



ISSN: 0067-2904

## $\gamma$ - algebra of Sets and Some of its Properties

Ibrahim S. Ahmed <sup>1\*</sup>, Hassan H. Ebrahim <sup>1</sup>, Ali Al-Fayadh <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Applications, College of Science, Al – Nahrain University, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 3/1/2022

Accepted: 12/2/2022

Published: 30/11/2022

### Abstract

The main objective of this work is to generalize the concept of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra by introducing the notion of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra. Characterization and examples of the proposed generalization are presented, as well as several different properties of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra are proven. Furthermore, the relationship between fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra and fuzzy algebra is studied, where it is shown that the fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra is a generalization of fuzzy algebra too. In addition, the notion of restriction, as an important property in the study of measure theory, is studied as well. Many properties of restriction of a nonempty family of fuzzy subsets of fuzzy power set are investigated and it is shown that the restriction of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra too.

**Keywords:**  $\sigma$ -algebra, Algebra, Measure, Fuzzy set, Outer measure.

### دراسة الجبر الضبابي من النمط- $\gamma$ و بعض خصائصها

ابراهيم صالح احمد <sup>1\*</sup>, حسن حسين ابراهيم <sup>1</sup>, علي الفياض <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> قسم الرياضيات , كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات , جامعة تكريت , صلاح الدين , العراق

<sup>2</sup> قسم الرياضيات و تطبيقات الحاسوب, كلية العلوم, جامعة النهرين, بغداد, العراق

### الخلاصة

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا العمل هو تعميم المفهوم الجبر الضبابي من النمط- $\sigma$  من خلال تقديم مفهوم الجبر الضبابي من النمط- $\gamma$ . تم تقديم مميزات وأمثلة للتعميم المقترح ، بالإضافة إلى العديد من الخصائص المختلفة للجبر الضبابي من النمط- $\gamma$  تم برهانه. علاوة على ذلك ، تمت دراسة العلاقة بين أن الجبر ضبابي من النمط- $\gamma$  والجبر ضبابي، حيث تبين أن الجبر ضبابي من النمط- $\gamma$  هو تعميم للجبر ضبابي أيضًا. بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، فإن فكرة التقييد ، باعتبارها خاصية مهمة في دراسة مجال نظرية القياس ، تمت

\* Email: [ibrahim1992@tu.edu.iq](mailto:ibrahim1992@tu.edu.iq)

دراستها أيضًا. تم التحقق في العديد من خصائص تقييد لعائلة غير خالية من مجموعات جزئية ضبابية لمجموعة القوة المضببة و تم اثبات أن تقييد الجبر الضبابي من النمط  $\gamma$ - هو أيضًا الجبر الضبابي من النمط  $\gamma$ -.

## 1. Introduction and Basic concepts

Wang [1] in 2009 studied some types of collections of sets which are generalizations of  $\sigma$ -algebra such as ring,  $\sigma$ -ring and proved some important results of these concepts, where a nonempty class  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a ring iff  $E, F \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $E-F$  and  $E \cup F \in \mathcal{H}$ . In 2019 Ahmed and Ebrahim [2] introduced some generalizations of  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\sigma$ -ring. Many other authors are interested in studying  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\sigma$ -ring, for example, see [3], [4] and [5]. Zadeh [6] in 1965 first introduced the concept of the fuzzy set where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a nonempty set, then a fuzzy set  $F$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined as a set of ordered pairs  $\{(\omega, \nu_F(\omega)) : \omega \in \mathcal{X}\}$  where  $\nu_F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function such that for every  $\omega \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\nu_F(\omega)$  represents the degree of membership of  $\omega$  in  $F$ . Brown [7] studied some types of fuzzy sets such as fuzzy power set, empty fuzzy set, universal fuzzy set, the complement of a fuzzy set, the union of two fuzzy sets and intersection of two fuzzy. Ahmed et al. [8-11] first introduced the concept of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra and fuzzy algebra where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  be a fuzzy power set of  $\mathcal{X}$ . A nonempty collection  $\mathcal{H}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^* = \{(\omega, 1) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}\}$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $\emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , where  $\emptyset^* = \{(\omega, 0) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}\}$ .
2. If  $E \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , then  $E^c \in \mathcal{H}^*$ .
3. If  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , then  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$ .

