



SOME RESULTS ON (σ, τ) -DERIVATION IN PRIME RINGS

Abdul-Ruhman Hameed and Kassim Abdul-Hameed

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad. Baghdad -Iraq.

Abstract

Let R be a prime ring and $d: R \rightarrow R$ be a (σ, τ) -derivation of R . U be a left ideal of R which is semiprime as a ring. In this paper we proved that if d is a nonzero endomorphism on R , and $d(R) \subset Z(R)$, then R is commutative, and we show by an example the condition d is an endomorphism on R can not be excluded. Also, we proved the following.

- (i) If $Ua \subset Z(R)$ (or $aU \subset Z(R)$), for $a \in R$, then $a=0$ or R is commutative.
(ii) If d is a nonzero on R such that $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ (or $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$ for $a \in Z(R)$), then either
 $a=0$ or $\sigma(U) + \tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.
(iii) If d is a nonzero homomorphism on U such that $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ (or $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$) for
 $a \in R$, then $a=0$ or $\sigma(U) + \tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.

بعض النتائج على مشتقة (τ, σ) في الحلقات الاولية

الخلاصة

لتكن R حلقة أولية ولتكن $R \leftarrow R:d$ مشتقة (τ, σ) من R . ولتكن U مثالي يساري من R تمثل حلقة شبه اولية. في هذا البحث أثبتنا انه اذا كان d دالة متشاكله غير صفريه على الحلقة R , وأن $d(R) \subset Z(R)$, فان R تكون ابدالية, كذلك أثبتنا بواسطة مثال ان الشرط كون d دالة متشاكله على الحلقة R لا يمكن الاستغناء عنه. أيضا أثبتنا النتائج التالية:

1. إذا كان $Ua \subset Z(R)$ أو $aU \subset Z(R)$ لكل $a \in R$, فان إما $a=0$, أو R ابدالية.
2. إذا كان d دالة غير صفريه على الحلقة R بحيث ان $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ أو $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$ لكل $a \in Z(R)$, فان $Z(R) \subset \tau(U) + \sigma(U)$ أو $a=0$.
3. إذا كانت d دالة متشاكله غير صفريه على U بحيث ان $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ أو $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$ لكل $a \in R$, فان $Z(R) \subset \tau(U) + \sigma(U)$ أو $a=0$.

Introduction

Let $d: R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping. If $d(xy) = d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$, then d is called a (σ, τ) -derivation of R , where $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$ be two mappings on R [1].

On the other hand we said that d is an endomorphism or anti-endomorphism respectively if $d(xy) = d(x)d(y)$ or $d(xy) = d(y)d(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Recall that a ring R is a prime if $aRb = 0$, $a, b \in R$, implies that either $a=0$ or $b=0$ [2].

Also, we recall that a ring R is a semi-prime if $aRa = 0, a \in R$ implies that $a=0$ [2].

Neset Aydin and Ozgur Golbasi proved that if R is a prime ring and d is a (σ, τ) -derivation of R , where $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$ be two automorphisms on R , see [3]. Then

- (i) If d is an endomorphism on R , then $d=0$.
- (ii) If d is an anti-endomorphism on R , then $d=0$.

(ii) If U is a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring. If $Ua=0(aU=0)$

for $a \in R$, then $a=0$.

(iii) If U is a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring such that

$d(U)=0$, then $d=0$.

So, we generalized some of above results.

In this paper we considered R is a prime ring, U a left ideal of R and d is a (σ, τ) -derivation of R , where $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$ be two automorphisms on R .

Also, we used the identities in this paper as follows: For all $x, y, z \in R$.

$$(i) [xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$$

$$[x, yz] = [x, y]z + z[x, z]$$

$$(ii) [xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} = x[y, \sigma(z)] + [x, z]_{\sigma, \tau} y$$

$$= x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, \tau(z)] y$$

Results

Theorem (2.1)[2]

Let R be a prime ring. If d is a (σ, τ) -derivation of R which is an endomorphism on R , then $d=0$.

Remark (2.2)

We can not exclude the condition d is an endomorphism on R . So, the following example shows.

