



ISSN: 0067-2904

On Centralizers of 2-torsion Free Semiprime Gamma Rings

Abdulkareem T. Mutlak, Abulrahman H. Majeed*

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 29/7/2020

Accepted: 23/9/2020

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that; Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring which satisfies the condition $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Consider that $T: M \rightarrow M$ as an additive mapping such that $2T(x\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha x + x\alpha T(x)$ holds for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then T is a left and right centralizer.

Keywords: Γ -ring, Prime Γ -ring, Semiprime Γ -ring, left centralizer, right centralizer, Jordan centralizer.

حول تمركزات لحلقات كما شبه الاولية طليقة الالتواء من النمط 2-

عبد الكريم طلال مطلق ، عبد الرحمن حميد مجيد*

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث سنبرهن الاتي : لتكن M حلقة كما شبه اولية طليقة الالتواء من النمط 2- تحقق الشرط $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ لكل x و y تنتمي ل M , α و β تنتمي الى Γ . نفرض ان $T: M \rightarrow M$ دالة جمعية بحيث تحقق الخاصية التالية : $2T(x\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha x + x\alpha T(x)$, فان T هي تمركز أيمن وأيسر .

1. Introduction

An extensive generalized concept of classical rings was presented by the gamma ring theory. Bernes [1], Luh [2] and Kyuno [3] studied the structure of gamma rings and obtained various generalizations of corresponding parts in the ring theory.

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups, if there exists a mapping $(x, \alpha, y) \rightarrow x\alpha y$ of $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies the conditions:

- $x\alpha y \in M$.
- $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$, $x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y$ and $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$.
- $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Then, M is called a Γ -ring [1]. A Γ -ring M is called prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ where $a, b \in M$. Also, M is called semiprime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = 0$ implies $a = 0$ where $a \in M$ [5]. Moreover, M is called 2-torsion free if $2x = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

An additive map $T: M \rightarrow M$ is called a left (right) centralizer if $T(x\alpha y) = T(x)\alpha y$ ($T(x\alpha y) = x\alpha T(y)$) holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. A centralizer is an additive mapping which is both a left and right centralizer [5].

Let M be a Γ -ring, then $[x, y]_\alpha = x\alpha y - y\alpha x$ is known as commutator of x and y with respect to α , where, $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. In addition, the basic commutator identities are shown below [5]:

- $[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = [x, z]_\beta \alpha y + x[\alpha, \beta]_z y + x\alpha[y, z]_\beta$.

*Email: ahmajeed6@yahoo.com

$$\text{ii. } [x, y\alpha z]_{\beta} = [x, y]_{\beta} \alpha z + y[\alpha, \beta]_{x} z + y\alpha[x, z]_{\beta}.$$

Now, we consider the following assumption,

$$x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \quad \dots$$

(A)

According to this assumption, the above two identities are reduced to:

$$\text{i. } [x\alpha y, z]_{\beta} = [x, z]_{\beta} \alpha y + x\alpha[y, z]_{\beta}.$$

$$\text{ii. } [x, y\alpha z]_{\beta} = [x, y]_{\beta} \alpha z + y\alpha[x, z]_{\beta}.$$

Hoque and Paul [5] proved that every Jordan centralizer of 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring is centralizer. Many researchers have proved results on 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring centralizer. In addition, many researchers worked on centralizer of prime and semiprime ring [6, 7].

Throughout this paper, we use condition (A) and assume that $T: M \rightarrow M$ is an additive mapping which satisfy the following condition:

$$2T(x\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha x + x\alpha T(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots \text{ (B)}$$

2. Results

First, we need to prove some lemmas as in the following.

Lemma2.1: Let M be a Γ -ring that has an identity element, $T: M \rightarrow M$ is a left (right) centralizer if and only if there exists $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $T(x) = a\alpha x$ ($T(x) = x\alpha a$) for all $x \in M$.

Proof: \Leftarrow) by assumption we have, $T(x) = a\alpha x$ for some $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and all $x \in M$.

$T(x\beta y) = a\alpha x\beta y = T(x)\beta y$ is a left centralizer for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

By a similar way, we can obtain that if T is satisfying that $T(x) = x\alpha a$ then we get T and a is a right centralizer.

