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#### Abstract

In this article an attempt has been made to procure the concept of pairwise neutrosophic simply open set, pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping, pairwise neutrosophic simply open mapping, pairwise neutrosophic simply compactness, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open set, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous mapping, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping and pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-compactness via neutrosophic bi-topological spaces (in short NBTS). Besides, we furnish few illustrative examples on them via NBTS. Further, we investigate some basic properties of them, and formulate several results on NBTSs.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1998, Smarandache [1] grounded the concept of Neutrosophic Set (in short NS) as an extension of Fuzzy Set [2] and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set [3]. From then it becomes very useful in the area of topology, bi-topology, decision making, artificial intelligence, etc. In 2020, Das et al. [4] established a decision making model using single-valued NS. The notion of Neutrosophic Topology (in short NT) on NSs was presented by Salama and Alblowi [5] in 2012. The notion of generalized NS and generalized NT was also studied by Salama and Alblowi [6]. Afterwards, Arokiarani et al. [7] defined neutrosophic semi-open (in short NSO) function via Neutrosophic Topological Space (in short NTS). Later on, Rao and Srinivasa [8] defined neutrosophic pre-open (in short NPO) sets and pre-closed sets via NTSs. The concept of neutrosophic semi-closed set and neutrosophic semi-open set via NTSs was grounded by Iswaraya and Bageerathi [9] in 2016. The idea of generalized neutrosophic closed sets via NTSs was presented by Dhavaseelan and Jafari [10]. Dhavaseelan et al. [11] established the neutrosophic $\alpha^{\wedge}$ m-continuity via NTSs. Later on, Imran et al. [12] grounded the neutrosophic semi- $\alpha$-open sets via NTSs. Imran et al. [13] also defined the notion of neutrosophic generalized alpha generalized continuity via NTSs. The notion of neutrosophic generalized closed sets via NTSs was grounded by Pushpalatha and Nandhini [14] in 2019. In 1996, Andrijevic [15] introduced the notion of $b$-open set in general topology. Later on, Ebenanjar et al. [16] introduced the neutrosophic $b$-open (in short N-b-O) sets in NTSs. In 2020, Page and Imran [17] established the neutrosophic generalized homeomorphism via NTSs. The idea of neutrosophic generalized $b$-closed sets in NTS was grounded by Maheswari et al. [18]. Afterwards, Maheswari and Chandrasekar [19] studied the concept of neutrosophic gbcontinuity via NTSs. Thereafter, Bageerathi and Jeya Puvaneswari [20] defined the neutrosophic feebly connectedness and compactness of NTSs. The idea of generalized $\mathrm{N}-b-\mathrm{O}$

[^0]set via NTSs was presented by Das and Pramanik [21] in 2020. Afterwards, Das and Pramanik [22] grounded the concept of neutrosophic $\Phi$-open sets and neutrosophic $\Phi$-continuous functions via NTSs. In 2021, Das and Tripathy [23] presented the notion of neutrosophic simply $b$-open set via NTSs. In 2019, Noori and Yousif [24] presented the soft simply compact space via soft topological spaces. Afterwards, the idea of neutrosophic simply soft open set and neutrosophic simply soft compactness via Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces was introduced by Das and Pramanik [25] in 2020. Das and Tripathy [26] grounded the notion of NT on Neutrosophic Multiset, and introduced the Neutrosophic Multiset Topological Space. In 2021, Das et al. [27] studied the concept of Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic Topological Spaces. In 1963, Kelly [28] grounded the concept of bi-topological space. Afterwards, Cooke and Reilly [29] established the notion of compactness via bi-topological space. In 2011, Tripathy and Sarma [30] studied on $b$-locally open sets via bi-topological spaces. The idea of pairwise $b$-locally open, pairwise $b$-locally closed function via bi-topological spaces was presented by Tripathy and Sarma [31]. In 2013, Tripathy and Sarma [32] also grounded the weakly $b$-continuous mapping via bi-topological spaces. Later on, Kandil and El-Shafee [33] established the idea of Fuzzy Bi-topological Space (in short FBTS). Thereafter, Ibedou [34] presented the notion of separation axioms via FBTSs. Recently, Gani and Bhanu [35] introduced the idea of fuzzy pairwise gamma semi-continuity and fuzzy pairwise gamma semicompactness via FBTSs. In 2019, Ozturk and Ozkan [36] grounded the idea of Neutrosophic Bi-topological Space (in short NBTS) by extending the concept of Fuzzy Bi-topological Spaces [34]. In 2020, Das and Tripathy [37] introduced the idea of pairwise N-b-O set via NBTSs, and studied their different properties. In 2020, Mwchahary and Basumatary [38] further studied the NBTSs. Later on, Tripathy and Das [39] also presented the notion of pairwise neutrosophic $b$ continuous mapping via NBTSs. In 2021, AL-Nafee et al. [40] presented the notion of neutrosophic soft bi-topological space. Ganesan and Smarandache [41] introduced the notion of neutrosophic biminimal $\alpha$-open set via neutrosophic biminimal space.

Research gap: No study on pairwise neutrosophic simply open set, pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous function, pairwise neutrosophic simply compactness, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open set, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous function and pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-compactness via NBTSs has been reported in the recent literature.

Motivation: To fill the research gap, we introduce the notion of pairwise neutrosophic simply open set, pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous function, pairwise neutrosophic simply compactness, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open set, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$ continuous function and pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-compactness via NBTSs.
The remaining part of the article has been divided into the following sections:
Section 2 presents the preliminaries and definitions on NS, NTS and NBTS. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of pairwise neutrosophic simply open set, pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous function, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open set and pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous function via NBTSs, and formulate several interesting results on them. In Section 4, we introduce the concept of pairwise neutrosophic simply compactness, pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-compactness via NBTSs. Besides, we formulate some interesting results on them in the form of theorems, propositions, remarks, etc. via NBTSs. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude the paper by stating some future directions of research.

## 2. Preliminaries and Definitions

In this section, we provide some basic definitions and results on NS, NTS and NBTS.