Example(2.3)

Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z, t \in Z, \text{where } Z \text{ is the number of integers} \right\}$ be 2×2 matrices with respect to the usual operation of addition and multiplication, then R is a prime ring, see[4]. Let $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$ be automorphisms

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}, \tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $d: R \rightarrow R$, defined by

$$d \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ is a } (\sigma, \tau)\text{-derivation of}$$

R but is not an endomorphism on R , so $d \neq 0$.

Remark(2.4)

From Theorem (2.1), if d is an anti-endomorphism on R , then the previous example stay true.

To prove the first main theorem, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma(2.5)

Let R be a prime ring. If d is a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of R which is an endomorphism on R , then $d(R) \subset Z(R)$.

proof

For all $x, y, r \in R$ we have $[d(xy), r] = [d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y), r]$ on the other hand $[d(xy), r] = [d(x)d(y), r]$. So, we have $[d(x)d(y), r] = [d(x)\sigma(y), r] + [\tau(x)d(y), r]$
 $[d(x)d(y), r] = d(x)[d(y), r] + [d(x), r]d(y)$
 $= d(x)[\sigma(y), r] + [d(x), r]\sigma(y) + \tau(x)[d(y), r] + [\tau(x), r]d(y)$ for all $x, y, r \in R$ (1)

On the other hand

$d(xy) = d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y) = d(x)d(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. Substituting xr for x in (1), we get

$d(xr)\sigma(y) + \tau(xr)d(y) = d(xr)d(y), r \in R$

Since d and τ are homomorphism of R , we have

$$d(x)d(r)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)\tau(r)d(y) = d(x)d(r)d(y)$$

Expanding the last equation, we have

$$d(x)d(r)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)\tau(r)d(y) = d(x)d(ry)$$

$$= d(x)d(r)\sigma(y) + d(x)\tau(r)d(y)$$

or equivalently,

$$0 = d(x)\tau(r)d(y) - \tau(x)\tau(r)d(y)$$

$$= (d(x) - \tau(x))\tau(r)d(y).$$

Since τ is an automorphism of R , we get

$$(d(x) - \tau(x))Rd(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Since R is a prime ring, we conclude that

$$d(x) = \tau(x), \text{ for all } x \in R \text{ or } d = 0. \dots (2)$$

Since d is a nonzero, so we have $d(x) = \tau(x)$. Then

, from (1), we get

$$d(x)[\sigma(y), r] + [d(x), r]\sigma(y) = 0.$$

So $[d(x)\sigma(y), r] = 0$ for all $x, y, r \in R$. Take

zx instead of $x, z \in R$, then

$$0 = [d(zx)\sigma(y), r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, r, z \in R$$

$$0 = [d(z)d(x)\sigma(y), r]$$

$$= d(z)[d(x)\sigma(y), r] + [d(z), r]d(x)\sigma(y)$$

$$= [d(z), r]d(x)\sigma(y), \text{ for all } x, y, r, z \in R.$$

Since $d(x)\sigma(y) \in Z(R)$, then

$$0 = [d(z), r]Rd(x)\sigma(y), \text{ for all } x, y, r, z \in R.$$

Hence, $0 = [d(R), R]Rd(R)\sigma(R)$.

Since R is a prime ring and $d(R) \neq 0$, then we have $d(R) \subset Z(R)$.

Theorem(2.6)

Let R be a prime ring and let d be a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of R such that is an endomorphism on R , then R is commutative.

Proof

By Lemma(2.5), we have $d(R) \subset Z(R)$.

Therefore for all $x, y, r \in R$, we have

$$0 = [d(xr), y] = [d(x)\sigma(r) + \tau(x)d(r), y]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [d(x)\sigma(r),y] + [\tau(x)d(r),y] \\
 &= d(x)[\sigma(r),y] + [d(x),y]\sigma(r) + \tau(x)[d(r),y] \\
 &\quad + [\tau(x),y]d(r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(R)$ in the centre of R , then we have

$$0 = d(x)[\sigma(r),y] + [\tau(x),y]d(r),$$

for all $x, y, r \in R$.

