



On Soft LC-Spaces and Weak Forms of Soft LC-Spaces

Sabiha I. Mahmood

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

The main purpose of this article is to study the soft LC-spaces as soft spaces in which every soft Lindelöf subset of \tilde{U} is soft closed. Also, we study the weak forms of soft LC-spaces and we discussed their relationships with soft LC-spaces as well as among themselves.

Keywords: Soft F_{σ} -closed set, soft compact space, soft Lindelöf space, soft LC-space, soft L_i -space, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, soft KC-space, and soft P-space.

حول فضاءات LC-الميسرة والصيغة الضعيفة لفضاءات LC-الميسرة

صبيحة إبراهيم محمود

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، الجامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

الهدف من هذه المقالة هو دراسة فضاءات LC-الميسرة وهي الفضاءات الميسرة التي فيها كل مجموعة جزئية لندولوف ميسرة مغلقة ميسرة. كذلك درسنا الصيغة الضعيفة لفضاءات LC-الميسرة وناقشنا علاقاتهم مع فضاءات LC-الميسرة كذلك علاقاتهم مع بعضهم.

Introduction

Molodtsov [1] in 1999 introduced and studied soft set theory as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainty while modeling problems in medical sciences, economics, computer science, engineering physics and social sciences. Shabir and Naz [2] in 2011 investigated the notion of soft topological spaces over an initial universe set with a fixed set of parameters. Molodtsov and et. al. [3] in 2006 and Rong [4] in 2012 introduced and studied soft compact spaces and soft Lindelöf spaces respectively. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a new type of soft spaces called soft LC-spaces and we show that a soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space if and only if each soft point in \tilde{U} has a soft closed neighborhood that is a soft LC-space. Moreover we discussed weak forms of soft LC-spaces such as soft L_1 -spaces, soft L_2 -spaces, soft L_3 -spaces and soft L_4 -spaces. The characteristics of these soft spaces and the relation among them also have been studied.

1. Preliminaries:

In this paper P is the set of parameters, U is an initial universe set, $P(U)$ is the power set of U , and $A \subseteq P$.

Definition (1.1) [1]: A soft set over U is a pair (H, A) , where H is a function defined by $H: A \rightarrow P(U)$ and A is a non-empty subset of P .

Definition (1.2)[5]: A soft set (H, A) over U is called a soft point if there is $e \in A$ such that $H(e) = \{u\}$ for some $u \in U$ and $H(e') = \emptyset, \forall e' \in A \setminus \{e\}$ and is denoted by $\tilde{u} = (e, \{u\})$.

*Email: ssabihaa@uomustansiriya.edu.iq

Definition (1.3)[5]: A soft point $\tilde{u} = (e, \{u\})$ is called belongs to a soft set (H, A) if $e \in A$ and $u \in H(e)$, and is denoted by $\tilde{u} \tilde{\in} (H, A)$.

Definition (1.4)[2]: A soft topology on U is a family $\tilde{\tau}$ of soft subsets of \tilde{U} having the following properties:

(i) $\tilde{U} \tilde{\in} \tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\in} \tilde{\tau}$.

(ii) If $(H_1, P), (H_2, P) \tilde{\in} \tilde{\tau} \Rightarrow (H_1, P) \tilde{\cap} (H_2, P) \tilde{\in} \tilde{\tau}$.

(iii) If $(H_j, P) \tilde{\in} \tilde{\tau}, \forall j \in \Omega \Rightarrow \bigcup_{j \in \Omega} (H_j, P) \tilde{\in} \tilde{\tau}$.

The triple $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft topological space. The members of $\tilde{\tau}$ are called soft open sets over U . The complement of a soft open set is called soft closed.

Definition (1.5) [6]: Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft topological space and $(H, P) \tilde{\subseteq} \tilde{U}$. Then the soft closure of (H, P) , denoted by $\text{cl}((H, P))$ is the intersection of all soft closed sets in \tilde{U} which contains (H, P) .