\Rightarrow) If $T(x\alpha y) = T(x)\alpha y$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $T(1\alpha x) = T(1)\alpha x$.

If we take $T(1) = a$ then we obtain, $T(x) = T(1\alpha x) = a\alpha x$ for all $a, x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

By a similar way, we can prove whether T is a right centralizer $T(x) = T(1\alpha x) = x\alpha T(1) = x\alpha a$.

Lemma2.2: - Let M be 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying condition (A) and $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying condition (B), then $8T(x\alpha y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha(x\beta y + 3y\beta x) + (y\beta x + 3x\beta y)\alpha T(x) + 2x\alpha T(y)\beta x - x\alpha x\beta T(y) - T(y)\beta x\alpha x$ where, $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof: By replacing x in equation (B) by $x + y$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 2T((x + y)\alpha(x + y)) &= T(x + y)\alpha(x + y) + (x + y)\alpha T(x + y) \\ 2T(x\alpha x) + 2T(x\alpha y) + 2T(y\alpha x) + 2T(y\alpha y) &= T(x)\alpha x + T(x)\alpha y + T(y)\alpha x + T(y)\alpha y + \\ x\alpha T(x) + x\alpha T(y) + y\alpha T(x) + y\alpha T(y). &\text{ Then, for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ we obtain,} \\ 2T(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) &= T(x)\alpha y + x\alpha T(y) + T(y)\alpha x + y\alpha T(x) \quad \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

Now, replacing y in equation (1) by $2(x\beta y + y\beta x)$ and then using equation (1) implies,

$$\begin{aligned} 4T(x\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x) + (x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha x) &= 2T(x)\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x) + 2x\alpha T(x\beta y + y\beta x) + 2T(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha x \\ &+ 2(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha T(x) \\ &= 2T(x)\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x) + x\alpha T(x)\beta y + x\alpha x\beta T(y) + x\alpha T(y)\beta x + x\alpha y\beta T(x) + T(x)\beta y\alpha x \\ &+ x\beta T(y)\alpha x + T(y)\beta x\alpha x + y\beta T(x)\alpha x + 2(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha T(x). \end{aligned}$$

By simplifying the above equation, we obtain,

$$4T(x\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x) + (x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha(2x\beta y + 3y\beta x) + (3x\beta y + 2y\beta x)\alpha T(x) + x\alpha T(x)\beta y + y\beta T(x)\alpha x + 2x\alpha T(y)\beta x + x\alpha x\beta T(y) + T(y)\beta x\alpha x \quad \dots (2)$$

On the other hand, by using equation (1) and equation (B) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 4T(x\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x) + (x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha x) &= 4T(x\alpha x\beta y) + 4T(x\alpha y\beta x) + 4T(x\beta y\alpha x) + 4T(y\beta x\alpha x) \\ &= 4T(x\alpha x\beta y) + 4T(y\beta x\alpha x) + 8T(x\alpha y\beta x) \\ &= 2T(2x\alpha x\beta y + 2y\beta x\alpha x) + 8T(x\alpha y\beta x) \\ &= 2T(x\alpha x)\beta y + 2x\alpha x\beta T(y) + 2T(y)\beta x\alpha x + 2y\beta T(x\alpha x) + 8T(x\alpha y\beta x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ we have,

$$4T(x\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x) + (x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha x\beta y + y\beta x\alpha T(x) + x\alpha T(x)\beta y + y\beta T(x)\alpha x + 2x\alpha x\beta T(y) + 2T(y)\beta x\alpha x + 8T(x\alpha y\beta x) \quad \dots (3)$$

By comparing equation (2) with equation (3) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 8T(x\alpha y\beta x) &= T(x)\alpha(x\beta y + 3y\beta x) + (y\beta x + 3x\beta y)\alpha T(x) + 2x\alpha T(y)\beta x - x\alpha x\beta T(y) \\ &- T(y)\beta x\alpha x \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \quad \dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma2.3: - Let M be 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying condition (A) and $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying condition (B), then for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ we have,

$$T(x)\alpha(x\alpha y\beta x - 2y\beta x\alpha x - 2x\alpha x\beta y) + (x\alpha y\beta x - 2x\alpha x\beta y - 2y\beta x\alpha x)\alpha T(x) + x\beta T(x)\alpha(x\beta y + y\beta x) + (x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha T(x)\beta x + x\beta x\alpha T(x)\beta y + y\beta T(x)\alpha x\beta x = 0 \quad \dots(5)$$

