Read the Product of t





ISSN: 0067-2904

The Frequency of t-Practical Numbers

Saad A. Baddai

Department of Mathematics, College of Science for Women University of Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 27/11/2019

Accepted: 21/1/2020

Abstract

Hausman and Shapiro gave an estimate for the number of practical numbers $n, n \le x$ to be

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{\beta}}\right)$$

for every positive $\beta < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\log 2} - 1)^2$. In this paper, we generalize Hausman and Shapiro bound by proving the number of t-practical numbers $n, (n \le x), (t \ge 1)$ to be

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{\beta}}\right),$$

for every positive $\beta < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\log 2} - 1)^2$, and $1 \le t \le exp.((\log x)^{\delta_1})$ for any δ_1 satisfying

 $0 < \delta_1 < 1 - (1 + \sqrt{2\beta}) \log 2$. We mean by the t-practical number n, the number in which every integer $1 \le m \le tn$ is of the form

$$= \sum_{d|n} c_d \, d, \quad 0 \le c_d \le t$$

Keywords: Bound for the t-practical numbers, Number of t-practical numbers $n \le x$, Practical numbers.

т

$$(t \ge 1)$$
 ,t تكرّر الاعداد العملية ذات التكرار t

سعد عبود بداي

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم للبنات، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث تم حساب تكرر الاعداد العملية
$$n \le x, n$$
 ذات التكرار $t \ge 1), t$ للقيمة الثابتة $0 < \beta < rac{1}{2} (rac{1}{\log 2} - 1)^2, eta$ $O < eta < rac{1}{2} (rac{1}{\log 2} - 1)^2, eta$

لكل قيم t بحيث

$$0 < t \le \exp\{(\log x)^{\delta_1}\}$$

ولأي δ_1 في الفترة

$$0 < \delta_1 < 1 - (1 + \sqrt{2\beta}) \log 2$$

وهذه النتيجة تغطي كافة الاعداد العملية $n \leq x, n$ بتكرار $t \geq 1, t$) حيث ان الحالة التي يكون فيها t=1تمثل التكرر المعطى في نتيجة كل من Hausman المشار اليها في البحث.

^{*}Email: baddai1955@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The t- practical number is a generalization of practical numbers, n, defined in an earlier work [1], where Margenstern conjectured that the number of practical numbers $n, n \le x$ is denoted by P(x) and

$$P(x) \sim \lambda \frac{x}{\log x}$$

with $\lambda \approx 1.341$. Further results related to P(x) were given by Weingartner [2], who showed that

$$P(x) = \frac{cx}{\log x} \{1 + O(\frac{\log\log x}{\log x})\}$$

for a positive constant c and $x \ge 3$. These results were demonstrated by Wang and Sun [3] to be

$$P(x) \sim \frac{cx}{\log x}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

In this paper, our generalization covered all t-practical numbers and the case when t=1 implies the number of practical numbers given by Hausman and Shapiro, which was established in [4].

2. Preliminary Results and Definitions

Definition (2.1) [1]: Let $n \ge 1$. Then n is called t-practical number if every integer v $m \ni 1 \le m < n$ is having the form

$$m = \sum_{d|n} c_d d, \quad c_d = 0, 1.$$

Definition (2.2): The integer $n, n \ge 1$ is called t-practical number if every integer $m, 1 \le m \le tn, (t \ge 1)$ is written as

$$m = \sum_{d|n} c_d d, \quad (1 \le c_d \le t).$$

Definition (2.3) [5]: The function ω (n) is defined to be the number of distinct prime factors of n, where $\omega(n) = r$, when $n = p^{a_1} \dots p^{a_r} p^{a_r}$

The following Lemmas will be required.

Lemma (2.1) [4]: For $\omega(n)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ (possibly a function of x), the number of $n \le x$ such that $\omega(n) > (1 + \varepsilon) \log \log x$ is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{\varepsilon^2/2}}\right)$$

The function O is uniform in ε .

Lemma (2.2): Suppose that $n = n^* p_1 \dots p_i$, $(p_i, n^*) = 1$ and p_i are distinct primes, then if for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l, p_i \le t\sigma(n^* p_1, \dots p_{i-1}) + 1$ then

$$p_i \le [t\sigma(n^*) + i]^{2^{l-1}}$$
 ... (1)

 $(\sigma(n)$ is the sum of divisors of n). **Proof:** We have that

$$p_i \le t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_{i-1}) + 1 \qquad \dots (2)$$

