Jumaa et al.

Iraqi Journal of Science, 2021, Vol. 62, No. 11, pp: 4032-4038 DOI: 10.24996/ijs.2021.62.11.23





ISSN: 0067-2904

Application of *q*-Mittag-Leffer Function on Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions

Abdulrahman Salman Jumaa¹, Ali Hassan al-Fayyad², Osama Nazim Kassar¹

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Anbar, Ramadi, Iraq ²Department of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Al-Nahrain University, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 2/9/2020

Accepted: 13/1/2021

Abstract

The main objective of this paper is to introduce and study the generality differential operator involving the q-Mittag-Leffler function on certain subclasses of analytic functions. Also, we investigate the inclusion properties of these classes, by using the concept of subordination between analytic functions. A.M.S: 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Univalent function, Analytic function , Differential operator, Subordination, q-Mittag-Lefflerr function.

تطبيق دالة كيو متاك لوفر على عدد محدد من الاصناف الجزئية للدوال التحليلية

عبدالرحمن سلمان جمعة¹ ، علي حسن الفياض²، اسامة ناظم كسار ¹ قسم الرياضيات، جامعه الانبار ، الرمادي ، العراق ²قسم الرياضيات و تطبيقات الحاسوب, جامعه النهرين بغداد, العراق

الخلاصه

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو تقديم ودراسة المؤثر التفاضلي المعمم والمرتبط بدالة كيو متاك لوفر في اصناف فرعية معينة من الدوال التحليلية ، وكذلك البحث في خصائص الاحتواء لهذه الاصناف، باستخدام مفهوم التابعيه بين الدوال التحليلية.

1. Introduction

Let A denotes the class of univalent functions f(w) normalized by

$$f(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n w^n,$$
 (1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$U = \{ w : w \in \mathbb{C} \ , |w| < 1 \}.$$

Let f and g be analytic functions such that both are in U. The subordination between f and g is written as f < g or f(w) < g(w). In addition, we say that f(w) is subordinate to g(w) if there is a Schwarz function w with $\omega(w) = 0$, $|\omega(w)| < 1$,

 $w \in U$, such that $f(w) = g(\omega(w))$ for all $w \in U$. Furthermore, if g(w) is univalent in U, then we have the following equivalence [1, 2, 3]:

^{*}Email: os1989ama@uoanbar.edu.iq

 $f \prec g$ if and only if f(0) = g(0) and $f(U) \subseteq g(U)$.

A function *f* is said to be convex, respectively starlike, of order ρ if and only if $Re\left\{1 + \frac{wf''(w)}{f'(w)}\right\} > \rho, 0 \le \rho < 1, |w| < 1$

and

$$Re\left\{\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)}\right\} > \rho, 0 \le \rho < 1, |w| < 1.$$

Remark 1.1. It is clear from the above that f is convex if and only if wf'(w) is starlike. Now, let a function f in A and g in $S^*(\rho)$ where g is starlike of order ρ , then f belongs to $K(\beta, \rho)$ if and only if

$$Re\left\{\frac{wf'(w)}{g(w)}\right\} > \rho, w \in U.$$

These functions are called close-to-convex functions of order β type ρ .

For $f \in A$, we introduce the subclasses of starlike, convex, and close-to-convex functions $S^*(\mu, \Psi), C(\mu, \Psi)$ and $K(\mu, \xi, \Psi, \Phi)$ of order μ , which were studied by several authors [2,4,5] and are respectively defined by:

$$S^*(\mu, \Psi) = \left\{ f \text{ in } A : \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} - \mu \right) < \Psi(w), w \in U \right\}$$
$$C(\mu, \Psi) = \left\{ f \text{ in } A : \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(1 + \frac{wf''(w)}{f'(w)} - \mu \right) < \Psi(w), w \in U \right\}$$
$$K(\mu, \xi, \Psi, \Phi) = \left\{ f \text{ in } A : \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{wf'(w)}{g(w)} - \xi \right) < \Phi(w), w \in U, g(w) \in S^*(\mu, \Psi) \right\}.$$

