



Hollow Modules With Respect to an Arbitrary Submodule

B. H. Al-Bahrani*, H. S. Al-Redeen

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce hollow modules with respect to an arbitrary submodule. Let M be a non-zero module and T be a submodule of M . We say that M is a T -hollow module if every proper submodule K of M such that $T \not\subseteq K$ is a T -small submodule of M . We investigate the basic properties of a T -hollow module.

Keywords: T -small submodule, T -maximal submodule, T -radical submodule.

المقاسات المجوفة بالنسبة الى مقاس جزئي افتراضي

بهار حمد البحراني*، حسن سبتي الرديني

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق.

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث قدمنا مفهوم المقاسات المجوفة بالنسبة الى مقاس جزئي افتراضي. ليكن M مقاسا غير صفري و T مقاس جزئي من M ، يقال ان M مقاس مجوف بالنسبة للمقاس الجزئي T اذا كان لكل مقاس جزئي فعلي K من M بحيث ان المقاس الجزئي T غير محتوي في المقاس الجزئي K يكون مقاسا جزئيا صغيرا بالنسبة الى المقاس الجزئي T . افترضنا الخصائص الاساسية للمقاسات المجوفة بالنسبة الى مقاس جزئي افتراضي T .

1-Introduction

Throughout this paper, rings are associative with identity and modules are unital left R -modules. Recall that a submodule N of an R -module M is small, denoted by $N \ll M$, if for any submodule X of M , $N+X = M$ implies that $X = M$. More details about small submodules can be found in [1-3]. The concept of small submodule has been generalized by some researchers, for this see [4,5]. In [6], the authors introduced the concept of small submodule with respect to an arbitrary submodule. Recall that a submodule N of M is called T -small in M , denoted by $N \ll_T M$, in case for any submodule $X \leq M$, $T \subseteq N+X$ implies that $T \subseteq X$. In this paper, we develop the properties of T -maximal submodules and introduce the concept of T -hollow module. Recall that a submodule K of M is called T -maximal in M if $(T+K)/K$ is simple R -module, see [6]. Recall that the intersection of all T -maximal submodule in M is denoted by $\text{Rad}_T M$, see [6].

In section 2, we develop the properties of T -maximal submodule and the T -radical submodule of a module M . We show that if T is a finitely generated submodule of a module M and N be submodule of M such that $T \not\subseteq N$, then there is a T -maximal submodule of M containing N , see Theorem 2.2. Also we prove that Ra is not T -small submodule of a module M , where $a \in M$ if and only if there is a T -maximal submodule N in M such that $a \notin N$ and $T \subseteq Ra + N$, see Theorem 2.9.

In section 3, we study the class of T -hollow module. We prove that if N is a non-zero submodule

* Email: albahranibahar@yahoo.com

of T -hollow module M such that $T \subseteq N$, then N is T -hollow module, see proposition 3.5. Also we prove that if T is finitely generated submodule of T -hollow module M , then T is cyclic, see proposition 3.10. We investigate the basic properties of T -hollow module.

Let R be a ring and M be a left R -module. If $X \subseteq M$, then $X \leq M$, $X \not\leq M$, $X \ll M$, $X \ll_T M$ and $\text{Rad}_T M$ denote X is a submodule of M , X is a proper submodule of M , X is a small submodule of M , X is a T -small submodule of M and the T -radical of M , respectively.

2-The T -Radical of a module

In this section, we develop the basic properties of the T -maximal submodules and the T -Radical of a module M . Following [6], let T, K be submodules of a module M . K is T -maximal submodule of M if $(T+K)/K$ is simple. The intersection of all T -maximal submodules of M is denoted by $\text{Rad}_T M$.

Proposition 2.1. Let M be a module and A, T be submodules of M such that $A \not\subseteq T$. Then A is T -maximal submodule of M if and only if $A+T = A+Rx$, for every $x \in A+T$ and $x \notin A$.