If condition 3 is satisfied only for finite sets, then  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is said to be a fuzzy algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

Another generalization of the fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra introduced in this paper, which is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra. The main aim of this chapter is to study this generalization and introduce some of its basic properties, examples and some characterizations of them.

### Definition (1.1) [4]

Let  $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$ . A collection  $\mathcal{H}$  is called  $\sigma$ -ring if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. If  $F, E \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $F \setminus E \in \mathcal{H}$ .
2. If  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in \mathcal{H}$ .

### Definition (1.2) [8]

Let  $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$ . A collection  $\mathcal{H}$  is called  $\sigma$ -field if and only if the following conditions hold:

1.  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{H}$ .
2. If  $F \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $F^c \in \mathcal{H}$ .
3. If  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in \mathcal{H}$ .

### Proposition (1.3) [1]

Every  $\sigma$ -field is a  $\sigma$ -ring.

### Definition (1.4) [9]

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set. Then the union of the two fuzzy sets  $F$  and  $E$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  with respective membership functions  $\nu_F(\omega)$  and  $\nu_E(\omega)$  is a fuzzy set  $G$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  whose membership function is related to those of  $F$  and  $E$  by  $\nu_G(\omega) = \max_{\omega \in \mathcal{X}} \{\nu_F(\omega), \nu_E(\omega)\}$ , In symbols:

$$G = F \cup E \Leftrightarrow G = \{(\omega, \max_{\omega \in \mathcal{X}} \{\nu_F(\omega), \nu_E(\omega)\}) : \omega \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

**Definition (1.5)** [10]

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set. Then the intersection of two fuzzy sets  $F$  and  $E$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  with respective membership functions  $\nu_F(\omega)$  and  $\nu_E(\omega)$  is a fuzzy set  $G$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  whose membership function is related to those of  $F$  and  $E$  by  $\nu_G(\omega) = \min_{\omega \in \mathcal{X}} \{ \nu_F(\omega), \nu_E(\omega) \}$ , In symbols:

$$G = F \cap E \Leftrightarrow G = \{ (\omega, \min_{\omega \in \mathcal{X}} \{ \nu_F(\omega), \nu_E(\omega) \}) : \omega \in \mathcal{X} \}.$$

**Definition (1.6)** [6]

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $F$  is a fuzzy sets in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then the complement of a fuzzy set  $F$  is denoted by  $F^c$  and defined as:  $F^c = \{ (\omega, 1 - \nu_F(\omega)) : \omega \in \mathcal{X} \}$ .

**Proposition (1.7)** [11]

Every fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra is a fuzzy algebra .

**2. The main results:**

In this section, we introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra which is a generalization for the concept of the fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra and fuzzy algebra. Also, we present many properties of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Definition (2.1):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set. A nonempty collection  $\mathcal{H}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra of sets ( $\gamma$ -field) over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , where  $\emptyset^* = \{ (\omega, 0) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X} \}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^* = \{ (\omega, 1) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X} \}$ .
2. If  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$ .

**Definition(2.2):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{H}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra ( $\gamma$ -field) over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then the pair  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is said to be fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Example(2.3):**

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{ a, b \}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^* = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \emptyset^*, \{ (a, 0.1), (b, 0.6) \}, \\ \{ (a, 0.3), (b, 0.5) \}, \\ \{ (a, 0.3), (b, 0.6) \}, \mathcal{X}^* \end{array} \right\}$ . Then the pair  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is said

to be fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Example(2.4):**

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{ a, b \}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^* = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \emptyset^*, \{ (a, 0.4), (b, 0.2) \}, \\ \{ (a, 0.3), (b, 0.5) \}, \mathcal{X}^* \end{array} \right\}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is not a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , because  $\{ (a, 0.4), (b, 0.2) \} \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $\{ (a, 0.3), (b, 0.5) \} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , but  $\{ (a, 0.4), (b, 0.2) \} \cup \{ (a, 0.3), (b, 0.5) \} = \{ (a, \text{Max}\{0.4, 0.3\}), (b, \text{Max}\{0.2, 0.5\}) \} = \{ (a, 0.4), (b, 0.5) \} \notin \mathcal{H}^*$ .