We shall proceed by induction on *i* to show that

$$t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_{i-1}) \le [t\sigma(n^*) + i - 1]^{2^{i-1}} \dots (3)$$

for i = 1, then (3) is true. As an induction hypothesis, assume that (3) is true for i - 1. Then we write $t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_i) = t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_{i-1})(p_i + 1)$

$$\leq [t\sigma(n^*p_1\dots p_{i-1})][t\sigma(n^*p_1\dots p_{i-1})+2]$$

and by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{split} t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_i) &\leq [t\sigma(n^*) + i - 1]^{2^{i-1}}[(t\sigma(n^*) + i - 1)^{2^{i-1}} + 2] \\ t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_i) &\leq [t\sigma(n^*) + i - 1]^{2^i} + 2[t\sigma(n^*) + i - 1]^{2^{i-1}} + 1 \\ t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_i) &\leq (t\sigma(n^*) + i)^{2^i} \end{split}$$

Therefore, inequality (3) is true for i. Using (2) and (3), we get

$$p_i \le t\sigma(n^*p_1 \dots p_{i-1}) + 1 \le [t\sigma(n^*) + i - 1]^{2^{i-1}} + 1$$

Then,

$$p_i \le [t\sigma(n^*) + i]^{2^{i-1}}$$

This is the required solution.

3. The Frequency of t-Practical Numbers

The following results were proved by Hausman and Shapiro [4].

Theorem (3.1) [4]: the number of practical numbers n less than or equal to x is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{\beta}}\right)$$

for every fixed positive $\beta < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\log 2} - 1)^2$.

Lemma (3.1) [4]: For $\omega(n)$ equal to the number of distinct prime factors of n and any given $\varepsilon > 0$ (possibly function of x), the number of $n \le x$, such that $\omega(n) > (1 + \varepsilon) \log \log x$, is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)}^{\varepsilon^2/2}\right)$$

where the *O* uniform in ε .

A generalization of theorem (3.1) [4] is the substance of the following main theorem.

Theorem (3.2): Let $t \ge 1$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\log 2} - 1)^2$. Then the number of t-practical numbers $n \le x$ $O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{\beta}}\right)$

is

This estimate is a uniform for t in the range

$$\frac{1}{20} \le t \le \exp\left((\log x)^{\delta_1}\right)$$

for any δ_1 with $0 < \delta_1 < 1 - (1 + \sqrt{2\beta}) \log 2$.

Proof: Let $0 < \varepsilon < (\frac{1}{\log 2} - 1)$. Then form Lemma (3.1) [4], the number of t-practical numbers $n \le x \ni \omega(n) > (1 + \varepsilon) \log \log x$ is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)}^{\varepsilon^2/2}\right)$$

Now, we consider the t-practical numbers $n \le x$ with $\omega(n) \le (1 + \varepsilon) \log \log x$ and n to have the form $q_1 \dots q_k \cdot p_1 \dots p_l$,

where q_i, p_i are distinct primes $p_i \neq q_j$, for $(1 \le i \le l), (1 \le j \le k)$ and

$$q_j \le t + 1 < p_1 < \dots < p_l$$
 ... (1)

Since $\omega(n) = l + k$, then $(l+k) \le (1+\varepsilon) \log \log x.$ By setting $n^* = q_1 \dots q_k$, then from (1) we have

 $n^* \le (t+1)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log\log x}$... (2)

or

$$n^* \le \exp\left((2\log\log x) \cdot \log(t+1)\right) \qquad \dots (3)$$

Therefore the t-practical numbers are of the form

$$n^* p_1 \dots p_l \qquad \dots (4)$$

with $l < (1 + \varepsilon) \log \log x$ and p_i larger than primes dividing n^* . From Lemma (2.2), we have $p_i \leq t\sigma(n^*.p_1...p_{i-1}) + 1$... (5)

and

$$p_i \le [t\sigma(n^*) + i]^{2^{l-1}}$$
 ... (6)

... (9)

From [5], we have $\sigma(n^*) = O(n^* \log \log n),$ Then from (3), we get

$$\sigma(n^*) = O(n^* \log \log n) \le exp. (c_1 \log \log x). \log(t+1) \qquad \dots (7)$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant. Therefore (6) and (7) imply that

$$p_i \le [t. exp. (c_1(\log \log x).\log(t+1)+i]^{2^{i-1}}$$
 ... (8)

Since
$$i \le l(1 + \varepsilon) \log \log x$$
, then (8) becomes
 $p_i \le [t. exp. (c_2 \log \log x). \log(t + 1)]^{2^{i-1}}$

and $c_2 > 0$ is a constant. From (3) and (9), the number of t-practical numbers having the form (4) is at most.

