



ISSN: 0067-2904

R-annihilator-Coessential and R-annihilator-Coclosed Submodules

Omar K. Ibrahim*, Alaa A. Elewi

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 8/7/ 2019

Accepted: 21/9/2019

Abstract:

Let W be a unitary left R -module on associative ring R with identity. A submodule F of W is called R -annihilator small if $F + T = W$, where T is a submodule of W , implies that $\text{ann}(T)=0$, where $\text{ann}(T)$ indicates annihilator of T in R . In this paper, we introduce the concepts of R -annihilator-coessential and R -annihilator - coclosed submodules. We give many properties related with these types of submodules.

Keywords: Essential submodules, coclosed submodule, coessential submodule, coclosed submodule, R -annihilator - coessential and R - annihilator -coclosed.

المقاسات الجزئية ضد الجوهرية من النمط-R والمقاسات الجزئية ضد المغلقة من النمط-R

عمر خليل ابراهيم* ، الاء عباس عليوي

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

ليكن W مقاس احادي ايسر معرف على حلقة تجميعية R ذات عنصر محايد. المقاس الجزئي F من المقاس W يدعى تالف صغير من النمط- R اذا كان $W=F+T$ حيث T مقاس جزئي من W يقود الى $\text{ann}(T)=0$ حيث $\text{ann}(T)$ هو تالف ل T في R . في هذا البحث عرفنا مفهومين الاول هو المقاسات الجزئية ضد الجوهرية من النمط R والثاني هو المقاسات الجزئية ضد مغلقة التالفة من النمط- R . اعطينا العديد من الخصائص ذات العلاقة لهذه الانواع من المقاسات الجزئية.

1. Introduction

Let W be a unitary left of R -module on associative ring R with identity. The concept of R -annihilator-small (R -ann-small) submodule was introduced in an earlier study [1]. A submodule W of an R -module W is called R -ann-small if $F + T = W$, T is a submodule of W , implies that $\text{ann}_R(T) = 0$, where $\text{ann}_R(T) = \{r \in R: r.T = 0\}$ and denoted by $F \ll_a W$. A submodule F of W is said to be essential submodule in W (denoted by $F \ll_e W$) if for any $X \subseteq W$, $X \cap F = 0$, implies that $X = 0$ [2]. For $F \subseteq K \subseteq W$, if $F \leq K$, then K is called an essential extension of F in W .

A submodule F is said to be closed in W , if F has no proper essential extension in W [3].

Dually, for $F \subseteq K \subseteq W$, F is said to be a coessential submosule of K in W (denoted by $F \subseteq_{ce} K$ in W) if $\frac{K}{F} \ll \frac{W}{F}$ [4] [5].

F is said to be coclosed in W (denoted by $F \subseteq_{cc} W$), if F has no proper coessential submodule in W [6]. In this paper, we give the concept of R -annihilator-coessential and R -annihilator coclosed submodules. For $F \subseteq K \subseteq W$, F is said to be a R -annihilator -coessential submodule of K in W (R -a-

*Email: omarkhaleelibrahim@gmail.com

coessential), if $\frac{K}{F} \ll_a \frac{W}{F}$ (denoted by $F \leq_{ace} K$). Also, a submodule W of an R -module W is called R -annihilator coclosed (R -a-coclosed) submodule in W (denoted by $F \leq_{acc} K$), if F has no proper coessential submodule in W . In other words, if whenever $K \subseteq F$ with $\frac{K}{F} \ll_a \frac{W}{F}$, implies that $F = K$.

We give the same properties of these kinds of submodules.

2. R-annihilator-coessential submodules

In this section, we introduce the concept of R -annihilator-coessential submodules which is a generalization of coessential submodules [4] [5]. We also give some basic properties of this class of submodules.

Definition 2.1: Let W be an R -module, for $A \subseteq B \subseteq W$, A is said to be R -annihilator coessential submodule of B in W , briefly R -a-coessential (denoted by $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W), if $\frac{B}{A} \ll_a \frac{W}{A}$.