Definition (1.6)[2]: If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft topological space and $\tilde{\phi} \neq (Y, P) \tilde{\subseteq} \tilde{U}$. The family $\tilde{\tau}_{(Y, P)} = \{(V, P) \tilde{\cap} (Y, P) : (V, P) \tilde{\in} \tilde{\tau}\}$ is called the relative soft topology on (Y, P) and $((Y, P), \tilde{\tau}_{(Y, P)}, P)$ is called a soft subspace of $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$.

Definition (1.7)[7]: A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space if for any two distinct soft points \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} of \tilde{U} , there exists a soft open set in \tilde{U} containing \tilde{x} but not \tilde{y} and a soft open set in \tilde{U} containing \tilde{y} but not \tilde{x} .

Theorem (1.8)[7]: A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space if and only if each soft point in \tilde{U} is soft closed.

Definition (1.9)[7]: A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space if for any two distinct soft points \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} of \tilde{U} , there are two soft open sets (H, P) and (K, P) in \tilde{U} such that $\tilde{x} \tilde{\in} (H, P)$, $\tilde{y} \tilde{\in} (K, P)$, and $(H, P) \tilde{\cap} (K, P) = \tilde{\phi}$.

Definition (1.10)[7]: A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft regular space if for any soft closed set (F, P) in \tilde{U} and any soft point \tilde{x} in \tilde{U} such that $\tilde{x} \tilde{\notin} (F, P)$ there exists two soft open sets (H, P) and (K, P) in \tilde{U} such that $\tilde{x} \tilde{\in} (H, P)$, $(F, P) \tilde{\subseteq} (K, P)$ and $(H, P) \tilde{\cap} (K, P) = \tilde{\phi}$.

Definition (1.11)[3]: A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called soft compact if every soft open cover of \tilde{U} has a finite soft subcover.

Theorem (1.12)[8]: A soft closed subset of a soft compact space is soft compact.

Theorem (1.13)[9]: A soft compact set in a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space is soft closed.

Definition (1.14)[4]: A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called soft Lindelöf if every soft open cover of \tilde{U} has a countable soft subcover.

Theorem (1.15)[8]: A soft closed subset of a soft Lindelöf space is soft Lindelöf.

2. Soft LC-Spaces and Weak Forms of Soft LC-Spaces

Now, we introduce and study new types of soft spaces called soft LC-spaces also, we study weak forms of soft LC-spaces such as soft L_1 -spaces, soft L_2 -spaces, soft L_3 -spaces and soft L_4 -spaces. Further we discussed the equivalent definitions of these soft spaces and the relation among them.

Definition (2.1): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft LC-space if every soft Lindelöf subset of \tilde{U} is soft closed.

Definition (2.2): A soft subset (F, P) of a soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called soft F_σ -closed if it is the soft union of a countable soft closed sets.

Definition (2.3): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft P-space if every soft F_σ -closed set in \tilde{U} is soft closed.

Definition (2.4): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called:

- (i) A soft L_1 -space if every soft Lindelöf F_σ -closed set in \tilde{U} is a soft closed set.
- (ii) A soft L_2 -space if $cl((L, P))$ is soft Lindelöf whenever (L, P) is a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} .
- (iii) A soft L_3 -space if every soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} is a soft F_σ -closed set.
- (iv) A soft L_4 -space if whenever (L, P) is a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} , then there is a soft Lindelöf F_σ -closed set (F, P) in \tilde{U} such that $(L, P) \subseteq (F, P) \subseteq cl((L, P))$.