**Proposition(2.5):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an infinite set and  $\mathcal{H}^* = \{ \emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^*, \text{all } E \subset \mathcal{X}^* \text{ s.t } E^c \text{ is finite} \}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proof:**

From the definition of  $\mathcal{H}^*$ , we get  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Then  $E_k^c$  is finite for all  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n E_k^c$  is finite. Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{k=1}^n E_k^c &= \{(\omega, \min_{k=1,2,\dots,n} \{1 - \nu_{E_k}(\omega)\}) : \omega \in \mathcal{X}\} \\ &= 1 - \{(\omega, \max_{k=1,2,\dots,n} \{\nu_{E_k}(\omega)\}) : \omega \in \mathcal{X}\} \\ &= 1 - (\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k) \\ &= (\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k)^c \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proposition(2.6):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $F$  be a fuzzy set such that  $\emptyset^* \neq F \subset \mathcal{X}^*$  and let  $E \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$  denote to  $\nu_E \leq \nu_{\mathcal{X}^*}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}^* = \emptyset^* \cup \{E \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* : F \subset E\}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proof:**

From the definition of  $\mathcal{H}^*$ , we get  $\emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$  and  $F \subset \mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Then  $F \subset E_k$  for all  $k=1,2,\dots, n$  and hence  $F \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Consequentially,  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proposition(2.7):**

Let  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  be a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra and let  $E \subset \mathcal{X}^*$ . Define  $\mathcal{H}_1^* = \{F \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* : F \cup E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$ , then  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}_1^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proof:**

Since  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . By hypothesis  $E \subset \mathcal{X}^*$  implies that  $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{X}^* \cup E$  and hence  $\mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Consider  $E = \emptyset^*$ , then  $\emptyset^* \subset \mathcal{X}^*$  and  $\emptyset^* \cup \emptyset^* = \emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , hence  $\emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Then by definition of  $\mathcal{H}_1^*$  we have,  $E_k \cup E \in \mathcal{H}^*$  for all  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n (E_k \cup E) \in \mathcal{H}^*$  because  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  this implies that  $((\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k) \cup E) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$  by definition of  $\mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_1^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}_1^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proposition(2.8):**

Let  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  be a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra and  $F \subset \mathcal{X}^*$ . If  $\mathcal{H}_1^* = \{G \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* : F \cap E \subseteq G \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$  Then  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}_1^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proof:**

Since  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra, then  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . By hypothesis  $F \subset \mathcal{X}^*$  implies that  $F \cap \mathcal{X}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ , hence by definition of  $\mathcal{H}_1^*$  we have,  $\mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Now,  $F \cap \emptyset^* = \emptyset^* \subseteq \emptyset^*$ , hence by definition of  $\mathcal{H}_1^*$  we have,  $\emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Let

$G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Then there is  $E_k \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $F \cap E_k \subseteq G_k$  where  $k=1,2,\dots, n$ . So, we have  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k \supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n (F \cap E_k) = (F \cap E_1) \cup (F \cap E_2) \cup \dots \cup (F \cap E_n)$   
 $= F \cap (E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_n) = F \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k)$ .

But  $E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , hence by definition of  $\mathcal{H}_1^*$  we have,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k \in \mathcal{H}_1^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_1^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}_1^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proposition(2.9):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an infinite set and let  $\mathcal{X}^* = \{(\omega, 1) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}\}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}^* = \{E \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* : E \text{ is infinite fuzzy set}\}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{H}^*)$  is a fuzzy measurable space relatively to fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra.

