



3

## **S\*** -SEPARATION AXIOMS

#### Sabiha I.Mahmood\*, Afrah M. Ibraheem\*\*

\*Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al Mustansiriyah. Baghdad-Iraq. \*\*Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Mustansiriyah. Baghdad-Iraq.

#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new type of separation axioms which we call  $s^*$ -separation axioms. We obtain the definition from standard separation properties by replacing open set by  $s^*$ -open set in their definitions. Moreover, we study the relation between this type of separation axioms and each of generalized separation axioms (g-separation axioms) and standard separation axioms.



صبيحة إبراهيم محمود\*، أفراح محمد إبراهيم\*\*

\*قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، الجامعة المستنصرية. بغداد –العراق. \*\*قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية، الجامعة المستنصرية. بغداد ⊣لعراق.

#### الخلاصة

في هذا البحث قدمنا نوع جديد من بديهيات الفصل أسميناها ببديهيات الفصل من النمط -\*S (S\*-separation axioms). حصلنا على التعريف من خواص الفصل الاعتياديه باتخاذ المجموعه المفتوحة من النمط -\*S بدلا من المجموعه المفتوحه بالاضافه إلى ذلك درسنا العلاقة بين هذا النوع من بديهيات الفصل وكل من بديهيات الفصل المعممة (generalized separation axioms) وبديهيات الفصل الاعتياديه.

#### 1.Introduction

Levene, N. (2) generalized the concept of closed sets to the generalized closed sets (g-closed sets). Al-Meklafi, S. (3) generalized the concept of closed sets to the s\*-closed sets. The complement of a generalized closed (resp.s\*-closed) set is called a generalized open (resp.s\*-open) set. In this paper we derive different properties of s\*-closed sets and s\*open sets also we introduce a new type of separation axioms namely, S\*-separation axioms, which is properly placed in between the standard separation axioms and the generalized separation axioms (g-separation axioms). We obtain the definition from standard separation

properties by replacing open set by s\*-open set in their definitions. Moreover, we study the relation between this type of separation axioms and each of generalized separation axioms and standard separation axioms.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$ repressent nonempty topological spaces. If  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  then , cl(A), int(A) and X - Adenote the closure of A, the interior of A and the complement of A in X respectively also,  $cl_y(A)$  and  $int_y(A)$  denote the closure of A and the interior of A in Y respectively.

#### First we recall the following definitions.

#### (2.1)Definition(1):

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **a semi-open** (s-open) set if there exists an open subset U of X such that  $U \subseteq A \subseteq cl(U)$ . The complement of a semiopen set is defined to be **semi-closed** (s-closed).

## (2.2)Definition(2):

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **a generalized closed** (g-closed) if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The complement of a g-closed set is defined to be **generalized open** (g-open).

The class of all g-open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $GO(X, \tau)$ .

## (2.3)Definition(3):

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **a g**-**T**<sub>0</sub> **space** if for any two distinct points x and y of X there is a g-open set of X containing one of them, but not the other.

#### (2.4)Definition(3):

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **a** g- $T_1$ -**space** if for any two distinct points x and y of X there is a g-open set of x which dose not contain y and a g-open set of y which dose not contain x.

## (2.5)Definition(3):

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **a** g- $T_2$ -**space** if for any two distinct points x and y of X there are two g-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ .

## (2.6)Remarks(3):

i)Every  $T_i$  - space is g-T<sub>i</sub> - space (i= 0,1,2) but the converse may not be true in general.

**ii**)Every g- $T_i$  - space is g- $T_{i-1}$ - space (i=1,2) but the converse may not be true in general.

# **3.** Properties of s\*-open sets and s\*-closed sets

#### (3.1)Definition(3):

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **an** s\*-closed set if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is s-open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The complement of an s\*-closed set is defined to be **s\*-open.** 

The class of all s\*-open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by S\*O(X,  $\tau$ ).

#### (3.2)Remarks:

i)Every open (closed) set is an s\*-open (s\*-closed) set respectively.

ii)Every s\*-open (s\*-closed ) set is a g-open (g-closed) set respectively.

The converse of (i) and (ii) may not be true in general.

iii) s-open sets and s\*-open sets are independent.

#### (3.3)Theorem:

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-closed set iff cl(A) - A contains no nonempty s-closed set.

#### **Proof:**

**Necessity**, Let F be an s-closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $F \subseteq cl(A) - A$ . Then  $A \subseteq X - F$ . Since A is s\*-closed and X - F is s-open, then  $cl(A) \subseteq X - F$ . This implies  $F \subseteq X - cl(A)$ So  $F \subset X - cl(A) \cap cl(A) = \phi$ . Therefore  $F = \phi$ .