Theorem (2.5):

- (i) If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_i -space, $i=1,2,3,4$.
- (ii) If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space and a soft L_3 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (iii) If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space and a soft L_4 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space.
- (iv) Every soft L_2 -space is a soft L_4 -space and every soft L_3 -space is a soft L_4 -space.
- (v) Every soft L_3 -space is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.
- (vi) Every soft Lindelöf space is a soft L_2 -space and every soft L_2 -space having a soft dense Lindelöf set is soft Lindelöf.
- (vii) The property soft L_3 is soft hereditary and the properties L_1, L_2 and L_4 are soft hereditary on a soft F_σ -closed set.
- (viii) The property soft LC-space is soft hereditary.
- (ix) Every soft P-space is a soft L_1 -space.

Proof: (i) It is obvious.

(ii) Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} , since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space, then (L, P) is soft F_σ -closed, but $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space, then (L, P) is a soft closed set in \tilde{U} . Thus $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(iii) Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} , since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_4 -space, then there is a soft Lindelöf F_σ -closed set (F, P) in \tilde{U} such that $(L, P) \subseteq (F, P) \subseteq cl((L, P))$. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space, then (F, P) is soft closed. Hence $cl((L, P)) \subseteq (F, P) \subseteq cl((L, P))$, thus $cl((L, P)) = (F, P)$ is a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} . Therefore $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space.

(iv) Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} , since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space, then $cl((L, P))$ is soft Lindelöf. Hence $(L, P) \subseteq cl((L, P)) \subseteq cl((L, P))$. Since $cl((L, P))$ is soft closed, then there is $(F, P) = cl((L, P))$ is a soft Lindelöf F_σ -closed set in \tilde{U} such that $(L, P) \subseteq (F, P) \subseteq cl((L, P))$. Therefore $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_4 -space. Similarly, we can prove $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_4 -space, if $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space.

(v) Since $\{\tilde{x}\}$ is a soft Lindelöf set and $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space, then $\{\tilde{x}\}$ is a soft F_σ -closed set. Therefore $\{\tilde{x}\}$ is soft closed. Thus $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

(vi) Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} , since $cl((L, P))$ is soft closed in $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ which is a soft Lindelöf space, then by theorem (1.15), $cl((L, P))$ is soft Lindelöf in \tilde{U} . Thus $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space. Also, if $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space having a soft dense Lindelöf set (L, P) , then $cl((L, P)) = \tilde{U}$. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is soft Lindelöf.

(vii) Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft L_1 -space and $(Y, \tilde{\tau}_Y, P)$ be a soft F_σ -closed subspace of $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$. To prove that $(Y, \tilde{\tau}_Y, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space. Let (A, P) be a soft Lindelöf F_σ -closed set in \tilde{Y} . Since $\tilde{Y} \subseteq \tilde{U}$, then (A, P) is soft Lindelöf in \tilde{U} and $(A, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F'_n, P)$, where (F'_n, P) is soft closed in \tilde{Y} ,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ thus } (A, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\tilde{Y} \tilde{\cap} (F_n, P)) = \tilde{Y} \tilde{\cap} (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F_n, P)), \text{ Where } (F_n, P) \text{ is soft closed in } \tilde{U},$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Since } \tilde{Y} \text{ is a soft } F_\sigma\text{-closed set in } \tilde{U}, \text{ then } \tilde{Y} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (G_m, P), \text{ where } (G_m, P) \text{ is soft closed}$$

$$\text{in } \tilde{U}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Hence } (A, P) = (\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (G_m, P)) \tilde{\cap} (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F_n, P)) = \bigcup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} ((G_m, P) \tilde{\cap} (F_n, P)), \text{ but}$$

$$(G_m, P) \tilde{\cap} (F_n, P) \text{ is soft closed in } \tilde{U}, \text{ thus } (A, P) \text{ is a soft union of a countable soft closed sets in } \tilde{U}, \text{ hence } (A, P) \text{ is a soft } F_\sigma\text{-closed set in } \tilde{U}. \text{ Since } (U, \tilde{\tau}, P) \text{ is a soft } L_1\text{-space, then } (A, P) \text{ is soft closed in } \tilde{U} \Rightarrow (A, P) = \tilde{Y} \tilde{\cap} (A, P) = \tilde{Y} \tilde{\cap} (\bigcup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} ((G_m, P) \tilde{\cap} (F_n, P))) = \bigcup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} [\tilde{Y} \tilde{\cap} ((G_m, P) \tilde{\cap} (F_n, P))]$$

is soft closed in \tilde{Y} . Therefore $(Y, \tilde{\tau}_Y, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space. Similarly, we can prove other cases.