Proof. \rightarrow) Suppose that A is T -maximal submodule of M . Let $x \in A+T$ and $x \notin A$. Then $A \subsetneq A+Rx \subseteq A+T$. But $A+T/A$ is simple, then $A+T = A+Rx$.

\leftarrow) Suppose that $A+T = A+Rx$, for every $x \in A+T$ and $x \notin A$. To show that $A+T/A$ is simple. Let N be submodule of $A+T$ such that $A \subsetneq N$. Then there is $x \in N$ and $x \notin A$. Therefore $A \subsetneq A+Rx \subseteq N \subseteq A+T$. Thus $N = A+T$.

Theorem 2.2. Let N and T be submodules of a module M such that T is finitely generated and $T \not\subseteq N$. Then there is a T -maximal submodule of M containing N .

Proof. Let N and T be submodules of M such that T is finitely generated and $T \not\subseteq N$. Consider the set $S = \{K \mid K \text{ is a submodule of } M \text{ such that } T \not\subseteq K \text{ and } N \subseteq K\}$. Since $T \not\subseteq N$, then $N \in S$. Thus $S \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a chain in S . To show that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha \in S$. Clearly $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$ is submodule of M and $N \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$. To show that $T \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$. Assume that $T \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$. Let $T = Rm_1 + Rm_2 + \dots + Rm_n \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$, $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in T$. Hence there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $m_1 \in C_{\alpha_1}, m_2 \in C_{\alpha_2}, \dots, m_n \in C_{\alpha_n}$. Let $C_{\alpha_j} = \max\{C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, \dots, C_{\alpha_n}\}$. Thus $T \subseteq C_{\alpha_j}$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha \in S$. By Zorn's Lemma S has maximal element, say H .

Claim that H is a T -maximal submodule of M . To show that. Since $H \in S$, then $T \not\subseteq H$ and hence $H+T/H \neq 0$. Now let $W \leq H+T$ such that $H \not\subseteq W \leq H+T$. Then by maximality of H , $W \notin S$ and hence $T \subseteq W$. So $W = W+T$ and hence $W = H+T$. Thus H is a T -maximal submodule of M containing N .

Proposition 2.3. Let T, K be submodules of a module M . If K is a T -maximal submodule of M , then K is A -maximal submodule of M , for all submodule A of T such that $A \not\subseteq K$.

Proof. Let K be a T -maximal submodule of M and let A be a submodule of T such that $A \not\subseteq K$. Since $A \subseteq T$, then $(K+A/K) \subseteq (K+T/K)$. But $K+T/K$ is simple. Therefore either $K+A/K = 0$ and hence $A \subseteq K$ which is a contradiction, or $(K+A/K) = (K+T/K)$. Since K is T -maximal, then $K+A/K$ is simple. Thus K is A -maximal submodule of M .

Proposition 2.4. Let A and B be submodules of a module M such that $M = A+B$. Then A is a B -maximal submodule of M if and only if A is a maximal submodule of M .

Proof. \rightarrow) Let A be a B -maximal submodule of M . Then $A+B/A$ is simple and hence M/A is simple. Thus A is a maximal submodule of M .

\leftarrow) Let A be maximal submodule of M , then $(M/A) = (A+B/A)$ is simple. Thus A is a B -maximal submodule of M .

Proposition 2.5. Let A and B be submodules of a module M such that $M = A \oplus B$ and B is simple. Then A is a B -maximal submodule of M .

Proof. Let $M = A \oplus B$ where B is simple. By the second isomorphism theorem, $(A+B/A) \cong (B/A \cap B) \cong B$. But B is simple, therefore $A+B/A$ is simple. Thus A is a B -maximal submodule of M .

Proposition 2.6. Let T, K be submodules of a module M . Then $T \cap K$ is a T -maximal submodule of M if and only if K is a T -maximal submodule of M .

Proof. \rightarrow) Suppose that $T \cap K$ is a T -maximal submodule of M . Then $(T + (T \cap K))/(T \cap K) = T/T \cap K$ is simple. By the second isomorphism theorem $(T/T \cap K) \cong (T+K/K)$. Hence $T+K/K$ is simple. Thus K is a T -maximal submodule of M .

