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#### Abstract

In this paper, a new class of ordinary differential equations is designed for some functions such as probability density function, cumulative distribution function, survival function and hazard function of power function distribution, these functions are used of the class under the study. The benefit of our work is that the equations ,which are generated from some probability distributions, are used to model and find the solutions of problems in our lives, and that the solutions of these equations are a solution to these problems, as the solutions of the equations under the study are the closest and the most reliable to reality. The existence and uniqueness of solutions the obtained equations in the current study are discussed. The exact solutions of these obtained differential equations are calculated using some methods. In addition, the approximate solutions are determined by the Variation Iteration Method (VIM) and Runge-Kutta of $4^{\text {th }}$ Order (RK4) method. The chosen approximate methods VIM and RK4 are used in our study because they are reliable, famous, and more suitable for solving such generated equations. Finally, some examples are given to illustrate the behavior of the exact and the approximate solutions of the differential equations with the scale parameters of power function distribution.


keywords: Power function distribution, Ordinary differential equations, Variation iteration method, Runge-Kutta of $4^{\text {th }}$ Order, Survival function, Hazard function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { حل المعادلات التي تم إنشاؤها من توزيع دالة القوة } \\
& \text { حسين جبار لحمح , مهاعبدالجبارححم } \\
& \text { قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة (ابن الهيثم) ، جامعة بغداد ، بغداد ، العراق }
\end{aligned}
$$

الخلاصة
في هذا البحث، تم تصميم فئة جديدة من المعادلات التغاضلية العادية لبعض الدوال مثل دالة كثافة الاحتمال، ودالة التوزيع التراكمي، ودالة البقاء، ودالة الخطر لتوزيع دالة القوة المستخدمة في الفئة قيد الدراسة.تكمن فائدة عملنا في أن المعادلات التي يتم تكوينها من بعض التوزيعات الاحتمالية هي نمذجة لمشاكل في حياتنا تحتاج إلى حلول، وأن حلول هذه المعادلات هي حل لهذه المشاكل، حيث أن حلول المعادلات قيد الدراسة هي الأقرب والأكثر موثوقية للواقع. تمت مناقشة وجود ووحدانية المعادلات التي تم الحصول عليها في الدراسة الحالية. يتم حساب الحلول المضبوطة لهذه المعادلات التفاضلية باستخدام بعض الطرق. بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، يتم تحديد الحلول التقريبية بواسطة طريقة التكرار المتغير (VIM) وطريقة

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Runge-Kutta } \\
& \text { لأنها موثوقة ومشهورة وأكثر ملاءمة لحل مثل هذه المعادلات المتولدة. أخيرًا ، تم إعطاء بعض الأمثلة } \\
& \text { لتوضيح سلوك المعادلات الثفاضلية الحقيقية والتقربيبة للحلول مع معلمات مقياس توزيع دالة التوة. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

## 1. Introduction

The power function distribution is a flexible lifetime model which is the special case of the beta distribution. Sinha et.al.[1] proposed a power function distribution. Also, the power function distribution was derived from the Pareto distribution using the inverse transformation[2].

On the other hand, the ordinary differential equations that are obtained for the probability distributions play an important role in many applied fields in engineering, physics, chemistry, computer science, economy and other sciences [4-6]. Some authors such as Okagbue, et al. [7-16] created ordinary differential equations that are obtained from some distributions such the Burr XII and the Pareto distributions, exponential and truncated distributions exponential, exponentiated generalized exponential distribution, Fréchet distribution, half-normal distribution, Harris exponential distribution extended the Kumaraswamy distribution, distributions of linear failure rate and generalized linear failure rate, the Logistic and the logLogistic distributions and three-parameters Weibull distribution. RK4 and VIM are reliable approximate methods to solve ODE. A system of non-linear ordinary differential equations was solved by Variation Iteration method (VIM) in [17], [18] and the system of ordinary differential equations was solved using RK4 [19].