The study begins with definitions of the main terms and in-depth designs used for q-calculus applications. It is assumed, in this report, that 0 < q < 1. Definitions are first given for the fractional q-calculus operator in a complex-assessed function f(w), as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let 0 < q < 1 and define the q-number $[n]_q$ by

$$[n]_{q} = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q} & (n \in \mathbb{C}) \\ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} q^{k} = 1+q+q^{2}+\dots+q^{m-1} & (n=m \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2. [6, 7]. The q-derivative (or the q-difference) operator D_q of a function f is defined by

$$D_q f(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qw) - f(w)}{(q-1)w} & (w \neq 0) \\ f'(w) & (w = 0) \end{cases}$$
(2)

In case $f(w) = w^n$ for $n \in N_0 = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$, the q-derivative of f(w) is given by

$$D_q w^n = \frac{w^n - (wq)^n}{w(1-q)} = [n]_q w^{n-1},$$

where $[n]_q$ is defined in Definition 1.1. From Definition 1.2, we note that

$$\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} (D_q f)(w) = \lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \frac{f(qw) - f(w)}{(q-1)w} = f'(w).$$

Next, we define the familiar Mittag-Leffer function $E_{\varrho}(w)$ introduced by Mittag-Leffer [8,9] and its generalization $E_{\varrho,\Upsilon}(w)$ introduced by Wiman [10], respectively, as follows

$$E_{\varrho}(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{\Gamma(\varrho n+1)},$$

and

$$E_{\varrho,\Upsilon}(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{\Gamma(\varrho n + \Upsilon)},$$

where $\Upsilon, \varrho \in \mathbb{C}$, Re(Υ) > 0 and $Re(\varrho) > 0$. Sharma and Jain, in 2014 [11], introduced the q-analogue of generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\varrho,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w;q)(\delta,\varrho,\Upsilon \in \mathbb{C}, Re(\varrho) > 0, Re(\Upsilon) > 0, Re(\delta) > 0)$, which is defined by

$$E_{\varrho,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w;q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(q^{\delta};q\right)_n}{\left(q;q\right)_n} \cdot \frac{w^n}{\Gamma_q(\varrho n + \Upsilon)}, \qquad (|q| < 1])$$

where $\Gamma_q(w)$ is the *q*-gamma function and $\lim_{q \to 1} \Gamma_q(w) = \Gamma(w)$.

The q-analogue of the Pochhammer symbol (q-shifted factorial) is defined by [12]

$$(\alpha, q)_n = \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha q) \dots (1 - \alpha q^{n-1}), & n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 1, & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Further, the q-gamma function $\Gamma_q(w)$ satisfies the following functional equation [12, 13]

$$\Gamma_q(w+1) = \frac{1-q^w}{1-q}\Gamma_q(w) = [w]_q\Gamma_q(w)$$

Also,

$$(q^{\alpha},q)_n = \frac{(1-q)^n \Gamma_q(\alpha+n)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}. \quad (n>0).$$

Now, we define the function $Q_{\varrho,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w)$ by

$$Q_{\varrho,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w) = w\Gamma_q(\Upsilon)E_{\varrho,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w;q)$$
$$= w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\Upsilon)(q^{\alpha};q)_{n-1}}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(n-1)+\Upsilon)(q;q)_{n-1}} w^n$$

Then, for $f \in A$, we define the following differential operator $D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon)$ as follows

$$D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon):A \to A$$

$$D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,0}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) = f(w) * Q_{\alpha,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w).$$
(3)

$$D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) = (1-\alpha)\left(Q_{\varrho,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w) * f(w)\right) + \alpha w D_q\left(Q_{\varrho,\Upsilon}^{\delta}(w) * f(w)\right)$$
(4)
:

$$D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,Y)f(w) = D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,1}\left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m-1}(\varrho,Y)f(w)\right).$$
(5)

Now, form (4) and (5), we get

$$D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + ([n]_q - 1)\alpha\right]^m \frac{\Gamma_q(\Upsilon)(q^{\alpha};q)_{n-1}}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(n-1) + \Upsilon)(q;q)_{n-1}} a_n w^n, \quad (6)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \alpha \ge 0$.

