



ISSN: 0067-2904

## Some Chaotic Properties of $\mathbb{G}$ – Average Shadowing Property

Raad Safah Abood AL – Juboory\*, Iftichar M. T. AL - Shara'a

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Babylon, Babylon, Iraq

Received: 6/7/2019

Accepted: 19/11/2019

### Abstract

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a metric  $\mathbb{G}$ –space and  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a continuous map. The notion of the  $\mathbb{G}$ -average shadowing property ( $\mathbb{G}$  ASP) for a continuous map on  $\mathbb{G}$ –space is introduced and the relation between the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP and average shadowing property (ASP) is investigated. We show that if  $\phi$  has  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP, then  $\phi^m$  has  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We prove that if a map  $\phi$  be pseudo-equivariant with dense set of  $\mathbb{G}_\phi$ –periodic points and has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP, then  $\phi$  is weakly  $\mathbb{G}$ –mixing. We also show that if  $\phi$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ –expansive pseudo-equivariant homeomorphism that has the  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP and  $\phi$  is topologically  $\mathbb{G}$ –mixing, then  $\phi$  has a  $\mathbb{G}$ -specification. We obtained that the identity map  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP if and only if the orbit space  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is totally disconnected. Finally, we show that if  $\phi$  is a pseudo-equivariant map, and the trajectory map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  is a covering map, then  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP if and only if the induced map  $\check{\phi} : \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  has  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP.

**Keywords:** Shadowing ; Average shadowing; G-average shadowing; Topologically G-mixing; Weakly G-mixing ; G-specification.

### بعض الخصائص الفوضوية لخاصية معدل التظليل في فضاء $\mathbb{G}$

رعد سفاح عبود الجبوري\*، افتخار مضر طالب الشرع

قسم الرياضيات، جامعة بابل، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة، بابل، العراق

### الخلاصة

ليكن  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  فضاء  $\mathbb{G}$  متري،  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  دالة مستمرة. قدمنا مفهوم خاصية معدل التظليل في فضاء  $\mathbb{G}$  (GASP) لدالة مستمرة على فضاء  $\mathbb{G}$  وتحقق العلاقة بين  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP وخاصية معدل التظليل (ASP). لقد أثبتنا إذا كانت الدالة  $\phi$  تمتلك  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP، فإن  $\phi^m$  تمتلك  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP لكل  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . لقد أثبتنا إذا كانت الدالة  $\phi$  هي التكافؤ الكاذب مع مجموعة كثيفة من النقاط الدورية وتمتلك  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP، فإن  $\phi$  خلط ضعيف في فضاء  $\mathbb{G}$ . أيضًا، بينا إذا كانت الدالة  $\phi$  هي دالة اتساع جي وتمتلك خاصية  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP و  $\phi$  هي خلط تولوجي في فضاء  $\mathbb{G}$  فإن  $\phi$  تمتلك تخصيص جي. وبيننا كذلك الدالة الذاتية  $\phi$  على  $\mathcal{M}$  تمتلك  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP إذا وفقط إذا فضاء المسار  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  ل  $\mathcal{M}$  منفصل تمامًا. أخيرًا، بيننا إذا كانت الدالة  $\phi$  هي التكافؤ الكاذب، ودالة المدار  $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  عبارة عن دالة تغطية، فإن  $\phi$  تمتلك  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP إذا وفقط إذا كانت الدالة المستحدثة  $\check{\phi} : \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  تمتلك  $\mathbb{G}$ ASP.

### Introduction

The concept of shadowing property is one of the influential notions in the theory of dynamical systems. In 1967 The shadowing property (SP) was introduced by Anosov [1] and the concept of average shadowing property (ASP) was introduced by Blank for investigating chaotic dynamical systems [2]. In 1960, the notion of  $\mathbb{G}$ –space was introduced by R. S. Palais [3]. The  $\mathbb{G}$ –pseudo-

\*Email: raadalhulali@gmail.com

trajectory tracing property on a metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space ( $\mathbb{G}$ PTTP) was introduced by Shah and Das. They studied various properties of such maps and obtained features for the identity map to have  $\mathbb{G}$ PTTP. Also, they showed that a pseudo-equivariant map  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  has  $\mathbb{G}$ PTTP if and only if the induced map  $\hat{\phi} : \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  has PTTP such that  $\mathcal{M}$  be metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and  $\phi$  is continuous map [4]. The  $\mathbb{G}$ -shadowing property ( $\mathbb{G}$ SP) for the map  $\phi$  was introduced by Shah who observed through the examples that  $\mathbb{G}$ -shadowing relies on the action of a group  $\mathbb{G}$  acting on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Also, she studied  $\mathbb{G}$ -shadowing for the shift map on the contrary limit space produced by the map  $\phi$  [5].

