



ISSN: 0067-2904

Strong Differential Sandwich Results for Analytic Functions Associated with Wanas Differential Operator

Mohammed Hadi Lafta^{1*}, Abbas Kareem Wanas²

¹Department of Statistics, Faculty of Administration and Economics, University of Sumer, Thi- qar, Iraq

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

Received: 26/6/2021

Accepted: 11/12/2021

Published: 30/10/2022

Abstract

In this article, we introduce and study two new families of analytic functions by using strong differential subordinations and superordinations associated with Wanas differential operator/. We also give and establish some important properties of these families.

Keywords: Strong subordinations, Convex function, Strong superordinations, Best dominant, Wanas operator and Best subdominant.

نتائج الساندوج التفاضلية القوية للدوال التحليلية المرتبطة بمؤثر وناس التفاضلي

محمد هادي لفته^{1*}, عباس كريم وناس²

¹ قسم الاحصاء, كلية الادارة والاقتصاد, جامعة سومر, ذي قار, العراق

² قسم الرياضيات, كلية العلوم, جامعة القادسية, القادسية, العراق

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث، نقدم وندرس عائلتين جديدتين من الدوال التحليلية باستخدام التفاضلية وفوق التفاضلية التفاضلية القوية المرتبطة بمؤثر وناس التفاضلي ونبرهن بعض الخواص المهمة لهذه العوائل.

1. Introduction

Let $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ be the open unit disk and the closed unit disk of the complex plane, respectively. We assume that $H(U \times \bar{U})$ is the set of analytic functions in $U \times \bar{U}$. For a positive integer n and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, suppose that

$$H[a, n, \tau] = \{f \in H(U \times \bar{U}) : f(z, \tau) = a + a_n(\tau)z^n + a_{n+1}(\tau)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}\},$$

where $a_j(\tau)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $j \geq n$.

Let A_τ be the collection of functions of the shape:

$$f(z, \tau) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} a_\kappa(\tau)z^\kappa, \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$ and $a_\kappa(\tau)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $\kappa \geq 2$.

*Email: mmohammedhadi@gmail.com

Definition (1.1) [1]: Let Q_τ be analytical and injective functions set on $\bar{U} \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \tau)$ such that

$$E(f, \tau) = \left\{ r \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow r} f(z, \tau) = \infty \right\},$$

with $f'_z(r, \tau) \neq 0$ for $r \in \partial U \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \tau)$. The subclass of Q_τ for any of them $f(0, \tau) = a$ is represented by $Q_\tau(a)$.

Definition (1.2) [2]: Let $f(z, \tau), F(z, \tau)$ be analytic functions in $U \times \bar{U}$. The function $f(z, \tau)$ is said to be strongly subordinate to $F(z, \tau)$ if there exists a function w which is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) such that $f(z, \tau) = F(w(z), \tau)$ for all $\tau \in \bar{U}$. In such case, we write $f(z, \tau) \ll F(z, \tau), z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}$.

Remark (1.1) [2]:

(1) Since $f(z, \tau)$ is analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$ for each $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and univalent in U for all $\tau \in \bar{U}$, then the Definition (1.2) is the same as to $f(0, \tau) = F(0, \tau)$ for each $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and $f(U \times \bar{U}) \subset F(U \times \bar{U})$.

(2) If $f(z, \tau) = f(z)$ and $F(z, \tau) = F(z)$, then the strong subordination becomes the usual notion of subordination.

If $f(z, \tau)$ is strongly subordinate to $F(z, \tau)$, then $F(z, \tau)$ is strongly superordinate to $f(z, \tau)$.

Lemma (1.1) [3]: Let $h(z, \tau)$ be a univalent where $h(0, \tau) = a$. for each $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and let $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $Re(\mu) \geq 0$. If $p \in H[a, 1, \tau]$ and

$$p(z, \tau) + \frac{1}{\mu} z p'_z(z, \tau) \ll h(z, \tau), \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}), \tag{1.2}$$

then

$$p(z, \tau) \ll q(z, \tau) \ll h(z, \tau), \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}),$$

where $q(z, \tau) = \mu z^{-\mu} \int_0^z h(y, \tau) y^{\mu-1} dy$ is convex and the most dominant of (1.2).

