# Some Results on $(\sigma,\tau)$ – Lie Ideals in Prime rings

#### **Abdul-Ruhman Hameed and Kassim Abdul-Hameed**

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad. Baghdad-Iraq.

#### **Abstract**

Let R be a prime with characteristic not equal two,  $\sigma,\tau: R \longrightarrow R$  be two automorphisms of R. and d be a nonzero derivation of R commuting with  $\sigma,\tau$ . It is proved that:

- 1) Assume U ba  $a(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R.
- (a) If  $[U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  and [U,U]=(0), then  $U \subset Z(R)$ .
- (b) If  $[U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then  $U \subset Z(R)$ .
- (c) If  $\sigma(v)+\tau(v)\not\in Z(R)$ , for some  $v\in U$ , then there exists a nonzero left ideal A of R and a nonzero right ideal B of R such that  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau}\subset U$ ,  $[R,B]_{\sigma,\tau}\subset U$  but  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau}\not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  and  $[R,B]\not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .
- (d) If ad(U) = (0) (or d(U)a = (0)) for  $a \in R$ , then a=0 or  $\sigma(u)+\tau(u) \notin Z(R)$ , for all  $u \in U$ .
- 2) If U be  $a(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideal of R for

$$a\in R, d(U)a\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}(or\ ad(U)\subset C_{\sigma,\tau})\,, a\in Z(R)\,, \text{ then}\quad a=0\quad \text{ or } U\subset Z(R)\,.$$

Also, in this paper we study some results when characteristic of R equal two and we show that the condition characteristic of R not equal two can not be excluded.

#### الخلاصة

```
R \leftarrow R:d لتكن R \to R التين متكافئة تشاكليا و وكانت R \leftarrow R:\sigma,\tau 2 التين متكافئة تشاكليا و وكانت R \leftarrow R:\sigma,\tau 2. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 1. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 2. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 2. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 3. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 4. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 4. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 6. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 7. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 8. R \to R:\sigma,\tau 9. R \to R:\sigma,\tau
```

أيضا ,خلال هذا البحث سندرس بعض تلك النتائج عندما يكون ممثل الحلقة R يساوي 2 , وسنلاحظ إن ممثل الحلقة R لا يساوى 2 شرط لايمكن الاستغناء عنه .

#### Introduction

Let R be a ring, U be an additive subgroup of R,  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ :  $R \rightarrow R$  be two mappings. Then

- 1) *U* is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  right Lie ideal of *R* if  $[U,R]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U$ .
- 2) *U* is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  left Lie ideal of *R* if  $[R,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U$ .
- 3) *U* is called a  $(\sigma,\tau)$  Lie ideal of *R* if *U* is both  $(\sigma,\tau)$  right Lie ideal and  $(\sigma,\tau)$  left Lie ideal of *R*.

Let R be a prime with characteristic not equal two,  $d: R \rightarrow R$  be a derivation of R.In [1] Aydin N. proved that if U is a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R such that  $[U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} = (0)$  and [U,U] = (0), then  $U \subset Z(R)$ , and if for  $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \notin Z(R)$ , for some  $v \in U$ , then there exists a nonzero left ideal A of R and a nonzero right ideal B of R such that  $[R,A]_{\sigma\tau} \subset U$ ,  $[R,B]_{\sigma,\tau}\subset U$  but  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau}\not\subset Z(R)$  $[R,B]\not\subset Z(R)$ .In[4] Aydin,N.,Kaya,K. Golbasi, O. proved that if U is a noncentral  $(\sigma, \tau)$ left Lie ideal of R and if ad(U)=0, then a=0 or  $\sigma(u)+\tau(u)\notin Z(R)$ , for all  $u\in U$ . In [5] Aydin, N. and Soyturk, M. proved that if U is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie ideal of for  $a \in R, d(U)a = (0) (or ad(U) = (0)),$ then a=0 or  $U\subset Z(R)$ .

In this paper, we generalized and extended these results, and we study some results in [1],[2],[5],[6],[7],when characteristic of R equal two and we show that the condition characteristic of R not equal two can not be excluded.

# §1 Basic Lemmas

In this section we recall some results that interesting in our study.