(viii) It is obvious.

(ix) It is obvious.

Theorem (2.6): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space if and only if each soft point in \tilde{U} has a soft closed neighborhood that is a soft LC-subspace.

Proof: If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space, then for each $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}$, \tilde{U} itself is a soft closed neighborhood that is a soft LC-space. Conversely, let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} and let $\tilde{x} \notin (L, P)$. Choose a soft closed neighborhood $(W, P)_{\tilde{x}}$ of \tilde{x} such that $((W, P)_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\tau}_{(W, P)_{\tilde{x}}}, P)$ is a soft LC-subspace. Then $(W, P)_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{\cap} (L, P)$ is soft Lindelöf in the soft subspace $((W, P)_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\tau}_{(W, P)_{\tilde{x}}}, P)$. Since $((W, P)_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\tau}_{(W, P)_{\tilde{x}}}, P)$ is a soft LC-space, therefore $(W, P)_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{\cap} (L, P)$ is soft closed in $((W, P)_{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\tau}_{(W, P)_{\tilde{x}}}, P)$ and so also soft closed in $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$. Hence $(W, P)_{\tilde{x}} - (W, P)_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{\cap} (L, P) = (W, P)_{\tilde{x}} - (L, P)$ is soft open neighborhood of \tilde{x} in $(W, P)_{\tilde{x}}$ soft disjoint from (L, P) , that is (L, P) is soft closed in $(W, P)_{\tilde{x}}$. Thus (L, P) is soft closed in $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$.

Definition (2.7): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft Q-set space if each soft subset of \tilde{U} is a soft F_σ -closed set.

Definition (2.8): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft hereditarily Lindelöf if each soft subspace of \tilde{U} is soft Lindelöf.

Proposition (2.9):(i) Every soft Q-set space is a soft L_3 -space.

(ii) Every soft hereditarily Lindelöf L_3 -space is a soft Q-set space.

Proof: (i) Let (L, E) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} , since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space, then (L, P) is a soft F_σ -closed set. Thus $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space.

(ii) Let (L, P) be a soft subset of \tilde{U} , since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft hereditarily Lindelöf, then (L, P) is a soft Lindelöf set in $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ which is a soft L_3 -space, then (L, P) is a soft F_σ -closed set in \tilde{U} . Hence

$(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space.

Corollary (2.10):(i) Every soft Q-set space is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

(ii) Every soft hereditarily Lindelöf LC-space is a soft Q-set space.

(iii) Every soft L_1 Q-set space is a soft LC-space.

(iv) Every soft L_3 P-space is a soft LC-space.

(v) Every soft P Q-set space is a soft LC-space.

Proof: (i) If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space, then by proposition ((2.9),(i)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space, hence $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space by theorem ((2.5), (v)).

(ii) Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space, then by theorem (2.5),(i), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space, but $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft hereditarily Lindelöf, then by proposition ((2.9),(ii)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space.

(iii) Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space, then by proposition ((2.9),(i)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space by theorem (2.5),(ii).

(iv) It is obvious.

(v) If (L, P) is a soft Lindelöf set in $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ which is a soft Q-set space, then (L, P) is a soft F_σ -closed set, but $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space, so (L, P) is a soft closed set. Hence $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

Corollary (2.11): Every soft L_i Q-set space is soft hereditary, $i = 1,2,4$.

Proof: This is obvious by theorem ((2.5), (vii)) and definition (2.7).

