



ISSN: 0067-2904

## New Generalizations of Soft LC – Spaces

Haider Juber Ali\*, Sabiha I. Mahmood

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 6/11/2021

Accepted: 25/1/2022

Published: 30/11/2022

### Abstract

In this article, we introduce a new type of soft spaces namely, soft  $L(NpC)$  – spaces as a generalization of soft LC – spaces. Also, we study the weak forms of soft  $L(NpC)$  – spaces, namely, soft  $NpL_1$  – spaces, soft  $NpL_2$  – spaces, soft  $NpL_3$  – space, and soft  $NpL_4$  – spaces. The characterizations and fundamental properties related to these types of soft spaces and the relationships among them are also discussed.

**Keywords:** Soft  $K(NpC)$  – space, soft  $L(NpC)$  – space, soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed set, soft  $NpL_k$  – spaces, for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , soft NP – space, and soft  $NpQ$  – set space.

### تعميمات جديدة لفضاءات LC – الناعمة

حيدر جبر علي\* ، صبيحة ابراهيم محمود

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق

### الخلاصة

في هذه المقالة قدمنا نوعاً جديداً من لفضاءات الناعمة اسمها بالفضاءات  $L(NpC)$  الناعمة كتعميم للفضاءات LC – الناعمة أيضاً درسنا الصيغ الضعيفة لفضاءات  $L(NpC)$  الناعمة وهي الفضاءات الناعمة من النمط  $NpL_1$  والفضاءات الناعمة من النمط  $NpL_2$  والفضاءات الناعمة من النمط  $NpL_3$  والفضاءات الناعمة من النمط  $NpL_4$ . – المكافئات والخصائص الأساسية المتعلقة بهذه الأنواع من الفضاءات الناعمة وعلاقتهم مع بعضهم أيضاً قد نوقشت.

### Introduction

Molodtsov [1] introduced the concept of soft set theory as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. He has shown several applications of this theory in solving many practical problems in economics, engineering, medical science, social science, etc. Shabir and Naz [2] presented the notion of soft topological spaces which are defined over an initial universe set with a fixed set of parameters, and they studied some concepts such as soft open sets, soft closed sets, soft closure and soft separation axioms. Arockiarani and Arokia [3], Mahmood and Ail [4] and Rong [5] introduced and studied soft pre – open sets, soft N – pre – open sets, soft N – pre – Lindelöf spaces and soft Lindelöf spaces respectively. Wilansky [6] presented the notion of KC – spaces in topological spaces and studied some relationships between KC – spaces and separation axioms. The concept of Lindelöf spaces

\*Email: [drhaiderjebur@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq](mailto:drhaiderjebur@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq)

was introduced by Alexandrof and Urysohn [7]. We know that there is no relationship between the concept of Lindelöf and closed subsets, so this point motivated some researchers to introduce a new concept that combines between Lindelöf and closed subsets, namely, LC – spaces. The notion of LC – spaces was first introduced by Mukherji and Sarkar [8]. Salih [9] introduced the concept of soft KC – spaces in soft topological spaces. Ali and Mahmood [10] generalized the notion of soft KC – spaces into soft  $K(NpC)$  – spaces and they gave several concepts that relate to these soft spaces. Mahmood [11] generalized the concept of an LC – spaces to soft LC – spaces and studied some relationships between soft LC – spaces and each of soft KC – spaces and soft separation axioms. The aim of this paper is to study soft  $L(NpC)$  – spaces and weak forms of soft  $L(NpC)$  – spaces in soft topological spaces and prove some of their characterizations and basic properties

## 1. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1:[1]:** A pair  $(E, G)$  is said to be a soft set over  $M$  if  $E: G \rightarrow P(M)$  is a function from the set of parameters  $G$  into  $P(M)$ , and we can expressed by:  $(E, G) = \{(g, E(g)): g \in G \text{ and } E(g) \in P(M)\}$ .

**Definition 1.2:[12]:** A soft set  $(E, G)$  over  $M$  is called:

- (i) A null soft set denoted by  $\tilde{\emptyset}$ , if  $E(g) = \emptyset$ , for each  $g \in G$ .
- (ii) An absolute soft set denoted by  $\tilde{M}$ , if  $E(g) = M$ , for each  $g \in G$ .

**Definition 1.3:[12,[13]:** Let  $(E_1, G_1)$  and  $(E_2, G_2)$  be two soft sets over a common universe  $M$ . Then:

- (i) The soft union of  $(E_1, G_1)$  and  $(E_2, G_2)$  is the soft set  $(E, G)$ , where  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ , and for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$E(g) = \begin{cases} E_1(g) & \text{if } g \in G_1 - G_2 \\ E_2(g) & \text{if } g \in G_2 - G_1 \\ E_1(g) \cup E_2(g) & \text{if } g \in G_1 \cap G_2 \end{cases}$$

We write  $(E, G) = (E_1, G_1) \tilde{\cup} (E_2, G_2)$ .