By (2) from a proof of Lemma (2.5), we have

$\tau = d$ on R , then

$$d(x)[\sigma(r),y] + [d(x),y]d(r) = 0,$$

for all $x, y, r \in R$. Also, we have

$$d(x)[\sigma(r),y] = 0.$$

Therefore, $d(x)R[\sigma(r),y] = 0$, for all $x, y, r \in R$. So, we have

$d(R)R[R,R] = 0$. By a primeness of R , and

$d(R) \neq 0$, then R is commutative.

Remark(2.7)

By an Example(2.3), we can see from the above Theorem that the condition d is an endomorphism on R , can not be excluded.

Also, we generalized the following Lemma.

Lemma(2.8) [2]

Let R be a prime ring and U be a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring. If $Ua=0$ (or $aU=0$) for $a \in R$, then $a=0$.

Theorem (2.9)

Let R be a prime ring and let U be a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring. If $Ua \subset Z(R)$ (or $aU \subset Z(R)$) for $a \in R$, then $a=0$ or R is commutative.

Proof

If $Ua \subset Z(R)$, then for $u \in U, x, r \in R$

$$0 = [xua, r] = x[ua, r] + [x, r]ua$$

$$= [x, r]ua.$$

Take $xy, y \in R$, instead of x , then we have $0 = [x, r]yua$ and hence,

$$0 = [x, r]Rua, \text{ for all } u \in U, x, r \in R.$$

Since R is a prime ring, then we have either

R is commutative or $Ua=0$.

If $Ua=0$, then by Lemma (2.8) we have $a=0$.

If $aU \subset Z(R)$, then for $u, v \in U, r \in R$

$$0 = [auv, r] = au[v, r] + [au, r]v$$

$$= au[v, r].$$

Replace r by ry , where $y \in R$. So, we have

$$0 = au[v, ry] = aur[v, y] + au[v, r]y.$$

$$= aur[v, y].$$

for all $u, v \in U, r, y \in R$.

Hence, $aUR[U, R] = 0$

Since R is a prime ring, then either

$aU=0$ or $U \subset Z(R)$. If $aU=0$, then by Lemma

(2.8) we have $a=0$. If $U \subset Z(R)$,

then R is commutative.

Now, we generalize the following Theorem.

Theorem(2.10) [2]

Let R be a prime ring, U a nonzero left ideal of R which is semiprime as a ring. If d is a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of R such that $d(U)a=0$ (or $ad(U)=0$), then $a=0$.

So, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma (2.11)

Let R be a prime ring and U be a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring. If d is a (σ, τ) -derivation of R such that $d(U) \subset Z(R)$, then either $d(R)=0$ or $\sigma(U) + \tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.

Proof

Assume $d(U) \subset Z(R)$. Then for all $u \in U, x \in R$ we have

$$0 = [d(xu), r] = [d(x)\sigma(u) + \tau(x)d(u), r]$$

$$= [d(x)\sigma(u), r] + [\tau(x)d(u), r]$$

$$= d(x)[\sigma(u), r] + [d(x), r]\sigma(u) +$$

$$\tau(x)[d(u), r] + [\tau(x), r]d(u)$$

So, we have

$$0 = d(x)[\sigma(u), r] + [d(x), r]\sigma(u) + [\tau(x), r]d(u)$$

Therefore, $d(x)\sigma(u)r - d(x)r\sigma(u) +$

$$d(x)r\sigma(u) - r d(x)\sigma(u) + \tau(x)r d(u) - r\tau(x)d(u)$$

$$= d(x)\sigma(u)r - r d(x)\sigma(u) + \tau(x)r d(u) - r\tau(x)d(u)$$

$$= d(x)[\sigma(u), r] + [\tau(x), r]d(u), \text{ for all } u \in U, x,$$

$y \in R$. In special case assume that $x=u$, then

$$0 = d(u)[\sigma(u), r] + [\tau(u), r]d(u)$$

Since $d(U) \subset Z(R)$ So,

$$d(u)[\sigma(u) + \tau(u), r] = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, r \in R.$$

Hence, $d(U)R[\sigma(U) + \tau(U), r] = 0$. Since R is a

prime ring, then either

$$d(U) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(U) + \tau(U) \subset Z(R).$$

If $d(U) = 0$, then by Lemma 2[2], we have

$$d(R) = 0.$$

Theorem(2.12)

Let R be a prime ring and U be a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring. If d is a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation of R such that $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$ ($d(U)a \subset Z(R)$) for $a \in Z(R)$, then either $a=0$ or $\sigma(U) + \tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.