Proof: By using equation (1) with replacing y by $8x\alpha y\beta x$ and then using equation (4) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 16T(x\alpha x\alpha y\beta x + x\alpha y\beta x\alpha x) &= 8T(x)\alpha x\alpha y\beta x + 8x\alpha T(x\alpha y\beta x) + 8T(x\alpha y\beta x)\alpha x + 8x\alpha y\beta x\alpha T(x) \\ &= 8T(x)\alpha x\alpha y\beta x + x\alpha T(x)\alpha(x\beta y + 3y\beta x) + (x\alpha y\beta x + 3x\alpha x\beta y)\alpha T(x) \\ &\quad + 2x\alpha x\alpha T(y)\beta x - x\alpha x\alpha x\beta T(y) - x\alpha T(y)\beta x\alpha x + T(x)\alpha(x\beta y\alpha x + y\beta x\alpha x) \\ &\quad + (y\beta x + 3x\beta y)\alpha T(x)\alpha x + 2x\alpha T(y)\beta x\alpha x - x\alpha x\beta T(y)\alpha x - T(y)\beta x\alpha x\alpha x \\ &\quad + 8x\alpha y\beta xT(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ we can get,

$$\begin{aligned} 16T(x\alpha x\alpha y\beta x + x\alpha y\beta x\alpha x) &= T(x)\alpha(9x\alpha y\beta x + 3y\beta x\alpha x) + (9x\alpha y\beta x + 3x\alpha x\beta y)\alpha T(x) + \\ &\quad x\alpha T(x)\alpha(x\beta y + 3y\beta x) + (y\beta x + 3x\beta y)\alpha T(x)\alpha x + x\alpha x\alpha T(y)\beta x + x\alpha T(y)\beta x\alpha x - \\ &\quad T(y)\beta x\alpha x\alpha x - x\alpha x\alpha x\beta T(y) \quad \dots(6) \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain the other hand by using equation (4) and then, after collecting some terms, using equation (1), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 16T(x\alpha x\alpha y\beta x + x\beta y\alpha x\alpha x) &= 16(x\alpha(x\alpha y)\beta x) + 16T(x\alpha(y\alpha x)\beta x) \\ &= 2T(x)\alpha(x\beta x\alpha y + 3x\alpha y\beta x) + 2(x\alpha y\beta x + 3x\beta x\alpha y)\alpha T(x) + 4x\alpha T(x\alpha y)\beta x - 2x\alpha x\beta T(x\alpha y) \\ &\quad - 2T(x\alpha y)\beta x\alpha x + 2T(x)\alpha(x\alpha y\beta x + 3y\beta x\alpha x) + 2(y\beta x\alpha x + 3x\alpha y\beta x)\alpha T(x) \\ &\quad + 4x\alpha T(y\alpha x)\beta x - 2x\alpha x\beta T(y\alpha x) - 2T(y\alpha x)\beta x\alpha x. \\ &= T(x)\alpha(2x\alpha x\beta y + 6y\beta x\alpha x + 8x\alpha y\beta x) + (8x\alpha y\beta x + 2y\beta x\alpha x + 6x\alpha x\beta y)\alpha T(x) \\ &\quad + 4x\alpha T(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha x - 2x\alpha x\alpha T(x\beta y + y\beta x) - 2T(x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha x\alpha x. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= T(x)\alpha(2x\alpha x\beta y + 6y\beta x\alpha x + 8x\alpha y\beta x) + (8x\alpha y\beta x + 2y\beta x\alpha x + 6x\alpha x\beta y)\alpha T(x) + \\ &\quad 2x\alpha T(x)\beta y\alpha x + 2x\alpha x\beta T(y)\alpha x + 2x\alpha T(y)\beta x\alpha x + 2x\alpha y\beta T(x)\alpha x - x\alpha x\alpha T(x)\beta y - \\ &\quad x\alpha x\alpha x\beta T(y) - x\alpha x\beta T(y)\alpha x - x\alpha x\beta y\alpha T(x) - x\alpha T(y)\beta x\alpha x - T(y)\beta x\alpha x\alpha x - y\beta T(x)\alpha x\alpha x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} 16T(x\alpha x\alpha y\beta x + x\beta y\alpha x\alpha x) &= T(x)\alpha(2x\alpha x\beta y + 5y\beta x\alpha x + 8x\alpha y\beta x) + (2y\beta x\alpha x + 5x\alpha x\beta y + 8x\alpha y\beta x)\alpha T(x) \\ &\quad + 2x\alpha T(x)\beta y\alpha x + 2x\alpha y\beta T(x)\alpha x + x\alpha x\beta T(y)\alpha x + x\alpha T(y)\beta x\alpha x - x\alpha x\alpha T(x)\beta y \\ &\quad - y\beta T(x)\alpha x\alpha x - x\alpha x\alpha x\beta T(y) \\ &\quad - T(y)\beta x\alpha x\alpha x \quad \dots(7) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing equation (6) with equation (7), we obtain equation (5) which is the result.