**Proof:**

Since  $\mathcal{X}$  be an infinite set, then each of  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^*$  be an infinite fuzzy set, but  $\mathcal{X}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$  and  $\emptyset^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ , then by definition of  $\mathcal{H}^*$  we have,  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Then  $E_k$  is an infinite fuzzy set for every  $k=1,2,\dots, n$  and hence  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k$  is an infinite fuzzy set. Therefore,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.10):**

Let  $\{\mathcal{H}_i^*\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $\mathcal{H}_i^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ , then  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}_i^* \forall i \in I$ . Hence  $\emptyset^* \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i^*$  and  $\mathcal{X}^* \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i^*$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i^*$ . Then  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}_i^*, \forall i \in I$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_i^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^* \forall i \in I$ , then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}_i^*, \forall i \in I$ . Hence,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i^*$ , therefore  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Definition(2.11):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$ . Then the intersection of all fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , which includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ , is said to be the fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that is generated by  $\mathfrak{T}^*$  and denoted by  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$ , that is,

$$\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) = \bigcap \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{H}_i^* : \mathcal{H}_i^* \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{- algebra over a fuzzy set } \mathcal{X}^* \\ \text{and } \mathcal{H}_i^* \supseteq \mathfrak{T}^*, \forall i \in I \end{array} \right\}$$

**Proposition (2.12):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ .

**Proof:**

From the definition of  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$ , we have:

$$\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) = \bigcap \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{H}_i^* : \mathcal{H}_i^* \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{- algebra over a fuzzy set } \mathcal{X}^* \\ \text{and } \mathcal{H}_i^* \supseteq \mathfrak{T}^*, \forall i \in I \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . To prove  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) \supseteq \mathfrak{T}^*$ . For each  $i \in I$  let  $\mathcal{H}_i^*$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ . Then  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i^*$ , thus  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ . Then

$\bigcap \left\{ \mathcal{H}_i^* : \mathcal{H}_i^* \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{-algebra over a fuzzy set } \mathcal{X}^* \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_i^* \supseteq \mathfrak{T}^*, \forall i \in I \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$ , hence  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$ . Therefore,  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ .

**Example (2.13):**

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{ a, b, c \}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}^* = \{ \{(a,0.4), (b,0.2), (c,0.3)\}, \{(a,0.2), (b,0.3), (c,0.4)\} \}$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) = \{ \emptyset^*, \{(a,0.4), (b,0.2), (c,0.3)\}, \{(a,0.2), (b,0.3), (c,0.4)\}, \{(a,0.4), (b,0.3), (c,0.4)\} \}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^* = \{ (a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 1) \}$ . Therefore,  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.14):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) = \mathfrak{T}^*$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{T}^*$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  and let  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) = \mathfrak{T}^*$ . Since  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\mathfrak{T}^*$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{T}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Since  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  which includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ , then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) \supseteq \mathfrak{T}^*$ . But  $\mathfrak{T}^*$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{T}^* \supseteq \mathfrak{T}^*$  and  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ , then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{T}^*$  and hence  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) = \mathfrak{T}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.15):**

Every fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then by definition of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra, we have  $\emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and hence  $\emptyset^{*c} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Since  $\emptyset^{*c} = \mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Consider,  $E_m = \emptyset^*$  for all  $m > n$ , then we get  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, E_{n+1}, E_{n+2}, \dots \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Hence, from the definition of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra we have,  $E_1^c, E_2^c, \dots, E_n^c, E_{n+1}^c, E_{n+2}^c, \dots \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^c \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , thus  $(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^c)^c \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . But  $(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^c)^c = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ , then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . So, we have:  

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \cup E_{n+1} \cup E_{n+1} \cup \dots \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \cup \emptyset^* \cup \emptyset^* \cup \dots \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

In general, the converse of Proposition (2.15) is not true as shown in the following example:

**Example (2.16):**

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{ a, b, c \}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^* = \{ \emptyset^*, \{(a,0.4), (b,0.2), (c,0.3)\}, \{(a,0.2), (b,0.3), (c,0.4)\} \}$ ,  $\{ (a,0.4), (b,0.3), (c,0.4) \}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  but not fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra, because  $\{(a,0.4), (b,0.2), (c,0.3)\} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , but  $\{(a,0.4), (b,0.2), (c,0.3)\}^c = \{(a,0.6), (b,0.8), (c,0.7)\} \notin \mathcal{H}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.17):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$ , where  $\sigma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$ . Then  $\sigma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ . Since every fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\sigma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ . But  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$  this implies that  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{T}^*)$ .