\leftarrow) Suppose that K is T -maximal submodule of M . Then $T+K/K$ is simple. By the second isomorphism theorem, $(T+K/K) \cong (T/T \cap K) = (T+(T \cap K)/T \cap K)$. Hence $T+(T \cap K)/(T \cap K)$ is simple. Thus $T \cap K$ is a T -maximal submodule of M .

Proposition 2.7. Let T be a finitely generated submodule of a module M and $a \in M$. Then $T \subseteq Ra$ if and only if a belong to no T -maximal submodule of M .

Proof. \rightarrow) Assume that there exists a T -maximal submodule K of M such that $a \in K$. Then $Ra \subseteq K$. But $T \subseteq Ra$, therefore $T \subseteq Ra \subseteq K$. Thus $T+K/K = 0$ which is a contradiction.

\leftarrow) Suppose that $a \in M$ and a belong to no T -maximal submodule of M . To show that $T \subseteq Ra$. Assume not. By Theorem 2.2, there exists a T -maximal submodule K of M such that $Ra \subseteq K$. Thus $a \in K$ which is a contradiction.

Proposition 2.8. Let $0 \neq T$ be a finitely generated ideal of a ring R and let a be an idempotent element of R . Then either a or $1-a$ belong to a T -maximal ideal of R .

Proof. Assume not. Then for all T -maximal ideal M of R , $a \notin M$ and $1-a \notin M$. By proposition 2.7, $T \subseteq Ra$ and $T \subseteq R(1-a)$. Hence $T \subseteq Ra \cap R(1-a)$. But a is an idempotent element, therefore $Ra \cap R(1-a) = 0$. So $T = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.9. Let T be a finitely generated submodule of a module M and $a \in M$. Then Ra is not T -small submodule of M if and only if there is a T -maximal submodule N of M such that $a \notin N$ and $T \subseteq Ra + N$.

Proof. \rightarrow) Suppose that Ra is not T -small submodule of M , then there exists $K \leq M$ such that $T \subseteq Ra + K$ and $T \not\subseteq K$. Now Let $F = \{ N \mid N \leq M \text{ and } T \subseteq Ra + N, T \not\subseteq N \}$. Clearly that $K \in F$ and hence $F \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a chain in F . To show that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha \in F$. Clearly $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$ is submodule of M and $T \subseteq Ra + \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$. To show that $T \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$. Assume that $T \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$. Since T is finitely generated, then $T = Rm_1 + Rm_2 + \dots + Rm_n$, $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in T$ and hence there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $m_1 \in C_{\alpha_1}, m_2 \in C_{\alpha_2}, \dots, m_n \in C_{\alpha_n}$. Let $C_{\alpha_j} = \max\{C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, \dots, C_{\alpha_n}\}$. Thus $T \subseteq C_{\alpha_j}$ which is a contradiction. By Zorn's lemma F has a maximal element, say H .

Claim that H is a T -maximal submodule of M . Since $H \in F$, then $T \not\subseteq H$ and hence $H+T/H \neq 0$. Now, let $W \leq M$ such that $H \subsetneq W \leq H+T$. Since $T \subseteq Ra + H \subseteq Ra + W$ and H is the maximal element of F , then $W \notin F$ and hence $T \subseteq W$ implies $W \subseteq H+T \subseteq W+T = W$. So $W = H+T$. Thus H is a T -maximal submodule of M . Since $T \subseteq Ra + H$ and $T \not\subseteq H$, then $Ra + H \neq H$ and hence $a \notin H$.

\leftarrow) Suppose that there exists a T -maximal submodule N of M with $a \notin N$ and $T \subseteq Ra + N$. Since $T+N/N$ is simple, then $T \not\subseteq N$. Thus Ra is not T -small submodule of M .

