



ISSN: 0067-2904

A study of m - N -extremally Disconnected Spaces With Respect to τ , Maximum m_X - N -open Sets

Ahmed A.Salih*¹, Haider J. Ali

¹Republic of Iraq, Ministry of Education, Directorate General of Education, Baghdad, Karkh 3

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Iraq

Received: 26/5/2020

Accepted: 14/7/2020

Abstract

The aim of this research is to prove the idea of maximum m_X - N -open set, m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ and provide some definitions by utilizing the idea of m_X - N -open sets. Some properties of these sets are studied.

Keywords: minimal structure, maximum m_X - N -open set, m - N -extremally disconnected respect to τ , mixed space.

دراسة الفضاء m - N -extremally disconnected بالنسبة لفضاء البنية τ ، الحد الاعلى لل m_X - N -open من ناحية عدد عناصرها

احمد عاشور صالح^{1*}، حيدر جبر علي²

¹المديرية العامة لتربية بغداد، الكرخ 3، وزارة التربية، العراق

²قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة المستنصرية، العراق

الخلاصة:

الهدف من هذا البحث تقديم مفهوم الحد الاعلى لل m_X - N -open من ناحية عدد عناصرها، مفهوم (m - N -extremally disconnected respect to τ) وبعض المفاهيم باستعمال المفهوم m_X - N -المتفتوحة. بعض خصائص هذه المجموعات تم دراستها والتحقق فيها

Introduction

The notions of minimal open sets and maximal open sets in topological spaces were presented and investigated by Nakaoka and Oda [5, 6, 7]. Roohi *et al.* [8] showed the idea of maximal m_X -open set in m -space. They obtained numerous portrayals of maximal m_X -open set in m -space. Al-Omari *et al.* [1] presented an alteration of extremally disconnected spaces which is said to be m -extremally disconnected. Furthermore, they obtained numerous portrayals of m -extremally disconnected spaces. The motivation behind this paper is to present and test the idea of maximal m_X - N -open sets in m -spaces, called maximal m_X - N -open set. We also presented the idea of m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ . Few outcomes about the presence of maximal m_X - N -open sets and m - N -extremally disconnected spaces with respect to τ are given. We have upheld our outcomes by models and counterexamples.

1: PRELIMINARIES

Definition (1.1) [3]

Let X be a non-vacuous set and $P(X)$ be the power set of X . A subfamily m_X of $P(X)$ is called a minimal structure (briefly m -structure) on X if $\emptyset \in m_X$ and $X \in m_X$. By (X, m_X) , we indicate a non-

*Email: ahmadhmw67@gmail.com

vacuous set X with an m -structure m_X on X , which is called an m -space. Every individual from m_X is nominated to be m_X -open and the complement of an m_X -open set is nominated to be m_X -closed set

Definition (1.2) [4]

A subset B of m -space X is called m_XN -open set if every single $x \in U$, then there be found an m_X -open set V that comprises x to such an extent that V/U is finite and the complement of an m_XN -open set is designated to be m_XN -closed set. The family of all m_XN -open sets in X is designated to be m_N .

Definition (1.3) [8]

Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. A non-vacuous proper m_X -open subcategory U of X is designated to be maximal m_X -open if any m_X -open set which comprises U is X or U . We indicate the set of all maximal m_X -open sets of an m -space (X, m_X) by $\max(X, m_X)$.

Definition (1.4)[1]

A topological space (X, τ) with an m -structure m_X on X is designated to be mixed space and is indicated by (X, τ, m_X) .

Definition (1.5) [2]

A space X is extremally disconnected if the closure of every open subset of X is open.

Definition (1.6) [1]

A mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is nominated to be m -extremally disconnected if $m_X\text{-cl}(U) \in \tau$, for each $U \in \tau$.

Definition (1.7)

Let X be a non-vacuous set and m_X an m -structure on X . For a subcategory U of X , the m_X - N -closure of U and the m_X - N -interior of U are acquainted as pursues:

- i. $m_X\text{-N-int}(U) = \cup \{V: V \subseteq U, V \text{ is an } m_X\text{-N-open}\}$.
- ii. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) = \cap \{F: U \subseteq F, F \text{ is an } m_X\text{-N-closed}\}$.