Corollary (2.12): For a soft hereditarily Lindelöf P-space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.9),(ii)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by corollary ((2.10),v).

Definition (2.13): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft KC-space if every soft compact subset of \tilde{U} is soft closed.

Proposition (2.14):

(i) Every soft \tilde{T}_2 -space is a soft KC-space.

(ii) Every soft KC-space is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

Proof: It is obvious.

Proposition (2.15):

(i) Every soft LC-space is a soft KC-space.

(ii) Every soft LC-space is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

Proof: It is obvious.

Theorem (2.16): Every soft \tilde{T}_2 P-space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

Proof: Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} . To prove that (L, P) is soft closed in \tilde{U} . Let $\tilde{x} \in (L, P)^c \Rightarrow \forall \tilde{y} \in (L, P)$, we get $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{y}$, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space, then $\exists (H, P)_{\tilde{x}}$ and $(K, P)_{\tilde{y}}$ are soft open sets in \tilde{U} such that $\tilde{x} \in (H, P)_{\tilde{x}}$, $\tilde{y} \in (K, P)_{\tilde{y}}$ and $(H, P)_{\tilde{x}} \cap (K, P)_{\tilde{y}} = \tilde{\phi}$. Hence $(L, P) \subseteq \bigcup_{\tilde{y} \in (L, P)} (K, P)_{\tilde{y}}$, thus $\{(K, P)_{\tilde{y}} : \tilde{y} \in (L, P)\}$ is a soft open cover of (L, P) . Since (L, P)

is soft Lindelöf $\Rightarrow \exists \{(K, P)_{\tilde{y}_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable soft subcover of (L, P) . Let

$(W, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (K, P)_{\tilde{y}_n}$ and $(V, P) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (H, P)_{\tilde{x}_n} \Rightarrow (W, P)$ is soft open, since it is a soft union of soft

open sets and (V, P) is also soft open, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space and soft intersection of a countable soft open sets is soft open. Hence $\tilde{x} \in (V, P)$ and $(L, P) \subseteq (W, P)$. To prove that $(V, P) \tilde{\cap} (W, P) = \tilde{\varphi}$. Since $(H, P)_{\tilde{x}_n} \tilde{\cap} (K, P)_{\tilde{y}_n} = \tilde{\varphi}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow (V, P) \tilde{\cap} (K, P)_{\tilde{y}_n} = \tilde{\varphi}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow (V, P) \tilde{\cap} (W, P) = \tilde{\varphi} \Rightarrow (V, P) \tilde{\cap} (L, P) = \tilde{\varphi} \Rightarrow \tilde{x} \in (V, P) \subseteq (L, P)^c \Rightarrow (L, P)^c$ is soft open $\Rightarrow (L, P)$ is soft closed. Thus $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

Corollary (2.17): Every soft \tilde{T}_1 -regular P-space is a soft LC -space.

Proof: Let $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{U}$ such that $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{y}$. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space, then by theorem (1.8), $\{\tilde{x}\}$ is soft closed in \tilde{U} and $\tilde{y} \notin \{\tilde{x}\}$. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft regular space, then by definition (1.10), $\exists (H, P)$ and (K, P) are soft open sets in \tilde{U} such that $\{\tilde{x}\} \subseteq (H, P)$, $\tilde{y} \in (K, P)$ and $(H, P) \tilde{\cap} (K, P) = \tilde{\varphi}$. Hence $\exists (H, P)$ and (K, P) are soft open sets in \tilde{U} such that $\tilde{x} \in (H, P)$, $\tilde{y} \in (K, P)$ and $(H, P) \tilde{\cap} (K, P) = \tilde{\varphi} \Rightarrow (U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space, then by theorem (2.16), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

Proposition (2.18): Countable soft union of soft Lindelöf sets is soft Lindelöf.

