



ISSN: 0067-2904

## Jordan Permuting 3-Derivations of Prime Rings

Anwar K. Faraj\*, Sabreen J.Shareef

Branch of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Applied Science Department, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq.

### Abstract

The main purpose of this work is to generalize Daif's result by introducing the concept of Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation on Lie ideal and generalize these result by introducing the concept of generalized Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation.

**Keywords::** Jordan Permuting 3-derivation, Lie ideal, Prime ring,

### حول تعميم المشتقات جوردان الثلاثية التبادلية للحلقات الاولية على مثالي لي

انوار خليل فرج\*, صابرين جاسب شريف

فرع الرياضيات وتطبيقات الحاسوب، قسم العلوم التطبيقية، الجامعة التكنولوجية، بغداد، العراق.

### الخلاصة

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو تعميم نتائج Daifs وذلك بتقديم مفهوم المشتقات جوردان الثلاثية التبادلية  $(\alpha, \beta)$  على مثالي لي وتعميم هذه النتائج باعطاء مفهوم تعميم مشتقات جوردان الثلاثية التبادلية.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, Let  $R$  be a ring not necessarily with an identity element. A ring  $R$  is said to be prime ring if  $aRb = (0)$  implies that  $a=0$  or  $b=0$  such that  $a, b \in R$  [1]. A ring  $R$  is said to be semiprime ring if  $aRa = (0)$  implies that  $a=0$  such that  $a \in R$  [2].

An additive mapping  $d$  from a ring  $R$  into  $R$  is called a derivation (resp. Jordan derivation) of  $R$  if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + x d(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . (resp.  $d(x^2) = d(x)x + x d(x)$ ) [3]. Every derivation is Jordan derivation but the converse is not true in general [4].

A ring  $R$  is said to be  $n$ -torsion-free where  $n \neq 0$  is an integer number if whenever  $na=0$  with  $a \in R$ , than  $a=0$  [1].

Additive subgroub  $U \subseteq R$  is called a Lie ideal of  $R$  if whenever  $u \in U, r \in R$  and  $[u, r] \in U$  [1]. The concept of a symmetric bi-derivation has been introduced by Maksa in [5] by a bi-derivation we mean a bi-additive map  $d : R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that if  $d(xy, z) = d(x, z)y + xd(y, z)$ ,  $d(x, yz) = d(x, y)z + yd(x, z)$ . Daif prove Jordan derivation is derivation [6]. 2007, Yong-See and Kyoo-Hong introduced the concept of permuting 3-derivation and use concept centerlizing and commuting [7]. In this paper we introduce the concept of Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation to generalize Daif's result and generalized Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation and prove every  $(\alpha, \beta)$  Jordan permuting 3-derivation is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation. and generalize the result by introduce the concept generalize  $(\alpha, \beta)$  Jordan permuting 3-derivation.

\*Email: anwar 78\_2004@yahoo.com

**2. Preliminaries**

The following lemma is basic to get the main results.

**Lemma(2.1) [ 8]**

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let a,b ∈ R. If for all x ∈ R the relation holds, then axb = bxa = 0 is fulfilled for all x ∈ R( axb + bxa = 0 , axb =0 = bxa =0.

**3. The main results**

we introduce the concept of (α, β) Jordan permuting 3-derivation.

**Definition (3.1) :**

A 3- additive mapping d: R × R × R →R is called (α, β) Jordan permuting 3-derivation if d(x<sup>2</sup>, y, z) = d(x, y, z) α(x) + β(x) d(x, y, z) for all x,y,z ∈ R where α and β are endomorphisms of R .

If α and β are the identity mappings then the definition of (α, β) Jordan 3-derivation and the definition Jordan 3-derivation is equal .

**Example (3.2):**

Let S be a commutative ring and  $R = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in S \}$  and  $U = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in S \}$  be a Lie ideal of R. Define d: U × U × U →R by  $d(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} ace & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , for all  $(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \in U$ .

Let α, β are endomorphism define by  $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\beta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ , for all  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$

Then d is Jordan (α, β) 3- derivation .

