduction was significant in 2nd day when compare to control the reduction was not significant in the same day. Even the second trail NH₄-N was higher in concentration the reduction reach to 75.5% within 3 day, but totally NH₄-N removed in day 5 in the 2nd trail while in 1st trail NH₄-N totally removed in day 7. The pH value was (> 7) in 2nd trail because of photosynthesis activity (consumption CO₂) lead to elevation in pH at the end of experiment [16] also denitrification process causes pH rise lead to release H from conversion of NH₄ to NH₃. pH value changes through the experiment lead to effect on algal composition and growth. The pH value range from 6.4 to 7.71 for first trail and 7.5 to 10 for the second trail as mention in Table-1. The high pH affect on algal composition especially in second trail which dominated by cyanobacterial species like *Spirulina sp.* and *Oscillatoria sp.* While in 1st trail green algae species where the dominated on other algal groups because the neutral pH.

The algal growth mentioned as dry weight in first and last day when nutrient depleted in each trail in Table-1. Generally algae prefer neutral to alkaline pH for optimal growth [15] as shown in 1st and 2nd experiment.

Table 1- Mean concentration for experimental parameters before and after treatment for 1st and 2nd trail

Parameters Mg/L	Initial	Final
Exp.1		
BOD5	66±1.15	21.3±1.4
PO4-P	10.7±0.95	1.7±0.6
NH3-N	23.4±0.9	ND
Dry weight(g/l)	0.7±0.04	1.5±0.05
Exp.2		
BOD5	45.3±1.15	18.9±1.8
PO4-P	6.74±0.12	0.7±0.1
NH3-N	31.7±1.9	ND
Dry weight(g/l)	0.7±0.02	1.65±0.02

±Standard deviation




Figure 1- NH₄- N reduction in 1st trail (a) and 2nd trail (b)




Figure 2- PO₄-P reduction in 1st trail (a) and 2nd trail (b)

In Figure-2 the PO₄-P reduced to (1.7) mg/l in last day (9 days) and to (0.7mg/l) within 7 days in 2nd trail due to algal up take. The highest reduction reached to 89.6% in 2nd trail and in 1st trail reach to 84%, the reduction were not significant in control for both trails (no algal inoculums). The highest removal by algae for PO₄-P in 2nd trail considerable to assimilation process which led to increase the pH at the end of experiment pH (>9) and cause phosphate perception. This a biotic process increases in phosphorus fraction removal that's agreed with Laliberte' et al. [17] and Lodi, A. et al.[18].

The results indicate that micro algae preferred uptakeNH₄ as Nitrogen Source, lead to faster growth for mixmicroalgae in 2nd trail ,this mention by [19].The arrangement of nutrient uptake by microalgae is Ammonium>Nitrate>urea . Because uptake of ammonia in a passive way was less consumption for energy than nitrate.

BOD

The biological oxygen demand (BOD) was found high to deposit in river or to reuse for agriculture purpose. According to table (1) the reduction was significant for both trails compare to control (no algal inoculums) .Reduction percentage was 68% for algae after 9 days in 1st trail while 58% for 2nd trail . The comparable reduction was observed in *Phormidium* when it cultured in sewage waste water in third week of remediation [20].Microalgae use nutrients for growth and provide oxygen to bacteria in the same time by photosynthesis, which leads to increase its action for reduction organic pollution. The samples became more transparent after reduction inorganic and organic pollutants.

The advantage of use mix microalgae is effective and economic biological treatment since it is efficient to remove all the inorganic nutrients completely with short time even pH rise at the end of experiment .Also through the experiment mix microalgae ensure to remove the organic pollution at the end of experiment in spite of the algal composition has been drift .