- (ii) The soft intersection of  $(E_1, G_1)$  and  $(E_2, G_2)$  is the soft set  $(E, G)$ , where  $G = G_1 \cap G_2$ , and for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$E(g) = E_1(g) \cap E_2(g). \text{ We write } (E, G) = (E_1, G_1) \tilde{\cap} (E_2, G_2).$$

Shabir and Naz [2] introduced the notion of soft topological spaces which are defined over an initial universe set  $M$  with a fixed set of parameters  $G$  as follows:

**Definition 1.4:[2]:** A family  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of soft sets over  $M$  is said to be a soft topology on  $M$  if:

- (i)  $\tilde{M}, \tilde{\emptyset} \in \tilde{\sigma}$ .
- (ii) If  $(E_1, G), (E_2, G) \in \tilde{\sigma}$ , then  $(E_1, G) \tilde{\cap} (E_2, G) \in \tilde{\sigma}$ .
- (iii) If  $(E_i, G) \in \tilde{\sigma}$ , for all  $i \in \Psi$ , then  $\tilde{\cup}\{(E_i, G): i \in \Psi\} \in \tilde{\sigma}$ .

The triple  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is said to be a soft topological space. Any member of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is said to be soft open and its complement is soft closed.

**Definition 1.5:[14]:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft topological space, and  $\tilde{\emptyset} \neq (H, G) \in \tilde{M}$ . Then  $\tilde{\sigma}_{(H,G)} = \{(O, G) \tilde{\cap} (H, G): (O, G) \in \tilde{\sigma}\}$  is called a relative soft topology on  $(H, G)$  and  $((H, G), \tilde{\sigma}_{(H,G)}, G)$  is called a soft subspace of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ .

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  be a soft topological space, and  $(E, G) \subseteq \tilde{M}$ . Then  $(E, G)$  is called:

- (i) Soft pre – open (briefly soft p – open) [3] if  $(E, G) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}((E, G)))$ .
- (ii) Soft N – pre – open (briefly soft Np – open) [4] if for all  $\tilde{m} \in (E, G)$ , there exists a soft p – open set  $(O, G)$  in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  with  $\tilde{m} \in (O, G)$  and  $(O, G) - (E, G)$  is finite.

**Definition 1.7:[10]:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft topological space, and  $(E, G) \subseteq \tilde{M}$ . Then:

- (i) Soft Np – closure of  $(E, G)$  is defined by:  

$$\text{Npcl}((E, G)) = \tilde{\cap}\{(F, G) : (E, G) \subseteq (F, G) \text{ \& } (F, G) \text{ is soft Np – closed in } \tilde{M}\}$$
- (ii)  $(E, G)$  is soft Np – dense in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  if  $\text{Npcl}((E, G)) = \tilde{M}$ .

**Proposition 1.8:[10]:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft topological space, and  $(E, G) \subseteq \tilde{M}$ . Then:

- (i)  $(E, G) \subseteq \text{Npcl}((E, G))$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{Npcl}((E, G))$  is soft Np – closed set in  $\tilde{M}$ .
- (iii)  $(E, G)$  is soft Np – closed if and only if  $\text{Npcl}((E, G)) = (E, G)$ .

**Proposition 1.9:[4]:** Let  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  be a soft open subspace of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Then

- (i)  $(E, G) \tilde{\cap} \tilde{H}$  is soft Np – closed (resp. soft Np – open) subset of  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  whenever  $(E, G)$  is soft Np – closed (resp. soft Np – open) subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ .
- (ii) If  $(E, G) \subseteq \tilde{H}$ . Then  $(E, G)$  is soft Np – open in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  if and only if  $(E, G)$  is soft Np – open in  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$ .

**Proposition 1.10:[4]:** If  $(E, G)$  is soft Np – closed in  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  and  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  is soft clopen subspace of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then  $(E, G)$  is soft Np – closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ .

**Definition 1.11:** A soft topological space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is called:

- (i) Soft Np – Lindelöf [4] (resp. soft Lindelöf [5]) if any cover of  $\tilde{M}$  by soft Np – open (resp. soft open) subsets of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  contains a countable subcover.
- (ii) Soft  $\tilde{T}_2$  – space [15] if for all  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{M}$ , and  $\tilde{a} \neq \tilde{b}$ , there exist soft open subsets  $(H_1, G)$  and  $(H_2, G)$  of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  such that  $\tilde{a} \in (H_1, G)$ ,  $\tilde{b} \in (H_2, G)$ , and  $(H_1, G) \tilde{\cap} (H_2, G) = \tilde{\emptyset}$ .
- (iii) Soft K(NpC) – space [10] if any soft compact subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft Np – closed.
- (iv) Soft LC – space [11] (resp. soft KC – space [9]) if any soft Lindelöf (resp. soft compact) subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft closed.

**Proposition 1.12:[4]:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft Np – Lindelöf, then any soft Np – closed subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft Np – Lindelöf.