**Lemma (3. 3) :**

Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and d : U × U × U → R be (α, β) a Jordan permuting 3-derivation where α and β endomrphsim of R then for all a,b,x ∈ R the following statements ara hold:

- i – d(ab + ba , x, y) = d(a, x, y) α(b) + β(a) d(b, x, y) + d(b, x, y) α(a) + β(b) d(a, x, y)
- ii – d(aba , x, y) = d(a, x, y) α(ba) + β(a) d(b, x, y) α(a) + β(ab) d(a, x, y)
- ii – d(abc + cba , x, y) = d(a, x, y) α(bc) + β(a) d(b, x, y) α(c) + β(a) β(b) d(c, x, y) + d(c, x, y) α(ba) + β(c) d(b, x, y) α(a) + β(cb) d(a, x, y) .

Proof :

Since d is a Jordan (α, β) -3-derivation , by Definition(3.1)

$$d(a^2, x, y) = d(a, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(a) d(a, x, y), \text{ for all } a, x, y \in U \tag{1}$$

Replace a by a+b in equation (1) and by using it , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d((a+b)^2, x, y) &= d(a+b, x, y) \alpha(a+b) + \beta(a+b) d(a+b, x, y) \\ &= d(a, x, y) \alpha(a) + d(a, x, y) \alpha(b) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(a, x, y) + \\ &\beta(b) d(a, x, y) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) + \beta(b) d(b, x, y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U. \dots\dots \tag{2} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand , since d is 3-additive , we get

$$d((a+b)^2, x, y) = d(a^2 + ab + ba + b^2, x, y) = d(a^2, x, y) + d(ab + ba, x, y) + d(b^2, x, y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R \tag{3}$$

Compring (2) and (3) and since d is Jordan (α, β) -3- derivation we get

$$d(ab + ba , x, y) = d(a, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(b) d(a, x, y)$$

for all x, y, z ∈ U .

(ii) Consider w = d(a (ab+ ba) + ( ab+ ba) a , x, y) and by using (1) we get

$$w = d(a, x, y) \alpha(ab + ba) + \beta(a) d((ab + ba), x, y) + d(ab + ba, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab + ba) d(a, x, y) .$$

Again by (i) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} w &= d(a, x, y) \alpha(ab) + d(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a)( d(a, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(b) \\ &d(a, x, y)) + (d(a, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(b) d(a, x, y)) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a, x, y) + \\ &\beta(ba) d(a, x, y) . \\ &= d(a, x, y) \alpha(ab) + d(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(a, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a)^2 d(b, x, y) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) \\ &d(a, x, y) + d(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a^2) + \beta(b) d(a, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a, x, y) + \\ &\beta(ba) d(a, x, y) . \end{aligned}$$

$$= d(a, x, y) \alpha(ab) + 2 d(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(a, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a)^2 d(b, x, y) + 2 \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + 2 \beta(ab) d(a, x, y) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a^2) + \beta(b) d(a, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ba) d(a, x, y) . \tag{4}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &= d((a^2b + ba^2) + 2aba, x, y) \\
 &= d(a^2, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a^2) d(b, x, y) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a^2) + \beta(b) d(a^2, x, y) + 2d(aba, x, y) \\
 &= d(a, x, y) \alpha(ab) + \beta(a) d(a, x, y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a^2) d(b, x, y) + d(b, x, y) \alpha(a^2) \\
 &+ \beta(b) d(a, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ba) d(a, x, y) + 2d(aba, x, y) \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

By compring equation (4) and equation (5) and since R is 2-torsion free we get  $d(aba, x, y) = d(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a, x, y)$ , for all  $a, b, x, y \in R$ .

(iii) By replacing  $a+c$  instead of  $a$  in (ii) and using it, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d((a+c)b(a+c), x, y) = \\
 &d((a+c), x, y) \alpha(b(a+c)) + \beta((a+c)b) d(a+c, x, y) + \beta(a+c) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a+c) . \\
 &= d(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + d(a, x, y) \alpha(bc) + d(c, x, y) \alpha(ba) + d(c, x, y) \alpha(bc) + \beta(ab) d(a, x, y) + \beta(ab) d(c, x, y) \\
 &+ \beta(cb) d(a, x, y) + \beta(cb) d(c, x, y) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(c) d(b, x, y) \alpha(c) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(c) + \beta(c) \\
 &d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) \dots \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $d$  is 3-derivation and use (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d((a+c)b(a+c), x, y) = \\
 &d(aba + cbc + abc + cba, x, y) = d(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a, x, y) + d(c, x, y) \alpha(bc) \\
 &+ \beta(c) d(b, x, y) \alpha(c) + \beta(cb) d(c, x, y) + d(abc + cba, x, y) \dots \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

