



On The Intersection of Semi-Pure Subgroups of Abelian Group

H. M. A. Abdullal*, Luma J. Barghooth

Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 29/12/ 2019

Accepted: 15/ 3/2020

Abstract

The following question was raised by L.Fuchs: "what are the subgroups of an abelian group G that can be represented as intersections of pure subgroups of G ? . Fuchs also added that "One of my main aims is to give the answers to the above question". In this paper, we shall define new subgroups which are a family of the pure subgroups. Then we shall answer problem 2 of L.Fuchs by these semi-pure subgroups which can be represented as the intersections of pure subgroups.

Keywords: Abelian group; Subgroups; Semi-pure subgroups; Minimal pure subgroup.

حول تقاطعات الزمر الجزئية شبه التامة في الزمر الابيلية

حاتم محمد امين عبد الله* ، لمى جبار برغوث

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية الاساسية، الجامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصه

طرح L.Fuchs السؤال التالي: "ما هي الزمر الجزئية لزمره ابيلية G التي يمكن تمثيلها على أنها تقاطعات زمر جزئية نقية من G ؟ أضاف Fuchs أيضًا أن "أحد أهدافي الرئيسية هو إعطاء الإجابات لما سبق سؤال". في هذا البحث، سنحدد الزمر الجزئية التي هي عائلة من الزمر الجزئية النقية. ثم سنجيب على المشكلة 2 ل L.Fuchs من خلال هذه الزمر الجزئية شبه النقية والتي يمكن تمثيلها على أنها تقاطعات الزمر الجزئية النقية.

1. Introduction

We shall give the definition of semi-pure subgroups and prove that, if L is a subgroup of an abelian group G , with for all $x \in L$, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $x \notin nL$, then $n \mid x$ in G , $L = \bigcap_{i \in I} G_{\alpha_i}$, where G_{α_i} are a family of all pure subgroups G_{α_i} containing L , for all $i \in I$. We shall also study the answer to the following question:

Under which conditions the intersections of pure subgroups are pure ?

We need the following some important definitions, which are used in this paper. Moreover, we need some important properties of subgroups without the proofs:

Definition (A): A group G is said to be a primary group of p -group, if each of its elements g is a power $p^{(ng)}$ of a given prime [1].

*Email: Hmaa_amin.edbs@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

Definition (B): A subgroup G of A is called pure, if the equation $n x = g \in G$ is solvable in G whenever it is solvable in the whole group A . This amounts to saying that G is pure in A if $n \mid g$ in A implies $n \mid g$ in G [2].

We enumerate some of the most important properties of pure subgroups .

- 1- Every divisible of an abelian group is pure.
- 2- Purity is a transitive.
- 3- The union of an ascending chain of pure subgroups is pure .
- 4- The sum of pure subgroups in general is not pure [3].
- 5- Let H be a subgroup of G , and B a pure subgroup $K \ni (G / B) = (H / B) + (K / B)$. Then K is pure [4].
- 6- If G is a p -group and K is a pure subgroup of G containing $G_n [p]$, then K is a direct summand [5].
- 7- If G is torsion-free group and contains elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m $G = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle g_i \rangle$, then every pure subgroup A of G , $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \rangle$ where $a_i \in A$ [6].

2. Main Results

We, in similar manner to Fuchs , raised the following question:

Which are those subgroups of an abelian group G that can be represented as intersections of pure subgroups of G ?

We give some definitions and conditions to answer this question.

We start with the following definitions:

Definition (C): A pure subgroup N of G is said to be minimal-pure containing a subgroup N of G , which is said to be minimal-pure containing a subgroup L , if and only if, for all subgroup K of N , if $K \cap L = [0]$, then $K = \{0\}$.

Definition (D) : A subgroup L of an abelian G is said to be semi-pure in G if for all $x \notin L$, there exists a pure subgroup D of G , such that $D \ni L$ and $x \notin D$.

It is clear that every semi-divisible subgroup is semi-pure and every pure subgroup of G is semi-pure.

We know that the intersection of pure subgroups needs not to be pure, as in the following example .

Example (1) : Take $G = Z_4 \otimes Z_2$, $s_1 = \{(a, 0) | a \in Z_4\}$ $s_2 = \{(0,0), (1,1), (2,0), (3,1)\}$. Consider that $(a,0) \in s_1$, for all positive integers n , suppose that $n|(a,0)$ in G , which means that $n(a,0) = (a,0)$ for $x \in Z_4$ and $y \in Z_2$.

Then we have

$$n^x = a \pmod{4}$$

$$n^y = 0 \pmod{2}$$

We obtain

$$N(x,0) = (nx,0) = (a,0) \text{ for } (x,0) \in s_1$$

Therefore, $n|(a,0)$ in s_1 . So, s_1 is pure in G .

Next, to prove that s_2 is pure in G :

Examine (1,1) and (3,1).

Let $n \in Z^+$, to prove that for all $x \in s_2$, if $n|x$ in G then $n|x$ in s_1 .

Case 1: If n is an even positive number, then $n \nmid (1,1)$ $((1,1) \nmid nG)$.