## 2. New Generalizations of Soft LC – Spaces

In this section, we define and study soft L(NpC) – spaces and the four weak forms of the soft L(NpC) – spaces, namely, soft NpL<sub>k</sub> – spaces, for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . We obtain several characterizations about these soft spaces as well as we also discuss the relationships among themselves.

**Definition 2.1:** A soft topological space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is called a soft L(NpC) – space if any soft Lindelöf set in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft Np – closed.

**Remark 2.2:** Each soft LC – space is a soft L(NpC) – space, but the converse may not be true.

**Examples 2.3:** If  $M = \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $G = \{g_1, g_2\}$ ,  $(g_1, \{5\}) = \tilde{m} \tilde{\in} \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ , and  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Inc.}} = \{(E, G) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}: \tilde{m} \tilde{\in} (E, G)\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$  is the included soft point topology on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Inc.}}, G)$  is soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space, because, if  $(D, G)$  is soft Lindelöf subset of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ , then  $(D, G)^c$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – open subset of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ , since for all  $\tilde{x} \tilde{\in} (D, G)^c$ , there exist  $\{\tilde{x}, \tilde{m}\}$  is a soft  $p$  – open subset of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  such that  $\tilde{x} \tilde{\in} \{\tilde{x}, \tilde{m}\}$  and  $\{\tilde{x}, \tilde{m}\} - (D, G)^c$  is finite, so  $(D, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed. But  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Inc.}}, G)$  is not soft  $\text{LC}$  – space, because  $(S, G) = \{(g_1, \{5\}), (g_2, \{3\})\}$  is soft Lindelöf, but is not soft closed.

**Theorem 2.4:** A soft topological space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space iff every soft point in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  has a soft clopen neighborhood which is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – subspace.

**Proof:** Suppose  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space, so for any  $\tilde{m} \tilde{\in} \tilde{M}$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  is itself a soft clopen neighborhood which is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – subspace. Conversely, Assume that  $(S, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  and  $\tilde{m} \tilde{\notin} (S, G)$ . Choose a soft clopen neighborhood  $(H_{\tilde{m}}, G)$  of  $\tilde{m}$  with  $((H_{\tilde{m}}, G), \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_{\tilde{m}}, G)}, G)$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – subspace of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Thus  $(H_{\tilde{m}}, G) \tilde{\cap} (S, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $((H_{\tilde{m}}, G), \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_{\tilde{m}}, G)}, G)$ . But  $((H_{\tilde{m}}, G), \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_{\tilde{m}}, G)}, G)$  is soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space, hence  $(H_{\tilde{m}}, G) \tilde{\cap} (S, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed in  $((H_{\tilde{m}}, G), \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_{\tilde{m}}, G)}, G)$ , and so soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  by Proposition (1.8). Therefore,  $(H_{\tilde{m}}, G) - [(H_{\tilde{m}}, G) \tilde{\cap} (S, G)] = (H_{\tilde{m}}, G) - (S, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – open in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  such that  $\tilde{m} \tilde{\in} (H_{\tilde{m}}, G) - (S, G)$  and  $[(H_{\tilde{m}}, G) - (S, G)] \tilde{\cap} (S, G) = \emptyset$ , thus  $(S, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed. Hence  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space.

**Definition 2.5:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft topological space, and  $(E, G) \subseteq \tilde{M}$ , then  $(E, G)$  is called soft  $\tilde{F}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – closed (resp. soft  $\tilde{G}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – open) if  $(E, G)$  is a countable soft union (resp. soft intersection) of soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed (resp. soft  $\text{Np}$  – open) sets.

**Remark 2.6:** Any soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed (resp. soft  $\text{Np}$  – open) set is soft  $\tilde{F}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – closed (resp. soft  $\tilde{G}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – open), but the converse may not be true.

**Example 2.7:** If  $M = Z$ ,  $G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ , and  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{cof.}} = \{(D, G) \subseteq \tilde{Z}: (D, G)^c \text{ is finite}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$  is the soft cofinite topology on  $Z$ . Then  $(E, G) = \{(g_1, Z - \{5\}), (g_2, Z - \{5\}), (g_3, Z - \{5\})\}$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – closed in  $(Z, \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{cof.}}, G)$ , but not soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed, since  $(E, G)^c = \{(g_1, \{5\}), (g_2, \{5\}), (g_3, \{5\})\}$  is not soft  $\text{Np}$  – open. Also,  $(T, G) = \{(g_1, \{5\}), (g_2, \{5\}), (g_3, \{5\})\}$  is soft  $\tilde{G}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – open in  $(Z, \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{cof.}}, G)$ , but is not soft  $\text{Np}$  – open.

**Definition 2.8:** A soft topological space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is said to be a soft  $\text{NP}$  – space if any soft  $\tilde{G}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – open subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – open.

Now, we present generalizations of soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – spaces.