Definition 2.1. [40]. Let $\Psi$ be a fixed set. Then, an NS $L$ over $\Psi$ is defined by:
$L=\left\{\left(\theta, T_{L}(\theta), I_{L}(\theta), F_{L}(\theta)\right): \theta \in \Psi\right\}$, where $T_{L}, I_{L}$ and $F_{L}$ denotes the truth, indeterminacy and false membership function, respectively from $\Psi$ to $[0,1]$, and so $0 \leq T_{L}(\theta)+I_{L}(\theta)+F_{L}(\theta) \leq 3$, for all $\theta \in \Psi$.

Definition 2.2. [5]. The null NS $\left(0_{N}\right)$ and whole NS $\left(1_{N}\right)$ over $\Psi$ are defined as follows:
$0_{N}=\{(\theta, 0,0,1): \theta \in \Psi\} \& 1_{N}=\{(\theta, 1,0,0): \theta \in \Psi\}$.
Clearly, $0_{N} \subseteq L \subseteq 1_{N}$, for any NS $L$ over $\Psi$.
The NSs $0_{N}$ and $1_{N}$ also has three other representations. They are given as follows:
(i) $0_{N}=\{(\theta, 0,0,0): \theta \in \Psi\} \& 1_{N}=\{(\theta, 1,1,1): \theta \in \Psi\}$,
(ii) $0_{N}=\{(\theta, 0,1,0): \theta \in \Psi\} \& 1_{N}=\{(\theta, 1,0,1): \theta \in \Psi\}$,
(iii) $0_{N}=\{(\theta, 0,1,1): \theta \in \Psi\} \& 1_{N}=\{(\theta, 1,1,0): \theta \in \Psi\}$.

Definition 2.3.[40]. Suppose that $W=\left\{\left(\theta, T_{W}(\theta), I_{W}(\theta), F_{W}(\theta)\right): \theta \in \Psi\right\}$ and $K=\left\{\left(\theta, T_{K}(\theta), I_{K}(\theta)\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.F_{K}(\theta)\right): \theta \in \Psi\right\}$ be two NSs over $\Psi$. Then,
(i) $W^{c}=\left\{\left(\theta, 1-T_{W}(\theta), 1-I_{W}(\theta), 1-F_{W}(\theta)\right): \theta \in \Psi\right\}$,
(ii) $W \subseteq K \Leftrightarrow T_{W}(\theta) \leq T_{K}(\theta), I_{W}(\theta) \geq I_{K}(\theta), F_{W}(\theta) \geq F_{K}(\theta)$, for all $\theta \in \Psi$,
(iii) $W \cup K=\left\{\left(\theta, \max \left\{T_{W}(\theta), T_{K}(\theta)\right\}, \min \left\{I_{W}(\theta), I_{K}(\theta)\right\}, \min \left\{F_{W}(\theta), F_{K}(\theta)\right\}\right): \theta \in \Psi\right\}$,
(iv) $\left.W \cap K=\left\{\theta, \min \left\{T_{W}(\theta), T_{K}(\theta)\right\}, \max \left\{I_{W}(\theta), I_{K}(\theta)\right\}, \max \left\{F_{W}(\theta), F_{K}(\theta)\right\}\right): \theta \in \Psi\right\}$.

Definition 2.4.[5]. Assume that $\tau$ be a family of NSs over a fixed set $\Psi$. Then, $\tau$ is called an Neutrosophic Topology (in short NT) on $\Psi$ if the following condition holds:
(i) $0_{N}, 1_{N} \in \tau$,
(ii) $W_{1}, W_{2} \in \tau \Rightarrow W_{1} \cap W_{2} \in \tau$,
(iii) $\cup_{i \in \Delta} W_{i} \in \tau$, for every $\left\{W_{i}: i \in \Delta\right\} \subseteq \tau$.

If $\tau$ is an NT, then $(\Psi, \tau)$ is called an Neutrosophic Topological Space (in short NTS). If $W \in \tau$, then $W$ is said to be an neutrosophic open set (in short NOS) and $W^{c}$ is said to be an neutrosophic closed set (in short NCS) in ( $\Psi, \tau)$.

Definition 2.5.[36]. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\Psi, \tau_{2}\right)$ are two NTSs such that $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are different. Then, the triplet ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is called an Neutrosophic Bi-topological Space (in short NBTS).

Definition 2.6.[37]. Let ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) be an NBTS. Then $P$, an NS over $\Psi$ is called as
(i) $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{~N}$ - $b$-O set if and only if $P \subseteq N_{c l}^{i} N_{\text {int }}^{j}(P) \cup N_{\text {int }}^{j} N_{c l}^{i}(P)$,
(ii) $\tau_{i j}$ NSO set if and only if $P \subseteq N_{c l}^{i} N_{\text {int }}^{j}(P)$,
(iii) $\tau_{i j}$ NPO set if and only if $P \subseteq N_{i n t}^{j} N_{c l}^{i}(P)$.

Theorem 2.7.[37]. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS.
(i) Every NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
(ii) Every NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NPO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.8.[37]. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS. Then $H$, an NS over $\Psi$ is said to be a pairwise NOS (in short PNOS) in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) if there exist an NOS $W_{1}$ in $\tau_{1}$ and another NOS $W_{2}$ in $\tau_{2}$ such that $H=W_{1} \cup W_{2}$.

Definition 2.9.[37]. An NS $H$ is called a pairwise NSO set (in short PNSO-set) in an NBTS ( $\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ if $H=W \cup L$, where $W$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSO set and $L$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.10.[37]. An NS $H$ is called a pairwise NPO set (in short PNPO-set) in an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ if $H=W \cup L$, where $W$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NPO set and $L$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NPO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 2.11.[37]. Assume that ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) be an NBTS.
(i) Every PNOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is a PNSO-set in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ );
(ii) Every PNOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is a PNPO-set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.12.[37]. An NS $H$ is called a pairwise N- $b$-O set (in short PN-b-OS) in an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ if $H=W \cup L$, where $W$ is a $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $L$ is a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. If $H$ is a PN-b-OS, then $H^{c}$ is called a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-closed set (in short PN-b-CS).