In this work, a new class of ordinary differential equations of first and second order is designed by using some functions such as a probability density function, cumulative distribution function, survival function and hazard function of the power function distribution. Also, the exact solutions of these differential equations are calculated by homogeneous, separable, Bernoulli methods, using analytic and numerical methods. In addition, approximate solutions are found analytically by the method of Variation Iteration (VIM) and numerically by Runge-Kutta of $4^{\text {th }}$ order (RK4) method. Finally, the values of exact and approximate solutions are computed according to different values of the parameter $\theta$.
The remainder of present paper is organized as below: In section 2, ordinary differential equations obtained for power function distribution are created. In section 3, the existence and uniqueness of ordinary differential equations that obtained for power function distribution are proved and discussed. In section 4, the formed ordinary differential equations are exactly solved by the homogeneous, separable, and Bernoulli methods, and analytically by (VIM) and numerically by (RK4). The results are clearly discussed by using some tables and figures in section 5. The important results under study are explained and the conclusions are given in section 6 .

## 2. Ordinary Differential Equations Obtained from Power Function Distribution

A random variable $\mathrm{X} \in[0,1]$ has a power function distribution denoted by $(x \sim \operatorname{PFD}(\theta)$ ), and its probability density function is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\theta x^{\theta-1}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \theta>0, \theta \neq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean, variance, cumulative distribution function (CDF), survival function and hazard function of X are derived by Butt, N.S et. al. [3] as follows, respectively,:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(x)=\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}  \tag{2}\\
& \operatorname{Var}(x)=\frac{\theta}{(\theta+2)(\theta+1)^{2}},  \tag{3}\\
& F(x)=x^{\theta}  \tag{4}\\
& S(x)=1-x^{\theta}  \tag{5}\\
& h(x)=\frac{\theta x^{\theta-1}}{1-x^{\theta}} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

In this section, equations (1) and (4-6) are derived to design a new class of ordinary differential equations.

### 2.1 Probability Density Function

By differentiating the equation (1) under the condition $\theta \neq 1$, we get
$f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\theta-1}{x} f(x), x \in(0,1]$.
After simplification, we obtain
$x f^{\prime}(x)-(\theta-1) f(x)=0$.
From the equation (1), the initial condition at $x_{0} \in(0,1]$ of ordinary differential equation (7) can be written as
$f\left(x_{0}\right)=\theta\left(x_{0}\right)^{\theta-1}$
Again, by differentiating the equation (7) and simplification under the condition $\theta \neq 2$, we obtain
$x f^{\prime \prime}(x)-(\theta-2) f^{\prime}(x)=0, x \in(0,1]$
To find initial condition for equation (10), we use the substituting equation (9) and by $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ in equation (7), we get:
$f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{\theta-1}{x} f\left(x_{0}\right)$

### 2.2 Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)

By differentiating both sides of equation (4), then we have
$F^{\prime}(x)=\theta \frac{F(x)}{x}$
After simplification to obtain;
$x F^{\prime}(x)=\theta F(x), \quad x \in(0,1]$
Furthermore, the initial condition at $x_{0} \in(0,1]$ of ordinary differential equation (8) is
$F\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(x_{0}\right)^{\theta}$
We differentiate equation (12) to obtain the differential equation of the second-order, this becomes as:
$x F^{\prime \prime}(x)-(\theta-1) F^{\prime}(x)=0$,
with the following conditions
$F\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(x_{0}\right)^{\theta}$.
$F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\theta\left(x_{0}\right)^{\theta-1}$.
2.3 Survival Function (SF)

In the same technique that is used in 2.1 and 2.2 , the obtained ordinary differential equation from survival function can be written as follows:
$x S^{\prime}(x)-\theta S(x)+\theta=0$.
With the initial condition at $x_{0} \in(0,1]$
$S_{0}=1-x_{0}{ }^{\theta}$.

### 2.4 Hazard Function (HF)

From equation (6), we have
$h^{\prime}(x)=\frac{(\theta-1)}{x} h(x)+h^{2}(x)$
After simplification, we obtain;
$x h^{\prime}(x)=(\theta-1) h(x)+x h^{2}(x), x \in(0,1)$
The ordinary differential equation of the first order (ODE) for the hazard function can be defined as follows
$x h^{\prime}(x)-x h^{2}(x)-(\theta-1) h(x)=0$
From equation (6) the initial condition at $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ may be written as follows
$h_{0}=\frac{\theta x_{0}{ }^{\theta-1}}{1-x_{0}{ }^{\theta}}$