**Definitions 2.9:** A soft topological space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is said to be:

- (i) Soft  $\text{NpL}_1$  – space if any soft Lindelöf  $\tilde{F}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – closed subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed.
- (ii) Soft  $\text{NpL}_2$  – space if  $\text{Npcl}((E, G))$  is soft Lindelöf whenever  $(E, G)$  is soft Lindelöf subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ .
- (iii) Soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space if any soft Lindelöf subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_{\sigma}$  –  $\text{Np}$  – closed.

(iv) Soft  $NpL_4$  – space if  $(E, G)$  is soft Lindelöf subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then there exists a soft Lindelöf  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed subset  $(S, G)$  of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  with  $(E, G) \tilde{\subseteq} (S, G) \tilde{\subseteq} Npcl((E, G))$ .

**Theorem 2.10:** Each soft  $L(NpC)$  – space is a soft  $NpL_k$  – space,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**Proof:** It is followed from Definition (2.9).

The converse of Theorem (2.10) may not be true as in the following examples:

**Examples 2.11:**

(i) If  $M = \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $G = \{g\}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_u$  is the soft usual topology on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_u, G)$  is a soft hereditarily Lindelöf  $NpL_2$  – space, and thus soft  $NpL_4$  – space, but neither soft  $NpL_3$  – space, since  $(E, G) = \{(g, (0, 1))\}$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_u, G)$ , but it is not soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed nor a soft  $NpL_1$  – space, since  $(H, G) = \{(g, (0, 1))\}$

$= \tilde{U}\{(g, [1/n, 1]): n = 2, 3, \dots\}$  is soft Lindelöf  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed in  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_u, G)$  which is not soft  $Np$  – closed. Therefore,  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_u, G)$  is not a soft  $L(NpC)$  – space.

(ii) Let  $M = \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $G = \{g_1, g_2\}$ ,  $(g_1, \{\sqrt{2}\}) = \tilde{m} \tilde{\in} \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ , and let  $\tilde{\sigma}_{Exc.} = \{(H, G) \tilde{\subseteq} \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}: \tilde{m} \tilde{\notin} (H, G)\} \tilde{U} \{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}\}$  be the excluded soft point topology on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{Exc.}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_3$  – space, because if  $(E, G)$  is soft Lindelöf subset of  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{Exc.}, G)$ , then either  $\tilde{m} \tilde{\in} (E, G)$  or  $\tilde{m} \tilde{\notin} (E, G)$ . If  $\tilde{m} \tilde{\in} (E, G)$ , then  $(E, G)$  is soft closed. Hence, soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed, and if  $\tilde{m} \tilde{\notin} (E, G)$ , then  $(E, G)$  is countable, so  $(E, G)$  is soft

$\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed. But,  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{Exc.}, G)$  is not soft  $L(NpC)$  – space, because  $(B, G) = \{(g_1, Q), (g_2, Q)\} \tilde{\subseteq} \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  is soft Lindelöf, but is not soft  $Np$  – closed.

**Proposition 2.12:**  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC)$  – space if and only if it is a soft  $NpL_3$  – space and a soft  $NpL_1$  – space.

**Proof:** Assume  $(B, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $NpL_3$  – space, so  $(B, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed, but  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1$  – space, hence  $(B, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  that is  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC)$  – space. The other direction follows from Theorem (2.10).

**Proposition 2.13:** Each soft space which is a soft  $NpL_1$  and a soft  $NpL_4$  – space is a soft  $NpL_2$  – space.

**Proof:** Suppose  $(P, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $NpL_4$ , so there exists a soft Lindelöf  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed set  $(S, G)$  in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  with  $(P, G) \tilde{\subseteq} (S, G) \tilde{\subseteq} Npcl((P, G))$ . But  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1$  – space. Hence,  $(S, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – closed. Therefore,  $Npcl((P, G)) \tilde{\subseteq} (S, G) \tilde{\subseteq} Npcl((P, G))$ . Thus  $(S, G) = Npcl(P, G)$  is soft Lindelöf. That is  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_2$  – space.

**Proposition 2.14:** Each soft  $NpL_2$  – space (resp. soft  $NpL_3$  – space) is a soft  $NpL_4$  – space.

**Proof:** Assume  $(B, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_2$  – space, so  $Npcl((B, G))$  is soft Lindelöf. That is  $(B, G) \tilde{\subseteq} Npcl((B, G)) \tilde{\subseteq} Npcl((B, G))$ . But,  $Npcl((B, G))$  is soft  $Np$  – closed, hence there exists  $(F, G) = Npcl((B, G))$  which is a soft Lindelöf  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  such that  $(B, G) \tilde{\subseteq} (F, G) \tilde{\subseteq} Npcl((B, G))$ . Thus  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_4$  – space. In the same way, we can prove that  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_4$  – space, if  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_3$  – space.

**Remark 2.15:** The converse of Proposition (2.14) is not true. In Examples (2.11),(i),  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_u, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_4$  – space, but it is not soft  $NpL_3$  – space. Also, in Examples (2.11),(ii),  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{Exc}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_4$  – space, but it is not soft  $NpL_2$  – space.