### Lemma (1.1):-[1]

Let U be both a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R and a subring of R, then either  $\sigma(u)+\tau(u)\in Z(R)$ , for all  $u\in U$  or U contains a nonzero left ideal and a nonzero right ideal of R.

# Lemma (1.2):-[1]

Let U is a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R such that  $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \notin Z(R)$ , for some  $v \in U$  and  $a,b \in R$ . If aUb = (0), then a=0 or b=0.

### Lemma (1.3):-[5]

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideal of R. If  $d(U) \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

# Remark (1.4):-[2]

Let R be a ring and let U be a nonzero  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R. We shall define the set  $T(U) = \{a \in R \mid [R,a]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U\}$ . Clearly  $U \subset T(U)$ . On the other hand, if  $a,b \in T(U)$  and  $x \in R$ , then  $[x,ab]_{\sigma,\tau} = [x\sigma(a),b]_{\sigma,\tau} + [\tau(b)x,a]_{\sigma,\tau} \in U$ . That is,  $[x,ab]_{\sigma,\tau} \in U$ . Therefore, T(U) is both a subring and a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R.

### Lemma (1.5):-[7, theorem2]

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ - right Lie ideal of R .If  $[U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then either  $U \subset Z(R)$  or  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ 

# Lemma (1.6):-[7, theorem3]

If U is both a nonzero  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Lie ideal of R and a subring of R, then one of the following holds:-

(i) $U \subset Z(R)$ .(ii)  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .(iii) U contains a nonzero ideal of R.

### Lemma (1.7)[2,lemma2]

If U is a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Lie ideal of R and  $a \in R$  such that  $[U,a]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then  $a \in Z(R)$  or  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

# **Lemma (1.8) )[2,theorem1]**

If *U* is a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Lie ideal of *R* and  $a \in R$  such that [U,a] = (0), then  $a \in Z(R)$  or  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

# Lemma (1.9)[2,corollary2]

Let U be a nonzero  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideal of R such that  $U \not\subset Z(R)$  and  $U \not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  and  $a,b \in R$ . If  $a \cup b = (0)$ , then a = 0 or b = 0.

# **Lemma (1.10)[1,theorem5]**

Let U be a nonzero  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideal of R such that  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ . Then  $\sigma = \tau$  or R is commutative.

#### **Lemma** (1.11)[1,theorem2]

Let *U* be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of *R*, such that  $[U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} = (0)$  and [U,U] = (0). Then  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

# **Lemma (1.12)[5,theorem1]**

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Lie ideal of R and d be a derivation of R. If  $d(U) \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then R is commutative or  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

### Lemma (1.13)[5,lemma5]

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideal of R d be a derivation of R and  $a \in R$ . If d(U)a = (0) or ad(U) = (0), then a = 0 or  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

# §2Extensions and generalizations

In this section we shall extend and generalized some results and give their details.

# Theorem (2.1):-

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R such that  $(0) \neq [U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  and [U,U]=(0). Then  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

#### Proof:-

By assumption  $0 \neq [U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then for all  $u,v \in U$ ,  $x \in R$ , we have  $C_{\sigma,\tau} \ni \left[ [x\sigma(u),u]_{\sigma,\tau},v \right]_{\sigma,\tau} = \left[ [x,u]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(u),v \right]_{\sigma,\tau}$ 

$$= [x,u]_{\sigma,\tau}[\sigma(u),\sigma(v)] + \big[[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},v\big]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(u)$$
 . Since  $[U,U]=(0)$  , we get  $\big[[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},v\big]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(u) \in C_{\sigma,\tau}$  . That is,  $\big[[[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},v]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(u),r\big]_{\sigma,\tau}=0$  , for all  $r \in R$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left[ x, u \right]_{\sigma, \tau}, v \right]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) \sigma(r) - \\ & \tau(r) \left[ \left[ x, u \right]_{\sigma, \tau}, v \right]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left[ x, u \right]_{\sigma, \tau}, v \right]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) \sigma(r) - \\ & \left[ \left[ x, u \right]_{\sigma, \tau}, v \right]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(r) \sigma(u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and we have  $\left[ [x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},v \right]_{\sigma,\tau} [\sigma(u),\sigma(r)] = 0$ , for all  $u,v \in U$ ,  $x,r \in \mathbb{R}$ . By a primeness of R and  $\left[ [x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},v \right]_{\sigma,\tau} \neq 0$ , we get  $\sigma([u,r]) = 0$ . This implies  $u \in Z(R)$ , for all  $u \in U$ . Hence,  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

### Remark (2.2):-

In Theorem (2.1), we can exclude the condition [U,U]=(0) as below.