We can simply verify from (2) that

$$D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) = (1-\alpha)D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) + \alpha w D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)\right).$$
(7)

Note the for $q \to 1$ and $\delta = 1$, we obtain the operator in [14], for $q \to 1$, $\varrho = 0$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we obtain Al-Oboudi operator [4], for $q \to 1$, $\varrho = 0$, $\gamma = 1$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain Sălăgean operator [15], and for $q \to 1$, m = 0 and $\delta = 1$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma,\varrho}(w)$ [16].

From equation (7), we have

$$\alpha w D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) \right) = D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) - (1-\alpha) D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) \quad (8)$$

Let \mathcal{T} be the class of all functions Ψ which are univalent and analytic in U for

which $\Psi(U)$ is convex, such that $\Psi(0) = 1$ and Re((w)) > 0; $w \in U$.

Next, we provide a differential operator on the class *A*. We make use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions to investigate the classes of starlike, convex, and close-to-convex functions of $S^*(\mu, \Psi)$, $C(\mu, \Psi)$, and $K(\mu, \xi, \Psi, \Phi)$ of order μ , respectively, for the function $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathcal{T}$, which are defined by

$$\begin{split} S^m_{\alpha}(\mu,\Psi) &= \left\{ f \in A : \ D^{\delta,m}_{\alpha,q}(\varrho,Y)f(w) \in S^*(\mu,\Psi) \right\} \\ C^m_{\alpha}(\mu,\Psi) &= \left\{ f \in A : \ D^{\delta,m}_{\alpha,q}(\varrho,Y)f(w) \in C(\mu,\Psi) \right\} \\ K^m_{\alpha}(\mu,\xi,\Psi,\Phi) &= \left\{ f \in A : \ D^{\delta,m}_{\alpha,q}(\varrho,Y)f(w) \in K(\mu,\xi,\Psi,\Phi) \right\} \,. \end{split}$$

We also note that

$$f(w) \in \mathcal{C}^m_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \Leftrightarrow wf'(w) \in S^m_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi).$$

2. Preliminary Results

The following lemmas will be required in our investigation. **Lemma 2.1.** [7,17]. Let ζ be convex, univalent in U with $\zeta(0) = 1$ and $Re(k\zeta(w) + v) \ge 0$, $k, v \in C$. If p is analytic in U with p(0) = 1, then $p(w) + \frac{wp'(w)}{kp(w)+v} < \zeta(w), w \in U$, implies $p(w) < \zeta(w), w \in U$.

Lemma 2.2. [6] Let ζ be convex, univalent in U and w be analytic in U with $Re(w(w)) \ge 0$. If p is analytic in U with $p(0) = \zeta(0)$ then

 $p(w) + w(w)wp'(w) \prec \zeta(w), w \in U$ implies $p(w) \prec \zeta(w), w \in U$.

In what follows, we give some inclusion properties of the operator $D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon)f(w)$ using the principle of subordination.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let
$$f \in A$$
 and let $\Psi \in \mathcal{T}$ with $Re\left((1-\mu)\Psi(w) + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} + \mu > 0\right)$. Then,
 $S_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu, \Psi) \subset S_{\alpha}^{m}(\mu, \Psi)$.