## 3. The Existence and Uniqueness

In present section, Picard-Lindelöf Theorem is used to prove the existence and uniqueness for equations (8), (13), (18) and (22). This is done by Martha L. Abell, James P. Braselton [20, page26] as follows;
The condition necessary for the existence of the equation (8) is $0<x \leq 1$ and $\theta>0$ such that $\theta \neq 1$
Let $(x)=y$, we obtain $y^{\prime}=\frac{(\theta-1)}{x} y \quad, y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$
$y^{\prime}=g(x, y)=\frac{(\theta-1)}{x} y$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}=\frac{(\theta-1)}{x}$ are both continuous when $x \neq 0$, thus the existence and uniqueness of the solution are exist through any point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ with $x_{0} \neq 0$.
In the same method and by the existence and uniqueness theorem, the necessary condition for the existence and uniqueness of the solution in equations (12) and (18) are $x>0$ and $\theta>0$. While the necessary condition for the existence and uniqueness of the solution in equation (20) are $0<x<1$ and $\theta>0$.

## 4. Solutions of Ordinary Differential Equations Obtained for Power Function Distribution

In the current section, some exact methods, namely homogeneous, separable, Bernoulli and approximate methods (VIM and RK4) are presented to determine the solution of the ordinary differential equations which are referred to section 2.

### 4.1 The Exact Solution

In this subsection, the homogeneous, separable, and Bernoulli methods are used to solve equations (8), (13), (18) and (22)

### 4.1.1 Solve the equations in homogeneous method

In the current subsection, the exact solutions of equation (8) and equation (12) have been computed using the homogeneous method.
To solve equation (8) in a way homogeneous. We use the notation $\tilde{\theta}=(\theta-1)$ and the transformation $f(x)=v x$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v+x \frac{d v}{d x}=\tilde{\theta} v \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating both sides and separating the variables, we have
$v=b x^{\widetilde{\theta}-1}$,
where, b is a constant.
The last step is to restore the original variables by reversing the substitution.
$f(x)=b\left(x^{\widetilde{\theta}-1}\right) \cdot(x)$.
This implies to
$f(x)=b x^{\theta-1}$.
The initial state in equation (9) gives
$f(x)=\theta x^{\theta-1}$.
By the same method to solve equation (12) with the initial condition in (14) as below:
Firstly, we use the transformation $F(x)=v x$, then we have
$F^{\prime}(x)=v+x \frac{d v}{d x}$.
From equation (13), we have
$F^{\prime}(x)=\theta \frac{F(x)}{x}=\theta v$
Combining the equation (28) and the equation (29) to obtain
$v+x \frac{d v}{d x}=\theta v$.
Rewriting equation (30) in the following form
$\frac{d v}{v}=(\theta-1) \frac{d x}{x}$.

By integrating both sides and separating the variables, we have
$v=b x^{\theta-1}$,
where, $b$ is a constant. The last step is to restore the original variables by reversing the substitution.
$F(x)=b x^{\theta}$.
The initial state in equation (14) gives
$F(x)=x^{\theta}$.

### 4.1.2 Solving the equations by the separable method

In this subsection, the notations $S(x)=u$ and $S^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d u}{d x}$ are used, so that the equation (18) becomes
$x \frac{d u}{d x}-\theta u+\theta=0$
Consequently, we have
$\frac{d u}{(u-1)}=\frac{\theta}{x} d x$
By taking an indefinite integration for both sides, we get
$u=a x^{\theta}+1$
where, a is a constant. Replacing u by $S(x)$, we get
$S(x)=a x^{\theta}+1$
The initial condition in equation (19) gives us
$S(x)=1-x^{\theta}$

### 4.1.3 Solve the equations by the Bernoulli method

To solve the equation (22) by Bernoulli method, we use the notation $\tilde{\theta}=(\theta-1)$ and transformation $u(x)=(h(x))^{-1}$, we get
$u^{\prime}+\frac{\widetilde{\theta}}{x} u=-1$.
Assume that $P(x)=\frac{\widetilde{\theta}}{x}$ and $Q(x)=-1$, hence
$I=e^{\int P(x) d x}=x^{\tilde{\theta}}$.
But,
I. $u=\int I \cdot Q(x) d x$.

Therefore,
$\mathrm{u}=-\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\widetilde{\theta}+1}+\mathrm{cx}^{-\widetilde{\Theta}}$,
where $c$ is a constant. Transforming $u(x)$ by $(h(x))^{-1}$ and replacing $\tilde{\theta}$ by -1 , we obtain
$h(x)=\frac{\theta}{x\left(\theta c x^{-\theta}-1\right)}$.
The initial condition in the equation (23) gives us
$h(x)=\frac{\theta x^{\theta-1}}{1-x^{\theta}}$.