**Proposition 2.16:** Any soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_2$  – space, and any soft  $NpL_2$  – space with soft Lindelöf  $Np$  – dense set is a soft Lindelöf.

**Proof:** Assume that  $(K, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , since  $Npcl((K, G))$  is soft  $Np$  – closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then  $Npcl((K, G))$  is soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  by Proposition (1.10), and so is soft Lindelöf. Therefore,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $NpL_2$  – space. Now, if  $(H, G)$  is soft Lindelöf  $Np$  – dense set in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then  $Npcl((H, G)) = \tilde{M}$ . But  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_2$  – space, so  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft Lindelöf.

**Proposition 2.17:** Any soft  $NP$  – space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1$  – space.

**Proof:** Suppose  $(E, G)$  is soft Lindelöf and soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , hence  $(E, G)^c$  is soft  $\tilde{G}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – open, but  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NP$  – space, so by Definition (2.8),  $(E, G)^c$  is soft  $Np$  – open in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . That is  $(E, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – closed. Therefore,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1$  – space.

**Proposition 2.18:** If  $\{(E_i, G): i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable family of soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf sets in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Then  $\tilde{U}\{(E_i, G): i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf.

**Proposition 2.19:** Each soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf  $NpL_1$  – space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NP$  – space.

**Proof:** Assume  $(H, G)$  is a soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Hence  $(H, G) = \tilde{U}\{(H_n, G): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $(H_n, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – closed in  $\tilde{M}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf, so by Proposition (1.10),  $(H_n, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $(H, G) = \tilde{U}\{(H_n, G): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  by Proposition (2.18). Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1$  – space, so  $(H, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – closed. Therefore,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NP$  – space.

**Corollary 2.20:** A soft  $Np$  – Lindelöf space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1$  – space if and only if it is a soft  $NP$  – space.

**Proposition 2.21:** A soft open subspace  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  of a soft  $L(NpC)$  – space (resp. soft  $NpL_3$  – space)  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC)$  – space (resp. soft  $NpL_3$  – space).

**Proof:** Suppose  $(E, G)$  is any soft Lindelöf subset of  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$ , then  $(E, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC)$  – space, then  $(E, G)$  is soft  $Np$  – closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . By Proposition (1.7),(i),  $(E, G) = (E, G) \tilde{\cap} \tilde{H}$  is soft  $Np$  – closed in  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$ . Hence  $(E, \tilde{\sigma}_E, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC)$  – space.

**Proposition 2.22:** A soft clopen subspace  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  of a soft  $NpL_1$  – space (resp. soft  $NpL_2$  – space, soft  $NpL_4$  – space)  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1$  – space (resp. soft  $NpL_2$  – space, soft  $NpL_4$  – space).

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(B, G)$  is any soft Lindelöf  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $Np$  – closed set in  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$ . Hence, there exist  $\{(B_k, G): k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable family of soft  $Np$  – closed in  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  such that

$(B, G) = \bigcup\{(B_k, G): k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  is a soft clopen subspace of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then by Proposition (1.8),  $(B_k, G)$  is soft  $Np -$  closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $(B, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed set in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . But,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $NpL_1$ , so  $(B, G)$  is a soft  $Np -$  closed subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Thus  $(B, G) = (B, G) \tilde{\cap} \tilde{H}$  is soft  $Np -$  closed in  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  by Proposition (1.7),(i). Therefore,  $(H, \tilde{\sigma}_H, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1 -$  space.

**Proposition 2.23:** A soft topological space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\tilde{T}_2 -$  space if and only if for every two distinct soft points  $\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2 \in \tilde{M}$ , there exists a soft open set  $(O, G)$  containing  $\tilde{m}_1$  such that  $\tilde{m}_2 \notin \text{cl}((O, G))$ .

**Theorem 2.24:** A soft  $\tilde{T}_2 -$  space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space if and only if it is a soft  $NpL_1 -$  space and a soft  $NpL_2 -$  space.

