

THE COMPOSITION OPERATOR C_{α_p} ON HARDY SPACE H^2

Eiman H.Abood

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad .Baghdad-Iraq.

Abstract

In this paper, we characterize the unitary composition operator C_{α_p} on the Hardy space H^2 where α_p is a special automorphism of a unit open disk U such that $p \in U$. In addition to we study the compactness and essential normality of C_{α_p} and give some other partial results.

المؤثر التركيبي

ايمان حسن عبود

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد. بغداد العراق

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث أعطينا وصف للمؤثر التركيبي الوحدوي C_{α_p} على فضاء هاردي H^2 عندما يكون α_p التحويل الخطي الخاص لكرة الوحدة U ، حيث إن $p \in U$. بالإضافة إلى ذلك درسنا تراص المؤثر التركيبي C_{α_p} و المؤثر التركيبي السوي الجوهرى C_{α_p} مع بعض النتائج الأخرى.

Introduction

Let U denote the unite ball in the complex plane, the Hardy space H^2 is the collection of holomorphic (analytic) functions.

$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n$ with $\hat{f}(n)$ denoting the n -th Taylor coefficient of f such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty$.

More precisely,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n \in H^2 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$

The inner product inducing the H^2 norm is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)\overline{\hat{g}(n)} \quad (f, g \in H^2).$$

The particular importance of H^2 is due to the fact that it is a Hilbert space. Let ψ be a homomorphic function that take the unit ball U into itself (which is called homomrphic self-map of U). To each holomorphic self-map ψ of U , we associate the composition operator C_{ψ} defined for all $f \in H^2$ by $C_{\psi} f = f \circ \psi$.

In this paper, we are going to discuss some links between the function theory and the operator theory. We investigate the relationship between the properties of the symbol α_p and the

operator C_{α_p} . Composition operators have been studied in many different contexts. A good source of references on the properties of composition operators on H^2 can found in [1] and [2].

We state very loosely some basic facts on composition operator on H^2 .

Theorem 1 [1]: Every composition operator C_ψ is bounded.

Theorem 2 [2]: C_ψ is normal if and only if $\psi(z) = \lambda z$, $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

Theorem 3 [2]: $C_\sigma C_\psi = C_{\psi \circ \sigma}$

Theorem 4 [2]: C_ψ is an identity operator if and only if ψ is the identity self-map.

For each $\alpha \in U$, the reproducing kernel at α , denoted by k_α is defined by

$$k_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha z}$$

It is easily seen for each $\alpha \in U$ and $f \in H^2$, $f(z) =$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^n \text{ that}$$

$$\langle f, k_\alpha \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \alpha^n = f(\alpha).$$

The reproducing kernels for H^2 will play an important role in this paper. Shapiro gave the following formula for the adjoint C_ψ^* of a composition operator C_ψ on the family $\{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in U}$.

Theorem 5 [1]: Let ψ be a homomorphic self map of U , then for all $\alpha \in U$ $C_\psi^* k_\alpha = k_{\psi(\alpha)}$.

For $p \in U$, Shapiro [1] defined $\alpha_p(z) = \frac{p - z}{1 - \bar{p}z}$

(Where \bar{p} is the complex conjugate of p). In fact α_p is called special automorphism of U . He proved that α_p maps U into itself, and $\mathcal{A}U$ into itself. Since $\alpha_p(\alpha_p(z)) = z$, then α_p is called have self-inverse property.

This paper consists of two sections. In section one, we are going to characterize the unitary composition operator C_{α_p} on H^2 see (1.1). In section tow, we characterize the compactness and essential normality of C_{α_p} see (2.1) and

(2.11). These results are new to the best of our knowledge.

1. The necessary and sufficient condition for normality of C_{α_p} .

Recall that an operator T on a Hilbert space H is called unitary if $TT^* = T^*T = I$ where T^* is the adjoint of T and I is the identity operator on H [3]. We start this section by the following result.

Theorem 1.1: C_{α_p} is a unitary operator on H^2 if and only if $p=0$.

Proof:

Assume that C_{α_p} is unitary. Assume that $p \neq 0$. Then $\alpha_p(0) = p \neq 0$.