Before we give our next result, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. [6] Let M be a right R -module and $0 \neq T$ be a proper finitely generated submodule of M . Then $\sum_{L \in A} L = \bigcap_{K \in B} K$, where $A = \{L \leq M \mid L \ll_T M \text{ and } L+K \subseteq T+K, \text{ for all } T\text{-maximal submodule } K \text{ of } M\}$ and $B = \{K \leq M \mid K \text{ is an } T\text{-maximal submodule of } M\}$.

Theorem 2.11. Let T be a submodule of a module M . Then $\sum_{L \in A} L = \sum_{L \in A_1} L$, where $A = \{L \leq M \mid L \ll_T M \text{ and } L+K \subseteq T+K, \text{ for all } T\text{-maximal submodule } K \text{ of } M\}$ and $A_1 = \{L \leq M \mid L \ll_T M \text{ and either } L \subseteq K \text{ or } L+K = T+K, \text{ for all } T\text{-maximal submodule } K \text{ of } M\}$.

Proof. Let $L \in A$. Then $L \ll_T M$ and $L+K \subseteq T+K$ for all T -maximal submodule K of M . Then $L+K/K \subseteq T+K/K$. Since K is a T -maximal submodule of M , then $T+K/K$ is simple and hence either $L+K/K = 0$ implies that $L \subseteq K$ or $L+K/K = T+K/K$ implies that $L+K = T+K$. Therefore $L \in A_1$.

Now, let $L \in A_1$. Then $L \ll_T M$. Let K be a T -maximal submodule of M . Then either $L \subseteq K$ and hence $L+K = K \subseteq T+K$ or $L+K = T+K$. Therefore $L \in A$.

Proposition 2.12. Let T be a finitely generated submodule of a module M and $m \in M$ such that $Rm + K \subseteq T+K$, for all T -maximal submodule K of M . Then $Rm \ll_T M$ if and only if $m \in \text{Rad}_T M$.

Proof. \rightarrow) Suppose that $Rm \ll_T M$ and $Rm + K \subseteq T+K$, for all K is a T -maximal submodule of M . By Theorem 2.9. [6], $Rm \subseteq A$ and hence $Rm \subseteq \text{Rad}_T M$.

\leftarrow) Let $m \in \text{Rad}_T M$. To show that $Rm \ll_T M$. Assume that Rm is not T -small submodule of M . By Theorem 2.9., then there exists a T -maximal submodule K of M with $m \notin K$ which is a contradiction. Thus Rm is a T -small submodule of M .

Proposition 2.13. Let M be a module. Then $\text{Rad}_T M \ll_T M$ if and only if The sum of any family of submodules of M $\{C_\alpha$; Where $C_\alpha \ll_T M$ and $C_\alpha + K \subseteq T+K$, for all T -maximal submodule K of M is T -small in M .

Proof. \rightarrow) Assume that $\text{Rad}_T M \ll_T M$. Let $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of T -small submodules of M with $C_\alpha + K \subseteq T+K$, for all T -maximal submodule K of M . Since $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha \subseteq \text{Rad}_T M$ and $\text{Rad}_T M \ll_T M$, then $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha \ll_T M$.

←) Clear by Theorem 2.9.[6].

3- The T-hollow module .

In this section , we develop the basic properties of the T-hollow module .

Definition 3.1.

Let M be a non-zero module and T be a submodule of M .We say that M is a T-hollow module if every submodule K of M such that $T \not\subseteq K$ is a T-small submodule of M .

Remarks 3.2. (a)

Let M be a non-zero module .Then M is M-hollow module if and only if M is hollow module .Proof. Clear .

It is known that Z as Z -module is not hollow module .Then Z is not Z -hollow module .

(b) A T-hollow module need not to be hollow module as the following example shows :

Consider the module Z_6 as Z -module .If $T = \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$, then one can easily show Z_6 is T-hollow module . But Z_6 is not hollow module .

Proposition 3.3. Let M be a module with submodules $K \leq T \leq L \leq M$.If $K \ll_T M$, then $K \ll_T L$.