### 4.2 Approximate Solutions

In this section, the variational iteration method (VIM) and Runge-Kutta 4th order method (RK4) are used to solve equations (8), (12), (18) and (22)
4.2.1 The Variation Iteration Method (VIM)

Consider the following differential equation, [21],[22]
$L y+N y=k(x)$
Where, $L$ and $N$ are linear and nonlinear operators respectively $k(x)$ is the source inhomogeneous term. The variation iteration method (VIM) is defined in the following form
$y_{k+1}(x)=y_{k}(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \lambda(t)(L y+N y-k(x)) d t$,
and the solution is
$y(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{k}(x)$,
where $\lambda$ is a Lagrange multiplier, in this paper we put $\lambda=-1$.
In this section, the equation (8) is solved by VIM. Moreover, we rewrite the equation (8) according to equation (42), we have
$D u=(\theta-1) \theta x^{\theta-2}$
Where $u=f(x)$ and $D$ is a derivative operator. $L y=D u, N y=0, k(x)=(\theta-1) \theta x^{\theta-2}$, if we take the initial state at $x_{0}$, then the variation iterative can be written as
$\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}+1}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})+\int_{\mathrm{x}_{0}}^{\mathrm{x}} \lambda\left(\mathrm{Du}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})-(\theta-1) \theta \mathrm{t}^{\theta-2}\right) \mathrm{dt}, k \geq 0$
where $\lambda$ is a Lagrange multiplier, in this paper we put $\lambda=-1$ and putting in with initial condition (10): $u\left(x_{0}\right)=\theta\left(x_{0}\right)^{\theta-1}$.
$u_{1}(x)=u_{0}(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \lambda\left(D u_{0}(t)-(\theta-1) \theta t^{\theta-2}\right) d t, \quad(k=0)$
$u_{2}(x)=u_{1}(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \lambda\left(D u_{1}(t)-(\theta-1) \theta t^{\theta-2}\right) d t, \quad(k=1)$
$u_{3}(x)=u_{2}(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \lambda\left(D u_{2}(t)-(\theta-1) \theta t^{\theta-2}\right) d t, \quad(k=2)$
.
$\cdot$
Consequently, the solutions are given by
$u(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}(x)$
Rewriting the ordinary differential equation (12) according to equation (42), we have $D y=\theta x^{\theta-1}$
Where, $y=F(x)$, and $D$ is a derivative operator. If the initial state at $x_{0}$ is taken then the variation iterative can be written as
$y_{k+1}(x)=y_{k}(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \lambda\left(D y_{k}(t)-\theta t^{\theta-1}\right) d t, k \geq 0$,
where $\lambda$ is a Lagrange multiplier, in this paper we put $\lambda=-1$ and putting in with initial condition (14) that means $k=0$;
$y_{1}(x)=y_{0}(x)+\int_{\substack{x_{0} \\ x}}^{x} \lambda\left(D y_{0}(t)-\theta t^{\theta-1}\right) d t$
$y_{2}(x)=y_{1}(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \lambda\left(D y_{1}(t)-\theta t^{\theta-1}\right) d t$
$y_{3}(x)=y_{2}(x)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \lambda\left(D y_{2}(t)-\theta t^{\theta-1}\right) d t$

Consequently, the solutions are given by
$y(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{k}(x)$

### 4.2.2 The Runge-Kutta of $4^{\text {th }}$ Order (RK4) Method

The RK4 method is a numerical technique which is used to solve the ordinary differential equation of the form
$\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y), y(0)=y_{0}$
So, first order ordinary differential equations can be solved by using the Runge-Kutta 4th order method [23].
The RK4 is one of the most accurate iteration numerical methods that has the general form;
$y_{i+1}=y_{i}+\frac{h}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right)$
Where
$k_{1}=f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$

$$
k_{2}=f\left(x_{i}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{i}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)
$$