Sufficiency, suppose A is a subset of  $(X, \tau)$  such that cl(A) - A does not contain any non-empty s-closed set .Let O be an s-open set of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq O$ . If  $cl(A) \not\subset O$ , then  $cl(A) \cap (X-O)$  is a non-empty s-closed subset of cl(A) - A. This is a contradiction .Therefore A is an s\*-closed set.

#### (3.4)Theorem:

If A and B are s\*-closed sets, then  $A \bigcup B$  is also an s\*-closed set.

## Proof:

If  $A \bigcup B \subseteq O$  and if O is s-open, then  $cl(A \bigcup B) = cl(A) \bigcup cl(B) \subseteq O$ . Thus  $A \bigcup B$ is an s\*-closed set.

#### (3.5)Theorem:

If A is an s\*-closed set of  $(X, \tau)$  and A  $\subseteq$  B  $\subseteq$  cl(A), then B is also an s\*-closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ .

#### **Proof:**

Let O be an s-open set  $of(X, \tau)$  such that  $B \subseteq O$ . Then  $A \subseteq O$ . Since A is

$$\begin{split} s^*\text{-closed}, \quad & \text{then} \quad cl(A) \subseteq O \,. \quad & \text{Now}, \\ cl(B) \subseteq cl(cl(A)) = \ cl(A) \subseteq O \,. \ & \text{Therefore } B \text{ is} \\ also an \ s^*\text{-closed set of } (X,\tau) \,. \end{split}$$

## (3.6)Theorem:

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is s<sup>\*</sup>open iff  $F \subseteq int(A)$  whenever F is an s-closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $F \subseteq A$ .

# **Proof:**

Suppose that A is s\*-open and  $F \subseteq A$ , where F is s-cloced, then  $X - A \subseteq X - F$ .

Since X - F is s-open and X - Ais s\*-closed ,then  $cl(X - A) \subseteq X - F$ . Hence  $X - int(A) \subseteq X - F$ . Therefore  $F \subseteq int(A)$ .

**Conversely,** suppose that  $F \subseteq int(A)$  whenever F is s-closed and  $F \subseteq A$ . To prove that A is s\*-open.

Let  $X - A \subseteq U$ , where U is s-open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X - U \subseteq A$ . Since X - U is s-closed, then  $X - U \subseteq int(A)$ , hence  $X - int(A) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $cl(X - A) \subseteq U$ . Thus X - A is an s\*-closed set .i.e. A is an s\*open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

# (3.7)Theorem:

If A and B are s\*-open sets, then  $A \cap B$  is also an s\*-open set.

## **Proof**

The proof follows immediately from (3.4) by showing that  $X - (A \cap B)$  is s\*-closed.

## (3.8)Theorem:

If A and B are separated s\*-open sets ,then  $A \bigcup B$  is s\*-open.

## **Proof:**

Let F be an s-closed subset of  $A \bigcup B$ . Then  $F \cap cl(A) \subseteq A$ . By (1)  $F \cap cl(A)$  is s-closed and hence by (3.6)  $F \cap cl(A) \subseteq int(A)$ . Similarly  $,F \cap cl(B) \subseteq int(B)$ .

## Now,

 $F = F \cap (A \bigcup B) \subseteq$ (F \cap cl(A)) \U(F \cap cl(B)) \u2266

 $int(A) \bigcup int(B) \subseteq int(A \bigcup B).$ 

Hence  $F \subseteq int(A \cup B)$  and by (3.6)  $A \cup B$  is s\*-open.

## (3.9)Corollary:

let A and B be two s\*-closed sets and suppose that X - A and X - B are separated .Then  $A \cap B$  is s\*-closed.

## **Proof:**

The proof follows immediately from (3.8) by showing that  $X - (A \cap B)$  is s\*-open.

#### (3.10)Theorem:

If A is an s\*-open set  $of(X, \tau)$  and  $int(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ , then B is also an s\*-open set  $of(X, \tau)$ .

## **Proof:**

Since  $X-A \subseteq X-B \subseteq X-int(A) = cl(X-A)$ and X-A is s\*-closed ,then by (3.5) X-B is s\*-closed. Thus B is s\*-open.

## (3.11)Theorem:

A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is s\*closed iff cl(A) - A is s\*-open.