**Remark (2.18):**

Every fuzzy algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Proof:**

The result follows from the definition of fuzzy algebra.

In general, the converse of the previous Remark is not true as shown in the following example:

**Example(2.19):**

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^* = \{\emptyset^*, \{(a,0.6), (b,0.3), (c,0.1)\}, \{(a,0.4), (b,0.2), (c,0.4)\}, \{(a,0.6), (b,0.3), (c,0.4)\}, \mathcal{X}^*\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  but it is not fuzzy algebra, because  $\{(a,0.6), (b,0.3), (c,0.1)\} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , but  $\{(a,0.6), (b,0.3), (c,0.1)\}^c = \{(a,0.4), (b,0.7), (c,0.9)\} \notin \mathcal{H}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.20):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{T}^*) \subseteq \text{AL}(\mathfrak{T}^*)$ , where  $\text{AL}(\mathfrak{T}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that includes  $\mathfrak{T}^*$ .

**Proof:**

It is clear, so that it is omitted.

**Definition(2.21) :**

Let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a nonempty collection of fuzzy subsets of fuzzy power set  $\mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  of a nonempty set  $\mathcal{X}$  that is  $\mathcal{H}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  that is  $\mathcal{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ . Then the restriction of  $\mathcal{H}^*$  on  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$  which is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} = \{ F: F = E \cap \mathcal{T}^*, \text{ for some } E \in \mathcal{H}^* \}.$$

**Example(2.22):**

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{ \omega_1, \omega_2 \}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^* = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ (\omega_1, 0.6), (\omega_2, 0.2) \}, \\ \{ (\omega_1, 0.3), (\omega_2, 0.5) \} \end{array} \right\}$ . Consider  $\mathcal{T}^* = \{ (\omega_1, 0.5), (\omega_2, 0.5) \}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ (\omega_1, 0.5), (\omega_2, 0.2) \}, \\ \{ (\omega_1, 0.3), (\omega_2, 0.5) \} \end{array} \right\}$ . Put,  $E_1 = \{ (\omega_1, 0.6), (\omega_2, 0.2) \}$ ,  $E_2 = \{ (\omega_1, 0.3), (\omega_2, 0.5) \}$ ,  $F_1 = \{ (\omega_1, 0.5), (\omega_2, 0.2) \}$ ,  $F_2 = \{ (\omega_1, 0.3), (\omega_2, 0.5) \}$ . Then  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{H}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } E_1 \cap \mathcal{T}^* &= \{(\omega_1, \text{Min}\{0.6, 0.5\}), (\omega_2, \text{Min}\{0.2, 0.5\})\} \\ &= \{(\omega_1, 0.5), (\omega_2, 0.2)\} = F_1. \end{aligned}$$

$$E_2 \cap \mathcal{T}^* = \{(\omega_1, \text{Min}\{0.3, 0.5\}), (\omega_2, \text{Min}\{0.5, 0.5\})\}$$

$= \{(\omega_1, 0.3), (\omega_2, 0.5)\} = F_2$ . This implies that for any  $F \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$  there is  $E \in \mathcal{H}^*$  such that  $F = E \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$  is the restriction of  $\mathcal{H}^*$  on  $\mathcal{T}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.23):**

Let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega) \leq \nu_{\mathcal{X}^*}(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{X}$  and hence

$$\mathcal{X}^* \cap \mathcal{T}^* = \{(\omega, \inf\{\nu_{\mathcal{X}^*}(\omega), \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega)\} : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X})\} = \{(\omega, \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega)) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}\} = \mathcal{T}^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \mathcal{T}^* &\in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*. \text{ Now, } \emptyset^* \cap \mathcal{T}^* = \{(\omega, \inf\{\nu_{\emptyset^*}(\omega), \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega)\} : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X})\} \\ &= \{(\omega, \nu_{\emptyset^*}(\omega)) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}\} = \emptyset^* \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ . Let  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ . Then there are  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}^*$  such that  $F_k = E_k \cap \mathcal{T}^*$  for all  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$  which implies that

$\bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (E_k \cap \mathcal{T}^*) = (\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k) \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and hence by definition of  $\mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$  we get  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$ .