Theorem 2.13.[37]. In an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$,
(i) every $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{~N}-b$-O set is a PN- $b$-OS;
(ii) every $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{~N}-b$-C set is a PN-b-CS;
(iii) every PNSO-set is also a PN-b-OS;
(iv) every PNPO-set is also a PN-b-OS.

## 3. Pairwise Neutrosophic Simply $\boldsymbol{b}$-Open Set

In this section, we procure the concept of pairwise neutrosophic simply open set (in short PNSOS), pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping (in short PNS-C-mapping), pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open set (in short PNS-b-OS) and pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous function (in short PNS- $b$-C-mapping) via NBTSs.

Definition 3.1. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then $X$, an NS over $\Psi$ is called a $\tau_{i j}$ neutrosophic simply open set (in short $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS) if and only if $N_{i n t}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)$. The complement of $X$ is called a $\tau_{i j}$ neutrosophic simply closed set (in short $\tau_{i j}$ NSCS).

Example 3.2. Let $\Psi=\{a, b\}$ be a fixed set. Let $\tau_{1}=\left\{0_{N}, 1_{N}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}, \tau_{2}=\left\{0_{N}, 1_{N}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right\}$ be two different NTs on $\Psi$ such that $X_{1}=\{(a, 0.5,0.2,0.1),(b, 0.6,0.1,0.1)\}, X_{2}=\{(a, 0.9,0.1,0.1)$, $(b, 0.8,0.1,0.1)\}, \quad Y_{1}=\{(a, 0.4,0.3,0.2), \quad(b, 0.7,0.4,0.2)\}, \quad Y_{2}=\{(a, 0.6,0.1,0.2), \quad(b, 0.9,0.3,0.1)\}$. Therefore, $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS. Clearly, $X=\{(a, 0.1,0.8,0.8),(b, 0.2,0.8,0.9)\}$ is a $\tau_{12}$ NSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Remark 3.3. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then, every $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS may not be a $\tau_{j i}$ NSOS, which follows from the following example.

Example 3.4. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS as shown in Example 3.2. Then, $X=\{(a, 0.1,0.8,0.8)$, $(b, 0.2,0.8,0.9)\}$ is a $\tau_{12} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ but it is not a $\tau_{21} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then, the NSs $0_{N}$ and $1_{N}$ are both $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS and $\tau_{j i}$ NSOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).
Proof. The proof is so easy, so omitted.
Theorem 3.6. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS.
( $i$ ) If $X$ is an $\operatorname{NOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$;
(ii) If $X$ is an $\operatorname{NOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. ( $i$ ) Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Suppose that $X$ is an NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$. Therefore, $N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)$ $=X$. It is known that, $N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}(X)$ and $N_{c l}^{j}(X)=N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right)$. This implies, $N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right)$. Hence, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
(ii) The proof is similar to the proof of the first part of this theorem, so omitted.

Remark 3.7. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS. Every NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ may not be a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ). This follows from the following example.

Example 3.8. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS as shown in Example 3.2. Then, the NS $Y=\{(a, 0.4$, $0.3,0.2),(b, 0.7,0.4,0.2)\}$ is an $\operatorname{NOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{2}\right)$, but it is not a $\tau_{12} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.9. If $X$ is an NCS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSO}$ set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)(i, j=1,2 ; i \neq j)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS. Let $X$ be an NCS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in ( $\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)(i, j=1,2 ; i \neq j)$. Since $X$ is an NCS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$, so $N_{c l}^{j}(X)=X$. Further, since $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, so $X \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right)$
(1) Now,
$N_{c l}^{j}(X)=X$
$\Rightarrow N_{c l}^{j}(X) \subseteq X$
$\Rightarrow N_{c l}^{j}(X) \subseteq X \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right) \quad$ [Using equation(1)]
$\Rightarrow N_{c l}^{j}(X) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right)$
$\Rightarrow N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}(X) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right) \quad\left[\right.$ Since $\left.N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right]$
$\Rightarrow N_{i n t}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)$
Therefore, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
Theorem 3.10. Let $X$ be an $\operatorname{NOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NPC}$ set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Then, $X$ is a
$\tau_{i j}$ $\operatorname{NSOS}$ in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Proof. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS. Let $X$ be an NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i}$ NPC set in ( $\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)(i, j=1,2 ; i \neq j)$. Since $X$ is an NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$, so $N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)=X$. Further, since $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NPC set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, so $N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq X$
From equation (2), we have
$N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq X$
$\Rightarrow N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq X=N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)$
$\left[\right.$ Since $\left.X=N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right]$
$\Rightarrow N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{i n t}^{i}(X)$
$\Rightarrow N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right) \quad\left[\right.$ Since $\left.N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right)\right]$
$\Rightarrow N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{\text {int }}^{i}(X)\right)$
Therefore, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
Theorem 3.11. If $X$ is both $\tau_{j i}$ NPO set and $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in an NBTS ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ), then $X$ is also a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Let $X$ be both $\tau_{j i}$ NPO set and $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in an NBTS ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ). Since $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NPO set, so $X \subseteq N_{\text {int }}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right)$.

Further, since $X$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS, so $N_{i n t}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)$.
Therefore, from equations (3) and (4), we have $X \subseteq N_{i n t}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)$.
Which implies $X \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)$. Hence, $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.12. If $X$ is both $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, then $X$ is also a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS. Let $X$ be both $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since $X$ is a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b$-O set, so $X \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right) \cup N_{i n t}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right)$.
Further, since $X$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS, so $N_{i n t}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)$.
Now, from equations (5) and (6), we have $X \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}((X)) \cup N_{i n t}^{i}\left(N_{c l}^{j}(X)\right) \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)\right.$.
This means $X \subseteq N_{c l}^{j}\left(N_{i n t}^{i}(X)\right)$. Therefore, $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
Definition 3.13. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is an NBTS. Then, an NS $X$ over $\Psi$ is said to be a $\tau_{i j}$ neutrosophic simply $b$-open set (in short $\mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ ) in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) if and only if it is both $\tau_{j i}$ $\mathrm{N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. If $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, then $X^{c}$ is called a $\tau_{i j}$ neutrosophic simply $b$-closed set (in short $\mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{CS}$ ) in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Theorem 3.14. Every $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NS} b O S$ is also a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}\left(\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}\right.$ set $)$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Let $X$ be a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. By Definition $3.13, X$ is both $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS. Therefore, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, every $\tau_{i j}$ $\mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS.
Similarly, it can be shown that, every $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ is also a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
Proposition 3.15. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then, both $0_{N}$ and $1_{N}$ are $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ and $\tau_{j i}$ $\mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is so easy, so omitted.