Proposition (1.8): Let U, V be a subcategory of m -space X and $U \subseteq V$, thereafter:

- i. $m_X\text{-int}(U) \subseteq m_X\text{-N-int}(U)$.
- ii. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \subseteq m_X\text{-cl}(U)$.
- iii. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(V)$.
- iv. $m_X\text{-N-int}(U) \subseteq m_X\text{-N-int}(V)$
- v. $m_X\text{-N-int}(X) = X$ and $m_X\text{-N-int}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- vi. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(X) = X$ and $m_X\text{-N-cl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- vii. $m_X\text{-N-int}(U) \subseteq U$ and $U \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$
- viii. $m_X\text{-N-int}(m\text{-N-int}(U)) = m_X\text{-N-int}(U)$
- ix. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(m\text{-N-cl}(U)) = m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$
- x. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U^c) = (m_X\text{-N-int}(U))^c$.
- xi. $m_X\text{-N-int}(U^c) = (m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))^c$.

2: Maximal m_X - N -Open Sets

Definition (2.1)

A non-vacuous proper m_X - N -open subset U of m -space X is called maximal m_X - N -open if any m_X - N -open set which comprises U is X or U . We indicate the arrangement of all maximal m_X - N -open sets of an m -space (X, m_X) by $\max\text{-N}(X, m_X)$.

Proposition (2.2): Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and let $U, V \in \max\text{-N}(X, m_X)$ and K be an m_X - N -open set. Then:

- i. $U \cup K = X$ or $K \subseteq U$.
- ii. $U \cup V = X$ or $U = V$.

Proof:

- i. Assume that, K is an m_X - N -open set in which $U \cup K \neq X$. Since U is an maximal m_X - N -open set, $U \subseteq U \cup K$, we conclude that $U \cup K = U$. Therefore $K \subseteq U$.
- ii. Let $U \cup V \neq X$. Since $U, V \in \max\text{-N}(X, m_X)$, by (i) we conclude that $U \subseteq V$ and $V \subseteq U$. Hence $U = V$.

Remark (2.3): This proposition may not be true when $U, V \in \max(X, m_X)$.

Example (2.4): Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $U = \{1,2\}$, $V = \{2,3\}$ and $K = \{3\}$. Put $m_X = \{\emptyset, U, V, K\}$, then distinctly $U, V \in \max(X, m_X)$ and K is an m_X -open set. We note the following:

- i. $U \cup K \neq X$ and $K \not\subseteq U$.
- ii. $U \cup V \neq X$ and $U \neq V$.

Proposition (2.5): Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in \max\text{-N-}(X, m_X)$, in which $U_1 \neq U_2$. In the event that $U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq U_3$, then $U_1 = U_3$ or $U_2 = U_3$.

Proof: Since $U_1 \cap U_3 = U_1 \cap (U_3 \cap X)$
 $= U_1 \cap (U_3 \cap (U_1 \cup U_2))$ (Proposition 2.2)
 $= U_1 \cap ((U_3 \cap U_1) \cup (U_3 \cap U_2))$
 $= (U_1 \cap U_3) \cup (U_1 \cap U_3 \cap U_2)$
 $= (U_1 \cap U_3) \cup (U_1 \cap U_2)$ (since $U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq U_3$)
 $= U_1 \cap (U_2 \cup U_3)$,

subsequently, $U_1 \cap U_3 = U_1 \cap (U_2 \cup U_3)$. In the event that $U_3 \neq U_2$ (Proposition 2.2), we have $U_2 \cup U_3 = X$. Henceforth we can say that $U_1 \cap U_3 = U_1$, which implies that $U_1 \subseteq U_3$. Since U_1 and U_3 are maximal m -N-open sets, we get $U_1 = U_3$.

Example (2.6): Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $U_1 = \{1,2,3\}$, $U_2 = \{1,2\}$ and $U_3 = \{1,2,4\}$. Let $m_X = \{\emptyset, U_1, U_2, U_3, X\}$, so $U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq U_3$ while $U_1 \neq U_3$ and $U_2 \neq U_3$. This shows that Proposition 2.5 may not hold when one of the sets $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ do not have a place with the maximal m_X -N-open sets.