Lemma 2.4: - Let M be 2-torsion free Semiprime Γ -ring satisfying condition (A) and $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying condition (B), then $[T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof: By putting $y\alpha x$ instead of y in equation (5) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} T(x)\alpha(x\alpha y\alpha x\beta x - 2y\alpha x\beta x\alpha x - 2x\alpha x\beta y\alpha x) &+ (x\alpha y\alpha x\beta x - 2x\alpha x\beta y\alpha x - 2y\alpha x\beta x\alpha x)\alpha T(x) \\ &+ x\beta T(x)\alpha(x\beta y\alpha x + y\alpha x\beta x) + (x\beta y\alpha x + y\alpha x\beta x)\alpha T(x)\beta x + x\beta x\alpha T(x)\beta y\alpha x \\ &+ y\alpha x\beta T(x)\alpha x\beta x = 0 \quad \dots(8) \end{aligned}$$

Right multiplication of equation (5) by x gives for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ the equation,

$$\begin{aligned} T(x)\alpha(x\alpha y\alpha x\beta x - 2y\alpha x\beta x\alpha x - 2x\alpha x\beta y\alpha x) &+ (x\alpha y\beta x - 2x\alpha x\beta y - 2y\beta x\alpha x)\alpha T(x)\alpha x \\ &+ x\beta T(x)\alpha(x\beta y\alpha x + y\alpha x\beta x) + (x\beta y - 2y\beta x\alpha x)\alpha T(x)\beta x\alpha x + x\beta x\alpha T(x)\beta y\alpha x \\ &+ y\beta T(x)\alpha x\beta x\alpha x = 0 \quad \dots(9) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by subtracting equation (9) from equation (8) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} (x\alpha y\alpha x\beta x - 2x\alpha x\beta y\alpha x - 2y\alpha x\beta x\alpha x)\alpha T(x) &+ (x\beta y\alpha x + y\alpha x\beta x)\alpha T(x)\beta x + y\alpha x\beta T(x)\alpha x\beta x \\ &- (x\alpha y\beta x - 2x\alpha x\beta y - 2y\beta x\alpha x)\alpha T(x)\alpha x - (x\beta y + y\beta x)\alpha T(x)\beta x\alpha x \\ &- (y\beta T(x)\alpha x\beta x\alpha x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

$$x\alpha y\beta x\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2x\alpha x\beta y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 2y\beta x\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + x\beta y\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha\beta x + y\beta x\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha\beta x + y\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha\beta x\alpha x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Let $\alpha = \beta$ and by collecting the first and the fourth terms together we get, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} x\alpha y\alpha[x\alpha x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 2y\alpha x\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + y\alpha x\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha\alpha x + \\ y\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha\alpha x\alpha x = 0 \quad \dots(10) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $T(x)\alpha y$ for y in the equation (10) implies,

$$\begin{aligned} x\alpha T(x)\alpha y\alpha[x\alpha x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2x\alpha x\alpha T(x)\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 2T(x)\alpha y\alpha x\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + \\ T(x)\alpha y\alpha x\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha\alpha x + T(x)\alpha y\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha\alpha x\alpha x = 0 \quad \dots(11) \end{aligned}$$