Examples and Remarks 2.2:

1- Consider that Z_6 as Z -module. It is clear that $\{\bar{0}\}$ is not Z -a-coessential submodule of $\{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$ in Z_6 , since $\frac{\{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}}{\{\bar{0}\}} \simeq \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$ and $\frac{Z_6}{\{\bar{0}\}} \simeq Z_6$. But $\{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$ is not Z -annihilator-small in Z_6 .

2- Consider that Z as Z -module, then (0) is Z -a-coessential of $2Z$ in Z , since $\frac{2Z}{(0)} \simeq 2Z$, $\frac{Z}{(0)} \simeq Z$ and $2Z \ll^a Z$, where $2Z + 3Z = Z$ and $ann_Z(3Z) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}: n(3Z) = 0\} = 0$.

3- Let W be an R -module and let F be a submodule of W , then $F \ll^a W$ iff $(0) \subseteq_{ace} F$

Proof : \Rightarrow) Suppose that $F \ll_a W$ then $\frac{F}{(0)} = F$, $\frac{W}{(0)} = W$. Thus, $\frac{F}{(0)} \ll_a \frac{W}{(0)}$. This means that $(0) \subseteq_{ace} F$ in W .

\Leftarrow) Now, suppose that $(0) \subseteq_{ace} F$ in W . To prove that $F \ll_a W$, suppose that $W = F + T$, where $T \leq W$. Thus, $\frac{W}{(0)} = \frac{F}{(0)} + \frac{T}{(0)}$, but $(0) \subseteq_{ace} F$ in W . Then, $ann(T) = 0$. Therefore, $F \ll_a W$.

4- The concepts of coessential and R -a-coessential are independent since, in Z as Z -module, $4Z \subset 2Z \subset Z$, $\frac{2Z}{4Z} \simeq \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ in Z_4 and $\frac{Z}{4Z} \simeq Z_4$. But, $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ is not Z -a-small in Z_4 , since $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\} + Z_4 = Z_4$ and $ann_Z Z_4 = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}: nZ_4 = 0\} = 4Z \neq 0$. We know that $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\} \ll Z_4$, thus $4Z \subseteq_{ce} 2Z$ in Z , but $4Z \not\subseteq_{ace} 2Z$ in Z .

In this module, Z as Z -module $\{0\}$ is R -a-coessential of $2Z$ in Z , as we shows in (2), but $\{0\}$ is not coessential of $2Z$ in Z since $2Z$ is not small in Z .

Proposition 2.3: Let W be an R -module. If $A \subseteq_{ace} C$, then $A \subseteq_{ace} B$, where $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ and A, B, C are submodules of W .

Proof: Let $A \subset X \subset W$ with $\frac{B}{A} + \frac{X}{A} = \frac{W}{A}$, thus $B + X = W$. But $B \subseteq C$, therefore $W = C + X$ and then $\frac{W}{A} = \frac{C}{A} + \frac{X}{A}$. $A \subseteq_{ace} C$, then $\frac{C}{A} \ll_a \frac{W}{A}$, thus $ann\left(\frac{X}{A}\right) = 0$ and hence $\frac{B}{A} \ll_a \frac{W}{A}$, i.e. $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W .

Proposition 2.4: Let W be an R -module and A, B, N are submodules of W . If $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ and $N \ll W$, then $A \subseteq_{ace} B + N$ in W .

Proof : Suppose that $A \subset X \subset W$ with $\frac{B+N}{A} + \frac{X}{A} = \frac{W}{A}$ then $B + N + X = W$, but $N \ll W$, therefore $B + X = W$ and hence $\frac{B}{A} + \frac{X}{A} = \frac{W}{A}$. But $\frac{B}{A} \ll_a \frac{W}{A}$, Thus $ann\left(\frac{X}{A}\right) = 0$. This means that $A \subseteq_{ace} B + N$ in W .