### **Theorem (2.3):-**

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R such that  $(0) \neq [U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ . Then  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

#### Proof:-

Since  $[U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then for any  $u \in U$ , we have

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \left[ \tau(u)\sigma(u), u \right]_{\sigma,\tau}, u \right]_{\sigma,\tau} = \\ & \left[ \left[ \tau(u), u \right]_{\sigma,\tau}, \sigma(u), u \right]_{\sigma,\tau} = \\ & \left[ \left[ \tau(u), u \right]_{\sigma,\tau}, u \right]_{\sigma,\tau} \sigma(u) \in C_{\sigma,\tau} \end{split}$$

That is, for all  $r \in R$ , we have  $[[[\tau(u),u]_{\sigma,\tau},u]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(u),r]_{\sigma,\tau}=0$  . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left[ \tau(u), u \right]_{\sigma, \tau}, u \right]_{\sigma, \tau} \left[ \sigma(u), \sigma(r) \right] + \\ & \left[ \left[ \left[ \tau(u), u \right]_{\sigma, \tau}, u \right]_{\sigma, \tau}, r \right]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{split} & [[\tau(u),u]_{\sigma,\tau},u]_{\sigma,\tau}[\sigma(u),\sigma(r)] = 0 \text{ . Since } R \\ & \text{is a prime ring} \quad \text{and} \quad (0) \neq [U,U]_{\sigma,\tau} \text{ ,then} \\ & \sigma([u,r]) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \quad u \in U, r \in R \text{ . Thus,} \\ & [u,r] = 0 \text{ for all } \quad u \in U, r \in R \text{ . Hence,} \\ & U \subset Z(R) \text{ .} \end{split}$$

### Theorem (2.4):-

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R such that  $\sigma(v)+\tau(v)\not\in Z(R)$ , for some  $v\in U$ . Then there exists a nonzero left ideal A of R and a nonzero right ideal B of R such that  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau}\subset U$  and  $[R,B]_{\sigma,\tau}\subset U$  but  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau}\not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  and  $[R,B]_{\sigma,\tau}\not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

### Proof:-

Let  $T(U) = \left\{x \in R : [R,x]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U\right\}$ . By Remark (1.4), T(U) is both a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal and a subring of R such that  $U \subset T(U)$ . Since  $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \not\in Z(R)$  for some  $v \in U$ , then by Lemma (1.1), T(U) must contains a nonzero left ideal A of R and a nonzero right ideal B of R. From the definition of T(U), we obtain  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U$  and  $[R,B]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset U$ . If  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau} \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , then for any  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in R$ , we have  $[\tau(a)x,a]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(a)[x,a]_{\sigma,\tau} \in C_{\sigma,\tau}$ , that is,  $[\tau(a)[x,a]_{\sigma,\tau},r]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0$ , for all  $r \in R$ . This implies that

$$0 = \tau(a) [[x,a]_{\sigma,\tau}, r]_{\sigma,\tau} + [\tau(a), \tau(r)][x,a]_{\sigma,\tau}$$
  
=  $[\tau(a), \tau(r)][x,a]_{\sigma,\tau}$ , for all  $r \in R$ .

Taking ry,  $y \in R$  instead of r in the last equation, then  $[\tau(a), \tau(r)]R[x, a]_{\sigma, \tau} = (0)$ . By a primeness of R we get either  $[\tau(a), \tau(r)] = 0$  or  $[x, a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ .

If  $[\tau(a), \tau(r)] = 0$ , then  $a \in Z(R)$ . Therefore  $A \subset Z(R)$ . If  $[x, a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ , for all  $x \in R$ .