Lemma 3.16. Let ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS.
(i) If $X$ is an NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$;
(ii) If $X$ is an NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Remark 3.17. Every NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ may not be a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, which follows from the following example.

Example 3.18. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS as shown in Example 3.2. Then, $Y=\{(a, 0.4,0.3,0.2)$, $(b, 0.7,0.4,0.2)\}$ is an $\operatorname{NOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{2}\right)$, but it is not a $\tau_{12} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.19. Every $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NS} b O S$ is also a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).
Proof. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Let $X$ be a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NS} b O S$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. By Definition $3.13, X$ is both $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Further, by Theorem 3.12, it is clear that $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, every $\tau_{i j}$ NSbOS is also a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.20. If $X$ is an NCS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $X$ be an NCS in the NTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in the NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. By Theorem 3.9, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since every $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set is a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b$-O set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}\right.$, $\tau_{2}$ ), so $X$ is a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b$-O set in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ). Therefore, $X$ is both $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in ( $\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.21. If $X$ is an $\operatorname{NOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NPC}$ set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $X$ be an NOS in the NTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ and a $\tau_{j i}$ NPC set in the NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. By Theorem 3.10, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ). Since every NOS in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ is a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set in ( $\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, so $X$ is a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $X$ is both $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{~N}-b-\mathrm{O}$ set and $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $X$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.22. If $X$ is both $\tau_{j i}$ NPO set and $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, then $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Proof. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Let $X$ be both $\tau_{j i}$ NPO set and $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since, every $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$, so $X$ is $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Now, by Theorem 3.11, $X$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSO set in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Definition 3.23. An NS $H$ is called a pairwise neutrosophic simply open set (in short PNSOS) in an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ if and only if $H=K \cup L$, where $K$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS and $L$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in ( $\Psi$, $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ). The complement of a PNSOS is called a pairwise neutrosophic simply closed set (in short PNSCS) in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).
Clearly, the NSs $0_{N}$ and $1_{N}$ are both PNSOSs and PNSCSs in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
Theorem 3.24. Every $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Let $X$ be a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Now, $X$ can be expressed by $X=X \cup 0_{N}$, where $X$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS and $0_{N}$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSOS. Hence, $X$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, every $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.25. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then, every PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is also a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $S$ be a PNOS in an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, there exists an NOS $E$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ and another NOS $F$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ such that $S=E \cup F$. Since every NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$, so $E$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Further, since every NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSOS, so $E$ is a $\tau_{j i}$ NSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $S$ is the union of a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ and a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $S$ is a PNSOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).
Definition 3.26. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. An NS $H$ is called a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open set (in short PNS $b \mathrm{OS}$ ) in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) if and only if $H$ can be expressed as $H=W \cup L$, where $W$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NS} b O S$ and $L$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. If $H$ is a PNSbOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, then $H^{c}$ is called a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-closed set (in short PNSbCS) in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Remark 3.27. In an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, the NSs $0_{N}$ and $1_{N}$ are both PNSbOS and PNSbCS in ( $\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.28. Let ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) be an NBTS. Then,
(i) every $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ is also a PNSbOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$;
(ii) every $\tau_{j i}$ NSbOS is also a PNS $b \mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Proof. ( $i$ ) Let us consider an NBTS ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ). Assume that $X$ be a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Now, $X$ can be expressed by $X=X \cup 0_{N}$, where $X$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSbOS and $0_{N}$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NS} b O S$ in ( $\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $X$ is a $\operatorname{PNSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, every $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is also a PNSbOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).
(ii) The proof is similar to the proof of the first part of this theorem, so omitted.

Theorem 3.29. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then, every PNOS in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is also a PNSbOS ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Proof. Suppose that $S$ be a PNOS in an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, there exists an NOS $E$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{i}\right)$ and another NOS $F$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ such that $S=E \cup F$. Since every NOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{i}$ ) is a $\tau_{i j}$ $\operatorname{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$, so $E$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Further, since every NOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{j}\right)$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$, so $E$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $S$ is the union of a $\tau_{i j} \mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ and a $\tau_{j i} \mathrm{NS} b \mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $S$ is a PNSbOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.30. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then, every PNSbOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is also a PNSOS ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Proof. Let $S$ be a PNSbOS in an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, there exists a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS} E$ and another $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS} F$ such that $S=E \cup F$. Since every $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ is a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS, so $E$ is a $\tau_{i j} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ). Further, since every $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSbOS}$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSOS}$, so $E$ is a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $S$ is the union of a $\tau_{i j}$ NSOS and a $\tau_{j i} \operatorname{NSOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $S$ is a PNSOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ).

Definition 3.31. Suppose that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be two NBTSs. Then, a one to one and onto mapping $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is called as
(i) pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping (in short PN-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$, whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$.
(ii) pairwise neutrosophic semi-continuous mapping (in short PN-Semi-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSO set in $\Psi$, whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$.
(iii) pairwise neutrosophic pre-continuous mapping (in short PN-Pre-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNPO set in $\Psi$, whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$.
(iv) pairwise neutrosophic $b$-continuous mapping (in short PN-b-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi$ ${ }^{1}(L)$ is a PN- $b$-OS in $\Psi$, whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$.
(v) pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping (in short PN-Simply-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\Psi$, whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$.
(vi) pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous mapping (in short PN-Simply-b-C-mapping) if and only if $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNS $b$ OS in $\Psi$, whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$.

Theorem 3.32. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ and $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be two PN-Cmappings. Then, the composition mapping $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is also a PN-C-mapping.