Lemma (1.2) [1]: Let $h(z, \tau)$ be a convex where $h(0, \tau) = a$ for each $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and let $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $Re(\mu) \geq 0$. If $p \in H[a, 1, \tau] \cap Q_\tau$, $p(z, \tau) + \frac{1}{\mu} z p'_z(z, \tau)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and

$$h(z, \tau) \ll p(z, \tau) + \frac{1}{\mu} z p'_z(z, \tau), \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}). \tag{1.3}$$

Then

$$q(z, \tau) \ll p(z, \tau), \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}),$$

in which $q(z, \tau) = \mu z^{-\mu} \int_0^z h(y, \tau) y^{\mu-1} dy$ is the best subordinate of and it is convex (1.3).

Recently, Wanas et al. [4] introduced the following operator, which is called Wanas, operator

$W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta}: A \rightarrow A$ which is defined in U by

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=1}^l \binom{l}{m} (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{\alpha^m + \kappa \beta^m}{\alpha^m + \beta^m} \right) \right]^{\delta} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa}.$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ = \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\}$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0, l \in \mathbb{N}, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$.

Special cases of this operator can be found in [5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15]. For more details, see [16,17].

Now, we consider the Wanas operator in $U \times \bar{U}$. For $f \in A_\tau$, we have

$$W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=1}^l \binom{l}{m} (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{\alpha^m + \kappa\beta^m}{\alpha^m + \beta^m} \right) \right]^\delta a_\kappa(\tau) z^\kappa \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}). \quad (1.4)$$

It can be easily checked through (1.4) that

$$z \left(W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau) \right)' = \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta+1} f(z, \tau) - \psi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau), \quad (1.5)$$

where $\varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)$ and $\psi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)$ have the following binomial series representations:

$$\varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) = \sum_{m=1}^l \binom{l}{m} (-1)^{m+1} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^m + 1 \right) \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\psi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) = \sum_{m=1}^l \binom{l}{m} (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^m. \quad (1.7)$$

2. Main Results

Definition (2.1): Let $\vartheta(z, \tau)$ be an analytic function in $U \times \bar{U}$ together with $\vartheta(0, \tau) = 1$ for every $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ = \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\}$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0, l, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $f \in A_\tau$ is said to be a member of the class $\mathcal{G}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$ if the deep differential subordination is satisfied

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[(1 - \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau) + \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta+1} f(z, \tau) \right] \prec \prec \vartheta(z, \tau).$$

A function $f \in A_\tau$ is said to be a member of the class $\mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$ if the deep differential superordination is satisfied

$$\vartheta(z, \tau) \prec \prec \frac{1}{z} \left[(1 - \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau) + \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta+1} f(z, \tau) \right],$$

where $\varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)$ is given by (1.6).

Theorem (2.1): Let $\vartheta(z, \tau)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, the range $\vartheta(0, \tau) = 1$ for each $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and $\lambda > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$. Then there exists a convex function $q(z, \tau)$ that is equal to $q(z, \tau) \prec \prec \vartheta(z, \tau)$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}(0, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q)$.

Proof: Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \tau) &= \frac{W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau)}{z} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=1}^l \binom{l}{m} (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{\alpha^m + \kappa\beta^m}{\alpha^m + \beta^m} \right) \right]^\delta a_\kappa(\tau) z^{\kappa-1}, \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

It is clear that $p \in H[1, 1, \tau]$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{G}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[(1 - \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau) + \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta+1} f(z, \tau) \right] \prec \prec \vartheta(z, \tau). \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[(1 - \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta} f(z, \tau) + \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha,\beta}^{l,\delta+1} f(z, \tau) \right] = p(z, \tau) + \lambda z p'_z(z, \tau) \prec \prec \vartheta(z, \tau).$$

When Lemma (1.1) is used with $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, the result is

$$p(z, \tau) \ll q(z, \tau) \ll \vartheta(z, \tau).$$

Using (2.1), we get

$$\frac{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau)}{z} \ll q(z, \tau) \ll \vartheta(z, \tau),$$

where

$$q(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \vartheta(y, \tau) y^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} dy$$

is convex and has the strongest dominant. This gives the required results.

Theorem (2.2): Let $\vartheta(z, \tau)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ the context of $\vartheta(0, \tau) = 1$ for each

$\tau \in \bar{U}$ and $\lambda > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$, $\frac{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau)}{z} \in H[1, 1, \tau] \cap Q_\tau$ and

$$\frac{1}{z} [(1 - \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau) + \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta+1} f(z, \tau)]$$

which is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, then there exists a convex function $q(z, \tau)$ such that $f \in \mathcal{T}(0, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q)$.

Proof: Assume the function $p(z, \tau)$ has the Definition (2.1). This is obvious.