Proof. Suppose that $K \ll_T M$.To show that $K \ll_T L$.Let $T \subseteq K+X$ for some $X \leq L$.Since $K \ll_T M$, then $T \subseteq X$.Thus $K \ll_T L$.

Proposition 3.4. Let M be a T-hollow module and let N be a non-zero submodule of M such that $T \subseteq N$.Then N is a T-hollow module .

Proof.Let M be a T-hollow module .To show that N is T-hollow module ,let L be a proper Submodule of N such that $T \not\subseteq L$.Since M be a T-hollow module ,then $L \ll_T M$.By proposition 3.4., then $L \ll_T N$.Thus N is T-hollow module .

Proposition 3.5 Let M be a T-hollow module and let $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism ,where M' is a non -zero module .Then M' is $f(T)$ -hollow module .

Proof . Suppose that M is a T-hollow module and let $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism .To show that M' is $f(T)$ -hollow .Let $N' \not\subseteq M'$ such that $f(T) \not\subseteq N'$.To show that $N' \ll_{f(T)} M'$.Let $f(T) \subseteq N' + X$,for some $X \leq M'$.Then $f^{-1}(f(T)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N' + X)$.Therefore $T + \text{Ker } f \subseteq f^{-1}(N') + f^{-1}(X)$.Thus $T \subseteq f^{-1}(N') + f^{-1}(X)$.To show that $T \not\subseteq f^{-1}(N')$.Assume $T \subseteq f^{-1}(N')$.Then $f(T) \subseteq N'$ which is a contradiction .Thus $T \not\subseteq f^{-1}(N')$.Since M is T-hollow module ,then $f^{-1}(N') \ll_T M$ and hence $T \subseteq f^{-1}(X)$.Therefore $f(T) \subseteq X$.Thus M' is $f(T)$ -hollow module .

Proposition 3.6. Let T and K be submodules of a module M such that $K \subseteq T$. If K is T-small submodule of M and M/K is T/K -hollow module ,then M is T-hollow .

Proof . Assume that $K \ll_T M$ and M/K is T/K -hollow module .We want to show that M is T-hollow. Let $N \leq M$ such that $T \not\subseteq N$ and let $T \subseteq N+X$ for some $X \leq M$.Then $T/K \subseteq (N+X)/K$ and hence $T/K \subseteq (N+K)/K + (X+K)/K$.To show that $T/K \not\subseteq N+K/K$.Assume that $T/K \subseteq N+K/K$.Then $T = N+K$ and hence $T \subseteq N+K$.Since $K \ll_T M$,then $T \subseteq N$ which is a contradiction .Thus $T/K \not\subseteq N+K/K$.Since M/K is a T/K -hollow module, then $N+K/K \ll_{T/K} M/K$.Therefore $T/K \subseteq X+K/K$.Thus $T \subseteq X+K$.Since $K \ll_T M$,then $T \subseteq X$.Thus M is T-hollow module .

Before we give our next result ,we need the following proposition .

Proposition 3.7 [6]. Let M be an R -module with submodules $N \leq K \leq M$ and $T \leq K$.If $N \ll_T K$, then $N \ll_T M$.

Proposition 3.8. Let L and T be a submodules of a module M with $T \subseteq L$.If L is T-hollow module , then either L is a T-small submodule of M or whenever $L/K \ll_{T/K} M/K$, for some submodule $K \leq L$ with $T \not\subseteq K$,then $L=K$.But not both .

Proof. Suppose that there exists $K \subseteq L$ with $T \not\subseteq K$ and $L/K \ll_{T/K} M/K$.To show that $L \ll_T M$.Let $T \subseteq L+X$,for some $X \leq M$.Since L is T-hollow and $T \not\subseteq K$,then $K \ll_T L$.By proposition 3.8.[6] ,then $K \ll_T M$.By Theorem 2.16.[6] ,therefore $L \ll_T M$.

Now, let L be a T-hollow module and satisfies the condition 1 and 2.Assume $L \neq 0$.Since $L \ll_T M$,then $L/0 \ll_{T/0} M/0$.By condition 2 , $L = 0$ which is a contradiction .