Proof. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ and $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be two PN-C-mappings. Assume that $Q$ be a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Since, $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-C-mapping, so $\zeta^{-1}(Q)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Further, since $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-C-mapping, so $\xi^{-1}\left(\zeta^{-1}(Q)\right)=(\zeta \circ \xi)^{-1}(Q)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $(\zeta \circ \xi)^{-1}(Q)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ whenever $Q$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-C-mapping.

Theorem 3.33. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a PN-Simply-C-mapping, and $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}\right.$, $\left.\delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be a PN-C-mapping. Then, the composition mapping $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}\right.$, $\theta_{2}$ ) is a PN-Simply-C-mapping.

Proof. Suppose that $S$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Since, $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-Cmapping, so $\zeta^{-1}(S)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Further, since $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-Simply-C-mapping, so $\xi^{-1}\left(\zeta^{-1}(S)\right)=(\zeta \circ \xi)^{-1}(S)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $(\zeta \circ \xi)^{-1}(Q)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, whenever $Q$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}\right.$, $\theta_{2}$ ) is a PN-Simply-C-mapping.

Theorem 3.34. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a PN-Simply-b-C-mapping and $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}\right.$, $\left.\delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be a PN-C-mapping. Then, the composition mapping $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}\right.$, $\theta_{2}$ ) is a PN-Simply- $b$-C-mapping.

Proof. Suppose that $S$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Since, $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-Cmapping, so $\zeta^{-1}(S)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Further, since $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-Simply- $b$-C-mapping, so $\xi^{-1}\left(\zeta^{-1}(S)\right)=(\zeta \circ \xi)^{-1}(S)$ is a PNSbOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $(\zeta \circ \xi)^{-1}(Q)$ is a PNS $b$ OS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, whenever $Q$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow(\Pi$, $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ ) is a PN-Simply-b-C-mapping.

## Theorem 3.35.

(i) Every pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic precontinuous mapping.
(ii) Every pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic semicontinuous mapping.
(iii) Every pairwise neutrosophic pre-continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$ continuous mapping.
(iv) Every pairwise neutrosophic semi-continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$ continuous mapping.
(v) Every pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$ continuous mapping.
(vi) Every pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping.
(vii) Every pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$ continuous mapping.
(viii) Every pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping.

Proof. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a bijective mapping from an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ to another $\operatorname{NBTS}\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$.
(i) Suppose that $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping and $L$ be a pairwise N-O-S in $\Omega$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$. Further,
since every PNOS is again a PNPO set, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNPO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi:(\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic pre-continuous mapping.
(ii) Assume that $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping. Let $L$ be a PNOS in $\Omega$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$. Further, since every PNOS is again a PNSO set, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow(\Omega$, $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ ) is a pairwise neutrosophic semi-continuous mapping.
(iii) Suppose that $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic pre-continuous mapping and $L$ be a PNOS in ( $\Omega$, $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ ). Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic pre-continuous mapping, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNPO set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since every PNPO set is a PN- $b$-OS, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PN- $b$-OS in $\Psi$. Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PN- $b$-OS in $\Psi$ whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$. Hence, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-continuous mapping.
(iv) Let $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic semi-continuous function. Let $L$ be a PNOS in $\Omega$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic semi-continuous function, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSO set in $\Psi$. Since every PNSO set is a PN- $b-\mathrm{OS}$, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PN- $b$-OS in $\Psi$. Hence, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PN- $b-\mathrm{OS}$ in $\Psi$ whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-continuous mapping.
(v) Let $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping, and $L$ be a PNOS in $\Omega$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic continuous mapping, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$. Again, since every PNOS is a PN-b-OS, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a $\mathrm{PN}-b-\mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-continuous mapping.
( vi ) Let $L$ be a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. By hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since every PNOS is a PNSOS, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}\right.$, $\left.\tau_{2}\right)$ whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Hence, the mapping $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply-continuous mapping.
(vii) Suppose that $L$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. By hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since every PNOS is a PNS $b O S$, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a $\operatorname{PNS} b O S$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNS $b \mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Hence, the mapping $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}\right.$, $\left.\tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous mapping.
(viii) Assume that $L$ be a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. By hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSbOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since every PNS $b O S$ is a PNSOS, so $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi^{-1}(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Hence, the mapping $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}\right.$, $\left.\tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping. Therefore, every pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping.

Definition 3.36. Let $\xi$ be a mapping from an $\operatorname{NBTS}\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ to another $\operatorname{NBTS}\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Then, $\xi$ is called as
(i) pairwise neutrosophic open mapping if and only if $\xi(K)$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$, whenever $K$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$;
(ii) pairwise neutrosophic pre-open mapping if and only if $\xi(K)$ is a PNPO set in $\Omega$, whenever $K$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$;
(iii) pairwise neutrosophic semi-open mapping if and only if $\xi(K)$ is a PNSO set in $\Omega$, whenever $K$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$;
(iv) pairwise neutrosophic $b$-open mapping if and only if $\xi(K)$ is a PN-b-OS in $\Omega$, whenever $K$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$;
(v) pairwise neutrosophic simply-open mapping if and only if $\xi(K)$ is a PNSOS in $\Omega$, whenever $K$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$;
(vi) pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping if and only if $\xi(K)$ is a PNSbOS in $\Omega$, whenever $K$ is a PNOS in $\Psi$.

Theorem 3.37. If $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ and $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be two pairwise neutrosophic open mappings, then their composition mapping $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is also a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping.

Proof. Assume that $Q$ be a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping, so $\xi(Q)$ is a $\operatorname{PNOS}$ in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Further, since $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow(\Pi$, $\left.\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping, so $\zeta(\xi(Q))=(\zeta \circ \xi)(Q)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Hence, $(\zeta \circ \xi)(Q)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$, whenever $Q$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping.