In section 1 of this paper., we study the ASP for continuous maps on  $\mathbb{G}$ -spaces ( $\mathbb{G}$ ASP). In section 2, we prove some similar results on the ASP in the metric space with some chaotic properties and we put sufficient conditions to prove these results on  $\mathbb{G}$ -spaces.

**Preliminaries**

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of integers numbers,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers and  $\mathcal{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ . A topological group is a triple  $(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{T}, *)$ , where  $(\mathbb{G}, *)$  is a group and  $\mathcal{T}$  is a Hausdorff topology on  $\mathbb{G}$  such that the map  $\phi: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  defined by  $\phi(m, y) = my^{-1}$  is continuous. By a  $\mathbb{G}$ -space, we mean a triple  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{G}, \theta)$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Hausdorff space,  $\mathbb{G}$  is a topological group, and  $\theta: \mathbb{G} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a continuous action of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\theta(e, m) = m$  and  $\theta(g_1, \theta(g_2, m)) = \theta(g_1g_2, m)$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{G}$ . An action  $\theta$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is called trivial if  $\theta(g, m) = m, \forall g \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ .

For  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , the set  $\mathbb{G}(m) = \{\theta(g, m): g \in \mathbb{G}\}$  is called the  $\mathbb{G}$ -trajectory of  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . We will denote  $\theta(g, m)$  by  $gm$ . For  $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , let  $gS = \{gs : s \in S\}$  be a subset  $S$  of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and  $\mathcal{M}$  is called  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant if  $\theta(\mathbb{G} \times S) \subseteq S$ . For  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , the related  $\mathbb{G}_\phi$ -trajectory of  $m$  is presented by the set  $\mathbb{G}_\phi(m) = \mathbb{G}(O_\phi(m)) = \{g\phi^i(m): g \in \mathbb{G}, i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}, Y$  are  $\mathbb{G}$ -spaces, then a continuous map  $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow Y$  is called equivariant map if  $h(gm) = gh(m)$  for each  $g$  in  $\mathbb{G}$  and each  $m$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In case an equivariant map is a homeomorphism, then  $h^{-1}$  is also equivariant. The quotient space  $\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathbb{G}} = \{\mathbb{G}(m): m \in \mathcal{M}\}$ , having  $\mathbb{G}$ -orbits as its members, is called the orbit space of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and the quotient map  $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$ , taking  $m$  to  $\mathbb{G}(m)$ , is called the trajectory map. The map  $h$  is said to be pseudo-equivariant if  $h(\mathbb{G}(m)) = \mathbb{G}(h(m)), \forall m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Clearly, every equivariant map is a pseudo-equivariant map but the converse needs not to be true [6]. We introduce the definitions that we will need in this paper and recall some fundamental definitions. In this paper, we denote the metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space, on which there is a topological group  $\mathbb{G}$  with metric  $d$ , by  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$ . Also, by the map  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , we mean  $\phi: (\mathcal{M}, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, d)$ . By  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  being a compact metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space, we mean a compact metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space on which there is a compact topological group  $\mathbb{G}$  with metric  $d$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are two non-empty subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $N_g(A \cap B) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : g\phi^i(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset, g \in \mathbb{G}$ .

**Definition 2.1.[7]**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a compact metric space and let  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a continuous map. A sequence  $\{m_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is called trajectory of  $\phi$ , if  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $m_{i+1} = \phi(m_i)$  and we called it a  $\delta$ -pseudo-trajectory of  $\phi, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $d(\phi(m_i), m_{i+1}) \leq \delta$ , and the map  $\phi$  has the shadowing property if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , such that every  $\delta$ -pseudo-trajectory  $\{m_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed by the trajectory  $\{\phi^i(z), i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{M}$ , that is,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , thus we have  $d(\phi^i(z), m_i) \leq \varepsilon$ .

A sequence  $\{m_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a  $\delta$ -average pseudo-trajectory of  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $N = N(\delta)$ , such that  $\forall n \geq N$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi(m_{i+k}), m_{i+k+1}) < \delta,$$

The map  $\phi$  has the ASP if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , such that every  $\delta$ -average-pseudo-trajectory  $\{m_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in average by the trajectory of some point  $z \in \mathcal{M}$ , that is

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(z), m_i) < \varepsilon.$$

**Definition 2.2. [5]**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and let  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be continuous map. For a positive real number  $\delta$ , a sequence of points  $\{m_i : a < i < b\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is called  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$ , if  $\forall i, a < i < b - 1, \exists g_i \in \mathbb{G}$  such that  $d(g_i \phi(m_i), m_{i+1}) < \delta$ .