Example (2.7): Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $m_X = \{\emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, X\}$. Let $U_1 = \{1,2\}$, $U_2 = U_3 = \{2,3\}$. Obviously, $U_1 \neq U_2$, $U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq U_3$ and $U_2 = U_3$. We note that Proposition 2.5 is achieved when the sets $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ do not have a place with the maximal m_X -N-open sets.

Proposition (2.8): Let (X, m_X) be an m -space, $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in \max\text{-N-}(X, m_X)$ which are dissimilar from any others. Then $U_A \cap U_B \not\subseteq U_A \cap U_C$, where $\{A, B, C\} = \{1,2,3\}$.

Proof: Suppose that $U_A \cap U_B \subseteq U_A \cap U_C$, so $(U_A \cap U_B) \cup (U_B \cap U_C) \subseteq (U_A \cap U_C) \cup (U_B \cap U_C)$. Hence $U_B \cap (U_A \cup U_C) \subseteq (U_A \cup U_B) \cap U_C$. Since $U_A \cup U_B = U_A \cup U_C = X$, we get $U_B \subseteq U_C$. Presently, it pursues from Definition 2.1 that $U_B = U_C$, which repudiates our supposition.

Example (2.9): Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $U_1 = \{1,2,4\}$, $U_2 = \{3,4\}$, $U_3 = \{1,3,4\}$. Put $m_X = \{\emptyset, U_1, U_2, U_3, X\}$ then $U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq U_1 \cap U_3$. This shows that proposition 2.8 may not hold when one of the sets $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ do not have a place with the maximal m_X -N-open sets.

Example (2.10): Let $X = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $U_1 = \{1,2\}$, $U_2 = \{2,3\}$, $U_3 = \{1,3\}$. Put $m_X = \{\emptyset, U_1, U_2, U_3, X\}$. It is easy to see that $U_A \cap U_B \not\subseteq U_A \cap U_C$, where $\{A, B, C\} = \{1,2,3\}$. This shows that it is conceivable that (proposition 2.8) holds when the sets $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ do not have a place with the maximal m_X -N-open sets.

Proposition (2.11): Let (X, m_X) be an m -space, $U \in \max\text{-N-}(X, m_X)$, and $x \in U$. Then $U = \cup \{W : W\}$ is an m_X -N-open neighborhood of x in which $U \cup W \neq X$.

Proof: Since the maximal m_X -N-open set U is an m_X -N-open neighborhood of x , so $U \subseteq \cup \{W : W\}$ is an m_X -N-open neighborhood of x in which $U \cup W \neq X$. Now, whenever $U \cup W \neq X$, then by proposition 2.2, we have that $U \subseteq \cup \{W : W\}$ is an m_X -N-open neighborhood of x in which $U \cup \{W \neq X\} \subseteq U$. Hence $U = \cup \{W : W\}$ is an m_X -N-open neighborhood of x in which $U \cup W \neq X$.

Proposition (2.12): Let (X, m_X) and (X, m_X^*) be m -spaces in which $m_X \subseteq m_X^*$. Then $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X^*) \cap m_X \subseteq \max(X, m_X)$.

Proof:

If $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X^*) \cap m_X = \emptyset$, so the investigation ends. Let $U \in \max\text{-N-}(X, m_X^*) \cap m_X$ and assume that $U \notin \max(X, m_X)$, then there be found $K \in m_X$ with the end goal that $U \subset K \subset X$, which is a logical inconsistency, since $U \in \max\text{-N-}(X, m_X^*)$ and K is an m_X -N-open set.

Example (2.13): Let $X = \{1,2,3\}$ and $m_X = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{2,3\}, X\}$, $m_X^* = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, X\}$. We note that $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X^*) = \{\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}\}$ and $\max(X, m_X) = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}\}$, and therefore $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X^*) \cap m_X \subseteq \max(X, m_X)$.

Proposition (2.14): Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. Then $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X) \cap m_X \subseteq \max(X, m_X)$.

Proof: If $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X) \cap m_X = \emptyset$, so the investigation ends. Let $U \in \max\text{-N-}(X, m_X) \cap m_X$ and assume that $U \notin \max(X, m_X)$, then there be found $K \in m_X$ in which $U \subset K \subset X$, which is a logical inconsistency, since $U \in \max\text{-N-}(X, m_X)$ and K is an m_X -N-open set.