$k_{3}=f\left(x_{i}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{i}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)$
$k_{4}=f\left(x_{i}+h, y_{i}+h k_{3}\right)$
Moreover, we rewrite the ordinary differential equations (18) and (22) in the form of equation (51) ,respectively. We have for the equation (18);
$\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\frac{\theta(\mathrm{y}-1)}{\mathrm{x}}$
$y_{i+1}=y_{i}+\frac{h}{6}\left(K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 K_{3}+K_{4}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots$
When $i=0$
$k_{1}=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{0}-1\right)}{x_{0}}$
$k_{2}=f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}-1\right)}{x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}}$
$k_{3}=f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}-1\right)}{x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}}$
$k_{4}=f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+h k_{3}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{0}+h k_{3}-1\right)}{x_{0}+h}$
When $i=1$
$k_{1}=f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{1}-1\right)}{x_{1}}$
$k_{2}=f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}-1\right)}{x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}}$
$k_{3}=f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}-1\right)}{x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}}$
$k_{4}=f\left(x_{1}+h, y_{1}+h k_{3}\right)=\frac{\theta\left(y_{1}+h k_{3}-1\right)}{x_{1}+h}$

For Eq. (22),
$u^{\prime}=\frac{x u^{2}+(\theta-1) u}{x}$
$u_{i+1}=u_{i}+\frac{h}{6}\left(K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 K_{3}+K_{4}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots$
When $i=0$
$k_{1}=f\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)=\frac{x_{0} u_{0}{ }^{2}+(\theta-1) u_{0}}{x_{0}}$
$k_{2}=f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, u_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)=\frac{\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(u_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)^{2}+(\theta-1)\left(u_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)}{x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{3} & =f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, u_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(u_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)^{2}+(\theta-1)\left(u_{0}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)}{x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}} \\
k_{4} & =f\left(x_{0}+h, u_{0}+h k_{3}\right)=\frac{\left(x_{0}+h\right)\left(u_{0}+h k_{3}\right)^{2}+(\theta-1)\left(u_{0}+h k_{3}\right)}{x_{0}+h}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $i=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=f\left(x_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\frac{x_{1} u_{1}^{2}+(\theta-1) u_{1}}{x_{1}} \\
& k_{2}=f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, u_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)=\frac{\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(u_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)^{2}+(\theta-1)\left(u_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{1}\right)}{x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}} \\
& k_{3}=f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, u_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(u_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)^{2}+(\theta-1)\left(u_{1}+\frac{h}{2} k_{2}\right)}{x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}} \\
& k_{4}=f\left(x_{1}+h, u_{1}+h k_{3}\right)=\frac{\left(x_{1}+h\right)\left(u_{1}+h k_{3}\right)^{2}+(\theta-1)\left(u_{1}+h k_{3}\right)}{x_{1}+h}
\end{aligned}
$$

.
where, $y=S(x)$ and $u=h(x)$

## 5. Results and Discussions

In our study, the ODEs from power function distribution are obtained and solved using VIM. In this section, some results under study are discussed. The Table 1 summarizes the values of approximate and exact solutions of the equation (8) by using VIM of the cases $\theta=2,3$ and 4 with values $x=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.5,0.7$ and 0.9 . The solutions have been calculated with different values of parameters. The calculated values show the following results:
(i) There is a convergence in the solutions when x is smaller than 0.3 , whenever the value of x increases, the error grows.
(ii) As the values of $\theta$ increase, the error increases when $x \geq 0.3$. In any case, the error between the approximate and exact solutions depends upon the parameter $\theta$.
Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between the approximate and exact with the parameter $\theta$ in the equation (8). The parameter $\theta$ plays an important role in determining the error between the approximate and exact solutions for the equation (8) which increases when the $\theta$ increases with $x \geq 0.3$. It can be seen that the effectiveness of $\theta$ on the error is clear whenever the value of $\theta$ has large and vice versa when it is small.
Table 2 summarizes the values of approximate and exact solutions of the equation (13) by using VIM of the cases $\theta=2,3$ and 4 with values $x=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.5,0.7$ and 0.9 . The calculated values show the following results:
(i) There is a convergence in the solutions when $x$ has small values close to 0.1 , while the error grows as the value of $x$ increases.
(ii) The larger values of $\theta$ have less the errors that occur when $x<0.5$, and the errors increases as $\theta$ increases when $x \geq 0.5$. In any case, the error between the approximate and the exact solutions depends upon the parameter $\theta$.
Figure 2 illustrates the relationship between the approximate and the exact solutions with the parameter $\theta$ in the equation (13). In Figure 2, the curve with different values of $\theta$ is plotted. We can see that the highest curve of equation (13) with the greatest error and the value of parameter $\theta$ appears when $x \geq 0.5$.