Left multiplication of equation (10) by $T(x)$ leads to,

$$T(x)\alpha x\alpha y\alpha [x\alpha x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2T(x)\alpha x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha + 2T(x)\alpha y\alpha x\alpha x\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha + T(x)\alpha y\alpha x\alpha [x, T(x)]_\alpha \alpha x + T(x)\alpha y\alpha [x, T(x)]_\alpha \alpha x\alpha x = 0 \quad \dots (12)$$

By subtracting equation (12) from equation (11) we obtain,

$$x\alpha T(x)\alpha y\alpha [x\alpha x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2x\alpha x\alpha T(x)\alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha - T(x)\alpha x\alpha y\alpha [x\alpha x, T(x)]_\alpha - 2T(x)\alpha x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Now, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha - 2[T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (13)$$

By putting $y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha z$ instead of y in equation (13) we get for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha z\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha - 2[T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha z\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (14)$$

Left multiplication of equation (13) by $[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y$ implies,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha z\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha z\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (15)$$

By subtracting equation (15) from equation (14) for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have,

$$([T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha) \alpha z\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (16)$$

Let, in equation (16), z be $z\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y$, then we obtain for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$([T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha) \alpha z\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (17)$$

Right multiplication of equation (16) by $y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha$ gives,

$$([T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha) \alpha z\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (18)$$

By subtracting equation (17) from equation (18) we obtain,

$$([T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha) \alpha z\alpha ([T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [-2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \\ [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = [2T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \quad \dots (19)$$

Combining equation (13) with equation (19) leads to,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha ([T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha - 2[T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

This implies that $[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$... (20)

By left multiplying equation (20) by x we obtain,

$$x\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots (21)$$

By replacing $x\alpha y$ for y in equation (21) we get,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x\alpha y\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots (22)$$

We combine equation (22) with equation (23) and the result is,

$$([T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x + x\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha) \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

$$[T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha [T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots (23)$$

By semiprimeness, we have, $[T(x), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$... (24)

Lemma 2.5: - Let M be 2-torsion free Semiprime Γ -ring satisfying condition (A) and $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying condition (B), then, $[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x\alpha x = 0$, $x\alpha x\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ and $x\alpha [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof: For Lemma 2.4, we have equation (24). By the substitution of $x + y$ for x in equation (24) we obtain,

$$[T(x + y), (x + y)\alpha (x + y)]_\alpha = [T(x), x\alpha x + y\alpha y + x\alpha y + y\alpha x]_\alpha + [T(y), x\alpha x + y\alpha y + x\alpha y + y\alpha x]_\alpha$$

Hence, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$[T(x), y\alpha y]_\alpha + [T(y), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [T(x), x\alpha y + y\alpha x]_\alpha + [T(y), x\alpha y + y\alpha x]_\alpha = 0.$$

Putting, in the above equation, $-x$ for x implies for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$[-T(x), y\alpha y]_\alpha + [T(y), x\alpha x]_\alpha + [-T(x), -x\alpha y - y\alpha x]_\alpha + [T(y), -x\alpha y - y\alpha x]_\alpha = 0$$

By comparing the above two equations we have,

$$[T(x), x\alpha y + y\alpha x]_\alpha + [T(y), x\alpha x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots (25)$$