Proof. Let f(w) belongs to the class $S^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi)$ and let

$$p(w) = \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \left(\frac{w D_q \left(D_{\alpha, q}^{\delta, m}(\varrho, Y) f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha, q}^{\delta, m}(\varrho, Y) f(w)} \right) - \frac{1}{1 - \mu} (\mu).$$
(9)

By applying (8) in (9), we get

$$\frac{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) - D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) + \alpha D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w)}{\alpha D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w)} = (1-\mu)p(w) + \mu,$$

and we get

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w)} = (1-\mu)p(w) + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} + \mu$$
(10)

Now from (10), we get

$$\frac{D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon) f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon) f(w)} = \frac{D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon) f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon) f(w)} + \frac{(1-\mu)p'(w)}{(1-\mu)p(w) + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} + \mu}.$$
 (11)

Otherwise

$$\frac{D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon) f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon) f(w)} = \frac{(1-\mu)p(w) + \mu}{w} \,. \tag{12}$$

From (11) and (12), we get

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{w D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, Y) f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, Y) f(w)} - \mu \right) = p(w) + \frac{w p'(w)}{(1-\mu)p(w) + \mu + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}.$$
 (13)

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (13) shows that $p(w) \prec \Psi(w)$, i.e. $f \in D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon)f(w)$ Thus,

$$S^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu,\Psi) \subset S^m_{\alpha}(\mu,\Psi) \,,$$

which proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let *f* belongs to the analytic function of the form (1) and let $\Psi \in \mathcal{T}$. Then, $Re\left\{\left((1-\mu)\Psi(w) + \mu + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)\right\} > 0.$ $C_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu, \Psi) \subset C_{\alpha}^{m}(\mu, \Psi).$

Proof. From Remark 1.1, we get

$$f \in C^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \Leftrightarrow wf' \in S^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi),$$

Now, by Theorem 3.1, we obtain $f \in C^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \Leftrightarrow wf' \in S^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \subset S^{m}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi)$

$$f \in \mathcal{C}^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \Leftrightarrow wf' \in \mathcal{S}^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \subset \mathcal{S}^{m}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi)$$
$$\Rightarrow wf' \in \mathcal{S}^{m}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi)$$
$$\Rightarrow w \in \mathcal{C}^{m}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{C}^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \subset \mathcal{C}^{m}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi)$$
.

The function $\Psi(w) = \frac{1-Aw}{1+Bw}$ is analytic and satisfies $\Psi(0) = 1$. Thus, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.1. Let $f \in A$ and $\Psi(w) = \frac{1-Aw}{1+Bw}$, $-1 \le B \le A \le 1$ in Theorem 3.1. Then $S_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu, A, B) \subset S_{\alpha}^{m}(\mu, A, B)$. **Corollary 3.2.** Let $f \in A$ and $\Psi(w) = \frac{1-Aw}{1+Bw}$, $-1 \le B \le A \le 1$ in Theorem 3.1. Then $K_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu, A, B) \subset K_{\alpha}^{m}(\mu, A, B)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in A$ and $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathcal{T}$ with $Re\left\{\left((1-\mu)\Psi(w) + \mu + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)\right\} > 0$. Then $K_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu,\xi,\Psi,\Phi) \subset K_{\alpha}^{m}(\mu,\xi,\Psi,\Phi).$

Proof. Let
$$f$$
 in $K^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \xi, \Psi, \Phi)$, then there exists a function g in $S^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi)$ such that
$$Re\left\{\frac{wD_q(D^{\delta,m+1}_{\alpha,q}(\varrho, Y)f(w))}{D^{\delta,m+1}_{\alpha,q}(\varrho, Y)f(w)}\right\} > \xi, w \in U.$$

That is, we get

$$\frac{1}{1-\xi}\left(\frac{wD_q\left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,Y)f(w)\right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,Y)f(w)}-\xi\right) < \Phi(w), w \in U.$$

Let

$$p(w) = \frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{w D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w)} - \xi \right).$$
(14)

From (7), we have

$$wD_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) \right) = \frac{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) - (1-\alpha) D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w)}{\alpha}.$$

From (14), we get
$$\frac{1}{\alpha} D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) f(w)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) \right) + \left((1-\xi) p(w) + \xi \right) D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) g(w).$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}wD_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) \right) = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)wD_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) \right) \\
+ \left((1-\xi)wp'(w) \right) \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)g(w) \right) + \left((1-\xi)p(w) \right) \\
+ \xi)w \left[D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)g(w) \right]'.$$
(15)