By compring (6) and (7) we get

$$d(abc + cba, x, y) = d(a, x, y) \alpha(bc) + d(c, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(ab) d(c, x, y) + \beta(cb) d(a, x, y) + \beta(a) d(b, x, y) \alpha(c) + \beta(c) d(b, x, y) \alpha(a) .$$

**Lemma (3.4):**

Let R be a 2- torsion free ring and  $d: U \times U \times U \rightarrow R$  be a Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  -3- derivation . Then for all elements  $a, b, x, y, z \in U$ .

$$(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, b] + \beta[a, b] \beta(x) ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z)) = 0 .$$

proof :

Consider  $w = d(abxba + baxab, y, z)$  .Using Lemma (3.3) item (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &= d(a(bxb)a + b(axa)b, y, z) \\
 w &= d(a, y, z) \alpha(bxba) + \beta(a) d(bxb, y, z) \alpha(a) + \beta(a(bxb)) d(a, y, z) + d(b, y, z) \alpha(axab) + \beta(b) d(axa, y, z) \\
 &\alpha(b) + \beta(baxa) d(b, y, z) \\
 &= d(a, y, z) \alpha(bxba) + \beta(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(xba) + \beta(a) \beta(b) d(x, y, z) \alpha(b) \alpha(a) + \beta(a) \beta(bx) d(b, y, z) \alpha(a) + \\
 &\beta(abxb) d(a, y, z) + d(b, y, z) \alpha(axab) + \beta(b) d(a, y, z) \alpha(xa) \alpha(b) + \beta(b) \beta(a) d(x, y, z) \alpha(a) \alpha(b) + \beta(b) \\
 &\beta(ax) d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) + \beta(baxa) d(b, y, z) + \beta(a) \beta(b) d(x, y, z) \alpha(b) \alpha(a) \\
 &= d(a, y, z) \alpha(bxba) + \beta(a) \{d(b, y, z) \alpha(xb) + \beta(bx) d(b, y, z)\} \alpha(a) + \beta(abx) d(a, y, z) + d(b, y, z) \alpha(axab) \\
 &+ \beta(b) \{d(a, y, z) \alpha(xa) + \beta(ax) d(a, y, z)\} \alpha(b) + \beta(baxa) d(b, y, z) \\
 &+ \beta(b) \beta(a) d(x, y, z) \alpha(a) \alpha(b) . \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

On other hand , by using Lemma (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &= d((ab)x(ba) + (ba)x(ab), y, z) \\
 &= d(ab, y, z) \alpha(x) \alpha(ba) + \beta(ab) d(x, y, z) \alpha(ba) + \beta(abx) d(ba, y, z) + d(ba, y, z) \alpha(xab) + \beta(ba) d(x, y, z) \\
 &\alpha(ab) + \beta(bax) d(ab, y, z) \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing equation (1) and equation (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= (d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(xba) + \beta(bax) (d(ab, y, z) \\
 &- d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z)) + (d(ba, y, z) - d(b, y, z) \alpha(a) - \beta(b) d(a, y, z)) \alpha(xab) \\
 &+ \beta(abx) (d(ba, y, z) - d(b, y, z) \alpha(a) - \beta(b) d(a, y, z)) \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (3.3.3) equation (3) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= (d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(xba) + \beta(bax) (d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \\
 &\alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z) - (d(ba, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(b) d(a, y, z) \alpha(xab) - \beta(abx) d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \\
 &\alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b, y, z)) \dots \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

That is ,

$$0 = (d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, b] + \beta[a, b] \beta(x) ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b, y, z)). for every  $x, y, z \in U$  .$$

**Theorem (3.5) :**

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $d: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  be a Jordan  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  3-derivation then  $d$  is  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  3-derivation , where  $\alpha$  is an automorphsim such that  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ .

**Proof :**

In Lemma (3.4) take  $\alpha = \beta$  , then

$$(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, b] + \alpha[a, b] \alpha(x) ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, b]) = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, x, z \in R$$

Using Lemma (2.1) to get .

$$(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, b] = 0 \tag{1}$$

Linearize equation (1) and put  $b = b + c$  , then

$$0 = ((d(a(b+c), y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b+c) - \alpha(a) d(b+c, y, z) \alpha(x)) \alpha[a, b+c]) \\ 0 = (d(ab, y, z) + (d(ac, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z)) \alpha(x) (\alpha[a+b] + \alpha[c]))$$

By using (1) , the last equation can be reduced to

$$0 = ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) [a, c] + ((d(ac, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z)) \alpha(x) [a, b]) \tag{2}$$

Hence, by using equation (2), we get

$$0 = ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, c]) + ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, c])$$

$$= - ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, c]) + ((d(ac, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, b])$$

By equation (1) , we get the quation equal zero

$$- ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, c]) + (d(ac, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, b] = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c, x, y, z \in R.$$

Since R is semiprime we get

$$(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, c]) = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c, x, y, z \in U. \tag{3}$$

Alinearization of equation (3) on a give such that  $a = a + h$

$$0 = (d(a+h, y, z) - d(a+h, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a+h) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x)) \alpha[a+h, c] \\ = ((d(ab, y, z) + d(hb, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - d(h, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - \alpha(h) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) (\alpha[a, c] + \alpha[h, c]))$$

By using (3) the last equation can be written as

$$(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[h, c] + (d(hb, y, z) - d(h, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(h) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[a, c] = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, x, y, z, h \in R. \tag{4}$$

Multiply equation (4) by  $t(d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b))$  frome right to get

$$(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[h, c] + t(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[h, c] = 0$$

Since  $\alpha$  is automorphsime and R is semiprime , we get

$$(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x) \alpha[h, c] = 0 \text{ for all } a, b, c, x, y, z \in R \tag{5}$$

In particular,

$$[d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b), c] x [d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b), c] \\ = (d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b)) cx [d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b), c] - c \\ (d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b)) x [d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b), c] = 0 \text{ ( by equation (1)).}$$

Since R is semiprime, then

$$[d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b), R] = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c, y, z \in R.$$

Hence by Lemma (2.1)

$$(d(ab, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b)) \in Z(R) \tag{6}$$

Notice that

$$2(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z))^2 = ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \{ d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - (d(ba, y, z) - d(b, y, z) \alpha(a) - \alpha(b) d(a, y, z)) \} ) \tag{7}$$

$$= (d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) d([a, b], y, z) \text{ (by equation (6) and equation (5))} \tag{8}$$

$$\text{Since } \alpha[a, b] ((d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) + (d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z))) \alpha[a, b] = 0.$$

By Lemma (3.3)

$$0 = d([a, b], y, z) \alpha(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z), y, z) + \alpha([a, b] d(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z), y, z) + d((ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z), y, z) \alpha([a, b]) + \alpha(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) d([a, b], y, z)) = 2 d([a, b], y, z) \alpha(d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z), y, z)$$

$$\alpha(a) d(b,y,z) + \alpha([a,b],y,z) d(d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z)) + d(d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z)) \alpha([a,b],y,z) \\ = 4 \alpha(d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z)) + \alpha([a,b]) \alpha(d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z)) + \alpha(d(d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z))) \alpha([a,b])$$

Multiply the last equation by  $\alpha(d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z))$  from right= 4  $\alpha(d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z))$ <sup>3</sup>

Since R 2-torsion free semiprime ring, we get

$$d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z) = 0, \text{ for all } a,b,y,z \in R.$$

This is, d is  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  permuting 3- derivation.

**4. Generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  Jordan permuting 3-derivation**

We generalize the results in the above.

**Definition (4.1) :**

A 3- additive mapping  $F: U \times U \times U \rightarrow R$  is called generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  Jordan permuting 3-derivation if there exist a Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation  $d: U \times U \times U \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(x^2, y, z) = F(x, y, z) \alpha(x) + \beta(x) d(x, y, z)$  for all  $x,y,z \in U$ .

**Example (4.2) :**

Let R be a ring of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a commutative ring S. Let  $U = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in S \}$  be a Lie ideal of  $R = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b,c \in S \}$ .

Define  $F: U \times U \times U \rightarrow R$  by

$$F \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & bdf \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \in U. \text{ Also } \alpha, \beta: R \rightarrow R \text{ are defined by } \alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in U \text{ and } \beta \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in U.$$

Then F is generalized Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3- derivation ,since there exists  $(\alpha, \beta)$  a permuting 3-derivation d wich is defined as Example(3.2).