By putting $2(x\alpha y + y\alpha x)$ in equation (25) for y then according to equations (2) and (16) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2[T(x), x\alpha(xay + yax)]_\alpha + [2T(xay + yax), xax]_\alpha = 0 \\
 & 2[T(x), xaxay + 2xayax + yaxax]_\alpha + [T(x)\alpha y + x\alpha T(y) + T(y)\alpha x + y\alpha T(x), xax]_\alpha = 0 \\
 & 2[T(x), xaxay]_\alpha + 2[T(x), yaxax]_\alpha + 4[T(x), xayax]_\alpha + [T(x)y\alpha, xax]_\alpha + [x\alpha T(y), xax]_\alpha \\
 & \quad + [T(y)\alpha x, xax]_\alpha + [y\alpha T(x), xax]_\alpha = 0 \\
 & 2xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha + 2[T(x), y]_\alpha axax + 4[T(x), xayax]_\alpha + T(x)\alpha[y, xax]_\alpha + x\alpha[T(y), xax]_\alpha + \\
 & [T(y), xax]_\alpha \alpha x + [y, xax]_\alpha \alpha T(x) = 0 \quad \dots (26)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha + 2[T(x), y]_\alpha axax + 4[T(x), xayax]_\alpha + T(x)\alpha[y, xax]_\alpha + [y, xax]_\alpha \alpha T(x) \\
 & \quad + x\alpha[T(y), xax]_\alpha + [T(y), xax]_\alpha \alpha x = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

For $y = x$, equation (27) reduces to,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & xax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + [T(x), x]_\alpha axax + 2[T(x), xaxax]_\alpha = 0 \\
 & xax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + [T(x), x]_\alpha axax + 2[T(x), x]_\alpha axax + 2x\alpha[T(x), xax]_\alpha = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Which gives, $xax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 3[T(x), x]_\alpha axax = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$... (27)

From equation (25) we get, $[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x + x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

By right multiplication of the above relation by x we get,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha axax + x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(28)$$

Now, by left multiplication of the above relation by x we have,

$$x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x + xax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(29)$$

Comparing equation (28) with equation (29) gives,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha axax = xax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(30)$$

From equation (27) and equation (30) we obtain, $4xax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

implies, $xax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$... (31)

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha axax = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(32)$$

In addition, from equation (31) we have, $x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$... (33)

Lemma 2.6: Let M be 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying condition (A)

and let $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying condition (B), then

$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0$ and $x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof: From equation (25) we get, $[T(y), xax]_\alpha = -[T(x), xay + yax]_\alpha$.

Left multiplication of the above equation by x gives, $x\alpha[T(y), xax]_\alpha = -x\alpha[T(x), xay + yax]_\alpha$.

Similarly, right multiplication by x gives, $x\alpha[T(y), xax]_\alpha = -x\alpha[T(x), xay + yax]_\alpha$.

$[T(y), xax]_\alpha \alpha x = -[T(x), xay + yax]_\alpha \alpha x$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Putting the above two equations in equation (26) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= 2xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha + 2[T(x), y]_\alpha axax + 4[T(x), xayax]_\alpha + T(x)\alpha[y, xax]_\alpha + [y, xax]_\alpha \alpha T(x) \\
 & \quad - x\alpha[T(x), xay + yax]_\alpha - [T(x), xay + yax]_\alpha \alpha x \\
 &= 2xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha + 2[T(x), y]_\alpha axax + 4[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yax + 4x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x \\
 & \quad + 4xay\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + T(x)\alpha[y, xax]_\alpha + [y, xax]_\alpha \alpha T(x) - x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y \\
 & \quad - xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha - xay\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha - x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x - x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x \\
 & \quad - [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yax - y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x - [T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha xax.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha + [T(x), y]_\alpha axax + 3[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yax + 2x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x + 3xay\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + \\
 & T(x)\alpha[y, xax]_\alpha + [y, xax]_\alpha \alpha T(x) - x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y - y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0 \quad \dots(34)
 \end{aligned}$$

By the substitution of yax for y in equation (34) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & xax\alpha[T(x), yax]_\alpha + [T(x), yax]_\alpha axax + 3[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yaxax + 2x\alpha[T(x), yax]_\alpha \alpha x + \\
 & 3xay\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + T(x)\alpha[yax, xax]_\alpha + [yax, xax]_\alpha \alpha T(x) - x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yax - \\
 & yax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x + xay\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + [T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha xaxax + y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha xax + \\
 & 3[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yaxax + 2x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha xax + 2xay\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x + 3xay\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + \\
 & T(x)\alpha[y, xax]_\alpha \alpha x + [y, xax]_\alpha \alpha x\alpha T(x) - x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yax - yax\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This reduces equation (32) and equation (33) to,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & xax\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x + xay\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + [T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha xaxax + 3xay\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + \\
 & 2x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha xax + 2xay\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x + T(x)\alpha[y, xax]_\alpha \alpha x + [y, xax]_\alpha \alpha x\alpha T(x) - \\
 & x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha yax = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(35)
 \end{aligned}$$