For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -pseudo-trajectory  $\{m_i : a < i < b\}$  for  $\phi$  is called  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed by a point  $m$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , if  $\forall i, a < i < b, \exists p_i \in \mathbb{G}$  such that  $d(\phi^i(m), p_i m_i) < \varepsilon$ . The map  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$ -shadowing property if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that for each  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed by a point of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Note that if  $\phi$  is bijective then we take  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ . Also, when  $\phi$  is not bijective then we take  $0 \leq a < b < \infty$ .

**Definition 2.3.**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and let  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be continuous map. For a positive real number  $\delta$ , a sequence of points  $\{m_i : a < i < b\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is called  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  if  $\forall i, a < i < b - 1, \exists g_i \in \mathbb{G}$  and there exists a positive integer  $N = N(\delta)$  such that  $\forall n \geq N$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i \phi(m_{i+k}), m_{i+k+1}) < \delta.$$

The map  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  and there is  $\delta > 0$  such that every  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory  $\{m_i : a < i < b\}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$ -average by a point  $m$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , if  $\forall i, \exists g_i \in \mathbb{G}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m), g_i m_i) < \varepsilon.$$

Note that if  $\phi$  is bijective then we take  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ . Also, when  $\phi$  is not bijective then we take  $0 \leq a < b < \infty$ .

**Definition 2.4. [8]**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and let  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be continuous map, then  $\phi$  is called  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive if  $\forall A, B \neq \emptyset$ , and  $A, B$  are open subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ , such that the set  $N_g(A \cap B) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : g \phi^i(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset$ . We say that a homeomorphism  $\phi$  is totally  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive if  $\phi^i$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive,  $\forall i \geq 1$ .

**Definition 2.5. [9]**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a homeomorphism map, then  $\phi$  is called topologically  $\mathbb{G}$ -mixing if  $\forall A, B \neq \emptyset$ , and  $A, B$  are open subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\forall n \geq k$ ,  $\exists g_k \in \mathbb{G}$  satisfying  $g_k \phi^k(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.6.[9]**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a continuous map, then  $\phi$  is called weakly  $\mathbb{G}$ -mixing if  $\phi \times \phi$  is  $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$ -transitive, that means,  $\forall A \times B, E \times D \neq \emptyset$  of are open subsets of  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\exists (g, p) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that,

$$((g, p)(\phi \times \phi)^k(A \times B)) \cap (E \times D) \neq \emptyset.$$

If  $\exists N > 0$ , such that  $\forall m, y \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\forall n \geq N$ , there exists  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -pseudo-trajectory from  $m$  to  $y$  of length exactly  $n$ , then the map  $\phi$  is  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -chain mixing. The map  $\phi$  is chain mixing if it is  $\delta$ -chain mixing for every  $\delta > 0$ .

**Main Results**

**Proposition 3.1**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space, and  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a continuous map. If  $\phi$  has  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP, then  $\phi^m$  has  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof:

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\phi$  has  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP, for any  $\frac{\varepsilon}{m} > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , such that every  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory is  $\frac{\varepsilon}{m}$ -shadowed in average by some point in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Assume that  $\{z_i, i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  is  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory of  $\phi^m$ , that is,  $\exists \mu = \mu(\delta) > 0$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i \phi^m(z_{i+k}), z_{i+k+1}) < \delta, \quad \text{for all } n \geq \mu, \quad k \in \mathcal{N}_0 \text{ and } g_i \in \mathbb{G}.$$

We write  $x_{nm+j} = \phi^j(z_n)$  for  $0 \leq j < m$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{N}_0$ , that is,  
 $\{x_i, i \in \mathcal{N}_0\} = \{z_0, \phi(z_0), \dots, \phi^{m-1}(z_0), z_1, \phi(z_1), \dots, \phi^{m-1}(z_1), \dots\}$ .

We have  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i \phi^m(x_{i+k}), x_{i+k+1}) < \delta$ , for all  $n \geq \mu$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

Then  $\{x_i, i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  is  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory  $\phi$ . So,  $\exists \omega \in \mathcal{M}$ , such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(\omega), g_i x_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{m}. \tag{3-1}$$

Claim: there are infinite  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} d(\phi^{im}(\omega), g_i x_i) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of Claim : Assume there is  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} d(\phi^{im}(\omega), g_i x_i) \geq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } t \geq \mu_0.$$

Then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(\omega), g_i x_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{m}$ .

This contracts with (3-1), then we have:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^{im}(\omega), g_i x_{im}) < \varepsilon,$$

since

$$x_{im} = z_i,$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d((\phi^m)^i(\omega), g_i z_i) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, have the  $\phi^m \mathbb{G}$  ASP.