Case 2 : If n is an odd positive number, then $n=4k+3$ or $n=4k+1$, for $k=\{0,1,2,3,\dots\}$, we have $(4k+1)(1,1)=(1,1)$ and $(4k+3)(3,1)=(1,1)$. which means that $n|(1,1)$ in s_2 , By the same way, one can show that, if $n|(3,1)$ in G , then $n|(3,1)$ in s_2 .

Examine (2,0)

Let n be an even positive integer number, then $n=4k+2$ or $n=4k$ for $k=\{0,1,2,\dots\}$. In the first case, we have $n(1,1)=(4k+2)(1,1)=(2,0)$.

In the second case, for any (x,y) , we get $n(x,y)=4k(x,y)=(0,0)$, hence $n|(2,0)$ in G .

If n is an odd positive integer number, then it is clear that $n|(2,0)$ in G .

Consequently, s_2 is pure in G .

Now, $s_1 \cap s_2 = \{(0,0), (2,0)\}$.

Consider the equation $2(x,y)=(2,0)$, which has the following solutions in G $\{(1,1), (1,0), (3,0), (3,1)\}$.

But each of these elements does not belong to $s_1 \cap s_2$. Hence, $s_1 \cap s_2$ is not pure .

Now, we need the following lemma for the next theorem.

Lemma (A): Let G be an abelian group, L be a subgroup of G , and M be a minimal pure subgroup of G containing L , then

- a) For all positive integer n , $M_{[n]} = L_{[n]}$.
- b) For $x \in M$. \exists positive integer $n \ni nx \in nL$.
- c) For all positive integer n , for all $\ell \in L$, ($L \notin nL$), and for all $r \in z^+$ if $(r,n)=1$, then $r\ell \notin nL$.

Proof: a) Claim that $M_{[n]} \subseteq L_{[n]}$. If not, then \exists positive integer $n \ni x \in M_{[n]}$ and $x \notin L$.

Now, we shall show that $\langle x \rangle \cap \{0\}$. If not, thus $\exists y \in \langle x \rangle \cap L \neq \{0\}$, and hence $y=rx$, where $(r,n)=1$.

Thus, $\lambda r + 7n = 1$ ($\lambda, 7 \in z^+$).

Therefore, $\lambda rx + 7nx = x$. But we have $7px = 0$, implies that $\lambda rx = x \in L$. This is a contradiction.

Consequently,

$\langle x \rangle \cap L = \{0\}$. But M is a minimal pure subgroup, so this is impossible due to the fact that $x \neq 0$.

We get $x \in L$ and $\in L_{[n]}$. Therefore, we obtain the result.

b) If not, then for all $n \in z^+$, $nx \notin L$, so we have $\langle x \rangle \cap L = \{0\}$. But M is a minimal pure subgroup containing L , hence $x=0$, thus we consequently have $\exists n \in z^+ \ni nx \in L$.

c) Suppose that $n\ell \in nL$, so $\exists \ell_0 \ni n = n\ell_0$, and we have $mr + \lambda n = 1$ for $m, \lambda \in z^+$.

Thus, $\ell mr + 7\lambda n = \ell \in L$, then $nm\ell + 7\lambda n\ell = \ell$, so $n(m20 + \ell) = \ell$.

Since $m\ell + 7\lambda\ell = L_1 \in L$, then $n\ell_1 = \ell \in nL$. But this is a contradiction $\ell \notin nL$.

Then we get the result.

Now, we are ready to give an answer to the earlier question at the beginning of the main results:

Theorem (1). Let G be an abelian group, L be a subgroup of G , and $\{G_{\alpha_i}\}$ be a family of all pure subgroups G_{α_i} and containing L . Then $L = \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i} \simeq L$ is semi-pure in G .

Proof: Suppose that L is a semi-pure in G , to show that $\bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i} \subseteq L$.

Consider any element $x \in \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i}$, hence $x \in G_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \in I$.

Consequently,

$$L = \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i}$$

Conversely, let $L = \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i}$ to prove that L is semi-pure.

If not, then $\exists x \in G$ and $x \notin L$, for any pure subgroup (say D) $D \supseteq L, x \in D$. Therefore $x \in G_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \in I$.

This implies that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i} = L$. Thus, we get a contradiction.

Consequently, L is a semi-pure in G .

Now, we are ready to give another answer of our question:

Theorem (2): Let G be an abelian group and L be a subgroup of G .

If, for all $x \in L, x \notin nL \simeq n|x$ in G , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1- $L = \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i}$, for G_{α_i} are the family of pure subgroups containing L .
- 2- For all positive integer numbers $n, [n] \subseteq L \simeq nL = L$.

Proof: (1) \rightarrow (2)

Assume that $L = \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \in I$ and for all $\in z^+, G[n] \subseteq L$.

Claim that $L \subseteq nL$. If not, then $\exists x \in L, x \notin nL$.

Therefore, $n|x$ in G which means that $\exists g \in G \ni ng = x$ (it is clear that $g \notin L$)

But $L = \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i}$, so by Theorem (1), L is semi-pure in G and hence $B \supseteq L$ is a pure subgroup and $g \notin B$.