Right multiplication of equation (34) by x gives, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$x\alpha x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x + [T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x \alpha x \alpha x + 3[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y \alpha x \alpha x + 3x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x + 2x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x \alpha x + T(x)\alpha[y, x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha x + [y, x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha T(x)\alpha x - x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y \alpha x = 0 \quad \dots (36)$$

Subtracting equation (36) from equation (35) implies,

$$\begin{aligned} x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 3x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha - 3x\alpha y[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x + 2x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x \\ + [y, x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha x \alpha T(x) - [y, x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha T(x)\alpha x \\ = x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 3x\alpha y[[x, T(x), x]_\alpha]_\alpha + 2x\alpha y[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x \\ + [y, x\alpha x]_\alpha \alpha [x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which reduces equation (31) to,

$$2x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 3x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha - x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

By replacing $-[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x$ by $x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha$ in the above equation, and by 2-torsion free Γ -ring, we get,

$$x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 2x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(37)$$

Recall equation (5) and Lemma 2.5, so, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have,

$$x\alpha y\alpha[x\alpha x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + 2y\alpha x\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + y\alpha x\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha \alpha x + y\alpha[x, T(x)]_\alpha \alpha x \alpha x = 0$$

Using equation (24) leads to, $x\alpha x\alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

$$\text{Which gives, together with equation (37), } x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (38)$$

Left multiplication of equation (38) by $T(x)$ gives,

$$T(x)\alpha x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(39)$$

Replacing, in equation (38), $T(x)\alpha y$ by y we obtain,

$$x\alpha T(x)\alpha y\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(40)$$

Subtracting (40) from (39) implies, $[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

$$\text{Thus, } x\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(41)$$

$$\text{In addition, } [T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(42)$$

Theorem 2.7: Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying condition (A) and $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying condition (B), then T is a left and right centralizer.

Proof: We take equation(25), then, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we get,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y + x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha + [T(x), y]_\alpha \alpha x + y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + x\alpha[T(y), x]_\alpha + [T(y), x]_\alpha \alpha x = 0.$$

From equation (37) with the above equation we obtain,

$$x\alpha[T(x), y]_\alpha + y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha + x\alpha[T(y), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Left multiplication of the above equation by $[T(x), x]_\alpha$, and by equation (38), implies,

$$[T(x), x]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha[T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

$$\text{From the semiprime we have, } [T(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \dots(43)$$

Combining equation (41) with equation (1) gives $T(x\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha x$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Also, $T(x\alpha x) = x\alpha T(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

This implies that T is a left and right Jordan centralizer, and by a previous work [8; *Theorem 3.1*], the result is that T is both a left and right centralizer.

References

1. Barnes, W. E. **1966**. On the Γ -ring of Nobusawa, *Pacific J. Math.*, **18**: 411-422.
2. Luh, J. **1970**. A Note on Commuting Automorphism of Rings, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **16**: 65-75.
3. Kyuno, S. **1978**. On Prime Gamma Ring, *Pacific J. Math.*, **75**: 185-190.
4. Nobusawa, N. **1964**. On the Generalization of the Ring Theory, *Osaka J. Math*, **1**: 81-89.
5. Hoque, Md. F. and Paul, A. C. **2011**. On Centralizer of Semiprime Gamma Ring, *International Mathematical Forum*, **13**: 627-638.
6. Shaheen, R. C. **2007**. On Centralizer of Some Gamma Ring, *Journal Al-Qadisiya for Pure Science*, **12**(2).
7. Hoque, Md. F. and Paul, A. C. **2013**. Centralizer on Semiprime Gamma Ring, *Italian J. of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **30**: 289-302.
8. Hoque, Md. F. and Paul, A. C. **2011**. On Centralizer of Semiprime Gamma Ring, *International Mathematical Forum*, **6**(13): 627-638.