Theorem 3.38. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping and $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply open mapping. Then, the composition mapping $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is also a pairwise neutrosophic simply open mapping.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping, so $\xi(Q)$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Further, since $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open mapping, so $\zeta(\xi(Q))=(\zeta \circ \xi)(Q)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Hence, $(\zeta \circ \xi)(Q)$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$, whenever $Q$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, the composition mapping $\zeta_{\circ} \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open mapping.

Theorem 3.39. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping and $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping. Then, the composition mapping $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is also a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$ open mapping.

Proof. Suppose that $Q$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping, so $\xi(Q)$ is a $\operatorname{PNOS}$ in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Further, since $\zeta:\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow(\Pi$, $\left.\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping, so $\zeta(\xi(Q))=(\zeta \circ \xi)(Q)$ is a PNSbOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Hence, $(\zeta \circ \xi)(Q)$ is a PNSbOS in $\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$, whenever $Q$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}\right.$, $\left.\tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, the composition mapping $\zeta \circ \xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Pi, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping.

## Theorem 3.40.

(i) Every pairwise neutrosophic open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic pre-open mapping.
(ii) Every pairwise neutrosophic open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic semi-open mapping.
(iii) Every pairwise neutrosophic pre-open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-open mapping.
(iv) Every pairwise neutrosophic semi-open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-open mapping.
(v) Every pairwise neutrosophic open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-open mapping.
(vi) Every pairwise neutrosophic open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open mapping.
(vii) Every pairwise neutrosophic open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping.
(viii) Every pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open mapping.

Proof. Suppose that $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a one to one and onto mapping from an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ to another $\operatorname{NBTS}\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$.
(i) Let $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping. Assume that $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$. Further, since every PNOS is also a PNPO set, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNPO set in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PNPO set in $\Omega$ whenever $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Hence, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic pre-continuous mapping.
(ii) Let $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping. Assume that $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$. Further, since every PNOS is also a PNSO set, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNSO set in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PNSO set in $\Omega$ whenever $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Hence, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic semi-continuous mapping.
(iii) Suppose that $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic pre-open mapping. Let $L$ be a PNOS in ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}$, $\left.\tau_{2}\right)$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic pre-open mapping, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNPO set in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. It is known that, every PNPO set is a PN-b-OS. So $\xi(L)$ is a PN- $b-\mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PN-b-OS in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ whenever $L$ is a $\operatorname{PNOS}$ in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-open mapping.
(iv) Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic semi-open mapping. Let $L$ be a PNOS in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic semi-open mapping, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNSO set in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. It is known that, every PNSO set is a PN-b-OS. So $\xi(L)$ is a PN- $b-\mathrm{OS}$ in $(\Omega$, $\left.\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PN- $b-\mathrm{OS}$ in $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ whenever $L$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Hence, $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-open mapping.
(v) Let $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping. Assume that $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Since $\xi$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$. Further, since every PNOS is also a PN-b-OS, so $\xi(L)$ is a PN-b-OS in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PN-b-OS in $\Omega$ whenever $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Hence, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic $b$-continuous mapping.
(vi) Let $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping. Assume that $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. By hypothesis, $\xi(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$. Further, since every PNOS is also a PNSOS, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\Omega$ whenever $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Hence, $\xi$ : $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}\right.$, $\left.\tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply-continuous mapping.
(vii) Assume that $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open mapping. Let $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. By hypothesis, $\xi(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$. Further, since every PNOS is also a PNSbOS, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNS $b$ OS in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PNS $b$ OS in $\Omega$ whenever $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Hence, $\xi:(\Psi$, $\left.\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous mapping.
(viii) Suppose that $\xi$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open mapping. Let $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. By hypothesis, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNS $b$ OS in $\Omega$. Further, since every PNS $b$ OS is also a PNSOS, so $\xi(L)$ is a PNSOS in $\Omega$. Therefore, $\xi(L)$ is a PNOS in $\Omega$ whenever $L$ be a PNOS in $\Psi$. Hence, $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply continuous mapping.

## 4. Pairwise Neutrosophic Simply $\boldsymbol{b}$-Compactness

In this section, an attempt is made to procure the concept of pairwise neutrosophic compact (in short PN-compact) set, pairwise neutrosophic simply compact (in short PNS-compact) set, and pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-compact (in short PNS-b-compact) set via NBTS.

Definition 4.1. A family $\left\{X_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$, where $\Delta$ is an index set and $X_{\alpha}$ is a PNOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, is called a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of an NS $X$ if $X \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_{\alpha}$.

Definition 4.2. An NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called a PN-compact space if each pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $1_{N}$ has a finite sub-cover.

Definition 4.3. An NS $B$ over $\Psi$ is called a PN-compact relative to ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) if every pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $B$ has a finite pairwise neutrosophic open sub-cover.

Theorem 4.4. Every pairwise neutrosophic closed sub-set of a PN-compact space $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is PN -compact relative to $\Psi$.

Proof. Assume that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a PN-compact space. Suppose that $K$ is a pairwise neutrosophic closed sub-set of $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $K^{c}$ is a PNOS in ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Suppose that $U=\left\{U_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$ and $U_{i}$ is a PNOS in $\left.\Psi\right\}$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $K$. Then, $\mathcal{H}=\left\{K^{c}\right\} \cup U$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $1_{N}$. Since, ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space, so it has a finite sub-cover say $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots \ldots, H_{n}, K^{c}\right\}$. This implies, $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}\right.$, $\left.\ldots \ldots ., H_{n}\right\}$ is a finite pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $K$. Hence, $K$ is a PN-compact set relative to $\Psi$.

Theorem 4.5. If $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-C-mapping, then for each PN-compact set $Q$ relative to $\Psi, \xi(Q)$ is a PN -compact set relative to $\Omega$.