On the other hand, the RK4 results under the study are discussed in this section. Table 3 presents the approximate and the exact solutions of the differential equation (18) are
computed by using RK4 method of the cases $\theta=2,3$ and 4 in the values of $x=0.1,0.2, \ldots$, 0.9 . The computed values indicate that when the value of $x$ increases, the error increases, and when the values of $\theta$ increase, the error increases when $x \geq 0.5$.
Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between the RK4 and the exact with the parameter $\theta$ in the equation (18), so we can see the equation (18) is a decreasing function, and the greater the error is the greater the value of the parameter $\theta$.
Table 4 presents the approximate and the exact solutions of the equation (22) are computed by using the RK4 method of the cases $\theta=2,3$ and 4 in the values of $x=0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9$. The calculated values show the following results: The error increases with an increasing in values of $x$ and the values of $\theta$. The best results are obtained when values of $x$ and $\theta$ are small.
Figure 4 shows the relationship between the approximate and the exact solutions with the parameter
$\theta$ in the equation (22) which is an increasing function, and the greater the error is the greater value of $\theta$. All results of programs are done by Matlab R2016b.

Table 1- Exact and VIM solutions of eq. (8) when $\theta=2,3$ and 4 of $x=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.5,0.7$ and 0.9 .

| $\begin{aligned} & \theta>0 \\ & \theta \neq 1 \end{aligned}$ | $x \in(0,1]$ | VIM | Exact | Error | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.399 | 0.4 | 0.001 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.5979 | 0.6 | 0.0021 | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.9959 | 1 | 0.0041 | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.7 | 1.3938 | 1.4 | 0.0062 | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.9 | 1.7918 | 1.8 | 0.0082 | 00:00:03 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0 | 00:00:06 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.1187 | 0.12 | 0.0013 | 00:00:06 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.2653 | 0.27 | 0.0047 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.7325 | 0.75 | 0.0175 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.7 | 1.4317 | 1.47 | 0.0383 | 00:00:04 |
|  | 0.9 | 2.3627 | 2.43 | 0.0673 | 00:00:03 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0 | 00:00:07 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.0311 | 0.032 | 0.0009 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.1027 | 0.1080 | 0.0053 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.4647 | 0.5000 | 0.0353 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.7 | 1.2605 | 1.3720 | 0.1115 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.9 | 2.6607 | 2.9160 | 0.2553 | 00:00:03 |
| 10 | 0.1 | $1.0000 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $1.0000 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.0000 | 00:00:08 |
|  | 0.2 | 3.2716e-06 | $5.1200 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.8484 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.3 | $7.9933 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.9683 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.1690 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.0046 | 0.0195 | 0.0150 | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.0695 | 0.4035 | 0.3341 | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.5479 | 3.8742 | 3.3263 | 00:00:02 |
| 15 | 0.1 | $1.5000 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $1.5000 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 0.0000 | 00:00:10 |
|  | 0.2 | $7.1515 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 2.4576e-09 | $1.7424 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.3 | $6.1919 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $7.1745 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $6.5553 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.5 | $1.7911 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $9.1553 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 8.9762e-04 | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.7 | 8.4487e-04 | 0.1017 | 0.1009 | 00:00:02 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.0163 | 3.4315 | 3.4153 | 00:00:02 |

Table 2-Exact and VIM solutions of Eq. (13) when $\theta=2,3$ and 4 and $x=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.5,0.7$ and 0.9.

| $\theta>0$ | $x \in(0,1]$ | VIM | Exact | Error | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0 | 00:00:07 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.0396 | 0.04 | 0.0004 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.0884 | 0.09 | 0.0016 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.2442 | 0.25 | 0.0058 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.4772 | 0.49 | 0.0128 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.7876 | 0.81 | 0.0224 | 00:00:00 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 00:00:16 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.0078 | 0.008 | 0.0002 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.0257 | 0.027 | 0.0013 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.1162 | 0.125 | 0.0088 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.3151 | 0.343 | 0.0279 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.6652 | 0.729 | 0.0638 | 00:00:03 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0 | 00:00:09 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.0015 | 0.0016 | 0.0001 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.0073 | 0.0081 | 0.0008 | 00:00:03 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.0529 | 0.0625 | 0.0096 | 00:00:04 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.1973 | 0.2401 | 0.0428 | 00:00:04 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.5294 | 0.6561 | 0.1267 | 00:00:04 |

Table 3-Exact and RK4 solutions of Eq. (18) $\theta=2,3$ and 4 of $x=0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9$.