**Lemma (4.3) :**

Let R be a 2-torsion free ring and  $F: U \times U \times U \rightarrow R$  be a generalized Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation then for all  $a,b,x \in U$  the following statements areholds:

- i-  $F(ab + ba, x, y) = F(a,x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) + F(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(b) d(a,x,y)$
- ii-  $F(aba, x, y) = F(a, x, y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a,x,y)$
- iii-  $F(abc + cba, x,y) = F(a, x, y) \alpha(bc) + F(c, x,y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(ab) d(c,x,y) + \beta(cb) d(a,x,y) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) \alpha(c) + \alpha(c) d(b,x,y) \alpha(a).$

**Proof :**

i. Since F is a generaliazed Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3-derivation , Then

$$F(a^2, x, y) = F(a, x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(a) d(a, x,y), \text{ for all } a, x, y \in U. \dots\dots (1)$$

Replace a by a+b in equation (1) and by using it , we get

$$F((a + b)^2, x, y) = F(a^2 + ab +ba + b^2, x, y) = F(a^2, x, y) + F(ab +ba, x, y) + F(b^2, x, y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U. \dots\dots (2)$$

On the other hand , since d is 3-additive , we get

$$F((a + b)^2, x,y) = F(a+b, x,y) \alpha(a+b) + \beta(a+b) d(a+b,x,y) \\ = F(a, x,y) \alpha(a) + F(a, x,y) \alpha(b) + F(b, x,y) \alpha(a) + F(b, x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(a,x,y) + \beta(b) d(a,x,y) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) + \beta(b) d(b,x,y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U. \dots\dots (3)$$

Compring (2) and (3) and since F is generalized Jordan  $(\alpha, \beta)$  permuting 3- derivation we get

$$F(ab + ba, x, y) = F(a,x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) + F(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(b) d(a,x,y) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U.$$

ii. Consider  $w = 4(F(a(ab+ ba) + (ab+ ba) a), x,y)$  and by using (i) we get

$$w = 4(F(a,x,y) \alpha(ab + ba) + \beta(a) d((ab + ba),x,y) + F(ab + ba,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab + ba) d(a, x,y) .$$

Again by (i) , we get

$$w = 4( F(a,x,y) \alpha(ab) + F(a,x,y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a)( d(a,x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) + d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(b) d(a,x,y) ) + (F(a,x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) + F(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(b) d(a,x,y)) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a,x,y) + \beta(ba) d(a,x,y)) = 4(F(a,x,y) \alpha(ab) + F(a,x,y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(a,x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a^2) d(b,x,y) + \beta(a)$$

$$d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a,x,y) + F(a,x,y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + F(b,x,y) \alpha(a^2) + \beta(b) d(a,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a,x,y) + \beta(ba) d(a,x,y) . \tag{4}$$

On the other hand

$$w = 4 F (( a^2 b + b a^2 ) + 2 aba ) , x, y) = 4( F(a^2,x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a^2) d(b,x,y) + F(b,x,y) \alpha(a^2) + \beta(b) d(a^2,x,y) + 2F(aba,x,y)) = 4(F(a,x,y) \alpha(ab) + \beta(a) d(a,x,y) \alpha(b) + \beta(a^2) d(b,x,y) + F(b,x,y) \alpha(a^2) + \beta(b) d(a,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ba) d(a,x,y) + 2 F( aba ,x,y) ) \dots \tag{5}$$

By compring equation (4) and equation (5) and since R is 2-torsion free we get

$$F(aba , x,y) = F(a,x,y) \alpha(ba) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(ab) d(a,x,y) , \text{ for all } a,b,x,y \in U .$$

iii. By replacing a+c instead of a in (ii) and using it, we get

$$F((a+c) b (a+c) ,x,y) = F((a+c),x,y) \alpha (b(a+c) ) + \beta ( (a+c) b) d(a+c , x,y) + \beta (a+c)d(b,x,y) \alpha (a+c) . \\ = F(a,x,y) \alpha(ba) + F(a,x,y) \alpha(bc) + F(c,x,y) \alpha (ba) + F (c,x,y) \alpha(bc) + \beta(a b) d(a,x,y) + \beta(ab) d(c,x,y) \\ + \beta(cb) d(a,x,y) + \beta (cb) d(c,x,y) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) + \beta(c) d(b,x,y) \alpha(c) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y) \alpha(c) + \beta(c) d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) \dots \tag{6}$$