**Proposition(2.24):**

Let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and  $E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , then  $E \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and let  $E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ . Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , since  $E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^*$ , then  $\nu_E(\omega) \leq \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega) \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}$ . So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \cap \mathcal{T}^* &= \{(\omega, \inf\{\nu_E(\omega), \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega)\} : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X})\} \\ &= \{(\omega, \nu_E(\omega)) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}\} = E \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $E \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.25):**

Let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  such that  $\mathcal{T}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Then  $\{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $F \in \{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$ . Then  $F \subseteq \mathcal{T}^*$  and  $F \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , hence  $\nu_F(\omega) \leq \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega) \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}$ . So, we have  $F \cap \mathcal{T}^* = \{(\omega, \inf\{\nu_F(\omega), \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega)\} : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X})\}$

$$= \{(\omega, \nu_F(\omega)) : \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}\} = F.$$

Which implies that  $F \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ . Therefore,  $\{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ .

**Proposition (2.26):**

Let  $\mathcal{H}^*$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  such that  $\mathcal{T}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $G \cap \mathcal{T}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$  whenever  $G \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^* = \{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $F \in \{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$ . Then  $F \subseteq \mathcal{T}^*$  and  $F \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Hence,  $F \cap \mathcal{T}^* = F$ , which implies that  $F \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ . Therefore,  $\{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ .

Let  $F \in \mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^*$ . Then there exists  $E \in \mathcal{H}^*$  such that  $F = E \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ . Since  $E, \mathcal{T}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , then  $E \cap \mathcal{T}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , thus  $F \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . On the other hand,

$$F = E \cap \mathcal{T}^* \text{ which implies that } \nu_F(\omega) = \nu_{E \cap \mathcal{T}^*}(\omega), \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}$$

$$= \inf\{\nu_E(\omega), \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega)\} \leq \nu_{\mathcal{T}^*}(\omega), \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Thus  $F \subseteq \mathcal{T}^*$ . Hence,  $F \in \{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$  implies that  $\mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^* \subseteq \{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_{|\mathcal{T}^*}^* = \{E \subseteq \mathcal{T}^* : E \in \mathcal{H}^*\}$ .

**Proposition(2.27):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$ .

**Proof:**

The result follows from Proposition(2.12) and Proposition(2.23).

**Proposition(2.28):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$  which includes  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ , where

$$\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}) = \bigcap \left\{ \mathcal{H}_i^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} : \mathcal{H}_i^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{- algebra over a fuzzy set } \mathcal{T}^* \text{ which includes } \mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}, \forall i \in I \right\}$$

**Proof:**

From Proposition (2.10), we get  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$ . To prove that  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}) \supseteq \mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ , suppose that  $\mathcal{H}_i^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$

which includes  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ , then  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} \subseteq \bigcap \left\{ \mathcal{H}_i^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} : \mathcal{H}_i^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{- algebra over a fuzzy set } \mathcal{T}^* \text{ which includes } \mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}, \forall i \in I \right\}$ .

Hence,  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}) \supseteq \mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{H}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ - algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$  which includes  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} \supseteq \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ . Therefore  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$  which contains  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ .

**Lemma(2.29):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , define a collection  $\mathcal{H}^*$  as:

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \{E \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* : E \cap \mathcal{T}^* \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})\}. \text{ Then } \mathcal{H}^* \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{- algebra over a fuzzy set } \mathcal{X}^*.$$

**Proof:**

Since  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$ , then  $\emptyset^*, \mathcal{T}^* \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ . Now  $\mathcal{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{X}^* \cap \mathcal{T}^*$  and  $\mathcal{X}^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Also  $\emptyset^* = \emptyset^* \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ , then  $\emptyset^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Then  $(E_i \cap \mathcal{T}^*) \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ , for all  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \cap \mathcal{T}^*) \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ , thus  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Theorem (2.30):**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{X})$  and let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a nonempty fuzzy subset of a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}) = \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $F \in \mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ , then by Definition(2.21)  $F = E \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ , for some  $E \in \mathfrak{I}^*$ . But  $\mathfrak{I}^* \subseteq \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)$ , then  $E \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)$ , thus  $F \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ , hence  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} \subseteq \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ . By Proposition(2.28), we have  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$  which includes  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ . From Proposition(2.27),  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{T}^*$ , then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}) \subseteq \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ . Now, define a collection  $\mathcal{H}^*$  as:  $\mathcal{H}^* = \{E \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* : E \cap \mathcal{T}^* \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  by Lemma (2.29). Let  $G \in \mathfrak{I}^*$ , then  $G \cap \mathcal{T}^* \in \mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ , but  $\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*} \subseteq \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$  implies that  $G \cap \mathcal{T}^* \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ , hence by definition of  $\mathcal{H}^*$  we get  $G \in \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$ . Let  $F \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ . Then  $F = E \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ , for some  $E \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)$ .  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)$  is the smallest fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  which includes  $\mathfrak{I}^*$  by Proposition (2.12) and  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -algebra over a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X}^*$  which contains  $\mathfrak{I}^*$ , then  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$  and  $E \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , hence by definition of  $\mathcal{H}^*$  we get  $E \cap \mathcal{T}^* \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ , thus  $F \in \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ , consequently  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*} \subseteq \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*})$ . Therefore,  $\gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*_{|\mathcal{T}^*}) = \gamma(\mathfrak{I}^*)_{|\mathcal{T}^*}$ .

**References**

- [1] Z. Wang and G. Klir, *Generalized Measure Theory*, 1st ed. Springer Science and Business Media, LLC, New York, 2009.
- [2] I. S. Ahmed and H. H. Ebrahim, "Generalizations of  $\sigma$ -field and new collections of sets noted by  $\delta$ -field," *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 2096, no. 20019, p. (020019-1)-(020019-6), 2019, doi: 10.1063/1.5097816.
- [3] I. S. Ahmed, S. H. Asaad, and H. H. Ebrahim, "Some new properties of an outer measure on a  $\sigma$ -field," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 947–952, 2021, doi: 10.1080/09720502.2021.1884386.
- [4] N. Endou, K. Nakasho, and Y. Shidama, " $\sigma$ -ring and  $\sigma$ -algebra of Sets," *Formaliz. Math.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 51–57, 2015, doi: 10.2478/forma-2015-0004.
- [5] I. S. Ahmed and H. H. Ebrahim, "On  $\alpha$ -field and  $\beta$ -field," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1294, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1294/3/032015.
- [6] L. . Zadeh, "Fuzzy Sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [7] J. G. Brown, "A note on fuzzy sets," *Inf. Control*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 32–39, 1971, doi: 10.1016/S0019-9958(71)90288-9.
- [8] N. S. J. Math and E. Pap, " $\sigma$ -Null-Additive Set Functions," *Novi Sad J. Math.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 47–57, 2002.
- [9] L. Godo and S. Gottwald, "Fuzzy sets and formal logics," *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 281, no. June 2015, pp. 44–60, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.fss.2015.06.021.
- [10] R. A. Derrig and K. M. Ostaszewski, "Fuzzy Set Theory," *Wiley StatsRef Stat. Ref. Online*, 2014, doi: 10.1002/9781118445112.stat04403.
- [11] I. S. Ahmed, H. H. Ebrahim and A. Al-Fayadh, "Fuzzy  $\sigma$ -algebra and some related concepts," *J. Phys. Conf. Accepted Nov, 2021*.