By assumption C_{α_p} is normal, then

$$C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p}^* = C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p}$$

$$C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p}^* k_0(z) = C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p} k_0(z).$$

But $C_{\alpha_p} k_0 = k_0$ and by theorem (5)

$$C_{\alpha_p}^* k_0 = k_{\alpha_p(0)}. \text{ Thus}$$

$$C_{\alpha_p} k_{\alpha_p(0)}(z) = C_{\alpha_p}^* k_0(z). \text{ Thus from definition of the composition operator and theorem (5) we have}$$

$$k_{\alpha_p(0)}(\alpha_p(z)) = k_{\alpha_p(0)}(z). \text{ Hence,}$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p(0) \alpha_p(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p(0) z}.$$

It follows that $\overline{\alpha_p(0)} \alpha_p(z) = \overline{\alpha_p(0)} z$. But $\alpha_p(0) \neq 0$, then $\alpha_p(z) = z$, which a contradiction (since α_p can not be identity map). Therefore $p=0$ Conversely, suppose that $p=0$, then clearly $\alpha_p(z) = -z$. To prove that C_{α_p} is unitary, it is enough to show that

$$C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p}^* = C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p} = I.$$

But it is well known that the span of the family $\{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in U}$ is dense subset in H^2 , then we can

prove this equation on this family. Let $\beta \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p} k_{\beta}(z) &= C_{\alpha_p}^* k_{\beta}(\alpha_p(z)) \\ &= k_{\alpha_p(\beta)}(\alpha_p(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha_p(\beta)} \alpha_p(z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - (-\bar{\beta})(-z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\beta}z} \\ &= k_{\beta}(z) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p} k_{\beta}(z) = k_{\beta}(z)$ for each $\beta \in U$. This implies that $C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p} = I$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p}^* k_{\beta}(z) &= C_{\alpha_p} k_{\alpha_p(\beta)}(z) \\ &= k_{\alpha_p(\beta)}(\alpha_p(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha_p(\beta)} \alpha_p(z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\beta}z} \\ &= k_{\beta}(z) . \end{aligned}$$

$C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p}^* k_{\beta}(z) = k_{\beta}(z)$ for each $\beta \in U$. This implies that $C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p}^* = I$. So

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p}^* &= C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p} = I . \text{Therefore} \\ C_{\alpha_p} &\text{ is a unitary operator on } H^2 \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Notation: Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of U and n is a non-negative integer, the n th-iterate of φ is

$$\varphi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underbrace{\varphi \circ \varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi}_{n\text{-times}}$$

Now we give the following result of n th-iterate of C_{α_p} .

Corollary (1.2):

- 1) If n is even, then $C_{\alpha_p}^n$ is a unitary operator on H^2 for each $p \in U$.
- 2) If n is odd, then $C_{\alpha_p}^n$ is a unitary operator on H^2 if and only if $p=0$.

Proof:

It is easily seen that by theorem (3) $C_{\alpha_p}^n = C_{\underbrace{\alpha_p \circ \alpha_p \circ \dots \circ \alpha_p}_{n\text{-times}}}$.

- 1) If n is even, then it is clear by the self-inverse property of α_p that $C_{\alpha_p}^n = I$.

Therefore it is easily seen that $C_{\alpha_p}^n$ is a unitary operator on H^2 .

- 2) If n is odd, then by the self-inverse property of α_p , we have $C_{\alpha_p}^n = C_{\alpha_p}$. Thus $C_{\alpha_p}^n$ is operator a unitary on H^2 if and only if C_{α_p} is a unitary operator on H^2 . Hence we get the conclusion by (1.2) ■

2. The characterization of compactness and essential normality of C_{α_p} .

Recall that an operator T on a Hilbert space H is said to be compact if it maps every bounded set into a relatively compact one (The set is called relatively compact if its closure in H is a compact set). Moreover, T is called essentially normal if $T^*T - TT^*$ is compact [4].

Proposition 2.1: C_{α_p} and $C_{\alpha_p}^*$ are not compact operators on H^2 .

Proof:

By self-inverse property of α_p we have $\alpha_p^{-1} = \alpha_p$. It is easily seen that $C_{\alpha_p}^{-1} = C_{\alpha_p^{-1}}$ and $C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p} = I$. Since it is well known that every invertible operator is not compact, then C_{α_p} is not compact. Now to prove the compactness of $C_{\alpha_p}^*$. Since

$$C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p} = I \quad \text{then} \quad (C_{\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p})^* = I^*.$$

This implies that $C_{\alpha_p}^* C_{\alpha_p}^* = I$.