Proof: Let $\{(A_n, P)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable family of soft Lindelöf sets in \tilde{U} . To prove that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n, P)$ is soft Lindelöf. Let $\{(V_\alpha, P)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be any soft open cover of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n, P) \Rightarrow \{(V_\alpha, P)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is soft open cover of (A_n, P) , $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since (A_n, P) is soft Lindelöf $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \exists \{(V_{\alpha_{nm}}, P)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable soft subcover $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is $(A_n, P) \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{\alpha_{nm}}, P)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n, P) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{\alpha_{nm}}, P)) = \bigcup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{\alpha_{nm}}, P)$. Since union of countable family of countable set is countable

$\Rightarrow \{(V_{\alpha_{nm}}, P)\}_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable soft subcover of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n, P) \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n, P)$ is soft Lindelöf.

Proposition (2.19): For a soft Lindelöf \tilde{T}_2 -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Let (A, P) be a soft F_σ -closed set in $\tilde{U} \Rightarrow (A, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F_n, P)$, where (F_n, P) is soft closed in \tilde{U} , $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is soft Lindelöf, then by theorem (1.15), (F_n, P) is soft Lindelöf in \tilde{U} , $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence by proposition (2.18), $(A, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F_n, P)$ is soft Lindelöf in \tilde{U} , but

$(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space, then (A, P) is soft closed in \tilde{U} . Thus $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by theorem (2.16).

Proposition (2.20): For a soft Lindelöf Q-set space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition (2.19).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by corollary ((2.10),v).

Proposition (2.21): For a soft regular P-space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space.

(iii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.15),(i)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft KC-space, then by proposition ((2.14), (ii)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space, but $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is soft regular, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space, then by theorem (2.16), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.14),(ii)).

(iii) \rightarrow (ii): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is soft regular, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space. Hence $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space by proposition ((2.14), (i)).

Definition (2.22): A soft topological space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is called a soft R_1 -space if \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} have disjoint soft neighborhoods whenever $\text{cl}(\{\tilde{x}\}) \neq \text{cl}(\{\tilde{y}\})$. Clearly a soft space is soft \tilde{T}_2 if and only if its soft \tilde{T}_1 and soft R_1 .

Theorem (2.23): For a soft R_1 -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

(iii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.14),(ii)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft R_1 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space by definition (2.22). So $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space by proposition ((2.14),(i)).

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): This is obvious by definition (2.22).

(iii) \rightarrow (ii): It is obvious.

Corollary (2.24):(i) Every soft R_1 KC-space is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space.

(ii) Every soft R_1 Q-set space is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space.

(iii) Every soft R_1 Q-set space is a soft KC-space.

(iv) Every soft $L_1 L_3$ -space is a soft KC-space.

(v) Every soft $R_1 L_3$ -space is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space.

(vi) Every soft $R_1 L_3$ -space is a soft KC-space.

Proof: It is obvious.

Corollary (2.25): For a soft regular space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.14),(ii)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is soft regular, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space. Hence by proposition ((2.14),(i)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space.

Theorem (2.26): For a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space and a soft L_2 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by theorem ((2.5),(i)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf set in \tilde{U} and $\tilde{x} \notin \text{cl}(\tilde{x})$. To prove that $\tilde{x} \notin \text{cl}(\tilde{x})$. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space, then $\forall \tilde{y} \in (L, P), \exists (V, P)_{\tilde{y}} \in \tilde{\tau}$ such that $\tilde{y} \in (V, P)_{\tilde{y}}$ and