$$[exp. \{(2 \log \log x). \log(t + 1)\}] [t. exp. \{c_2 \log \log x). \log(t + 1)\}]^{1+2+\dots+2^{l-1}} \\ [exp. \{(2 \log \log x). \log(t + 1)\}] [t. exp. \{c_2 \log \log x). \log(t + 1)\}]^{2^{l-1}} \\ \leq [exp. (c_3 \log \log x). \log(t + 1)^{2^{(1+\varepsilon)} \log \log x_{+1}} . t^{2^{(1+\varepsilon)} \log \log x} ... (10) \\ \text{where } c_3 > 0 \text{ is a constant. Since,}$$

$$2^{(1+\varepsilon)\log\log x} = e^{\log(2^{\log\log x})^{1+\varepsilon}} = (e^{\log 2^{\log\log x}})^{1+\varepsilon}$$
$$= e^{(\log\log x \cdot \log 2) 1+\varepsilon} = (e^{\log\log x})^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2}$$

therefore

$$2^{(1+\varepsilon)\log\log x} = (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2} \qquad \dots (11)$$

and the number of t-practical numbers given in (10) is at most $[exp.\{(c_3 \log \log x).\log(t+1)\}]^{1+\log x^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2}}.t^{(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2}-1} \qquad \dots (12)$ where

$$t^{(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2} - 1} = e^{\{(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log x} - 1\} \log t}$$

$$t^{(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log^2 - 1}} = \exp\left\{ (\log t) \cdot (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log^2} - \log t \right\} \qquad \dots (13)$$

where for any $\varepsilon > 0$ with $(1 + \varepsilon) \log 2 < 1$, we have for sufficiently large x $1 + (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon) \log 2} \le 2(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon) \log 2}$... (14)

Hence, from (13) and (14), the number of t- practical numbers $n \le x$ given in (12) becomes at most $exp.\{(2c_3 \log \log x).(t+1)^{(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2}}\}.\exp\{(\log t)(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2} - \log t\}$

or

 $\exp\{(c_4 \log \log x) \cdot \log(t+1) (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2}\} \cdot \exp\{(\log t) \cdot (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2} - \log t\} \qquad \dots (15)$ where $c_4 > 0$ is a constant and $c_4 \log \log x > 1$. Therefore $(c_4 \log \log x) \cdot \log(t+1) > \log t$

Thus (15) becomes at most

$$exp.\{(c_5 \log \log x).(\log t)(\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2} - \log t\}$$

and

$$exp. [(\log t) \{ (c_5 \log \log x). (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2} - 1 \}]$$

$$cp. \{ (c_5 \log \log x). (\log t). (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2} \} \qquad \dots (16)$$

constant. Since $(1+\varepsilon)\log 2 < 1$, then, for large x.

 $\leq exp.\{(c_5 \log \log x). (\log t). (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon) \log 2}\}$ where $c_5 > 0$ is a constant. Since $(1 + \varepsilon) \log 2 < 1$, then, for large x, $(\log \log x). (\log x)^{(1+\varepsilon) \log 2} \leq (\log x)^{1-\delta}$

with $\delta > 0$ is a constant chosen such that $0 < \delta < 1 - (1 + \varepsilon) \log 2$. Thus, the number of t-practical numbers $n \le x$ given in (16) is at most

$$O[exp.\left\{\frac{c_{5}(\log t).\log x}{(\log x)^{\delta}}\right\}] \qquad \dots (17)$$

for t in the range of $1 \le t \le exp. \{(\log x)^{\delta_1}\}$, where $0 < \delta_1 < \delta$ or (17) is written as

$$O[exp.\left\{\frac{(\log x)}{(\log x)^{\eta}}\right\}]$$

and $\eta = \delta - \delta_1$ Since $\eta > 0$, then

$$0\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)}^{\varepsilon^2/2}\right)$$

which is the bound for the t-practical numbers $n \le x$ with $0 < \varepsilon < (\frac{1}{\log 2} - 1)$. By putting $\beta = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$, then the number of t- practical numbers $n \le x$ is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{\beta}}\right)$$

Provided that $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\log 2} - 1)^2$.

This ends the proof and Theorem (3.2) will cover a wide range of t-practical numbers n under the same bound given by Hausman and Shapiro [4], where Theorem (3.2) implies Theorem (3.1) [4] when t = 1.

References

- 1. Margenstern. M. 1991. "Les Numbers Practiques; Theorie Observations et Conjectures", *Journal of Number Theory*, 37: 1-36.
- 2. Weingartner. A. 2015. "Practical Numbers and Distribution of Divisors", Q. J. Math, 66: 743-758.
- 3. Wang. L-Y and Sun Z-W. 2019. "On Practical Numbers of Some Special Forms", (2019) to appear.
- **4.** Hausman. M and Shapiro, H. N. **1984**. "On Practical Numbers", *Communications in Pure Applied Mathematics*, **XXXVII** : 705-713.
- **5.** Hardy, G.H, Wright, E.M. Heath-Brown, D.Rand Silverman, J. H. **2009**. "*An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*" sixth edition.