Therefore $(C_{\alpha_p}^*)^{-1} = C_{\alpha_p}^* = C_{\alpha_p^{-1}}^*$. Since

$C_{\alpha_p}^*$ is invertible operator, then it is not compact ■

Corollary 2.2: $C_{\alpha_p}^n$ is not compact operator for each non-negative integer n .

Proof:

If n is even, then $C_{\alpha_p}^n = I$. Thus $C_{\alpha_p}^n$ is not compact (since the identity operator is not compact). Moreover, if n is odd then $C_{\alpha_p}^n = C_{\alpha_p}$. Hence by (2.1) $C_{\alpha_p}^n$ is not compact ■

To study of the essential normality of C_{α_p} we need some preliminaries.

Recall that if T is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H . The norm of T is defined as follows $\|T\| = \sup \{ \|Tf\| \mid f \in H, \|f\| = 1 \}$.

A holomorphic self-map ψ is called an inner function if $|\psi(z)| = 1$ a.e. on \mathcal{A} .

Calculating the exact value of the norm of a composition operator is difficult. Nordgren gave an exact value of the norm of a composition operators induced by inner functions in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.3 [5]: A holomorphic self-map ψ is an inner function if and only if

$$\|C_{\psi}\|^2 = \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|}.$$

Proposition 2.4: α_p is an inner function.

Proof:

Since α_p maps \mathcal{A} into itself, then by the definition of inner function we have α_p is inner function ■

Therefore by (2.3) and (2.4) we can give an exact value of the composition operator induced by α_p .

Corollary 2.5: $\|C_{\alpha_p}\|^2 = \frac{1 + |p|}{1 - |p|}$.

Corollary 2.6:

- 1) $\|C_{\alpha_p}\| = 1$ if and only if $p=0$.
- 2) $\|C_{\alpha_p}\| > 1$ if and only if $p \neq 0$.

Proof:

1) Follows immediately from (2.5).

2) From (2.5) $\|C_{\alpha_p}\|^2 = \frac{1 + |p|}{1 - |p|}$.

Since $p \neq 0$, then $1 - |p| < 1 + |p|$.

Therefore $\|C_{\alpha_p}\| > 1$ ■

Recall that [3] the spectrum of an operator T on a Hilbert space H , denoted by $\sigma(T)$ is the set of all complex numbers λ for which $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible. The spectral radius of T , denoted by $r(T)$ is defined as

$$r(T) = \sup \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T) \}.$$

Cowen gave an easy estimate of the spectral radius of composition operator.

Theorem 2.7 [6]: Suppose that ψ is a holomorphic self-map of U and suppose that ψ has a fixed point c , then $r(C_{\psi}) = 1$ when $|c| < 1$ and

$$r(C_{\psi}) = |\psi'(c)|^{-1/2} \quad \text{when } |c| = 1.$$

By (2.7) we can compute the spectral radius of composition operator C_{α_p} by determining the position of fixed points of α_p .

Proposition 2.8: If $p \neq 0$, then $\frac{1-\sqrt{1-|p|^2}}{\bar{p}}$ is an interior fixed point and $\frac{1+\sqrt{1-|p|^2}}{\bar{p}}$ is an exterior fixed point of α_p .

Proof:

Put $\alpha_p(z) = z$ then $\frac{p-z}{1-pz} = z$. Therefore $\bar{p}z^2 - 2z + p = 0$. Hence α_p has two fixed points

$$z_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{1-|p|^2}}{\bar{p}} \text{ and } z_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-|p|^2}}{\bar{p}}.$$

Since p is an interior point, then $|\bar{p}| < 1 < \left| \frac{1+\sqrt{1-|p|^2}}{\bar{p}} \right|$, therefore

$$|z_1| = \left| \frac{1+\sqrt{1-|p|^2}}{\bar{p}} \right| > 1. \text{ This implies that } z_1 \text{ is an}$$

exterior fixed point of α_p . Now we must prove

$$\text{that } |z_2| = \left| \frac{1-\sqrt{1-|p|^2}}{\bar{p}} \right| < 1.$$

Let $r = |p|$, then $0 < r < 1$ ($p \neq 0$). Suppose that $|z_2| \geq 1$ so that $|1-\sqrt{1-r^2}| \geq r$. This implies that $\sqrt{1-r^2} < 1$.