Now, from Theorem 3.1, we have $g \in S^{m+1}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi) \Longrightarrow g \in S^{m}_{\alpha}(\mu, \Psi)$. Now let

$$q(w) = \frac{1}{1-\mu} \left(\frac{w D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) g(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho, \Upsilon) g(w)} - \mu \right).$$
(16)

By using (7) in (16), we get

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)g(w)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m}(\varrho,\Upsilon)g(w)} \right) = (1-\mu)q(w) + \mu + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha},$$
(17)

Further, from (10) and (12), we get

$$\frac{wD_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho,\Upsilon)g(w)} = (1-\xi)p(w) + \xi + \frac{(1-\xi)p'(w)}{(1-\mu)q(w) + \mu + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)}.$$
 (18)

Algebraic manipulation in (18) gives

$$\frac{1}{1-\xi} \left(\frac{D_q \left(D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon) f(w) \right)}{D_{\alpha,q}^{\delta,m+1}(\varrho, \Upsilon) g(w)} - \xi \right) = p(w) + \frac{wp'(w)}{(1-\mu)q(w) + \mu + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(1-\mu)q(w) + \mu + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)} = w(w),$$

And by applying Lemma 2.2, we get that $p(w) \prec \Phi(w)$, which implies that $f \in K^m_{\alpha}(\mu, \xi, \Psi, \Phi)$, which proves the theorem.

References

- [1] Juma, A. S., & Kadhum, S. J. "On Applications of Differential Subordi-nation Associated with Generalized Hypergeomtric Functions." *AIP Confere-nce Proceedings*, vol.1309, 517, 2010.
- [2] Miller, S. S., & Mocanu, P. T. "Differential Subordinations and univalent functions", Michigan Math. J., vol. 2, pp. 157–171, 1981.
- [3] Swamy, S. R. "Inclusion Properties of Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions." International Mathematical Forum, vol. 7, no. 36, pp. 1751 1760, 2012.
- [4] Al-Oboudi, F.M. "On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator." *Internat. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 27, pp.1429-1436, 2004.
- [5] Srivastava, H. M., & Owa, S. "Current topics in analytic theory." World Sci, Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.
- [6] Jackson, F. H. "On q- definite integrals. " *The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, pp. 193–203, 1910.

- [7] Jackson, F. H. "On q- functions and a certain difference operator. " *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 253–281, 1908.
- [8] Mittag-Leffler, G. M. "Sur la nouvelle function $E_{\alpha}(x)$." *CR Acad. Sci. Paris*, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 554-558, 1903.
- [9] Mittag-Leffler, G.M. "Sur la representation analytique d'une branche uniforme d'une fonction monogene." *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 291, pp. 101-181, 1905.
- [10] Wiman, A. "Jber den fundamentalsatz in der teorie der funktionen $E_{\alpha}(X)$." Acta Mematica, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 191-201, 1905.
- [11] Sharma, S. K., & Jain, R. "On some properties of generalized q-Mittag-Leffler function." *Mathematica Aeterna*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 613–619, 2014.
- [12] Gasper, G., and Rahman, M. "Basic Hypergeometric Series. "Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [13] Askey, R."The q-gamma and q-beta functions." *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 125–141, 1978.
- [14] Elhaddad, S., Aldweby, H., & Darus, M. "Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator involving Mittag-Leffler function." Acta Universitatis Apulensis, vol.18, no. 55, pp. 1–10, 2018.
- [15] Salagean, G. S." Subclasses of univalent functions." Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1013(1983), pp. 362-372.
- [16] Srivastava, H. M., Frasin, B. A., & Pescar, V. "Univalence of integral operators involving Mittag-Leffler functions." *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 635-641, 2017.
- [17] Choi, J. H., Saigo, M., & Srivastava, H. M." Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integraloperators." *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 276, pp. 432–445, 2002.