Proof. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a PN-C-mapping. Let $Q$ be a PN-compact set relative to $\Psi$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$, and $H_{i}$ is a PNOS in $\left.\Omega\right\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\xi(Q)$. By hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(\mathcal{H})=\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right): i \in \Delta\right.$ and $\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)$ is a PNOS in $\left.\Psi\right\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\xi^{-1}(\xi(Q))=Q$. Since, $Q$ is a PN-compact set relative to $\Psi$, so there exists a finite pairwise neutrosophic simply open sub-cover of $Q$ say $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ such that $Q \subseteq \mathrm{U}_{i}\left\{H_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. Now, $Q \subseteq \mathrm{U}_{i}\left\{H_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$, which implies $\xi(Q) \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. Therefore, there exist a finite pairwise neutrosophic open sub-cover $\left\{\xi\left(H_{1}\right), \xi\left(H_{2}\right), \xi\left(H_{3}\right), \ldots, \xi\left(H_{n}\right)\right\}$ of $\xi(Q)$ such that $\xi(Q) \subseteq$ $\mathrm{U}_{i}\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. Hence, $\xi(Q)$ is a PN-compact set relative to $\Omega$.

Theorem 4.6. If $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open function and $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}\right.$, $\left.\delta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space, then $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is also a PN-compact space.
Proof. Assume that $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open function. Let ( $\Omega$, $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ ) be a PN-compact space. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$ and $H_{i}$ is a PNOS in $\left.\Psi\right\}$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\Psi$. Therefore, $\xi(\mathcal{H})=\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i \in \Delta\right.$ and $\xi\left(H_{i}\right)$ is a PNOS in $\left.\Omega\right\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\Omega$. Since, $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space, so there exists a finite sub-cover say $\left\{\xi\left(H_{1}\right), \xi\left(H_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots ., \xi\left(H_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$ such that $1_{N} \subseteq \cup\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. This implies, $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ is a finite sub-cover for $\Psi$. Hence, $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space.

Definition 4.7. A family $\left\{X_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$, where $\Delta$ is an index set and $X_{\alpha}$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, is called a pairwise neutrosophic simply open cover of a NS $X$ if $X \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_{\alpha}$.

Definition 4.8. An NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is said to be a PNS-compact space if each pairwise neutrosophic simply-open cover of $1_{N}$ has a finite sub-cover.

Definition 4.9. An NS $B$ of an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called a PNS-compact relative to $\Psi$ if every pairwise neutrosophic simply-open cover of $B$ has a finite sub-cover.

Theorem 4.10. Every pairwise neutrosophic simply-closed subset of a PNS-compact space ( $\Psi$, $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is PNS-compact relative to $\Psi$.

Proof. Suppose that ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is a PNS-compact space, and $K$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply-closed sub-set of $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. So, $K^{c}$ is a PNSOS in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Assume that $G=\left\{G_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$ and $G_{i}$ is a PNSOS in $\left.\Psi\right\}$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply-open cover of $K$. Then, $\mathcal{H}=\left\{K^{c}\right\} \cup G$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply-open cover of $1_{N}$. Since, $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PNScompact space, so it has a finite sub-cover say $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots ., H_{n}, K^{c}\right\}$. This implies, $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}\right.$, $\left.H_{3}, \ldots ., H_{n}\right\}$ is a finite pairwise neutrosophic simply-open cover of $K$. Hence, $K$ is a PNScompact set relative to $\Psi$.

Theorem 4.11. Every PNS-compact space is a PN-compact space.
Proof. Suppose that ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a PNS-compact space. Therefore, every pairwise neutrosophic simply-open cover of $1_{N}$ has a finite sub-cover. Suppose that ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) may not be a PNcompact space. Then, there exists a pairwise neutrosophic open cover $\mathcal{H}$ (say) of $1_{N}$, which has no finite sub-cover. Since, every PNOS is a PNSOS, so we have a pairwise neutrosophic simply-open cover $\mathcal{H}$ of $1_{N}$, which has no finite sub-cover. This contradicts the fact that ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}$, $\tau_{2}$ ) is a PNS-compact space. Hence, the NBTS ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space.

Theorem 4.12. If $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PN-Simply-C-mapping, then for each PNScompact set $Q$ relative to $\Psi, \xi(Q)$ is a PN -compact set relative to $\Omega$.

Proof. Assume that $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a PN-Simply-C-mapping, and $Q$ be a PNScompact set relative to $\Psi$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$, and $H_{i}$ is a PNOS in $\left.\Omega\right\}$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\xi(Q)$. By our hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(\mathcal{H})=\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)\right.$ : where $i \in \Delta$ and $\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)$ is a PNSOS in $\Psi\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open cover of $\xi^{-1}(\xi(Q))=Q$. Since, $Q$ is a PNS-compact set relative to $\Psi$, so there exists a finite pairwise neutrosophic simply open subcover of $Q$ say $\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{1}\right), \xi^{-1}\left(H_{2}\right), \xi^{-1}\left(H_{3}\right), \ldots . ., \xi^{-1}\left(H_{n}\right)\right\}$ such that $Q \subseteq U_{i}\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. Now, $Q \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$, so we have $\xi(Q) \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{\xi\left(\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$, which means $\xi(Q) \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{H_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$.
Therefore, there exist a finite pairwise neutrosophic open sub-cover $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ of $\xi(Q)$ such that $\xi(Q) \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{H_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. Hence, $\xi(Q)$ is a PN-compact set relative to $\Omega$.

Theorem 4.13. If $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open function and $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PNS-compact space, then ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space.

Proof. Suppose that $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open function. Let $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a PNS-compact space. Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$ and $H_{i}$ is a PNOS in $\left.\Psi\right\}$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\Psi$. Therefore, $\xi(\mathcal{H})=\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i \in \Delta\right.$ and $\xi\left(H_{i}\right)$ is a PNSOS in $\left.\Omega\right\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply open cover of $\Omega$. Since, $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PNS-compact space, so there exists a finite sub-cover say $\left\{\xi\left(H_{1}\right), \xi\left(H_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots ., \xi\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$ such that $1_{N} \subseteq \cup\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2\right.$, $\ldots, n\}$. This implies, $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ is a finite pairwise neutrosophic open sub-cover for $\Psi$. Hence, $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space.

Definition 4.14. A family $\left\{X_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right.$ and $X_{\alpha}$ is a $\operatorname{PNS} b O S$ in $\left.\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)\right\}$, where $\Delta$ is an index set, is called a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of a N -set $X$ if $X \subseteq \mathrm{U}_{\alpha \in \Delta} X$.