Therefore $1-\sqrt{1-r^2} = |1-\sqrt{1-r^2}|$. Hence

$1-r-\sqrt{1-r^2} \geq 0$. This inequality implies that $1 \leq r \leq 0$, this contradicts that $0 < r < 1$. Thus z_2 is an interior fixed point of α_p .

Now we are ready to compute the spectral radius of composition operator C_{α_p} on Hardy space H^2 .

Corollary 2.9: $r(C_{\alpha_p}) = 1$.

Proof:

The proof follows directly by (2.8) and (2.7).

Definition 2.10 [7]: Let $B(H)$ be a Banach space of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space H , and $B(H)$ be the ideal of all compact operators on H , then the Calkin algebra is the quotient space $B(H)/B(H)$. If $T \in B(H)$, then the canonical projection $\Pi(T)$ onto $B(H)/B(H)$ will be denoted by \tilde{T} . The essential norm of T is $\|T\|_e = \|\tilde{T}\|$. The essential spectral radius of T is $r_e(T) = r(\tilde{T})$. The essential spectrum of T is $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma(\tilde{T})$.

So, one can show that if T is an essential normal operator on a Hilbert space H then $T^*T - TT^* = 0$ in Calkin algebra. It follows easily from the definition of the essentially normal operator that every normal operator and compact operator is essentially normal.

Shapiro proved the following result.

Theorem 2.11 [5]: A holomorphic self-map ψ is inner if and only if $\|C_\psi\|_e = \|C_\psi\|$ and $r_e(C_\psi) = r(C_\psi)$.

Now we give the following result.

Theorem 2.12: C_{α_p} is an essential normal operator on H^2 if and only if $p=0$.

Proof:

Assume that C_{α_p} is an essential normal operator on H^2 . Thus by [8] we have that

$$\|C_{\alpha_p}\|_e = r_e(C_{\alpha_p}) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Suppose that $p \neq 0$, this follows by (2.6)(2)

$$\text{that } \|C_{\alpha_p}\| > 1 \text{ and by (2.9) } r(C_{\alpha_p}) = 1.$$

Thus by (2.10) we have that

$$\|C_{\alpha_p}\|_e = \|C_{\alpha_p}\| > 1. \text{ But by (2.4) } \alpha_p \text{ is}$$

inner. Therefore by (2.11)

$$r_e(C_{\alpha_p}) = r(C_{\alpha_p}) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Hence from (1) and (2) we get

$$1 < \left\| C_{\alpha_p} \right\|_e = r_e(C_{\alpha_p}) = r(C_{\alpha_p}) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $p=0$. Conversely, if $p=0$, then by (1.1) we have C_{α_p} is a normal operator on H . Thus C_{α_p} is essentially normal ■

Thus by (1.1) and (2.12) we get the following consequence.

Corollary 1.13: The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) C_{α_p} is a unitary operator on H^2 .
- 2) C_{α_p} is an essentially normal operator on H^2 .

References

1. Shapiro, J. H. **1993**. *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Springer-Verlage, New York, p.p. 1-94.
2. Al-janabi E. H. **2003**. Composition operators on Hardy space H^2 , Ph.D Thesis, Univ. of Baghdad, p.p. 1-35.
3. Halmos, P.R. **1982**. *A Hilbert space problem Book*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 41-81.
4. Bourdon P. S., Shapiro J. H. and Narayan L, **2003**. Which linear-fractional composition operators are essentially normal?, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **280**: 30-53.
5. Shapiro, J. H. **2000**. What do composition operators know about inner function?, *Monatsh. Math.*, **130**(1): 57-70.
6. Cowen, C. C. **1983**. Composition operators on H^2 , *J. Operator Theory*, **9**: 77- 106.
7. Fernando Le On-Saavedra & Alfonso Montes-Roderíguez. **2000**. Spectral theory and hypercyclic subspaces, *Trans.Amer.Soc.* **353**(1): 247-267