$p \in H[1, 1, \tau] \cap Q_\tau$. Following a quick calculation and taking into

account $f \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$, we can conclude that

$$\vartheta(z, \tau) \ll p(z, \tau) + \lambda z p'_z(z, \tau).$$

When Lemma (1.2) is used with $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, the result is

$$q(z, \tau) \ll p(z, \tau).$$

And through (2.1), we get

$$q(z, \tau) \ll \frac{W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau)}{z},$$

where

$$q(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \vartheta(y, \tau) y^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} dy$$

is the best subordinant since it is convex.

We can obtain the following strong differential "Sandwich Theorem" by combining the results of Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (2.2).

Theorem (2.3): Let $\vartheta_1(z, \tau)$ and $\vartheta_2(z, \tau)$ be convex functions in $U \times \bar{U}$ where

$\vartheta_1(0, \tau) = \vartheta_2(0, \tau) = 1$ for all $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and $\lambda > 0$. If

$f \in \mathcal{G}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta_1) \cap \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta_2)$, $W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau) \in H[1, 1, \tau] \cap Q_\tau$ and

$$\frac{1}{z} [(1 - \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau) + \lambda \varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta+1} f(z, \tau)]$$

is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, then

$$f \in \mathcal{G}(0, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q_1) \cap \mathcal{T}(0, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q_2)$$

where

$$q_1(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \vartheta_1(y, \tau) y^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} dy$$

and

$$q_2(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z \vartheta_2(y, \tau) y^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} dy$$

Both q_1 and q_2 are convex functions.

Theorem (2.4): Let $\vartheta(z, \tau)$ be a convex functions in $U \times \bar{U}$ where $\vartheta(0, \tau) = 1$ for all $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and

$$G(z, \tau) = \frac{\epsilon + 2}{z^{\epsilon+1}} \int_0^z y^\epsilon f(y, \tau) dy, \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}, Re(\epsilon) > -2). \quad (2.3)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{G}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$, then there exists a convex function $q(z, \tau)$ with the property that $q(z, \tau) \ll \vartheta(z, \tau)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q)$.

Proof: Suppose that

$$p(z, \tau) = \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) \right)'_z, \quad (z \in U, \tau \in \bar{U}). \quad (2.4)$$

Then, $p \in H[1, 1, \tau]$.

From (2.3), we get (2.5)

$$z^{\epsilon+1} G(z, \tau) = (\epsilon + 2) \int_0^z y^\epsilon f(y, \tau) dy. \quad (2.5)$$

When we differentiating both sides of (2.5) by z , we get

$$(\epsilon + 2)f(z, \tau) = (\epsilon + 1)G(z, \tau) + zG'_z(z, \tau)$$

and

$$(\epsilon + 2)W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau) = (\epsilon + 1)W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) + z \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) \right)'_z.$$

By differentiating the last reference with respect to z , we have

$$\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau) \right)'_z = \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) \right)'_z + \frac{z}{\epsilon + 2} \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) \right)''_{z^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{G}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{z} [\varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta+1} f(z, \tau) - \psi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau)] \ll \vartheta(z, \tau), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)$ and $\psi(\alpha, \beta, m, l)$ have the forms (1.6) and (1.7), respectively.

Now, from (1.5), the (2.7) is the same as

$$\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau) \right)'_z \ll \vartheta(z, \tau). \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.6) and (2.8), we get

$$\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) \right)'_z + \frac{z}{\epsilon + 2} \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) \right)''_{z^2} \ll \vartheta(z, \tau). \quad (2.9)$$

Substituting (2.4) by (2.9), we get the following

$$p(z, \tau) + \frac{1}{\epsilon + 2} zp'_z(z, \tau) \ll \vartheta(z, \tau).$$

When Lemma (1.1) is used with $\mu = \epsilon + 2$, the result is

$$p(z, \tau) \ll q(z, \tau) \ll \vartheta(z, \tau).$$

We get the following using (2.4)

$$\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau) \right)'_z \ll q(z, \tau) \ll \vartheta(z, \tau),$$

where

$$q(z, \tau) = (\epsilon + 2)z^{-(\epsilon+2)} \int_0^z \vartheta(y, \tau) y^{\epsilon+1} dy$$

It has a convex shape and is the most dominant.

Theorem (2.5): Let $\vartheta(z, \tau)$ be a convex the function in $U \times \bar{U}$ with $\vartheta(0, \tau) = 1$ for every $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and $G(z, \tau)$ is given by (2.3). If $f \in \mathcal{T}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$, $\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau)\right)'_z \in H[1, 1, \tau] \cap Q_\tau$ and

$$\frac{1}{z} [\varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta+1} f(z, \tau) - \psi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau)]$$

If is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, then there exists a convex function $q(z, \tau)$ that gives $G \in \mathcal{T}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q)$.