$\tilde{x} \notin \text{cl}((V, P)_{\tilde{y}})$. Hence $\{(V, P)_{\tilde{y}} : \tilde{y} \in (L, P)\}$ is a soft open cover of (L, P) . Since (L, P) is soft Lindelöf $\Rightarrow \exists \{(V, P)_{\tilde{y}_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable soft subcover of (L, P) . Thus $(L, P) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V, P)_{\tilde{y}_n} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}((V, P)_{\tilde{y}_n})$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(L, P) \cap \text{cl}((V, P)_{\tilde{y}_n})$ is soft Lindelöf. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space, then $\text{cl}[(L, P) \cap \text{cl}((V, P)_{\tilde{y}_n})]$ is soft Lindelöf. If $(W, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}[(L, P) \cap \text{cl}((V, P)_{\tilde{y}_n})]$, then (W, P) is soft Lindelöf F_σ -closed set in \tilde{U} , but $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space, then (W, P) is soft closed and $\tilde{x} \notin (W, P)$, hence $\tilde{x} \notin \text{cl}((L, P))$. Thus (L, P) is a soft closed set in \tilde{U} . Therefore $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

Corollary (2.27): For a soft Lindelöf \tilde{T}_2 -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space .
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (iii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space and a soft L_2 -space.

Proof: This is obvious by proposition (2.19) and theorem (2.26).

Corollary (2.28): For a soft regular $L_1 L_2$ -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.15),(ii)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by theorem (2.26).

Corollary (2.29): For a soft $R_1 L_1 L_2$ -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.15), (ii)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by theorem (2.26).

Corollary (2.30): For a soft discrete space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space.

Proof: It is obvious.

Theorem (2.31): If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft topological space and $\tilde{Y} \subseteq \tilde{U}$, $\tilde{Y} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \tilde{Y}_i$, where \tilde{Y}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are soft closed LC-subspaces of \tilde{U} , then \tilde{Y} is a soft LC-subspace.

Proof: Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf subset of \tilde{Y} , then $\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are soft closed in (L, P) which is soft Lindelöf so $\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are soft Lindelöf subset of \tilde{Y}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since \tilde{Y}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a soft LC-subspace, then $\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P)$ is a soft closed in \tilde{Y}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since \tilde{Y}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is soft closed in \tilde{U} , then $\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is soft closed in \tilde{U} . But $(L, P) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P))$, so (L, P) is soft closed in \tilde{U} and also in \tilde{Y} . Hence \tilde{Y} is a soft LC-subspace.

Proposition (2.32): Every soft Lindelof L_1 -space is a soft P-space.

Proof: Let (A, P) be a soft F_σ -closed set in $\tilde{U} \Rightarrow (A, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F_n, P)$, where (F_n, P) is soft closed in \tilde{U} , $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is soft Lindelöf, then by theorem (1.15), (F_n, P) is soft Lindelöf in

$\tilde{U}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $(A, P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (F_n, P)$ is soft Lindelöf in \tilde{U} by proposition (2.18). Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$

is a soft L_1 -space, then (A, P) is soft closed in \tilde{U} . Thus $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space.

Proposition (2.33): For a soft Lindelöf \tilde{T}_2 -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by theorem ((2.5), (i)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft L_1 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Lindelöf space, then by proposition (2.32), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space by theorem (2.16).

Proposition (2.34): For a soft Q-set space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ having a soft dense Lindelöf subset the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Lindelöf and a soft L_1 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space, then by corollary ((2.10),(iii)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space, hence $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ having a soft dense Lindelöf subset, then by theorem ((2.5),(vi)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Lindelöf space.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by proposition (2.32).

Proposition (2.35): For a soft \tilde{T}_2 L_1 -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ having a soft dense Lindelöf subset the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Lindelöf space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ having a soft dense Lindelöf subset, then by theorem (2.5),(vi)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Lindelöf space.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft Lindelöf space, then by theorem ((2.5),(vi)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 L_1 -space, then by theorem (2.26), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

Proposition (2.36): For a soft Lindelöf Q-set space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space.

(ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space and a soft P-space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft L_1 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Lindelöf space, then by proposition (2.32), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft Q-set space, then by corollary ((2.10),v), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by theorem ((2.5),(ix)).

Theorem (2.37): If $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft topological space and $\tilde{Y} \subseteq \tilde{U}, \tilde{Y} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \tilde{Y}_i$, where $\tilde{Y}_i,$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are soft closed L_2 -subspaces of \tilde{U} , then \tilde{Y} is a soft L_2 -subspace.