**Proposition 3.2.** [9]

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space,  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be pseudo-equivariant and totally  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive with a dense set of  $\mathbb{G}_\phi$ -periodic points, then  $\phi$  is weakly  $\mathbb{G}$ -mixing.

**Theorem 3.3**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a compact metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space and  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be pseudo-equivariant with dense set of  $\mathbb{G}_\phi$ -periodic points. If  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP, then  $\phi$  is weakly  $\mathbb{G}$ -mixing.

Proof:

By Proposition 3.1, if  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP then so does  $\phi^m$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Proposition 3.2, if  $\phi^m$  is totally  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive for every  $m > 0$ , then it is weakly  $\mathbb{G}$ -mixing. Therefore, it is enough to prove that  $\phi$  is totally  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive.

We must prove that  $\phi^m$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive for some  $m > 1$ . Assume that  $\phi^m$  is not  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive for some  $m > 1$ , then  $\exists \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , such that  $\mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$  proper, closed and  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant. Also  $\phi^m(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  and hence  $\phi^{ms}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  for any  $s \geq 1$  such that  $\text{int}(\mathcal{D}) \neq \emptyset$ , implies that  $\phi^{ms}$  is not  $\mathbb{G}$ -transitive for any  $s \geq 1$ . So,  $\forall s \geq 1, \exists A_s, B_s$  are non-empty open subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that  $\forall p \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $\forall i \geq 1$ . We have  $(p(\phi^{ms})^i(A_s)) \cap B_s = \emptyset$ . Note that  $A_1, B_1$  works  $\forall s$ . Assume that  $A, B$  are nonempty open subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $(p\phi^{mk}(A)) \cap B = \emptyset, \forall p \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $\forall k \geq 1$ . Since  $\phi$  is pseudo-equivariant, then  $A \cap (p\phi^{-mk}(B)) = \emptyset, \forall p \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $\forall k \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\underbrace{\phi \times \phi \times \dots \times \phi}_{m\text{-times}}$

is not  $\underbrace{\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \times \dots \times \mathbb{G}}_{m\text{-times}}$ -transitive. We take into account that  $B' = B \times \phi^{-1}(B) \times \dots \times \phi^{-(m-1)}(B)$

and  $A' = A \times A \times \dots \times A$ . Then,  $A' \cap ((p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) (\phi \times \phi \times \dots \times \phi)^{-r}(B')) = \emptyset,$

$\forall (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \times \dots \times \mathbb{G}$  and  $\forall r \geq 1$ , which implies that  $\underbrace{\phi \times \phi \times \dots \times \phi}_{m\text{-times}}$  is not  $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \times \dots \times \mathbb{G}$  – transitive, which implies a contradiction. Thus  $\phi^m$  is  $\mathbb{G}$  – transitive for every  $m \geq 1$  and hence  $\phi$  is totally  $\mathbb{G}$  – transitive.

Thus by Proposition 3.2,  $\phi$  is weakly  $\mathbb{G}$  – mixing.

**Definition 3.4.[5]**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a metric  $\mathbb{G}$  – space and  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a homeomorphism map that is called positively  $\mathbb{G}$  – expansive. If there exists real number  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\forall m, y \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mathbb{G}(m) \neq \mathbb{G}(y)$ , there exists an integer number  $k \geq 0$  such that  $d(\phi^k(u), \phi^k(v)) > \rho, \forall u \in \mathbb{G}(m)$ , and  $v \in \mathbb{G}(y)$ .  $\rho$  is then called a  $\mathbb{G}$  – expansive constant for  $\phi$ .

**Definition 3.5. [5]**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a compact metric  $\mathbb{G}$  – space and  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a homeomorphism map. Then  $\phi$  has  $\mathbb{G}$  – specification if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for each finite sequence of points  $g_1 m_1, g_2 m_2, \dots, g_k m_k \in \mathcal{M}$  for some  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{G}$  and for  $2 \leq k \leq j$ , picking any sequence of integers  $a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \dots < a_j \leq b_j$  such that  $a_k - b_{k-1} \geq \mathcal{D}(2 \leq k \leq j)$  and an integer  $\ell$  with  $\ell \geq \mathcal{D}(b_j - a_1), \exists m \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\phi^\ell(m) = g m, \exists g \in \mathbb{G}$  and hold  $d(\phi^i(m), \ell_i \phi^i(m_k)) < \varepsilon$  for some  $\ell_i \in \mathbb{G}$  and for  $a_k \leq i \leq b_k, 1 \leq k \leq j$ .

**Theorem 3.6**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a compact metric  $\mathbb{G}$  – space with  $d$  being an invariant metric and let  $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$  – expansive pseudo-equivariant homeomorphism having the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP. If  $\phi$  is topologically  $\mathbb{G}$  – mixing then  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  -specification.