On the other hand,

$$F ( (a+c) b (a+c),x,y) = F(aba + cbc + abc + cba ,x,y) \tag{7}$$

By compring (3) and (4) we get

$$F(abc + cba ,x,y) = F(a,x,y)\alpha (bc) + F(c, x,y) \alpha (ba) + \beta ( ab) d(c,x,y) \\ + \beta ( cb) d(a,x,y) + \beta(a) d(b,x,y)\alpha (c) + \beta (c)d(b,x,y) \alpha(a) .$$

**Lemma (4.4):**

Let R be a 2- torsion free ring and F: U × U × U → R be a generalized Jordan (α, β) permuting 3-derivation . Then for all elements a, b , x, y , z ∈ U .

$$( F(ab,y,z) - F(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z) \alpha(x) \alpha[a,b] + \beta [a,b] \beta(x) ((d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z)) = 0 .$$

proof :

Consider w = = 2<sup>4</sup>F(abxba + baxab ,y, z) .Using Lemma ( 4. 3) we obtain

$$w = 2^4 F(a(bxb)a + b(axa)b, y, z) \\ w = 2^4 ( F(a,y,z) \alpha(bxba) + \beta(a(bxb)) d(a,y,z) + \beta(a) d(bxb,y,z) \alpha(a) + d(b,y,z) \alpha(axab) + \beta(baxa) d(b,y,z) + \beta(b) d(axa,y,z) \alpha(b) \\ = 2^4 ( F(a,y,z) \alpha(bxba) + \beta(a) d(b,y,z) \alpha(xba) + \beta(a) \beta(b) d(x,y,z) \alpha(b) \alpha(a) + \beta(a) \beta(bx) d(b,y,z) \alpha(a) \\ + \beta(abxb) d(a,y,z) + F(b,y,z) \alpha(axab) + \beta(b) d(a,y,z) \alpha(xa) \alpha(b) + \beta(b) \beta(a) d(x,y,z) \alpha(a) \alpha(b) + \beta(b) \beta(ax) d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) + \beta(baxa) d(b,y,z) \\ = 2^4(F(a,y,z) \alpha(bxba) + \beta(a) \{d(b,y,z) \alpha(xb) + \beta(bx) d(b,y,z) \} \alpha(a) + \beta(abx) d(a,y,z) + F(b,y,z) \alpha(axab) + \beta(b) \{d(a,y,z) \alpha(xa) + \beta(ax) d(a,y,z) \} \alpha(b) + \beta(baxa) d(b,y,z) + \beta(ab) d(x,y,z) \alpha(ba) + \beta(b) \beta(a) d(x,y,z) \alpha(a) \alpha(b) . \dots \dots \dots \tag{1}$$

On other hand , by using Lemma (4. 3)

$$w = 2^4 F ((ab)x(ba) + (ba)x(ab),y,z) \\ = 2^4 F(ab,y,z) \alpha(x) \alpha(ba) + \beta(ab) d(x,y,z) \alpha(ba) + \beta(abx) d(ba,y,z) + F(ba,y,z) \alpha(xab) + \beta(ba) d(x,y,z) \alpha(ab) + \beta(bax) d(ab,y,z) + \alpha(ba) d(x,y,z) \alpha(ab) \tag{2}$$

Comparing equation (1) and equation (2) , and since R is 2-torsion free we get

$$0 = ( F(ab ,y,z) - F(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z) \alpha(xba) ) + \beta(bax) (d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z)) + ( F(ba , y,z) - F ( b,y,z) \alpha(a) - \beta(b) d(a,y,z) ) \alpha(xab) + \beta(abx)( d(ba,y,z) - d(b,y,z) \alpha(a) - \beta(b) d(a,y,z) ) \dots \tag{3}$$

By Lemma (4.3.3) equation (3) reduce to

$$0 = (F(ab,y,z) - F(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z)) \alpha(xba) + \beta(bax)( d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z) - (( F(ab , y, z) - F(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z) ) \alpha(xab) - \beta(abx) d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) + \beta(a) d(b,y,z)). \tag{4}$$

That is ,

$$(F(ab,y,z) - F(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z)) \alpha(x) \alpha [a,b] + \beta[a,b] \beta(x) ((d(ab,y,z) - d(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \beta(a) d(b,y,z)) = 0 . \text{ every } x, y, z \in U .$$

**Theorem (4. 5) :**

Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and F: R × R × R → R be a generalized ( α, α) Jordan permuting 3-derivation then ( F(ab,y,z) - F(a,y,z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b,y,z) \alpha (x [d,c])=0 , for all a,b,c,d,x,y,z ∈ R .