**Proof:** The first direction is followed from Theorem (2.10).

Conversely, suppose  $(L, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , and let  $\tilde{a} \notin (L, G)$ . Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\tilde{T}_2 -$  space, so by Proposition (2.23), we have for any  $\tilde{b} \in (L, G)$ , there exists  $(E_{\tilde{b}}, G) \in \tilde{\sigma}$  containing  $\tilde{b}$  such that  $\tilde{a} \notin \text{cl}((E_{\tilde{b}}, G))$ . Since  $(L, G) \cong \bigcup\{(E_{\tilde{b}}, G): \tilde{b} \in (L, G)\}$  and  $(L, G)$  is soft Lindelöf, then there exists  $\{(E_{\tilde{b}_m}, G)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  which is a countable subcover, that is  $(L, G) \cong \bigcup\{(E_{\tilde{b}_m}, G): m \in \mathbb{N}\} \cong \bigcup\{\text{cl}((E_{\tilde{b}_m}, G)): m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Now, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{cl}((E_{\tilde{b}_m}, G)) \tilde{\cap} (L, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf, and so  $Np\text{cl}[\text{cl}((E_{\tilde{b}_m}, G)) \tilde{\cap} (L, G)]$  is also soft Lindelöf, since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_2 -$  space. Put  $(P, G) = \bigcup\{Np\text{cl}[\text{cl}((E_{\tilde{b}_m}, G)) \tilde{\cap} (L, G)]: m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , so  $(P, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf and soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_1 -$  space, then  $(P, G)$  is soft  $Np -$  closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  and  $\tilde{a} \notin (P, G)$ , thus  $\tilde{a} \notin Np\text{cl}((L, G))$ . This shows  $(L, G)$  is soft  $Np -$  closed in  $\tilde{M}$ . That is  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space.

**Theorem 2.25:** Each soft  $\tilde{T}_2 -$  space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  which is a soft  $NP -$  space is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space.

**Proof:** Suppose  $(B, G)$  is soft Lindelöf subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . If  $\tilde{a} \notin (B, G)^c$ , then for all  $\tilde{b} \in (B, G)$ , we have  $\tilde{a} \neq \tilde{b}$ , but  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{T}_2$ , so there exist soft open sets  $(U, G)_{\tilde{a}}$  and  $(V, G)_{\tilde{b}}$  in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  with  $\tilde{a} \in (U, G)_{\tilde{a}}$ ,  $\tilde{b} \in (V, G)_{\tilde{b}}$  and  $(U, G)_{\tilde{a}} \tilde{\cap} (V, G)_{\tilde{b}} = \tilde{\emptyset}$ . Hence  $\{(V, G)_{\tilde{b}}: \tilde{b} \in (B, G)\}$  is a soft open cover of  $(B, G)$ . But  $(B, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf, so there exists  $\{(V, G)_{\tilde{b}_i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which is a countable subcover. Put  $(W, G) = \bigcup\{(V, G)_{\tilde{b}_i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $(V, G) = \tilde{\cap}\{(U, G)_{\tilde{a}_i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Thus  $(V, G)$  is a soft  $Np -$  open, since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NP -$  space and also  $(W, G)$  is soft open, since  $(W, G)$  is a soft union of soft open sets. So  $\tilde{a} \in (V, G)$  and  $(B, G) \cong (W, G)$ . To show that  $(V, G) \tilde{\cap} (W, G) = \tilde{\emptyset}$ . Since  $(U, G)_{\tilde{a}_i} \tilde{\cap} (V, G)_{\tilde{b}_i} = \tilde{\emptyset}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(V, G) \tilde{\cap} (V, G)_{\tilde{b}_i} = \tilde{\emptyset}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ , Thus  $(V, G) \tilde{\cap}$

$(W, G) = \tilde{\emptyset}$ . Therefore,  $(V, G) \tilde{\cap} (B, G) = \tilde{\emptyset}$ , that is  $\tilde{a} \in (V, G) \cong (B, G)^c$ , so  $(B, G)^c$  is soft  $Np -$  open. Hence  $(B, G)$  is a soft  $Np -$  closed set. Therefore,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space.

**Remark 2.26:** In Theorem (2.25), if  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is not soft  $NP -$  space, then the theorem is not true. In Example (2.11), (i),  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_w, G)$  is not soft  $NP -$  space, because  $(H, G) =$

$\{(g, (0,1))\} = \tilde{U}\{(g, [1/n, 1]): n = 2,3, \dots\}$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed in  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_u, G)$  which is not soft  $Np -$  closed. While  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_u, G)$  is not soft  $L(NpC) -$  space.

**Corollary 2.27:** If a soft  $Np -$  Lindelöf space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{T}_2$ . Then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NP -$  space iff it is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space.

**Proposition 2.28:** Any soft  $L(NpC) -$  space is a soft  $K(NpC) -$  space.

**Proof:** Suppose  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space and  $(E, G)$  is any soft compact subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then  $(E, G)$  is soft Lindelöf in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space, then  $(E, G)$  is soft  $Np -$  closed. Thus  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $K(NpC) -$  space.

**Remark 2.29:** The converse of Proposition (2.28) is not true. In examples (2.11), (ii),  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{Exc.}, G)$  is a soft  $K(NpC) -$  space, but is not soft  $L(NpC) -$  space.

**Corollary 2.30:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $NpL_1$  and soft  $NpL_3 -$  space, then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $K(NpC) -$  space

**Proof:** Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $NpL_1$  and soft  $NpL_3 -$  space, then by Proposition (2.12),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space, and by Proposition (2.28),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $K(NpC) -$  space.

**Definition 2.31:** A soft topological space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is called:

- (i) Soft hereditarily Lindelöf if any soft set in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft Lindelöf.
- (ii) Soft anti - Lindelöf if any soft Lindelöf set in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft countable.
- (iii) Soft  $NpQ -$  set space if any soft set in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed.