Proof:

Let  $\rho > 0$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$  – expansive constant for  $\phi$  and we choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\rho}{2}$ . Since  $\phi$  has  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP,  $\exists \beta > 0$  such that every  $(\beta, \mathbb{G})$  – average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  is  $\varepsilon$  – shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$  – average by the trajectory of some point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$  be a finite open cover of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $A_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{diam } A_i < \frac{\beta}{2}, \forall i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $\phi$  is topologically  $\mathbb{G}$  – mixing, then for each open sets  $A_i, A_j$  there is  $\mathcal{D}_{i,j} > 0$ , such that  $\forall n \geq \mathcal{D}_{i,j}$ , and there is  $g'_n \in \mathbb{G}$  satisfying  $A_j \cap g'_n \phi^n(A_i) \neq \emptyset$  (3 – 2).

Let  $\mathcal{D} = \max \{ \mathcal{D}_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq m \}$  and  $g_1 m_1, g_2 m_2, \dots, g_k m_k \in \mathcal{D}$ , for some  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{G}$  and for  $2 \leq j \leq k$ , picking any sequence of integers  $a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \dots < a_k \leq b_k$  such that  $a_j - b_{j-1} \geq \mathcal{D}(2 \leq j \leq k)$  and an integer  $p$  with  $p \geq \mathcal{D}(b_k - a_1)$ . We define  $a_{k+1} = b_{k+1} = p + a_1, m_{k+1} = \phi^{a_1 - b_{k+1}}(g_1 m_1)$ . We denote by  $A(z)$  an open ball  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $z$ . Since  $a_{j+1} - b_j \geq \mathcal{D}$ , by (3 – 2),  $\exists g'_{a_{j+1} - b_j} \in \mathbb{G}$ , such that  $A(\phi^{a_{j+1}}(g_{j+1} m_{j+1})) \cap g'_{a_{j+1} - b_j} \phi^{a_{j+1} - b_j} (A(\phi^{b_j}(g_j m_j))) \neq \emptyset$ , that is,

$\exists y_j \in \phi^{a_{j+1} - b_j} (A(\phi^{b_j}(g_j m_j))) \neq \emptyset$  such that  $\phi^{a_{j+1} - b_j}(y_j) = k'_{a_{j+1} - b_j} y'_j$ . We establish a  $(\beta, \mathbb{G})$  – average pseudo- trajectory  $\{\omega_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i &= \phi^i(g_j m_j) \text{ if } a_j \leq i \leq b_j \\ \omega_i &= \phi^{i - b_j}(y_j) \text{ if } b_j \leq i \leq a_{j+1} \\ \omega_{i+p} &= \omega_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP,  $\{\omega_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is  $\varepsilon$  – shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$  – average by the trajectory of some point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Therefore,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \ell_i, \ell_{i+p} \in \mathbb{G}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1-n}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m), \ell_i \omega_i) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1-n}^{n-1} d(\phi^{i+p}(m), \ell_{i+p} \omega_{i+p}) < \varepsilon,$$

this implies that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1-n}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m), \ell_i \omega_i) < \varepsilon,$

and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1-n}^{n-1} d(\phi^{i+p}(m), \ell_{i+p} \omega_i) < \varepsilon$ , which implies that  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \ell_1, \ell_k \in \mathbb{G}$ , satisfying

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1-n}^{n-1} d(\ell_{i+p}^{-1} \phi^{i+p}(m), \ell_i^{-1} \phi^i(m)) < 2\varepsilon < \varepsilon.$$

But  $\phi$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -expansive homeomorphism. Consequently,  $\mathbb{G}(\phi^p(m)) = \mathbb{G}(m)$ . Therefore,  $\phi^p(m) = gm$ , for some  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ . Also for  $a_j \leq j$  or  $b < b_j$ ,  $\omega_i = \phi^i(g_j m_j)$ .

So,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1-n}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m), \ell_i \omega_i) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1-n}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m), \ell_i \phi^i(g_j m_j)) < \varepsilon$

and  $\phi^p(m) = gm$ . Thus,  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$ -specification by Definition 3.5.

**Lemma 3.7. [5]**

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact connected Hausdorff metric space that contains more than one point and let  $m, y \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then for a continuous map  $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta$ -pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  containing  $m, y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We recall that the topological space  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a **totally disconnected** space if  $\forall m, y \in \mathcal{M}$ . There are two sets  $A, B \subset \mathcal{M}$  that are disconnection such that  $m \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

**Theorem 3.8**

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  be a compact metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space. Then the identity map  $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP if and only if the orbit space  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is totally disconnected.