Proof: Let (L, P) be a soft Lindelöf subset of \tilde{Y} , then $\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are soft closed in (L, P) which is soft Lindelöf, so $\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are soft Lindelöf subset of $\tilde{Y}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $\tilde{Y}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a soft L_2 -subspace, then $cl(\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P))$ is a soft Lindelöf in $\tilde{Y}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $cl(\tilde{Y}_i \cap (L, P)), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is soft Lindelöf in \tilde{Y} . But

$cl((L, P)) = cl(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{\cap} (L, P))) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl((\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{\cap} (L, P)))$, so $cl((L, P))$ is soft Lindelöf in \tilde{Y} . Hence \tilde{Y} is a soft L_2 -subspace.

Theorem (2.38): For a soft R_1P -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space.
- (iii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space.
- (iv) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space.
- (v) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.15),(i)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft KC-space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft R_1 -space, then by proposition ((2.14),(ii)) and definition (2.22), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space, then by theorem (2.16), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): This is obvious by proposition ((2.14),(ii)).

(iii) \rightarrow (ii): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft R_1 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space by definition (2.22). So $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft KC-space by proposition ((2.14),(i)).

(iii) \rightarrow (iv): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft R_1 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space by definition (2.22).

(iv) \rightarrow (iii): It is obvious.

(iv) \rightarrow (v): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft P-space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space by theorem (2.16), so $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space.

(v) \rightarrow (iv): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft L_3 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_1 -space. Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft R_1 -space, then by definition (2.22), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space.

Theorem (2.39): For a soft $\tilde{T}_2 L_1$ -space $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space.
- (ii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_4 -space.
- (iii) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space.
- (iv) $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by theorem ((2.5),(i)).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): This is obvious by theorem ((2.5),(iii)) and theorem (2.26).

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft L_4 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space by theorem ((2.5),(iii)). Since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft \tilde{T}_2 -space, then by theorem (2.26), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space. Hence $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space by theorem ((2.5),(i)).

(iii) \rightarrow (ii): This is obvious by theorem ((2.5),(iv)).

(iii) \rightarrow (iv): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft L_3 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_1 -space, then by theorem ((2.5),(ii)), $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space. Hence $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_2 -space by theorem ((2.5),(i)).

(iv) \rightarrow (iii): Let $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ be a soft L_2 -space, since $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft $\tilde{T}_2 L_1$ -space, then $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft LC-space by theorem (2.26). Hence $(U, \tilde{\tau}, P)$ is a soft L_3 -space by theorem ((2.5),(i)).

References

1. Molodtsov, D. **1999**. Soft set theory-First results. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **37**(4-5): 19-31.
2. Shabir, M. and Naz, M. **2011**. On soft topological spaces. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **61**(7): 1786-1799.
3. Molodtsov, D., Leonov, V. Y. and Kovkov, D. V. **2006**. Soft sets technique and its Applications. *Nechetkie Sistemy Myagkie Vychisleniya*, **1**: 8-39.
4. Rong, W. **2012**. The countabilities of soft topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, **6**(8): 952-955.
5. Das, S. and Samanta, S.K. **2012**. Soft metric. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 1-18.
6. Çağman, N., Karataş, S. and Enginoglu, S. **2011**. Soft topology. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **62**: 351-358.
7. Hussain, S. and Ahmad, B. **2015**. Soft separation axioms in soft topological Spaces. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **44** (3): 559-568.
8. Yüksel, S., Güzel Ergül, Z. and Tozlu, N. **2013**. On Soft Compactness and Soft Separation Axioms. *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences*.
9. ÖZKAN, A. **2017**. Decomposition of Hyper Spaces of Soft Sets. *Iğdır University Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology*, **7**(1): 251-257.