Example (2.15): Let $X = \{1,2,3\}$ and $m_X = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{2,3\}, X\}$. We note that $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X) = \{\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}\}$ and $\max(X, m_X) = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}\}$, and therefore $\max\text{-N-}(X, m_X) \cap m_X \subseteq \max(X, m_X)$.

3: m-N-extremally disconnected with respect to τ **Definition (3.1):**

A mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is nominated to be m-N-extremally disconnected with respect to τ if $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \in \tau$ for each $U \in \tau$.

Example (3.2): Let $X = \{1,2,3\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}, X\}$ and $m_X = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, X\}$. Then the topological space (X, τ) is not extremally disconnected and the mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is not m-extremally disconnected, but a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is an m-N-extremally disconnected with respect to τ .

Definition (3.3):

A subcategory U of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is nominated to be:

- i. $m_X\text{-N}\alpha^*$ -open if $U \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U)))$.
- ii. $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open if $U \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U))$.
- iii. $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open if $U \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$.
- iv. $m_X\text{-N}b^*$ -open if $U \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)))$.
- v. $m_X\text{-N}s^{**}$ -open if $U \subseteq \text{cl}(m_X\text{-N-int}(U))$.

Theorem (3.4): Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space, then the accompanying properties are identical:

- i. X is an m-N-extremally disconnected with respect to τ .
- ii. $m_X\text{-N-int}(U)$ is closed for each closed subcategory U of X .
- iii. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U)) \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$ for each subcategory U of X .
- iv. Every $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open set is $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open.
- v. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \in \tau$ for each $m_X\text{-N}b^*$ -open set U .
- vi. Every $m_X\text{-N}b^*$ -open set is $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open.
- vii. U is an $m_X\text{-N}\alpha^*$ -open if and only if it is $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open for each $U \subseteq X$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Let U be a closed set in X . Then X / U is open. By (i),

$m_X\text{-N-cl}(X / U) = X / (m_X\text{-N-int}(U))$ is open. Subsequently, $m_X\text{-N-int}(U)$ is closed.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Let U be any set of X . Then $X / \text{int}(U)$ is closed and by (ii), $m_X\text{-N-int}[X / \text{int}(U)]$ is closed. Therefore, $m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U))$ is open and subsequently $m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U)) \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$.

(iii) \rightarrow (iv): Let U be $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open set. By (iii), we conclude that $U \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U)) \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$. Thus, U is an $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open set.

(iv) \rightarrow (v): Let U be an $m_X\text{-N}b^*$ -open set. Then $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$ is an $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open. By (iv), $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$ is $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open. Subsequently, $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$ and hence $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$ is open.

(v) \rightarrow (vi): Let U be an $m_X\text{-N}b^*$ -open. By (v), $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) = \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$. Subsequently, $U \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) = \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$ and hence U is an $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open.

(vi) \rightarrow (vii): Let U be an $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open set. Since every $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open set is an $m_X\text{-N}b^*$ -open, then by (vi), it is an $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open. Since U is an $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open and an $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open, then it is an $m_X\text{-N}\alpha^*$ -open.

(vii) \rightarrow (i): Let U be an open set of X . Then $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$ is $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open and by (vii), $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$ is $m_X\text{-N}\alpha^*$ -open. Thusly, $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)))) \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$ and subsequently $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) = \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(U))$, and finally, $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U)$ is open and X is m-N-extremally disconnected with respect to τ .

Corollary (3.5): Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. Then, the accompanying properties are identical:

- i. X is m-N-extremally disconnected with respect to τ .
- ii. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \in \tau$ for each $m_X\text{-N}\alpha^*$ -open set U of X .
- iii. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \in \tau$ for each $m_X\text{-N}s^*$ -open set U of X .
- iv. $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \in \tau$ for each $m_X\text{-N}p^*$ -open set U of X .

Proof:

i \rightarrow ii: Let U be an $m_X\text{-N}\alpha^*$ -open set of X , then $U \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U)))$, so $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U)))) \subseteq m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U))$. Hence, $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) = m_X\text{-N-cl}(\text{int}(U))$, and by (i), we conclude that $m_X\text{-N-cl}(U) \in \tau$.

ii \rightarrow iii: By Theorem 3.4 (vii), the verification ends.

iii \rightarrow iv: Let U be an m_X - Np^* -open set of X , then $U \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))$, so $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)))$. Subsequently, $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)$ is an m_X - Ns^* -open set, and by (iii), $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \in \tau$.

iv \rightarrow i: Since every open set is an m_X - Np^* -open set, then the verification ends.