Proof: Suppose that the function $p(z, \tau)$ is defined by (2.4). It is evident that $p \in H[1, 1, \tau] \cap Q_\tau$. After a short calculation and considering $f \in \mathcal{T}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta)$, we can conclude that

$$\vartheta(z, \tau) \ll p(z, \tau) + \frac{1}{\epsilon + 2} zp'_z(z, \tau).$$

When Lemma (1.2) is used with $\mu = \epsilon + 2$, the result is

$$q(z, \tau) \ll p(z, \tau).$$

We get the following using (2.4)

$$q(z, \tau) \ll \left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau)\right)'_z,$$

where

$$q(z, \tau) = (\epsilon + 2)z^{-(\epsilon+2)} \int_0^z \vartheta(y, \tau) y^{\epsilon+1} dy$$

is the best subordinant since it is convex.

The following strong differential " Sandwich Theorem" is obtained by combining the results of Theorems(2.4) and Theorem (2.5).

Theorem (2.6): Let $\vartheta_1(z, \tau)$ and $\vartheta_2(z, \tau)$ be convex functions in $U \times \bar{U}$ with $\vartheta_1(z, \tau) = \vartheta_2(z, \tau) = 1$ for every $\tau \in \bar{U}$ and $G(z, \tau)$ is given by (2.3). If $f \in \mathcal{G}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta_1) \cap \mathcal{T}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; \vartheta_2)$, $\left(W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} G(z, \tau)\right)'_z \in H[1, 1, \tau] \cap Q_\tau$ and

$$\frac{1}{z} [\varphi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta+1} f(z, \tau) - \psi(\alpha, \beta, m, l) W_{\alpha, \beta}^{l, \delta} f(z, \tau)]$$

is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, then

$$f \in \mathcal{G}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q_1) \cap \mathcal{T}(1, \alpha, \beta, m, l, \delta; q_2)$$

where

$$q_1(z, \tau) = (\epsilon + 2)z^{-(\epsilon+2)} \int_0^z \vartheta_1(y, \tau) y^{\epsilon+1} dy$$

and

$$q_2(z, \tau) = (\epsilon + 2)z^{-(\epsilon+2)} \int_0^z \vartheta_2(y, \tau) y^{\epsilon+1} dy$$

The functions q_1 and q_2 are convex.

Conclusion

In this work, two suggested families of analytic functions by using strong differential subordinations and superordinations associated Wanas differential operator are introduced and studied. Also, many important results and properties of these families of analytic functions are established and discussed.

References

- [1] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, " *Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol.225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 2000.
- [2] G. I. Oros, "Strong differential superordination, " *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, 19(2009), 101-106.
- [3] M. H. Lafta and K. A. AL- Zubaidy, "On aNew Class of Meromorphically Univalent Functions with Applications to Geometric Functions, " *Engineering & Technology Journal*, 2(35)(2017), 215-220.
- [4] A. K. Wanas, J. Choi and N. E. Cho, "Geometric properties for a family of holomorphic functions associated with Wanas operator defined on complex Hilbert space, " *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, (2021), to appear. doi: 10.1142/S1793557121501229.
- [5] J. W. Alexander, "Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple region", *Annals of Mathematics*, 17(1)(1915), 12-22.
- [6] F. M. Al-Oboudi, "On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, " *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27(2004), 1429–1436.
- [7] S. D. Bernardi, "Convex and starlike univalent functions, " *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 135(1969), 429-446.
- [8] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, "Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, " *Math. Comput. Modeling*, 37(1-2)(2003), 39-49.
- [9] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, "Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, " *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 40(3)(2003), 399-410.
- [10] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, "The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, " *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 176(1993), 138-147.
- [11] G. I. Oros and Gh. Oros, "Strong differential subordination, " *Turk. J. Math.*,33(3)(2009), 249-257.
- [12] G. S. Salagean, "Subclasses of univalent functions, " *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, "An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination, " *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 18(3) (2007), 207-216.
- [14] S. R. Swamy, "Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, " *Int. Math. Forum*, 7(36)(2012), 1751-1760.
- [15] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, "Certain classes of univalent functions, in Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, " (Edited by H. M. Srivastava and S. Own), 371-374, *World Scientific, Singapore*, 1992.
- [16] A. K. Wanas, "New differential operator for holomorphic functions, " *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 2(2)(2019), 527-537.
- [17] A. K. Wanas and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, "Differential sandwich results for Wanas operator of analytic functions, " *Mathematica Moravica*, 24(1)(2020), 17-28.