Proposition 3.9. Let T be a finitely generated submodule of a module M .If M is a T-hollow module ,then T is cyclic.

Proof. Let $T = Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$, for $x_i \in M$, $\forall i=1, \dots, n$.Then $T \subseteq Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$.If $T \neq Rx_1$, then $T \not\subseteq Rx_1$.Since M is a T-hollow module ,then $Rx_1 \ll_T M$ and hence $T \subseteq Rx_2 + Rx_3 + \dots + Rx_n$.Therefore $T = Rx_2 + Rx_3 + \dots + Rx_n$.So, we delete the component one by one until we have $T = Rx_i$, for some i .Thus T is cyclic .

Proposition 3.10. Let M be a module with unique T -maximal submodule H , where T is a finitely generated submodule of M . Then M is T -hollow.

Proof. Suppose that M has a unique T -maximal submodule H , where T is a finitely generated submodule of M . To show that M is T -hollow module. Let L be a proper submodule of M such that $T \not\subseteq L$. To show that $L \ll_T M$, let $T \subseteq L + K$, for some $K \leq M$. If $T \not\subseteq K$, then there is a T -maximal containing K . By Theorem 2.2. But H is the unique T -maximal in M , then $K \subseteq H$. Since $T \not\subseteq L$, then by the same way $L \subseteq H$ and hence $L + K \subseteq H$. Therefore $T \subseteq L + K \subseteq H$ and hence $T + H/H$ is simple. This is a contradiction. So $T \subseteq K$ and $L \ll_T M$. Thus M is T -hollow module.

Proposition 3.11. Let T be a non-zero submodule of a module M . If M is T -hollow module. Then T is indecomposable.

Proof. Suppose that there are proper submodules K and L of T such that $T = K \oplus L$. Therefore $T \not\subseteq K$. Since M is T -hollow module, then $K \ll_T M$. But $T \subseteq K \oplus L$, therefore $T \subseteq L$ and hence $T = L$. This is a contradiction. Thus T is indecomposable.

Proposition 3.12. Let N and T be submodules of a module M such that $N \not\subseteq T$. If M is T -hollow module and T/N is finitely generated, then T is finitely generated.

Proof. Let $T/N = R(x_1+N) + R(x_2+N) + \dots + R(x_n+N)$, $x_i \in T, \forall i=1, \dots, n$. Clearly $Rx_i \subseteq T, \forall i=1, \dots, n$ and hence $Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n \subseteq T$. To show that $T \subseteq Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$. Let $t \in T$. Since T/N is finitely generated, then $t + N = r_1(x_1+N) + r_2(x_2+N) + \dots + r_n(x_n+N) = (r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + \dots + r_nx_n) + N$ and hence $t = (r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + \dots + r_nx_n) + n$, for some $n \in N$. Therefore $T = (Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n) + N$. Since $N \not\subseteq T$, then $T \not\subseteq N$. But M is T -hollow module, so $N \ll_T M$. Therefore $T \subseteq Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$. Thus $T = Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$.

References

1. Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K. R. **1974**. *Rings and categories of modules*, New York : Springer-Verlag.
2. Lam, T.Y. **1991**. *A first course in noncommutative rings*, New York : Springer-Verlag.
3. Lam, T.Y. **1999**. *Lectures on modules and rings*, Graduate Texts in Math. New York-Heidelberg Berlin : Springer-Verlag.
4. Amouzegar-Kalati, T. and Keskin-Tutuncu, D. **2013**. Annihilators small submodules. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.*, **39**(6): 1053-1063.
5. Talebi, Y. and Hosseinpour, M. **2013**. Generalizations of δ -lifting modules. *J. Algebraic Systems*, **1**(1): 67-77.
6. Beyranvand, R. and Moradi, F. **2015**. Small submodules with respect to an arbitrary submodule. *Journal of Algebra and Related Topics*, **3**(2): 43-51.