**Proposition 2.32:** Each soft anti - Lindelöf space with a finite set of parameters is soft  $NpL_3$ . Hence, each soft anti - Lindelöf  $NpL_1 -$  space with a finite set of parameters is a soft  $L(NpC) -$  space.

**Proof:** Assume that  $(L, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf subset of a soft anti - Lindelöf space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , so  $(L, G)$  is countable. Since  $\{\tilde{m}\}$  is soft  $Np -$  closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  for each  $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}$  and  $G$  is finite, then  $(L, G) = \tilde{U}\{\{\tilde{m}_n\}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , hence  $(L, G)$  is a soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed set. Thus  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_3 -$  space.

The converse of Proposition (2.32) is not true we can see that in the following example:

**Example 2.33:** The soft indiscrete topology  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_i, G)$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a soft  $NpL_3 -$  space, but it is not soft anti - Lindelöf, since  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  is soft Lindelöf, but it is not countable.

**Proposition 2.34:** Each soft  $NpQ -$  set space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_3 -$  space.

**Proof:** Assume that  $(L, G)$  is any soft Lindelöf subset of a soft  $NpQ -$  set space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then  $(L, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , so  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_3 -$  space.

**Remark 2.35:** The converse of Proposition (2.34) is not true. In Example (2.11),(ii),  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tilde{\sigma}_{Exc.}, G)$  is a soft  $NpL_3 -$  space, but it is not soft  $NpQ -$  set space, because  $(H, G) = \{(g_1, \{\mathfrak{R} - \{\sqrt{2}\}), (g_2, \mathfrak{R})\} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$  is not soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed, since if  $(H, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma - Np -$  closed, then  $(H, G) = \tilde{U}\{(S_j, G): j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $(S_j, G)$  is soft  $Np -$  closed in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ ,

$\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\tilde{m} \notin (S_j, G), \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(S_j, G)$  is finite  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $(H, G)$  is countable. This is a contradiction.

Now, we set a condition that makes soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – spaces imply soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set spaces.

**Proposition 2.36:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft hereditarily Lindelöf  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space, then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(L, G)$  is any soft subset of  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft hereditarily Lindelöf, then  $(L, G)$  is soft Lindelöf. Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space, then  $(L, G)$  is a soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $\text{Np}$  – closed set in  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ . Therefore,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space.

**Corollary 2.37:** A soft hereditarily Lindelöf space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space if and only if it is soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space.

**Corollary 2.38:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a countable soft space with  $G$  is finite. Then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space if and only if it is a soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space.

**Proposition 2.39:**

(i) Each soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space which is a soft  $\text{NpL}_1$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space.

(ii) Each soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space which is a soft  $\text{NP}$  – space is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space.

(iii) Each soft  $\text{NP}$  – space which is a soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space.

**Proof:** (i) Assume  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space, then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space by Proposition (2.34). But  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_1$  – space, thus  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space by Proposition (2.12).

(ii) Suppose  $(P, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf subset of a soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then  $(P, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $\text{Np}$  –

closed. Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NP}$  – space, then  $(P, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed. Hence,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space.

(iii) Assume that  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NP}$  – space, hence by Proposition (2.17),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_1$  – space,

but  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space, so by Proposition (2.12),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $L(\text{NpC})$  – space.

**Corollary 2.40:** (i) Each soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space which is a soft  $\text{NpL}_1$  – space is a soft  $\text{NpL}_2$  – space.

(ii) Each soft  $\text{NP}$  – space which is a soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space is a soft  $\text{NpL}_2$  – space.

**Proof:** (i) If  $(K, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf subset of a soft  $\text{NpQ}$  – set space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , then  $(K, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $\text{Np}$  – closed, but  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\text{NpL}_1$ , so  $(K, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed. Thus  $(K, G) = \text{Npcl}((K, G))$ , and  $\text{Npcl}((K, G))$  is soft Lindelöf. Therefore,  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_2$  – space.

(ii) Assume  $(K, G)$  is a soft Lindelöf subset of a soft  $\text{NpL}_3$  – space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$ , so  $(K, G)$  is a soft  $\tilde{F}_\sigma$  –  $\text{Np}$  –

closed. Since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NP}$  – space, thus  $(K, G)$  is soft  $\text{Np}$  – closed, that is  $\text{Npcl}((K, G)) = (K, G)$ ,

so  $\text{Npcl}((K, G))$  is soft Lindelöf. Hence  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\text{NpL}_2$  – space.

**Proposition 2.41:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft hereditarily Lindelöf and soft NP – space. Then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpQ – set space iff  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpQ – set space, since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft NP – space, hence by Proposition (2.39),(ii),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space. Conversely, suppose that  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space, then by Theorem (2.10),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space, and since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft hereditarily Lindelöf, then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpQ – set space by Proposition (2.36).