Replacing x by xy,  $y \in R$ , such that  $[xy,a]_{\sigma,\tau}=0$ . That is,  $0=[xy,a]_{\sigma,\tau}=x[y,a]_{\sigma,\tau}+[x,\tau(a)]y=[x,\tau(a)]y$ 

for all  $x,y\in R$ . Hence,  $[R,\tau(a)]R=(0)$ . By the primeness of R we get  $a\in Z(R)$ . Therefore  $A\subset Z(R)$ . So, for all  $x,y\in R, a\in A$ , we have

0 = [x,ya] = y[x,a] + [x,y]a = [x,y]a. Hence, [R,R]A = (0), by primeness of R we have R is commutative, then  $U \subset Z(R)$  so,  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z(R)$ , for all  $u \in U$  and this is a contradiction. That is,  $[R,A]_{\sigma,\tau} \not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

Similarly, using the identity  $[x, \sigma(b), b]_{\sigma, \tau} = [x, b]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(b)$  to prove that  $[R, B]_{\sigma, \tau} \not\subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ .

# Theorem (2.5):-

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideal of R. If  $d(U)a \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  (or  $ad(U) \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  ) and  $a \in Z(R)$ , then a = 0 or  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

#### Proof:-

For all  $u \in U, x \in R$ , we have  $[ad(u), x]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ . Hence,

$$0 = [ad(u), x]_{\sigma,\tau} =$$

$$a[d(u),x]_{\sigma,\tau} + [a,\tau(x)]d(u) = a[d(u),x]_{\sigma,\tau}$$

That is,  $0 = a[d(U), x]_{\sigma, \tau}$ , for all  $x \in R$ . By a primenss of R, we get either a = 0 or  $d(U) \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ . If  $d(U) \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ , then by Lemma (1.3), we have  $U \subset Z(R)$ .

By the same way when  $d(U)a\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  , we can show that either a=0 or  $U\subset Z(R)$  .

#### **Theorem (2.6)**

Let U be a  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R and  $a \in R$ . If ad(U)=(0) (or d(U)a=(0)), then  $\sigma(u)+\tau(u)\in Z(R)$  , for all  $u\in U$  or a=0.

#### Proof:-

Assume  $v \in U$  such that  $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \notin Z(R)$ . Then, by assumption ad(U) = (0), we have  $0 = ad([r\sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau}) = ad([r, u]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u))$   $= ad([r, u]_{\sigma, \tau})\sigma(u) + a[r, u]_{\sigma, \tau}d(\sigma(u)) = a[r, u]_{\sigma, \tau}d(\sigma(u))$  Replace  $r\sigma(u)$  instead of r in the last equation, we get  $0 = a[r\sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau}d(\sigma(u)) = a[r, u]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u)d(\sigma(u))$ . Hence,  $a[r, u]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u)d(\sigma(u)) = 0$ .

Also, we get  $0 = \sigma^{-1}(a[r,u]_{\sigma,\tau})ud(u)$  for all  $u \in U$ . Therefore,

 $\sigma^{-1}(a[r,u]_{\sigma,r})Ud(u) = (0)$  .By Lemma (1.2), we obtain either d(u)=0 or  $a[r,u]_{\sigma,r}=0$ 

If d(u)=0, then d(U)=(0). That is,  $d(U)\subset Z(R)$ , so  $\sigma(u)+\tau(u)\in Z(R)$ , for all  $u\in U$  and that is, a contradiction . If  $a[r,u]_{\sigma,\tau}=0$ . Replace rx instead of r, we get  $0=a[rx,u]_{\sigma,\tau}=ar[x,\sigma(u)]+a[r,u]_{\sigma,x}=ar[x,\sigma(u)]$ . That is,  $ar[x,\sigma(u)]=0$ .

Since R is a prime ring, then we have a=0.

# §3Examples when Chr=2:-

In this section we study the results in [1],[2],[5],[6],[7], when characteristic of R equal two and we show that the condition characteristic of R not equal two can not be excluded.

Let 
$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} x, y, z, t \in F \right\}$$
, where  $F$  is

a field of ChF=2 be a ring of 2x2 matrices with respect to the usual operation of addition and multiplication, then R is a prime ring ,see[6].