## Proof:

Necessity, suppose that A is s\*-closed and that  $F \subseteq cl(A) - A$ , F being s-closed .Then by (3.3)  $F = \phi$  and hence  $F \subseteq int(cl(A) - A)$ . Therefore by (3.6) cl(A) - A is s\*-open. Sufficiency, suppose that cl(A) - A is s\*-open and  $A \subseteq O$ , where O is an s-open set . Now,  $cl(A) \cap (X - O) \subseteq cl(A) \cap (X - A) = cl(A) - A$  and since  $cl(A) \cap (X - O)$  is s-closed and cl(A) - A is s\*-open, it follows that  $cl(A) \cap (X - O) \subseteq int(cl(A) - A) = \phi$ . Therefore  $cl(A) \cap (X - O) = \phi$  or  $cl(A) \subseteq O$ . Thus A is s\*-closed.

# (3.12)Theorem:

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $(Y, \tau')$  be a closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ . If A is s\*-open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then A  $\bigcap Y$  is s\*-open in  $(Y, \tau')$ .

## **Proof:**

Let F be an s-closed subset of Y such that  $F \subseteq A \cap Y$ , then  $F \subseteq A$ . Since F is s-closed in Y and Y is s-closed in X, then by (4)F is sclosed in X, hence by (3.6)  $F \subseteq int(A)$ . Since  $F = F \cap Y \subseteq int(A) \cap Y \subseteq int_v(A \cap Y)$  then  $F \subseteq int_y(A \cap Y)$ . Thus  $A \cap Y$  is an s\*-open set in Y.

## § 4. S\*-Separation axioms

As an application of s\*-open sets, we introduce five new spaces namely, s\*-  $T_0$ -spaces , s\* -  $T_1$ -spaces , s\*-  $T_2$ -spaces , s\* -  $T_3$ -spaces and s\*-  $T_3\frac{1}{2}$ -spaces.

## (4.1)Definition:

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **an**  $s^*-T_0$ **space** if for any two distinct points x and y of X there is an  $s^*$ -open set of X containing one of them ,but not the other .

Since every open set is an s\*-open set,then we have the following theorem:-

#### (4.2)Theorem:

Every  $T_0$ -space is an s\*-  $T_0$ -space.

#### **Proof:**

It is obvious .

#### (4.3)Remark:

The converse of (4.2) may not be true in general.Consider the following example:-

## Example:

Let X={a,b,c} &  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a,b\}\}$ . Since S\* O(X,  $\tau$ ) ={ $\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ .

Then  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-  $T_0$ -space ,but not a  $T_0$ -space.

Since every s\*-open set is a g-open set, then we have the following theorem:-

#### (4.4)Theorem:

Every s\*- $T_0$ -space is a g- $T_0$ -space.

#### **Proof:**

It is obvious .

#### (4.5)Remark:

The converse of (4.4) may not be true in general.Consider the following example:-

#### Example:

Let X={a,b,c} &  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}.$ Since GO(X,  $\tau$ ) ={ $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}{a,b}, {a,c}} and S\*O(X,  $\tau$ ) ={ $\phi$ ,X,{a}} .Then (X,  $\tau$ ) is a g-T<sub>0</sub>-space,but not s\*-T<sub>0</sub>.

#### (4.6)Theorem:

 $s^{*-}T_0$  property is a closed-hereditary property

## **Proof:**

Let  $(Y, \tau')$  be a closed subspace of an  $s^*-T_0$ space  $(X, \tau)$ . To verify that  $(Y, \tau')$  is an  $s^*-T_0$ space .Let x and y be two distinct points of Y, then x and y be two distinct points of X. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*-T_0$ -space, then there exists an  $s^*$ -open set U in  $(X, \tau)$  containing x or y, say x but not y.Now, by  $(3.12) \cup \bigcap Y$  is an  $s^*$ -open set in  $(Y, \tau')$  containing x, but not y. Hence  $(Y, \tau')$  is an  $s^*-T_0$ -space.

#### (4.7)Definition:

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **an**  $s^*-T_1$ -**space** if for any two distinct points x and y of X there is an s\*-open set of x which dose not contain y and an s\*-open set of y which dose not contain x

#### (4.8)Theorem:

Every  $T_1$ -space is an s\*- $T_1$ -space.

#### Proof:

It is obvious .

#### (4.9)Remark:

The converse of (4.8) may not be true in general .Consider the following example:-

#### Example:

Let X={a,b} &  $\tau = \{\phi, X\}$ . Since S\*O(X,  $\tau$ ) ={ $\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}$ }. Then (X,  $\tau$ ) is an s\*-T<sub>1</sub>-space,but not a T<sub>1</sub>-space.

#### (4.10)Theorem:

Every  $s^*-T_1$ -space is a g- $T_1$ -space.

#### **Proof:**

It is obvious .