**Theorem 2.42:** If  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft  $\tilde{T}_2$  – space and a soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space. The following are equivalent:

(a)  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space.

(b)  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>4</sub> – space.

(c)  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space.

(d)  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space.

**Proof:** (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b): By Theorem (2.10).

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a): According to Proposition (2.13) and Theorem (2.24).

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c): Assume that  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>4</sub> – space, since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space, then by Proposition (2.13),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space. But  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{T}_2$ , so  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space by Theorem (2.24). Thus  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space by Theorem (2.10).

(c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b): This is followed by Proposition (2.12), and Theorem (2.10).

(c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d): Assume that  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space, since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space, then  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space by Theorem (2.12). So by Theorem (2.10),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space.

(d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c): Assume  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space, since  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is soft  $\tilde{T}_2$  and soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space, then by Theorem (2.24),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space. Therefore, by Proposition (2.12),  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space.

## Conclusions

(i) Each soft LC – space (resp. soft L(NpC) – space, soft NP – space and soft NpQ – set space) are a soft L(NpC) – space (resp. soft K(NpC) – space, soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space and soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space), respectively.

(ii) Each soft L(NpC) – space is a soft NpL<sub>k</sub> – space,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , but the converse is not true.

(iii) Each soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space (resp. soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space) is a soft NpL<sub>4</sub> – space, but the converse is not true.

(iv) Each soft Np – Lindelöf NpL<sub>1</sub> – space is a soft NP – space.

(v) A soft open subspace of a soft L(NpC) – space (resp. soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space) is a soft L(NpC) – space (resp. soft NpL<sub>3</sub> – space).

(vi) A soft clopen subspace of a soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space (resp. soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space, soft NpL<sub>4</sub> – space) is a soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space (resp. soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space, soft NpL<sub>4</sub> – space).

(vii) A soft  $\tilde{T}_2$  – space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  is a soft L(NpC) – space if and only if it is a soft NpL<sub>1</sub> – space and a soft NpL<sub>2</sub> – space.

(viii) Each soft  $\tilde{T}_2$  – space  $(M, \tilde{\sigma}, G)$  which is a soft NP – space is a soft L(NpC) – space, but the converse is not true.

(ix) We can apply this research to the algebraic, dynamic and geometric topology.

**Acknowledgement:**

The researchers gratefully acknowledge Mustansiriyah University, College of Science and your esteemed journal for supporting this research.

**References**

- [1] D. Molodtsov, "Soft Set Theory - First Results," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 37, pp. 19-31, 1999.
- [2] M. Shabir and M. Naz, "On Soft Topological Spaces," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, pp. 1786-1799, 2011.
- [3] I. Arockiarani and A. A. Lancy, "Generalized Soft  $g\beta$ -Closed Sets and Soft  $gs\beta$ -Closed Sets in Soft Topological Spaces," *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, vol.4, no. 2, pp. 17-23, 2013.
- [4] S. I. Mahmood and H. J. Ail, "Soft  $Np$  – Open Sets in Soft Topological Spaces," *Ibn Al-Haitham International Conference for Pure and Applied Sciences (IHICPAS), Journal of Physics*, pp. 1-10, 2021.
- [5] W. Rong, "The Countabilities of Soft Topological Spaces," *International Journal of Mathematical, Computational, Physical, Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 952-955, 2012.
- [6] A. Wilansky, "Between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ", *Amer. Math Monthly*, vol. 74, pp. 261- 266, 1967.
- [7] P. Alexandrof and P. Urysohn, "Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts," *Verb. Akkad. Welensch. Amsterdam.*, vol.14, 1929.
- [8] T. K. Mukherji and M. Sarkar, "On a Class of Almost Discrete Spaces," *Mat. Vesnik*, vol. 3, no. 16, pp. 459-474, 1979.
- [9] H. M. Salih, "On Maximal and Minimal Soft Compact Spaces in Soft Topological Spaces," *International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research*, vol.1, no. 7, pp. 370-376, 2017.
- [10] S. I. Mahmood and H. J. Ail, "Strong and Weak Forms of Soft  $K(NpC)$  – Spaces," *University of Diyala, 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference for Pure Science (ICPS)*, 2021. To appear.
- [11] S. I. Mahmood, "On Soft  $LC$  – Spaces and Weak Forms of Soft  $LC$  – Spaces," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 59, no. 4B, pp. 2089-2099, 2018.
- [12] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, "Soft Set Theory," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 45, pp. 555-562, 2003.
- [13] D. Pei and D. Miao, "From Soft Sets to Information Systems," *IEEE International Conference on Granular Computing*, vol. 2, pp. 617-621, 2005.
- [14] SK. Nazmul and S. K. Samanta, "Neighbourhood Properties of Soft Topological Spaces," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2013.
- [15] S. Bayramov and C. G. Aras, "A New Approach to Separability and Compactness in Soft Topological Spaces," *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 9, no.1, pp. 82-93, 2018.