Proposition 2.5: Let $A \subseteq X \subseteq B \subseteq W$, $X \subseteq_{ace} B$ if and only if $\frac{X}{A} \subseteq_{ace} \frac{B}{A}$ in $\frac{W}{A}$.

Proof \Rightarrow) Assume that $X \subseteq_{ace} B$ in M . Since $\frac{B}{X} \simeq \frac{B/A}{X/A}$ and $\frac{W}{X} \simeq \frac{W/A}{x/A}$ (by the 3rd isomorphism theorem). Thus, $\frac{B/A}{X/A} \ll_a \frac{W/A}{X/A}$ and hence $\frac{X}{A} \subseteq_{ace} \frac{B}{A}$ in $\frac{W}{A}$.

\Leftarrow) Now, suppose that $\frac{X}{A} \subseteq_{ace} \frac{B}{A}$ in $\frac{W}{A}$. Since $\frac{B/A}{x/A} \simeq \frac{B}{X}$ and $\frac{W/A}{x/A} \simeq \frac{W}{X}$ (by the 3rd isomorphism theorem). Then $\frac{B}{X} \ll_a \frac{W}{X}$ and this means that $X \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W .

Lemma 2.6: Let W be an R -module and $A \subseteq B \subseteq C \subseteq W$. If $B \subseteq_{ace} C$ in W , then $A \subseteq_{ace} C$ in W .

Proof: Suppose that $B \subseteq_{ace} C$ in M . To prove that $A \subseteq_{ace} C$ in W , suppose that $\frac{W}{A} = \frac{C}{A} + \frac{T}{A}$, where $A \subseteq T$, thus $W = C + T$ and then $\frac{W}{B} = \frac{C}{B} + \frac{T+B}{B}$. But $B \subseteq_{ace} C$, and this means that $\frac{C}{B} \ll_a \frac{W}{B}$.

Therefore, $ann\left(\frac{T+B}{B}\right) = 0$. To prove that $ann\left(\frac{T}{A}\right) = 0$, let $r \in ann\left(\frac{T}{A}\right)$, thus $rT \subseteq A$ and hence $rT \subseteq B$ since $A \subseteq B$, therefore $rT + B = B$. Thus, $r \in ann\left(\frac{T+B}{B}\right) = 0$ which means that $ann\left(\frac{T}{A}\right) = 0$. Therefore, $A \subseteq_{ace} C$ in W .

Proposition 2.7: If W is an R -module and A, B and C are submodules of W , such that $A + C \subseteq_{ace} B + C$ in W , then $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W .

Proof: Let T be a submodule of W , such that $A \subseteq T$, and suppose that $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{B}{A} + \frac{T}{A}$. So $W = B + T$ and then $\frac{W}{A+C} = \frac{B+C}{A+C} + \frac{T+C}{A+C}$, where $A + C \subseteq_{ace} B + C$ and $ann\left(\frac{T}{A}\right) = 0$. Let $r \in ann\left(\frac{T}{A}\right)$, thus $rT \subseteq A \subseteq A + C$ and hence $r(T + A + C) = A + C$. Then, $r \in ann\left(\frac{T+C}{A+C}\right) = 0$, thus $ann\left(\frac{T}{A}\right) = 0$. So $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W .

Proposition 2.8: Let A, B, C and X be submodules of an R -module W . The following statements are the same:

- 1) If $A \subseteq_{ace} A + B$ in W , then $A \cap B \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W .
- 2) If $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W and $Y \leq W$, then $A \cap Y \subseteq_{ace} B \cap Y$ in W .
- 3) If $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W and $X \subseteq_{ace} C$ in W , then $A \cap X \subseteq_{ace} B \cap C$ in W .

Proof: (1)⇒(2) Let $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W and $Y \subseteq W$. Since $A + (B \cap Y) \subseteq B$, then $A \subseteq_{ace} A + (B \cap Y)$ (by proposition (1.3)). By (1), $A \cap (B \cap Y) \subseteq_{ace} B \cap Y$, this implies that $A \cap Y \subseteq_{ace} B \cap Y$ in W .