Proof: ( $\Rightarrow$ )

Assume that the identity map  $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP. By hypothesis,  $\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathbb{G}}$  is compact, then it is enough to prove that  $\dim(\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}) = 0$ . Suppose, conversely, that  $\dim(\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}) \neq 0$ . Since  $\dim(\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}) \geq 1$ , so there is a closed connected subset  $E$  in  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  which has a dimension that is at least one.  $E$  is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$ , since  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  is compact. So  $\exists \mathbb{G}(a) \neq \mathbb{G}(b) \in E$ , such that  $\text{diam } E = d_1(\mathbb{G}(a), \mathbb{G}(b)) = \gamma$ . By compactness of  $\mathbb{G}$ , there is  $y_1 \in \mathbb{G}(a)$  and  $y_2 \in \mathbb{G}(b)$  such that  $r = d(y_1, y_2)$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{\gamma}{3}$ . We get a contradiction by exhibiting that for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  which is not  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$ -average by the trajectory of some point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ .

By Lemma 3.7, there is a  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory  $\{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  containing  $y_1, y_2$ . Such a  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory can be obtained as follows: Since  $E$  is a compact connected subset of  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  by Lemma 3.7, then there is a  $\delta$ -pseudo-trajectory  $\{\mathbb{G}(m_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , for  $\check{\phi}$  containing  $\mathbb{G}(a)$  and  $\mathbb{G}(b)$ . This implies that  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_1(\check{\phi}(\mathbb{G}(m_i)), \mathbb{G}(m_{i+1})) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\mathbb{G}$  is Compact, implies for  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists \ell_i, u_i \in \mathbb{G}$  such that ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\ell_i \phi(m_i), u_i m_{i+1}) < \delta \text{ which implies } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i \phi(m_i), m_{i+1}) < \delta,$$

for some  $g_i \in \mathbb{G}$ , and hence  $\{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , is a  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$ . Now,  $\{\mathbb{G}(m_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  contains  $\mathbb{G}(a)$  and  $\mathbb{G}(b)$ . Therefore, for some  $k, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}(m_k) = \mathbb{G}(a)$  and  $\mathbb{G}(m_p) = \mathbb{G}(b)$ . Also,  $y_1 \in \mathbb{G}(a)$  and  $y_2 \in \mathbb{G}(b)$ , implies  $g'y_1 = m_k$  and  $g''y_2 = m_p$ , for some  $g', g'' \in \mathbb{G}$ . We take the place of  $m_k$  by  $g'y_1$  and  $m_p$  by  $g''y_2$  in  $\{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and continue to denote the new  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory, containing  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , by  $\{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Let  $\{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$   $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$ -average by the point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . So,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists p_i \in \mathbb{G}$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(m, p_i m_i) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m_i), p_i m_i) < \varepsilon \tag{3-3}.$$

Since  $\{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a  $(\delta, \mathbb{G})$ - average pseudo- trajectory for  $\phi$  containing  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ ,  $\exists k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m_k = y_1$  and  $m_n = y_2$ . So, by (3-3)  $d(m, p_k m_k) < \varepsilon$  and  $d(m, p_n m_n) < \varepsilon$ , which implies that  $d_1(\mathbb{G}(m), \mathbb{G}(p_k m_k)) < \varepsilon$  and  $d_1(\mathbb{G}(m), \mathbb{G}(p_n m_n)) < \varepsilon$ , and hence  $d_1(\mathbb{G}(a), \mathbb{G}(b)) \leq d_1(\mathbb{G}(a), \mathbb{G}(m)) + d_1(\mathbb{G}(m), \mathbb{G}(b)) < \varepsilon + \varepsilon = \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$ , which is a contradiction. This proves that  $\dim(\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}) = 0$ . Hence, the orbit space  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is totally disconnected.

Proof : ( $\Leftarrow$ )

Assume that  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  is totally disconnected. Then clopen sets form a basis for topology of  $\mathcal{M}$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathbb{G}$  is compact, then we have the possibility of an invariant metric  $d$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  congruous with topology of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and let  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  be a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  containing clopen sets such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\text{diam } A_i < \varepsilon$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

A set  $B_i = \Psi^{-1}(A_i)$ ,  $\forall i$ , since  $A_i$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  and  $\pi$  is a continuous map,  $B_i = \Psi^{-1}(A_i)$  is compact, since  $B_i \subset \mathcal{M}$ , and  $B_i$  is a closed. So,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , implies  $\Psi^{-1}(A_i) \cap \Psi^{-1}(A_j) = \emptyset$ , implies  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\alpha_{ij} = d(A_i, A_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $A_i, A_j$  is compact, implies  $\alpha_{ij} > 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Choose  $\alpha$  such that  $0 < \alpha < \min \{\alpha_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ . We must prove that the identity map  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP. We prove that every  $(\alpha, \mathbb{G})$  - average pseudo- trajectory for  $\phi$  is  $\varepsilon$  - shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$  - average by the trajectory of some point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $S = \{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a  $(\alpha, \mathbb{G})$  - average pseudo- trajectory for  $\phi$ . Then for  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists g_i \in \mathbb{G}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i \phi(m_i), m_{i+1}) < \alpha \text{ implies to } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i m_i, m_{i+1}) < \alpha, \tag{3-4}$$