Proof

For all $r \in R$ and we have $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$, then

$$0 = [ad(U), r] = a[d(U), r] + [a, r]d(U).$$

$$0 = [ad(U), r] = a[d(U), r].$$

Since $a \in Z(R)$, we have

$$0 = aR[d(U), r], \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

Since R is a prime ring, then either $a=0$ or

$d(U) \subset Z(R)$. If $d(U) \subset Z(R)$, then by Lemma

(2.11) and $d(R) \neq 0$, then

$$\sigma(U) + \tau(U) \subset Z(R).$$

If we have $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$, then

for all $r \in R$, we have

$$0=[d(U)a,r]=d(U)[a,r]+[d(U),r]a \\ = [d(U),r]a$$

Since R is a prime ring then either

$$d(U) \subset Z(R) \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha=0.$$

If $d(U) \subset Z(R)$, then by Lemma (2.11) and $d(R) \neq 0$, we have $\sigma(U)+\tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.

Also, we generalized Theorem (2.10) as following.

Theorem (2.13)

Let R be a prime ring, U be a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring. Let d be a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation and α is a homomorphism on U such that if $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$ (or $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$), then either $\alpha=0$ or $\sigma(U)+\tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.

proof

Assume that $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$.

Since d is a homomorphism on U , then for all $u, v \in U$, we have

$$ad(uv)=ad(u)d(v) \in Z(R). \text{ So, for all } r \in R \\ 0=[ad(u)d(v),r]=ad(u)[d(v),r]+[ad(u),r]d(v) \\ = ad(u)[d(v),r] \text{ for all } u, v \in U, r \in R$$

Hence, $ad(U)[d(U),R]=0$.

Since $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$, then we have $ad(U)R[d(U),R]=0$. By a primeness of R , we have either $ad(U)=0$ or $d(U) \subset Z(R)$. If $ad(U)=0$, then by Theorem (2.10) we have $\alpha=0$. If $d(U) \subset Z(R)$, then by Lemma (2.11) $\sigma(U)+\tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.

Assume that $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$.

Since d is a homomorphism on U , then for all $u, v \in U$, we have

$$d(uv)a=d(u)d(v)a \in Z(R). \text{ So, for all } r \in R \\ 0=[d(u)d(v)a,r] \\ =d(u)[d(v)a,r]+[d(u),r]d(v)a \\ =[d(u),r]d(v)a \text{ for all } u, v \in U, r \in R$$

Hence, $[d(U),R]d(U)a=0$.

Since $d(U)a \subset Z(R)$, then we have $[d(U),R]Rd(U)a=0$. By a primeness of R , we have either $d(U)a=0$ or $d(U) \subset Z(R)$.

If $d(U)a=0$, then by Theorem (2.10) we have $\alpha=0$. If $d(U) \subset Z(R)$, then by Lemma (2.11) $\sigma(U)+\tau(U) \subset Z(R)$.

References

1. Yasuyuki Hirano and Hisao Tominga, 1984, *Some Commutativity Theorems for prime rings with derivations and differentially semiprime rings*. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 26. 101-108.
2. Herstein, I.N. 1969, *Topics in ring theory*. Univ. of Chicago press, Chicago,.

3. Golbasi, O. and Aydin, N., 2002, *Some results on endomorphisms of prime ring which are (σ, τ) -derivation*, East Asian Math. J. 18. 33-41.
4. Aydin, N. Kaya, K. Golbasi, 2001. O., *Some results for generalized Lie ideals with derivation II*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes 1. 24-30.