### Example\_(3.1):-

Let  $U=\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} | a,b \in F \right\}$  be an additive subgroup of R. Assume  $\sigma,\tau: R \to R$  be two automorphisms, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then,}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} ax + bz & ay + bt \\ az & at \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} xa & xb - ya \\ -za & -zb + ta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} bz & bt - xb \\ 0 & zb \end{pmatrix} \in U.$$

The hypothesis of Lemma (1.5) is satisfied but  $U \not\subset Z(R)$  and  $U \not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

# **Example (3.2):-**

Let 
$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} | a,b \in F \right\}$$
 be an additive

subgroup of R. Assume  $\sigma,\tau$ :  $R \to R$  be two automorphisms, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

The hypothesis of Lemma (1.6) is satisfied, but  $U \not\subset Z(R)$ ,  $U \not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  and U doesn't contain a nonzero ideal of R.

### **Example (3.3):-**

Let 
$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in F \right\}$$
 be an additive

subgroup of R . Assume  $\sigma,\tau: R \to R$  be two automorphisms , such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $a \in R$  such that  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Assumption of

Lemma (1.7) is satisfied, but  $a \notin Z(R)$  and  $U \not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

**Example (3.4):-**

Let 
$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} | a, b \in F \right\}$$
 be an additive

subgroup of R. Assume  $\sigma,\tau$ :  $: R \to R$  be two automorphisms, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $a \in R$  such that  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The hypothesis of Lemma (1.8) is satisfied, but  $a \notin Z(R)$  and

# **Example (3.5):-**

 $U \not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

Let  $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \mid u, v \in F \right\}$  be an additive

subgroup of R. Assume  $\sigma,\tau$ :  $:R \to R$  be two automorphisms, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}. \text{Let}$$

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The hypothesis oh Lemma (1.9) is satisfied but  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ .

# **Example (3.6):-**

Let 
$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} , a \in F \right\}$$
 be an additive

subgroup of R

Assume  $\sigma,\tau$ :  $R \to R$  be two automorphisms, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

The hypothesis of Lemma (1.10) is satisfied, but  $\sigma \neq \tau$  and R is not commutative.

# **Example (3.7):-**

Let 
$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} | a,b \in F \right\}$$
 be an additive

subgroup of R. Assume  $\sigma,\tau$ :  $R \to R$  be two automorphisms, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

The hypothesis of Lemma (1.11) is satisfied, but  $U \not\subset Z(R)$ .

### Example (3.8):-

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & a \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} , a, b \in F \right\}$$
 be an additive

subgroup of R .Let  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau: R \to R$  be two automorphisms, such that

$$\sigma\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}, \ \tau\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & -z \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

Also,  $d: R \to R$ , defined by

$$d\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, be a derivation of  $R$ .

The assumption of Lemma (1.12) is satisfied, but  $U \not\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  and R is not commutative.

# Example (3.9):-

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}, u, v \in F \right\}$$
 be an additive

subgroup of R. Assume  $\sigma, \tau: R \to R$  be two automorphisms such that

two automorphisms such that 
$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \text{ and }$$
 
$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}.$$
 Also  $d: R \to R$ , defined by 
$$d \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ is a derivation of } R.$$
 Let  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Assumption of Lemma (1.13) is satisfied, but  $U \not\subset Z(R)$  and  $a \ne 0$ .

#### References

- 1. Aydin, N. (1997) On one sided  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideals in prime rings Tr. J. of Math., 21,295-301.
- 2. Aydin, N. and Kandamar, H. (σ,τ)-Lie ideals in prime rings. Tr.j. of Mathematics, 18(1994), 143-148.
- 3. Aydin, N. and Kaya, K. (1996) Some results on generalized Lie ideals. Balikesir University of Math. Symposium Mayis. 23-26.
- 4. Aydin, N. Kaya ,K. Golbasi,O. .,(2002), Some results on one sided generalized Lie ideals with derivation. Mathematical Notes, volpp 83-89.
- 5. Aydin ,N. Soyturk, M. (1995) (σ,τ)-Lie ideals in prime ring with derivation .Tr.J. of Math., 19,239-244.
- 6. Aydin, N. Kaya ,K. Golbasi,O. (2001) Some results for generalized Lie ideals with derivation II. Applied Mathematics E-Notes 1, 24-30.
- 7. *Kaya*, *K.* **(1992)** (σ,τ)- *Lie ideals in prime rings*, *An Univ. Timisoara* . Stiinite Mat. 30, No. 2-3, 251-255.