(2)⇒(3) Let $A \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W and $X \subseteq_{ace} C$ in W . By (2) $A \cap X \subseteq_{ace} B \cap X$ in W . Also, $X \subseteq_{ace} C$ and $B \subseteq W, B \cap X \subseteq B \cap C$ in W . Thus, $A \cap X \cap C$ in W by proposition (1.3).

(3)⇒(1) Suppose that $A \subseteq_{ace} A + B$ in W . Since $B \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W , then by (3), $A \cap B \subseteq_{ace} (A + B) \cap B$. Thus, $A \cap B \subseteq_{ace} B$ in W .

3. R-annihilator-coclosed submodules

In this section, we introduce the concept of (R -annihilator) R -a-coclosed as a generalization of coclosed submodules, where a submodule N of an R -module W is called coclosed submodule in W (denoted by $F \leq_{cc} W$) if whenever $K \leq F$ with $\frac{F}{K} \ll \frac{W}{K}$ implies $F = K$.

Definitions 3.1:

Let W be an R -module, then a submodule F of M is called R -annihilator coclosed in W (briefly R -a-coclosed) if whenever $K \leq F$ with $\frac{F}{K} \ll_a \frac{W}{K}$ implies that $F = K$. (denoted by $F \leq_{acc} W$).

Examples and Remarks 3.2

1- The submodule $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ of a Z -module Z_4 is Z -a-coclosed in Z_4 since $\{0\} \not\subseteq \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ and $\frac{\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}}{\{0\}} \simeq \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$, also $\frac{Z_4}{\{0\}} \simeq Z_4$. But $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ is not Z -a-small in Z_4 because $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\} + Z_4 = Z_4$ and $ann_Z Z_4 = 4Z \neq 0$.

2- The submodule $2Z$ of a Z -module Z is not Z -a-coclosed of Z . To recognize that, let $\{0\} \subsetneq 2Z$ and notice that $\frac{2Z}{\{0\}} \simeq 2Z, \frac{Z}{\{0\}} \simeq Z$. We also know that $2Z \ll_a Z$ [1, Rem. & Ex (1.2.p13)].

3- The concepts of coclosed and R -a-coclosed submodules are independent, since $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ is Z -a-coclosed in the Z -module Z_4 but it is not coclosed in Z_4 as Z -module [7, Rem. & Ex. (1.2.3), p15].

4- Consider the Z_8 -module Z_8 , the submodule $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}$ is Z_8 -a-coclosed but not coclosed since $\{0\} \subsetneq \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\} \subseteq Z_8, \frac{\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}}{\{0\}} \simeq \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}$. Also $\frac{Z_8}{\{0\}} \simeq Z_8$, but $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}$ is not Z_8 -a-coclosed since $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}$ is not Z_8 -a-small. But $\{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\} \ll Z_8$ is Z_8 -module, thus it is coclosed in Z_8 .

An R -module W is called R -a-hollow if every proper submodule of W is R -a-small [8], where a submodule F of W is R -a-small if whenever $W = F + T$, where $T \leq W$, then $ann(T) = 0$. [1]

Proposition 3.3

Let W be an R -module and L be a nonzero submodule of W which is R -a-hollow, then either L is R -a-small submodule of W or L is R -a-coclosed submodule of W , but not both.

Proof: Let $(0) \neq L \leq W$ and suppose that L is not R -a-coclosed of W . So, there exists $K < L$ such that $\frac{L}{K} \ll_a \frac{W}{K}$, but [by 1.cor.(2.1.6), p34] $L \ll_a W$.

Now, if L is R -a-coclosed submodule of W , and suppose that $L \ll_a W$, then $\frac{L}{(0)} \ll_a \frac{W}{(0)} \simeq W$ and hence $L = (0)$ which is contradiction.

Proposition 3.4: Let W be an R -module and $K \leq L$ be submodules of W . If $L \leq_{acc} W$, then $\frac{L}{K} \leq_{acc} \frac{W}{K}$.