| $\theta>0$ | $\mathrm{x} \in(0,1]$ | RK4 | Exact | Error | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.9606 | 0.96 | 0.0006 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.9114 | 0.91 | 0.0014 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.8425 | 0.84 | 0.0025 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.7539 | 0.75 | 0.0039 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.6 | 0.6457 | 0.64 | 0.0057 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.5177 | 0.51 | 0.0077 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.3701 | 0.36 | 0.0101 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.2028 | 0.19 | 0.0128 | 00:00:00 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.9925 | 0.992 | 0.0005 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.9749 | 0.973 | 0.0019 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.9406 | 0.936 | 0.0046 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.8841 | 0.875 | 0.0091 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.6 | 0.7998 | 0.784 | 0.0158 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.6821 | 0.657 | 0.0251 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.5255 | 0.488 | 0.0375 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.3244 | 0.271 | 0.0534 | 00:00:00 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.9999 | 0.9999 | 0 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.9986 | 0.9984 | 0.0002 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.9933 | 0.9919 | 0.0014 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.979 | 0.9744 | 0.0046 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.9489 | 0.9375 | 0.0114 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.6 | 0.8941 | 0.8704 | 0.0237 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.8038 | 0.7599 | 0.0439 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.6654 | 0.5904 | 0.075 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.4641 | 0.3439 | 0.1202 | 00:00:00 |

Table 4-Exact and RK4 solutions of Eq. (22) $\theta=2,3$ and 4 of $x=0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \theta>0 \\ & \theta \neq 1 \end{aligned}$ | $x \in(0,1)$ | RK4 | Exact | Error | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.2020 | 0.2020 | 0 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.4166 | 0.4167 | 0.0001 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.6592 | 0.6593 | 0.0001 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.9522 | 0.9524 | 0.0002 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.5 | 1.3330 | 1.3333 | 0.0004 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.6 | 1.8743 | 1.8750 | 0.0007 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.7 | 2.7434 | 2.7451 | 0.0017 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.8 | 4.4379 | 4.4444 | 0.0065 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.9 | 9.3889 | 9.4737 | 0.0848 | 00:00:00 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0.0300 | 0.0300 | 0 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.1193 | 0.1210 | 0.0017 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.2730 | 0.2775 | 0.0045 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.5040 | 0.5128 | 0.0088 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.8412 | 0.8571 | 0.0159 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.6 | 1.3488 | 1.3776 | 0.0287 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.7 | 2.1815 | 2.2374 | 0.0560 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.8 | 3.8004 | 3.9344 | 0.1341 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.9 | 8.3822 | 8.9668 | 0.5846 | 00:00:00 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.0040 | 0.0040 | 0 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.0300 | 0.0321 | 0.0020 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.1011 | 0.1089 | 0.0077 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.2432 | 0.2627 | 0.0195 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.4918 | 0.5333 | 0.0415 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.6 | 0.9095 | 0.9926 | 0.0832 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.7 | 1.6335 | 1.8055 | 0.1720 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.8 | 3.0523 | 3.4688 | 0.4165 | 00:00:00 |
|  | 0.9 | 6.8383 | 8.4792 | 1.6409 | 00:00:00 |



pdf vs. x when theta $=4$ and $\mathrm{N}=50$


Figure 1-Exact and VIM solutions of Eq.(8) with different values of $\theta$ when $\mathrm{N}=$ no.of iterations for VIM


Figure 2-Exact and VIM solutions of Eq. (13) with different values of $\theta$ when $\mathrm{N}=$ no.of iterations for VIM


Figure 3- Exact and RK4 solutions with different values of parameter $\theta$ of Eq. (18) when $\mathrm{N}=$ no.of iterations for RK4


Figure 4- Exact and RK4 solutions with different values of parameter $\theta$ of Eq. (22) when $\mathrm{N}=$ no. of iterations for RK4

## 6. Conclusions

Our statistical tools are extended to design the ordinary differential equations from the probability distributions. Some probability functions such as a probability density function, cumulative distribution function, survival function and hazard function of power function distribution are used for this propose. In fact, there are some methods to find the exact solutions to these equations. However, in this work, the methods VIM analytic and RK4 numerical methods are chosen to obtain the approximate results analytically and numerically. In general, the present approximate results have an error that is almost small, the best results are obtained for all equations (8), (13), (18) and (22) when the values of $x$ and $\theta$ are small that means the convergence of solutions of the mentioned equations under the study is clear when $\theta<2$ and $x<0.3$.
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