#### (4.11)Remark:

The converse of (4.10) may not be true in general .We observe that the space of (4.5) is a g- $T_1$ -space ,but not an s\*- $T_1$ -space.

## (4.12)Theorem:

Every  $s^*-T_1$ -space is an  $s^*-T_0$ -space.

# **Proof:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $s^*-T_1$ -space. To prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*-T_0$ -space. Let x and y be any two distinct points of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$ is an  $s^*-T_1$ -space, then there exists two  $s^*$ -open sets U and V in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$ and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*-T_0$ space.

# (4.13)Remark:

The converse of (4.12) may not be true in general .We observe that the space of (4.3) is an  $s^*-T_0$ -space,but not an  $s^*-T_1$ -space.

## (4.14)Theorem:

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>1</sub>-space if every singleten is s\*-closed.

## Proof:

Suppose that every singleten is s\*-closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . To verify that  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>1</sub>-space. Let x and y be any two distinct points of  $(X, \tau)$ . Put U = X - {x} and V = X - {y}. Since {x} and {y} are s\*-closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , then U and V are s\*-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $y \in U, x \notin U$  and  $x \in V, y \notin V$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>1</sub>-space.

## (4.15)Remarks:

i)By (4.9),we observe that the points of an s\*- $T_1$ -space need not be closed.

ii)Not every finite  $s^*-T_1$ -space is discrete. The space in example (4.9) is finite and  $s^*-T_1$ -space ,but not discrete.

iii)  $T_0$ -space and  $s^*\text{-}\,T_1\text{-}space$  are independent. The space of example (4.9) is an  $s^*\text{-}\,T_1\text{-}space$ , but not a  $T_0\text{-}space$ .The following example show that  $T_0\text{-}space$  may not be an  $s^*\text{-}\,T_1\text{-}space$  in general.

## Example:

Let X={a,b,c} &  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}\}$ . Since S\*O(X,  $\tau$ ) = { $\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}\}$ . Then (X,  $\tau$ ) is a T<sub>0</sub>-space ,but not an s\*-T<sub>1</sub>-space.

## (4.16)Theorem:

 $s^{*}-T_{1}$  property is a closed-hereditary property

# **Proof:**

Let  $(Y,\tau')$  be a closed subspace of an  $s^*\text{-}T_1\text{-}$  space  $(X,\tau)$ . To verify that  $(Y,\tau')$  is an  $s^*\text{-}T_1\text{-}$  space.Let x and y be two distinct points of Y, then x and y be two distinct points of X. Since  $(X,\tau)$  is an  $s^*\text{-}T_1\text{-}space$ ,then there exists two  $s^*\text{-}open$  sets U and V in  $(X,\tau)$  such that  $x\in U, y\notin U$  and  $y\in V, \; x\notin V$ .

Now ,by  $(3.12) \cup \bigcap Y$  and  $\bigvee \bigcap Y$  are s\*-open sets in  $(Y, \tau')$  such that  $x \in \bigcup \bigcap Y, y \notin \bigcup \bigcap Y$ and  $y \in \bigvee \bigcap Y, x \notin \bigvee \bigcap Y$ . Thus  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-T<sub>1</sub>-space.

## (4.17) Definition:

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **an**  $s^*-T_2$ **space** if for any two distinct points x and y of X there are two s\*-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ .

#### (4.18)Theorem:

Every  $T_2$ -space is an s\*- $T_2$ -space.

## Proof:

It is obvious .

## (4.19)Remark:

The converse of (4.18) may not be true in general.

#### Example:

Let X={a,b,c} &  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$ . Since S\*O(X,  $\tau$ ) = { $\phi$ , X, {a}, {b}, {c}, {a,b}, {a,c}, {b,c}}.

Then  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>2</sub>-space ,but not a T<sub>2</sub>-space.

## (4.20)Theorem:

Every s\*- $T_2$ -space is a g- $T_2$ -space.

## **Proof:**

It is obvious .

## (4.21)Remark:

The converse of (4.20) may not be true in general.We observe that the space of (4.5) is a g- $T_2$ -space, but not an s\*- $T_2$ -space.

# (4.22)Theorem:

Every s\*- $T_2$ -space is an s\*- $T_1$ -space.

## Proof:

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $s^*$ - $T_2$ -space . To prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*$ - $T_1$ -space. Let x and y be two distinct points of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*$ - $T_2$ -space , then there exists two  $s^*$ -open sets U and V in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Since  $y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*$ - $T_1$ -space.