Since $n|x$ in G , it follows that B in G . Which means that $\exists b \in B \ni nb = x$.

Thus $n(b-g)=0 \simeq (b-g) \in G[n]$.

But $G[n] \subseteq L \subseteq B$, therefore $(b-g) \in B \rightarrow g \in B$, which is a contradiction. We obtain $L = nL$.

(1) \rightarrow (2) To prove that $\bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i} \subseteq L$.

If not, then $\exists y \in \bigcap_{i=1} G_{\alpha_i}$ and $\notin L$.

Suppose that M is a minimal pure subgroup of G containing L , so $y \in M$.

Now, by using Lemma (A), we have $\ell =$ for some $\ell \in L, n \in z^+$.

Suppose that nx_y in L , if this is not true, then $\ell_0 = ny = \ell (y - \ell_0) \in M[n]$.

Now, by Lemma (A), we have $(y - \ell_0) \in M[n] = L[n] \subseteq L, y \in L$, which implies that $y \in L$ and this is a contradiction.

Therefore, $n \nmid y$ in L .

We get $nL \not\subseteq L$ and hence $G[n] \not\subseteq L$.

Therefore, $\exists z \in G[n], z \notin L$.

Suppose that $w = z + y$ and $L = L + \langle w \rangle$ then $nw = nz + ny - nw = ny = L$.

Claim. $L[n] \subseteq L$.

If not, then $\exists \ell \in L[n]$ and $\ell \notin L$.

Thus, $\ell = \ell + r w$ where $0 \leq r < n$, which implies that

$n\ell = n\ell + nrw = 0$. Hence, $n\ell = nrw = r\ell$

We obtain $n \in nL$. Now, by using Lemma (A), So, we get a contradiction.

Suppose that M is a minimal pure subgroup containing L , so $M \supseteq L \supseteq L$, and hence $y \in M$.

But we have $w \in L \subseteq M$, so again by the above lemma we get $z = w - y \in M[n] = L[n] = L[n] \subseteq L$.

But this is a contradiction. Consequently, $y \in L$ and hence $L = \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}$.

As a consequence of the above results, we obtain the following result:

Theorem (3): Let G be an abelian group and assume that for all $x \in L \setminus nL$ $n|x$ in G , L be a subgroup of G and G_{α_i} is a family of all pure subgroups containing L .

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1) L is a semi-pure in G .

2) $L = \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}$.

3) For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $G[n] \subseteq L \rightarrow nL = L$

Proof: We need only to show (3) \rightarrow (1), because (1) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (3) are obvious.

If not, then $\exists y \in L, y \in D$, and for any pure subgroup (say D) containing L , suppose that M is a minimal pure subgroup of G containing L , so by Lemma (A) we have $ny = \ell \in L$.

Claim. $n \nmid \ell$ in L . If not, then $\exists \ell_0 \in L \ni n\ell_0 = \ell$, thus $ny = n\ell = \ell \rightarrow y - \ell_0 \in M[n] = L[n] \subseteq L$. Therefore, $y \in L$ and this is a contradiction.

We get $n \nmid \ell \in L$, which means that $nL \not\subseteq L$, thus $G[n] \not\subseteq L$, so $\exists z \in G[n]$ and $z \notin L$.

Suppose that $L = L + w$, where $w = z + y$, then $nw = nz + ny - nw = ny = L$.

Claim. $L[n] \subseteq L$. If not, then $\exists \ell \in L[n], \ell \notin L$. thus $L[n] = \ell + r w$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Which implies that $n\ell = n\ell + nrw = 0 \Leftrightarrow -n = nrw = r\ell$. We obtain $r\ell \in nL$. But this is a contradiction (see Lemma(A))

Consequently $L[n] = L$

Suppose that M is a minimal pure subgroup containing L , so $M \supseteq L \supseteq L$ and hence $y \in M$.

Since $w \in L \subseteq M$, so $z = (w - y) \in M[n] = L[n] = L[n] \subseteq L$. We get a contradiction.

Therefore for $y \notin L \exists$ a pure subgroup of G containing L , (say D) and $y \notin D$.

References

1. Fuchs, L. **2015**. *Abelian Groups*. 1st edition, Springer International Publishing, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-19422-6
2. Abdullah, H.M.A. **1992**. On minimal Neat subgroups of Abelian groups, *PUMA, ser. A*, **3**(3-4): 141-145.
3. Abdullah, H.M.A. **1992**. On pure 1-2 and 3 subgroups, *PUMA. ser A*, **3**: 135-139.
4. Abdullah, H.M.A. **2008**. Direct product of semi-pure groups of Abelian group, *Abhath Zakaat journal*, **4**: 121-123.
5. Abdullah, H.M.A. and Ghaffoori, F. N. **2018**. On the almost almost dense and pure- subgroups of Abelian Group, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* (**1294**) 032005, pp 1-9, doi:10.1088/17426596/1294/3/032005
6. Ben-Abdullah, K. and Irwin, J.M. **1970**. Quasi extensional subgroups to primary Groups. *J. Math. Canada*, pp 350-360.