Theorem (3.6): Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. Then, the accompanying properties are identical:

- i. X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .
- ii. For any $U \in \tau$ and K is an m_X - N -open set in which $U \cap K = \emptyset$, there be found a disjoint of an m_X - N -closed set U_1 and a closed set K_1 in which $U \subseteq U_1$ and $K \subseteq K_1$.
- iii. $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(K) = \emptyset$ for each $U \in \tau$ and K is an m_X - N -open set with $U \cap K = \emptyset$.
- iv. $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}[\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))] \cap \text{cl}(K) = \emptyset$ for each $U \subseteq X$ and K is an m_X - N -open set with $U \cap K = \emptyset$.

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii): Let X be an m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ . Let U and K be two disjoint open and m_X - N -open sets, respectively. Then $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)$ and $X \setminus (m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))$ are disjoint m_X - N -closed and closed sets comprising U and K , respectively.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Let $U \in \tau$ and K is an m_X - N -open set with $U \cap K = \emptyset$. By (ii), there be found a disjoint of an m_X - N -closed set U_1 and a closed set K_1 in which $U \subseteq U_1$ and $K \subseteq K_1$. In this manner, $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(K) \subseteq U_1 \cap K_1 = \emptyset$. Accordingly, $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(K) = \emptyset$.

(iii) \rightarrow (iv): Let $U \subseteq X$ and K is an m_X - N -open set with $U \cap K = \emptyset$. Since $\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)) \in \tau$ and $\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)) \cap K = \emptyset$, then by (iii), $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}[\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))] \cap \text{cl}(K) = \emptyset$.

(iv) \rightarrow (i): Let U be any open set. Then $[X \setminus (m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))] \cap U = \emptyset$. As $X \setminus m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)$ is an m_X - N -open set and by (iv), $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))) \cap \text{cl}(X \setminus m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)) = \emptyset$. Since $U \in \tau$, therefore $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \cap [X \setminus \text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))] = \emptyset$.

In this manner, $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq \text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))$ and $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)$ is open. Accordingly, X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .

Definition (3.7): A subcategory U of a mixed space (X, τ, m_X) is called an m_X - NR^* -open set if $U = \text{int}(m\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))$. The complement of an m_X - NR^* -open set is said to be m_X - NR^* -closed.

Theorem (3.8): Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. Then, the accompanying properties are identical:

- i. X is an m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .
- ii. Every m_X - NR^* -open set of X is m_X - N -closed in X .
- iii. Every m_X - NR^* -closed set of X is m_X - N -open in X .

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii): Let X be an m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ . Let U be an m_X - NR^* -open set of X , then $U = \text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))$. Since U is an open set, then $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)$ is open. Subsequently, $U = \text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)) = m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)$ and consequently U is m_X - N -closed.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Assume that every m_X - NR^* -open subcategory of X is m_X - N -closed in X . Let U be an open subcategory of X . Since $\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))$ is m_X - NR^* -open, then it is m_X - N -closed. This leads to $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))) = \text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U))$. Thus, $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U)$ is open and subsequently X is an m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Let U be m_X - NR^* -closed, then U^c is m_X - NR^* -open. Then, by (ii), U^c is m_X - N -closed and in this manner U is an m_X - N -open set in X .

(iii) \rightarrow (i): Clear.

Theorem (3.9): Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. Then the accompanying properties are identical:

- i. X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .
- ii. $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \in \tau$ for each m_X - NR^* -open set U of X .

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii): Let U be m_X - NR^* -open set of X . Then U is open and by (i), $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \in \tau$.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Assume that $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \in \tau$ for each m_X - NR^* -open set U of X . Let K be any open set of X . Thereafter, $\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(K))$ is m_X - NR^* -open set and $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(K) = m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(\text{int}(m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(K))) \in \tau$. Consequently $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(K) \in \tau$ and therefore X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .

Theorem (3.10): Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space, then the accompanying properties are identical:

- i. X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .
- ii. If U is m_X - Ns^* -open, K is m_X - Ns^{**} -open and $U \cap K = \emptyset$, then $m_X\text{-}N\text{-cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(K) = \emptyset$.

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii): Let U be m_X - Ns^* -open, K is an m_X - Ns^{**} -open, and $U \cap K = \emptyset$. By Corollary (3.5), m_X - N - $cl(U)$ is open, and since m_X - N - $cl(U) \cap m_X$ - N - $int(K) = \emptyset$, m_X - N - $cl(U) \cap cl(m_X$ - N - $int(K)) = \emptyset$. Since K is m_X - Ns^{**} -open, $cl(K) = cl(m_X$ - N - $int(K))$, and therefore m_X - N - $cl(U) \cap cl(K) = \emptyset$.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let U be an m_X - Ns^* -open set. Since U and X / m_X - N - $cl(U)$ are disjoint m_X - Ns^* -open and m_X - Ns^{**} -open, respectively, then by (ii), we deduce that m_X - N - $cl(U) \cap cl[X / m_X$ - N - $cl(U)] = \emptyset$. This leads to the result that m_X - N - $cl(U) \subseteq int(m_X$ - N - $cl(U))$. Thus m_X - N - $cl(U)$ is open. Thereafter, by Corollary (3.5), X is an m_X - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .

Theorem (3.11): Let (X, τ, m_X) be a mixed space. Thereafter, X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ if and only if, for each open set U and every m_X - N -closed K with $U \subseteq K$, there be found an open set U_1 and an m_X - N -closed set K_1 in which $U \subseteq K_1 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq K$.

Proof:

Assume that X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ . Let U be an open set and K m_X - N -closed in which $U \subseteq K$. Then $U \cap K^c = \emptyset$. Then by Theorem (3.6), m_X - N - $cl(U) \cap cl(K^c) = \emptyset$. Thereafter, m_X - N - $cl(U) \subseteq int(K) \subseteq K$. Suppose that m_X - N - $cl(U) = K_1$, $int(K) = U_1$, then we get $U \subseteq K_1 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq K$.

Conversely, let U be an open set and K^c be m_X - N -open in which $U \cap K^c = \emptyset$. Then, $U \subseteq K$ and K is an m_X - N -closed. So, there be found an open set U_1 and an m_X - N -closed set K_1 in which $U \subseteq K_1 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq K$. This indicates that m_X - N - $cl(U) \cap [int(K)]^c = \emptyset$. But $[int(K)]^c = cl(K^c)$. Thereafter, m_X - N - $cl(U) \cap cl(K^c) = \emptyset$ and by Theorem (3.6), X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ .

Remark (3.12): The above theorem may not be true when K and K_1 are m_X -closed sets.

Example:

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, X\}$, and $m_X = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, X\}$. Evidently, X is m - N -extremally disconnected with respect to τ and $U = \{1\} \subseteq \{1, 3\} = K$. But there be not found an open set U_1 and an m_X -closed set K_1 in which $U \subseteq K_1 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq K$.

References

1. Ahmad Al-Omari, Hanan Al-Saadi, and Takashi Noiri. 2019. On extremally disconnected spaces VIA m -structures, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **34**(1): 351–359
2. Alexander Arhangel'skii, 2011. A study of extremally disconnected topological spaces, *Bull. Math. Sci.* **1**: 3–12
3. V. Popa and T. Noiri, 2000. On M -continuous functions, *Anal. Univ. "Dunarea de Jos" Galati, Ser. Mat. Fiz. Mec. Teor. Fasc. II*, **18**(23): 31-41.
4. Haider J. Ali & Raad F. Hassan. 2020. On Light Mapping and certain concepts by using m_X -open sets, *Baghdad Science Journal*, **17**(1) Supplement(March):371-377
5. F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, 2006. Minimal closed sets and maximal closed sets, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2006**: 1-8.
6. F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, 2001. Some applications of minimal open sets, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **27**(8): 471- 476.
7. F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, 2003. Some properties of maximal open sets, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **21**: 1331- 1340.
8. M. Roohi^a, M. Rostamian Delavar^{b,*}, S. A. Mohammadzadeh^c. 2012. Some Results on Maximal Open Sets, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **3**(2): 59-66