Note that if  $m_i \in B_k$  then  $m_{i+1} \in B_k$ . For if  $m_{i+1} \in B_j$ ,  $j \neq k$ , then  $B_k$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant  $g_i m_i \in B_k$  and  $m_{i+1} \in B_j$ , implies

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i \phi(m_i), m_{i+1}) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(B_k, B_j) = \alpha_{kj} > \alpha,$$

This is a contradiction with (3-4). Similarly, if  $m_i \in B_k$ , then  $m_{i-1} \in B_k$ . For if  $m_{i-1} \in B_j$ ,  $j \neq k$ , then  $B_j$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant  $g_{i-1} m_{i-1} \in B_j$  and  $m_{i+1} \in B_j$ , implies

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_{i-1} m_{i-1}, m_i) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(B_k, B_j) = \alpha_{kj} > \alpha,$$

This is a contradiction with (3-4). So,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m_i \in B_k$ . This implies that  $\mathbb{G}(m_i) \in A_k$ , but  $\text{diam } A_k < \varepsilon$ , so  $\forall \mathbb{G}(m) \in A_k$  and  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_1(\mathbb{G}(m), \mathbb{G}(m_i)) < \varepsilon.$$

By hypothesis,  $\mathbb{G}$  is compact, so  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists \ell_i, u_i \in \mathbb{G}$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\ell_i m, u_i m_i) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists g_i \in \mathbb{G}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m_i), g_i m_i) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $S = \{m_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is  $\varepsilon$  - shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$  - average by the trajectory of some point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $S$  is an arbitrary  $(\alpha, \mathbb{G})$  - average pseudo- trajectory for  $\phi$ , it follow that every  $(\alpha, \mathbb{G})$  - average pseudo- trajectory for  $\phi$  is  $\varepsilon$  - shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$  - average by the trajectory of some point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP.

**Definition 3.9.** [5]

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces. A continuous onto map  $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow Y$  is called a covering map, if for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $B_y$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that  $\phi^{-1}(B_y) = \bigcup_i A_i$ ,

( $i \neq j$ , implies  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , where each  $A_i$  is open in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $h|_{A_i} : A_i \rightarrow B_y$  is a homeomorphism).

**Theorem 3.10**

Let  $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a pseudo-equivariant map on a compact metric  $\mathbb{G}$ -space  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  and let the orbit map  $\Psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  be a covering map, then  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP iff the induced map  $\check{\phi}: \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$  has the ASP.

Proof: ( $\Rightarrow$ )

Assume that  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP. We must prove that  $\check{\phi}$  has the ASP. We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\Psi$  is uniformly continuous,  $\exists \gamma > 0$ , such that  $d(m, y) < \gamma$ , implies  $d_1(\Psi(m), \Psi(y)) < \varepsilon$ . Also,  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP, so  $\exists \mu > 0$ , such that every  $(\mu, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  is  $\gamma$ -shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$ -average by a point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\Psi$  is a covering map on a compact space,  $\exists \delta > 0$ , such that  $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}$ . We find an  $\alpha_m$  satisfying  $(\Psi|_{A_{\alpha_m}})^{-1}(A_\delta(\Psi(m))) \subset A_\mu(m)$ . We must prove that  $\check{\phi}$  has the ASP. We show that every  $\delta$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\check{\phi}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in average by a point of  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$ . Let  $\{\mathbb{G}(m_i): i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  is an  $\delta$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\check{\phi}$ . Then  $\exists \alpha_{m_{i+1}}$  such that  $m_{i+1} \in (\Psi|_{A_{\alpha_{m_{i+1}}}})^{-1}(A_\delta(\Psi(\phi(m_i)))) \subset A_\mu(\phi(m_i))$ , implies  $\{\mathbb{G}(x_i): i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  is an  $(\mu, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  and so is  $\gamma$ -shadowed in average by some point  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence,  $\forall i \in \mathcal{N}_0, \exists g_i \in \mathbb{G}$ , such that :

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(g_i m_i, \phi^i(m_i)) < \gamma.$$

Moreover, using uniform continuity of the covering map  $\Psi$ , we get :

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_1(\mathbb{G}(\phi^i(m)), \mathbb{G}(m_i)) < \varepsilon$$

This proves that  $\{\mathbb{G}(m_i): i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in average by  $\mathbb{G}(m)$ . Hence,  $\check{\phi}$  has the ASP.