Proof : Let $\frac{N}{K} \leq_{ace} \frac{L}{K}$ in $\frac{W}{K}$. We must prove that $\frac{N}{K} = \frac{L}{K}$. We have $\frac{L/K}{N/K} \ll_a \frac{W/K}{N/K}$. This means that $\frac{L}{N} \ll_a \frac{W}{N}$, but $L \leq_{acc} W$. Therefore, $L = N$ and hence $\frac{N}{K} = \frac{L}{K}$. Thus $\frac{L}{K} \leq_{acc} \frac{W}{K}$.

Lemma 3.5:

Let be an R -module and let X, K and L be submodules of W such that $X \subseteq K \subseteq L \subseteq W$. If $\frac{K}{X} \ll_a \frac{L}{X}$ and $\frac{L}{X} \ll_a \frac{W}{X}$, then $\frac{K}{X} \ll_a \frac{W}{X}$.

Proof: Suppose that $\frac{W}{X} = \frac{K}{X} + \frac{T}{X}$, where T is a submodule of W such that $X \subseteq T$. Thus, $W = K + T$. But $K \subseteq L$, therefore $W = L + T$. So, $\frac{W}{X} = \frac{L}{X} + \frac{T}{X}$. But $\frac{L}{X} \ll_a \frac{W}{X}$, thus $ann\left(\frac{T}{X}\right) = 0$, which means that $\frac{K}{X} \ll_a \frac{W}{X}$.

Proposition 3.6

Let W be an R -module and $K \subseteq L$ be submodules of W . If $K \leq_{acc} W$ and $\frac{L}{K} \ll_a \frac{W}{K}$, then $K \leq_{acc} L$.

Proof: Let $X \leq K$ such that $\frac{K}{X} \ll_a \frac{L}{X}$. Since $\frac{L}{K} \ll_a \frac{W}{K}$ then $\frac{K}{X} \ll_a \frac{W}{X}$ by lemma 3.5. But $K \leq_{acc} W$. Therefore, $K = X$ and hence $K \leq_{acc} L$.

Proposition 3.7: Let W be an R -module and L, N are submodule. If $L \leq_{acc} W$ then $\frac{L+N}{N} \leq_{acc} \frac{W}{N}$.

Proof Suppose that $\frac{X}{N} \leq_{ace} \frac{L+N}{N}$ in $\frac{W}{N}$ where $N \subseteq X$, then $X = N + (L \cap X) \leq_{ace} N + L$ in W [by prop. (2.5)].

But $N \leq W$, which implies that $(L \cap X) \leq_{ace} L$ in W , by [prop. 2.7] As $L \leq_{acc} W$, we get $X = L + N$. Then $\frac{L+N}{N} \leq_{acc} \frac{W}{N}$.

References

1. Al-Hurmuzy H. and AL-Bahrany B. **2016**, R-Annihilator-small submodules, Msc thesis, College of Science, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq.
2. Goodearl, K. R. **1976**. *Ring theory, Non-singular rings and modules*. MerceL Dekker, New York.
3. Lomp, C. **1996**. On Dual Goldie Dimension, Diploma thesis, University of Glasgow.
4. Courter, R.C. **1986**. The Maximal Co-rational Extension by a module. *Can. J. Math.* **18**: 953-962.
5. Takeuchi, T. **1976**. On Cofinite-Dimensional Modules. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **5**: 1-43.
6. Golar, J. **1971**. Quasi perfect Modules. *Q. J. Math. Oxf. II. Ser.*, **22**: 173-182.
7. Hassan A. A. and Al-Bahrany B. **2010**. Finitely hollow-lifting modules, M. Sc thesis, College of Science, Baghdad University. Baghdad, Iraq.
8. Yaseen S.M. **2018**. R-annihilator-hollow and R-annihilator lifting modules, *Sci. Int (Lahore)*, **30**(2): 204-207.