References:

1. Olguin, E.J. **2003**. Key issues for cost effective nutrients removal processes. *Biotechnology Advance*, 22, pp:81-91.
2. Rawat, I., Kumar, R. R., Mutanda, T., and Bux, F. **2011**. Dual role of microalgae: phycoremediation of domestic wastewater and biomass production for sustainable biofuels production. *Applied Energy*, 88(10), pp: 3411-3424
3. Larsdotter, K. **2006**, Wastewater treatment with microalgae-a literature review. *Vatten*, 62(1), p:31.
4. Wang, L., Min, M., Li, Y., Chen, P., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., and Ruan, R. **2010**, Cultivation of green algae *Chlorella* sp. in different wastewaters from municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Applied biochemistry and biotechnology*, 162(4), pp:1174-1186
5. Ruiz-Marin, A., Mendoza-Espinosa, L. G., and Stephenson, T. **2010**, Growth and nutrient removal in free and immobilized green algae in batch and semi-continuous cultures treating real wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(1), pp:58-64.
6. Kong, Q. X., Li, L., Martinez, B., Chen, P., and Ruan, R. **2010**, Culture of microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* in wastewater for biomass feedstock production. *Applied biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 160(1), pp:9-18.
7. McGriff, E. C., and McKinney, R. E. **1972**. The removal of nutrients and organics by activated algae. *Water Research*, 6(10), pp:1155-1164.
8. Hodaifa, G., Martínez, M. E., and Sánchez, S. **2008**. Use of industrial wastewater from olive-oil extraction for biomass production of *Scenedesmus obliquus*. *Bio. Resource Technology*, 99(5), pp: 1111-1117
9. Su, Y., Mennerich, A., and Urban, B. **2012**. Comparison of nutrient removal capacity and biomass settleability of four high-potential microalgal species. *Bioresource Technology*, 124, pp:157-162
10. Ogbonna, J. C., Yoshizawa, H., and Tanaka, H. **2000**, Treatment of high strength organic wastewater by a mixed culture of photosynthetic microorganisms. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 12(3-5), pp:277-284.
11. Ibrahim, M. B. M., and Gamila, H. A. **2004**. Algal bioassay for evaluating the role of algae in bioremediation of crude oil: II. freshwater phytoplankton assemblages. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 73(6), pp:971-978.
12. El-Bestawy, E. **2008**. Treatment of mixed domestic-industrial wastewater using cyanobacteria. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 35(11), pp:1503-1516.
13. Apha, A. **1999**. WPCF (1999). *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 20.
14. Zhu, C. J. and Lee, Y. K. **1997**. Determination of biomass dry weight of marine microalgae. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 9(2), pp:189-194.
15. Mostafa, S. S., Shalaby, E. A. and Mahmoud, G. I. **2012**. Cultivating microalgae in domestic wastewater for biodiesel production. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 4(1), pp: 56-65.
16. Gao, Y., Cornwell, J. C., Stoecker, D. K. and Owens, M. S. **2012**. Effects of cyanobacterial-driven pH increases on sediment nutrient fluxes and coupled nitrification-denitrification in a shallow fresh water estuary. *Bio geosciences*, 9(7), pp: 2697-2710.
17. Laliberté, G. I. L. L. E. S., Olguin, E. J. and de la Noüe, J. O. È. L. **1997**. *Mass cultivation and wastewater treatment using Spirulina* In *Spirulina platensis (Arthrospira platensis) Physiology, Cell Biology and Biotechnology*, London: Taylor and Francis, pp:159-174.
18. Lodi, A., Binaghi, L., Solisio, C., Converti, A. and Del Borghi, M. **2003**, Nitrate and phosphate removal by *Spirulina platensis*. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 30(11), pp: 656-660.
19. Perez-García, O., Escalante, F. M., de-Bashan, L. E. and Bashan, Y. **2011**. Heterotrophic cultures of microalgae: metabolism and potential products. *Water Research*, 45(1), pp:11-36.
20. Das, S. and Santra, S. C. **2010**, Simultaneous biomass production and mixed-origin wastewater treatment by five environmental isolates of Cyanobacteria. *Biologija*, 56(1), pp:9-13.