Proof: ( $\Leftarrow$ )

Assume that  $\check{\phi}$  has the ASP. We must prove that  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP. We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\Psi$  is a covering map and  $\mathcal{M}$  is compact, then  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that for  $\Psi(m) \in \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$ ,  $\Psi^{-1}(A_\delta(\Psi(m))) = \cup A_\alpha$ , where  $\forall A_\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , which leads to  $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta = \emptyset$  and that  $\Psi|_{A_\alpha} : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\delta(\Psi(m))$  is a homeomorphism. For  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $A_\varepsilon(m)$  of  $m$ , consider  $A_\alpha$  which contains  $m$ . If  $\text{diam } A_\alpha < \varepsilon$ , we have  $\Psi^{-1}|_{A_\alpha}(A_\delta(\Psi(m))) \subset A_\alpha \subset A_\varepsilon(m)$ . If  $\text{diam } A_\alpha \not< \varepsilon$ , then choose  $A'_\alpha \subset A_\alpha$  such that  $\text{diam } A'_\alpha < \varepsilon$  and  $m \in A'_\alpha$ , we have  $\Psi^{-1}|_{A'_\alpha}(A_\delta(\Psi(m))) \subset A'_\alpha \subset A_\varepsilon(x)$ . Since  $\check{\phi}$  has the ASP then  $\exists \mu > 0$ , such that every  $\mu$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\check{\phi}$  is  $\delta$ -shadowed in average by a point of  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$ . Uniform continuity of  $\Psi$  implies that  $\exists \gamma > 0$  such that  $d(m, y) < \gamma$  which leads to  $d_1(\Psi(m), \Psi(y)) < \mu$ . To prove that  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP, we show that every  $(\gamma, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in  $\mathbb{G}$ -average by a point of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\{m_i : i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  be a  $(\gamma, \mathbb{G})$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\phi$ .

This implies that  $\forall i \in \mathcal{N}_0 \exists p_i \in \mathbb{G}$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(p_i h(m_i), m_{i+1}) < \gamma$ ,

Therefore,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_1(\Psi(\phi(m_i)), \Psi(m_{i+1})) < \mu$ , and hence we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_1(\mathbb{G}(\phi(m_i)), \mathbb{G}(m_{i+1})) < \mu,$$

which proves that  $\{\mathbb{G}(m_i): i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  is an  $\mu$ -average pseudo-trajectory for  $\check{\phi}$ . Since  $\check{\phi}$  has the ASP, then  $\{\mathbb{G}(m_i): i \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -shadowed in average by a point of  $\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{G}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}(x)$  and hence  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_1(\mathbb{G}(\phi^i(m)), \mathbb{G}(m_i)) < \delta, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}_0$ . But this



gives  $\Psi(\phi^i(m)) \subset A_\alpha \Psi(m_i)$ , implies  $\phi^i(m) \in \Psi^{-1}(A_\delta(\Psi(m_i))) \subset A_\varepsilon(m)$

and therefore  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\phi^i(m_i), g_i m_i) < \varepsilon, \quad g_i \in \mathbb{G}.$

Hence  $\phi$  has the  $\mathbb{G}$  ASP.

### References

1. Anosov D.V. **1967**. *Geodesic Flows on Closed Riemannian Manifolds of Negative Curvature*, Russian, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, **90**, 209 PP.
2. Blank M. L. **1989**. Small Perturbations of Chaotic Dynamical Systems, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **44**(6): 1–33.
3. Palais R. S. **1960**. The classification of G-spaces, 190 Hope street providence R.I., *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, **36**: 1–71.
4. Shah E. and Das T. K. **2003**. On pseudo orbit tracing property in G-spaces, *JP Journal of Geometry and Topology*, **3**(2): 101–112.
5. Shah E. **2005**. Dynamical properties of maps on topological spaces and G-spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Science The M.S. University of Baroda.
6. Bredon G. **1972**. *Introduction to compact transformation Groups*, New York and London Academic Press.
7. Park J.J. and Zhang Y. **2006**. Average Shadowing Properties on Compact Metric Spaces, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **21**(2): 355–361.
8. Das R. and Das T. **2012**. Topological transitivity of uniform limit functions on G-spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **6**(30): 1491-1499.
9. Garg M. and Das R. **2017**. Exploring stronger forms of transitivity on G-spaces, *Journal of Math. Aticki Vesnik Math. Bechnk*, **69**(3): 164–175.