Definition 4.15. An NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called a PNS- $b$-compact space if each pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of $1_{N}$ has a finite sub-cover.

Definition 4.16. An neutrosophic subset $B$ of an NBTS $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is said to be a PNS- $b$-compact relative to $\Psi$ if every pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of $B$ has a finite sub-cover.

Theorem 4.17. Let $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be an NBTS. Then, every pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-closed subset of a PNS- $b$-compact space ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is PNS- $b$-compact relative to $\Psi$.
Proof. Assume that ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) be a PNS- $b$-compact space, and $K$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-closed sub-set of ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. So, $K^{c}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open set in $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Suppose that $G=\left\{G_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$ and $G_{i}$ is a PNSbOS in $\left.\Psi\right\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of $K$. Then, $\mathcal{H}=\left\{K^{c}\right\} \cup G$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of $1_{N}$. Since, $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PNS- $b$-compact space, so it has a finite sub-cover say $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}\right.$, $\left.\ldots . ., H_{n}, K^{c}\right\}$. This implies, $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots ., H_{n}\right\}$ is a finite pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$ open cover of $K$. Hence, $K$ is a PNS- $b$-compact set relative to $\Psi$.
Theorem 4.18. Every PNS- $b$-compact space is a PN-compact space.
Proof. Assume that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a PNS- $b$-compact space. Therefore, every pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of $1_{N}$ has a finite sub-cover. Assume that ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) may not be a PN-compact space. Then, there exists a pairwise neutrosophic open cover $\mathcal{H}$ (say) of $1_{N}$, which has no finite sub-cover. Since, every PNOS is a PNS $b \mathrm{OS}$, so we have a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover $\mathcal{H}$ of $1_{N}$, which has no finite sub-cover. This contradicts the fact that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PNS- $b$-compact space. Hence, $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space.
Theorem 4.19. Every PNS-compact space is a PNS-b-compact space.
Proof. Let ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) be a PNS-compact space. Therefore, every pairwise neutrosophic simply open cover of $1_{N}$ has a finite sub-cover. Let ( $\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) may not be a PNS- $b$-compact space. Then, there exists a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover $\mathcal{H}$ (say) of $1_{N}$, which has no finite sub-cover. Since, every PNS $b$ OS is a PNSOS, so we have a pairwise neutrosophic simply open cover $\mathcal{H}$ of $1_{N}$, which has no finite sub-cover. This contradicts the fact that $\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PNS-compact space. Hence, ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PNS- $b$-compact space.
Theorem 4.20. If $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous function, then for each PNS-b-compact set $Q$ relative to $\Psi, \xi(Q)$ is a PN-compact set relative to $\Omega$.

Proof. Assume that $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-continuous function, and $Q$ be a PNS- $b$-compact set relative to $\Psi$. Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$, and $H_{i}$ is a PNOS in $\Omega\}$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\xi(Q)$. By hypothesis, $\xi^{-1}(\mathcal{H})=\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right): i \in \Delta\right.$ and $\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)$ is a PNS $b$ OS in $\left.\Psi\right\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of $\xi^{-1}(\xi(Q))=Q$. Since, $Q$ is a PNS-compact set relative to $\Psi$, so there exists a finite pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open sub-cover of $Q$ say $\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{1}\right), \xi^{-1}\left(H_{2}\right), \xi^{-1}\left(H_{3}\right), \ldots, \xi^{-1}\left(H_{n}\right)\right\}$ such that $Q \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right): i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Now, $Q \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. This gvies $\xi(Q) \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{\xi\left(\xi^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)\right): i=1,2\right.$, $\ldots, n\}$, which implies $\xi(Q) \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{H_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots ., n\right\}$. Therefore, there exist a finite pairwise neutrosophic open sub-cover $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ of $\xi(Q)$ such that $\xi(Q) \subseteq \cup_{i}\left\{H_{i}: i=1,2\right.$, $\ldots ., n\}$. Hence, $\xi(Q)$ is a PN-compact set relative to $\Omega$.

Theorem 4.21. If $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open function and $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PNS-b-compact space, then ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space.

Proof. Let $\xi:\left(\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open function. Suppose that $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ be a PNS- $b$-compact space. Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{i}: i \in \Delta\right.$ and $H_{i}$ is a pairwise N-OS in $\Psi\}$ be a pairwise neutrosophic open cover of $\Psi$. Therefore, $\xi(\mathcal{H})=\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i \in \Delta\right.$ and $\xi\left(H_{i}\right)$ is a PNSbOS in $\Omega\}$ is a pairwise neutrosophic simply $b$-open cover of $\Omega$. Since, $\left(\Omega, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ is a PNS- $b$-compact space, so there exists a finite sub-cover say $\left\{\xi\left(H_{1}\right), \xi\left(H_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots ., \xi\left(H_{n}\right)\right\}$ such that $1_{N} \subseteq \cup\left\{\xi\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. This implies, $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ is a finite pairwise neutrosophic open sub-cover for $\Psi$. Hence, ( $\left.\Psi, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a PN-compact space.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have introduced the PNSOS, PNS-C-mapping, PNS-compactness, PNS $b$ OS, PNS- $b$-C-mapping and PNS- $b$-compactness via NBTSs. By defining PNSOS, PNS-C-mapping, PNS-compactness, PNSbOS, PNS- $b$-C-mapping and PNS- $b$-compactness, we have established several results on NBTSs, and furnished few illustrative examples. In the future, we hope that based on these notions in NBTSs, many new investigations like pairwise neutrosophic simply separation axioms, pairwise neutrosophic simply connectedness, etc. can be carried out by the researchers around the globe via NBTSs.

Further, the proposed notions can be applied in the field of Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic Topological Space [27], Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Topological Space [42], Rough Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Topological Space [43], Neutrosophic Tri-topological Space [44], Neutrosophic Soft Bitopological Spaces [40]. Neutrosophic $d$-Algebra [45], etc. Also, it is claimed that, this study has no limitations at all.
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