**Proof :**

By Lemma (4. 4), we get

$( F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[a, b]) + \alpha([a, b]x) (( d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) = 0$  and implies this implies that , for all  $a, b, x, z \in R$ .

Since  $d$  is  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  Jordan permuting 3-derivation and Lemma (2.1), we get  $\alpha[a, b]x ( d(ab, y, z) - d(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) ) = 0$

$$( F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[a, b]) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Linearize equation (1) on  $b$  with regard to  $b = b + c$  for all  $a, b, x, y, z \in R$  .

$$0 = (( F(a(b+c), y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b+c) - \alpha(a) d(b+c, y, z) \alpha(x[a, b+c])$$

$$0 = ( F(ab, y, z) + (F(ac, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z) ) \alpha(x[a+b] + [a+c] )$$

By using (1) , the last equation can be reduced to

$$0 = ((F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x[a, c]) + (( F(ac, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z) ) \alpha(x[a, b]) \text{ for all } a, b, c, y, z \in U. \tag{2}$$

By using (2) and (1) the following can be reduce to

$$((F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[a, c] )) t ((F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[a, c] )) .$$

$$= - ((F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[a, c] )) t ((F(ac, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z) \alpha(x[a, b] )) .$$

$$= - ((F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[a, c] )) t (F(ac, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(c) - \alpha(a) d(c, y, z) \alpha(x[a, b] )) = 0 , \text{ for all } a, b, c, x, y, z \in R. \text{ (by equation (1))}.$$

Since  $R$  is semiprime we get

$$(F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) (\alpha(x)[a, c] = 0 , \text{ for all } a, b, c, x, y, z \in R. \tag{3}$$

A linearization of equation (3) on a give such that  $a = a + d$

$$0 = (F((a+ h) b, y, z) - F(a+ h, y, z) \alpha(b) - (\alpha(a+ h) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x[a+ d, c] ) = ((F(ab, y, z) + F(hb, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - F(h, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) - \alpha(x) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x[a, c] + [d, c] ) = ((F(ab, y, z) - F(h, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[h, c]) + F(hb, y, z) - \alpha(h) d(b, y, z) - F(h, y, z) \alpha(b)) \alpha(x[a, c] .$$

The last equation lead us to

$$(F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[h, c]) t (F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[h, c]) = - (F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z) \alpha(x[h, c]) t (F(hb, y, z) - \alpha(h) d(b, y, z) - F(h, y, z) \alpha(b)) \alpha(x[a, c]) .$$

$$\text{Hence } (F(ab, y, z) - F(a, y, z) \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) d(b, y, z)) \alpha(x[h, c]) = 0 .$$

It is immedaitly from Theorem (4. 5) we get, the following corollary

**Corollary (4.6)**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free Prime ring and  $F: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  Jordan permuting 3-derivation then either  $F$  is generalized  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  permuting 3-derivation or  $R$  is commutative.

**References**

1. Herstein, I. N. **1969**. *Topics in ring theory*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
2. Herstein, I. N. **1976**. *Rings with involution*, Univ. of Chicago III.
3. Posner, E. C. **1957**. Derivations in prime rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8**: 1093-1100.
4. Hamdi, A. **2007**.  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - Derivation on prime rings. MSc. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Collage of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.
5. Maksa, G. **1987**. On the trace of symmetric bi- derivations. *C. R.Math. Rep. Sci. Canada*, **9**: 303-307.
6. Daif, M. N., El-Saiyad, M. S.T. and Haetinger, C. **2010**. Reverse, Jordan and Left Biderivations. *Oriental Journal Of Mathematics*, **2**(2): 65-81.
7. Park, K. and Jung, Y. **2007**. On prime and semiprime rings with permuting 3-derivations. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **44**(4): 789-794.
8. Lanski, C and Montgomery, S. 1972. Lie structure of prime rings of characteristic 2 , vol. 42. No.1, 117-136.