## (4.23)Remark:

The converse of (4.22) may not be true in general. Consider the following example:-

## Example:

Let X be any infinite set and let  $\tau = \{ U \subseteq X : U^c \text{ is } \text{ finite } \} \cup \{\phi\} . \text{Then } (X, \tau)$  is an s\*- T<sub>1</sub>-space ,but not an s\*- T<sub>2</sub>-space, Since in  $(X, \tau)$  any two non-empty open sets and hence any two non-empty s\*-open sets intersect.

## (4.24) Remarks:

i)  $T_0$ -space and s\*- $T_2$ -space are independent. The space of example (4.15)(iii) is a  $T_0$ -space, but not an s\*- $T_2$ -space. While the space of example (4.9) is an s\*- $T_2$ -space,but not a  $T_0$ -space.

ii)  $T_1$ -space and s\*-  $T_2$ -space are independent. The space of example (4.23) is a  $T_1$ -space ,but not an s\*-  $T_2$ -space. While the space of example (4.9) is an s\*-  $T_2$ -space ,but not a  $T_1$ -space.

## (4.25)Theorem:

s\*- $T_2$  property is a closed-hereditary property. **Proof:** 

Let  $(Y, \tau')$  be a closed subspace of an  $s^* \cdot T_2$ space  $(X, \tau)$ . To verify that  $(Y, \tau')$  is an  $s^* \cdot T_2$ space.Let x and y be two distinct points of Y, then x and y be two distinct points of X. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^* \cdot T_2$ -space, then there exists two  $s^*$ -open sets U and V of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Now by (3.12)  $U \cap Y$  and  $V \cap Y$  are  $s^*$ -open sets in  $(Y, \tau')$  such that  $x \in U \cap Y$ ,  $y \in V \cap Y$  and  $(U \cap Y) \cap (V \cap Y) = (U \cap V) \cap Y = \phi \cap Y = \phi$ Thus  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-T<sub>2</sub>-space.

## (4.26) Theorem:

s\*- $T_i$  property is a topological property (i=0,1,2).

## **Proof:**

Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a homeomorphism and  $(X, \tau)$  be an s\*-T<sub>2</sub>-space. To prove that  $(Y,\sigma)$  is an  $s^*\text{-}T_2\text{-}space$  .Let  $y_1,y_2\in Y$ such that  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . Since f is onto, then  $\exists x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that  $f(x_1) = y_1,$  $f(x_2) = y_2 \& x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an s<sup>\*</sup>- $T_2$ -space, then there exists two s\*-open sets U and V of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x_1 \in U$ ,  $x_2 \in V \text{ and } U \cap V = \phi$ . Since f is a homeomorphism ,then f(U) and f(V) are two s\*-open sets in Y such that  $y_1 = f(x_1) \in f(U)$ and  $y_2 = f(x_2) \in f(V)$ . Since f is one-to-one, then  $f(U) \cap f(V) = f(U \cap V) = f(\phi) = \phi$ .

Thus  $(Y, \sigma)$  is an s\*- T<sub>2</sub>-space.

By the same way we can prove the theorem when i=0,1.

## (4.27) Definition:

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **an** s\*regular space if for any closed subset F of X and any point x of X which is not in F, there are two s\*-open sets U and V such that  $x \in U, F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ .

## (4.28)Definition:

An s\*-regular  $T_1$ -space is called **an s\*-** $T_3$ -**space.** 

## (4.29)Theorem:

Every  $T_3$ -space is an s\*-  $T_3$ -space.

## Proof:

It is obvious .

## (4.30)Theorem:

Every s\*- $T_3$ -space is an s\*- $T_2$ -space.

## **Proof:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $s^*-T_3$ -space .To prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*-T_2$ -space. Let x and y be two distinct points of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$ -space, then by  $(5)\{y\}$  is closed in X and  $x \notin \{y\}$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s^*$ -regular ,then there exists two  $s^*$ -open sets U and V of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, \{y\} \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Hence  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*-T_2$ space.

#### (4.31)Remark:

The converse of (4.30) may not be true in general.We observe that the space of (4.9) is an  $s^*-T_2$ -space,but not an  $s^*-T_3$ -space.

## (4.32)Theorem:

Every s\*- $T_3$ -space is a g- $T_2$ -space

#### **Proof:**

It is obvious .

#### (4.33)Remark:

The converse of (4.32) may not be true in general.We observe that the space of (4.5) is a g- $T_2$ -space,but not an s\*- $T_3$ -space.

#### (4.34)Theorem:

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is s\*-regular if for any  $x \in X$  and any open set U of x, there is an s\*-open set V of x such that  $x \in V \subseteq cl(V) \subseteq U$ .

## Proof:

Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is s\*-regular, U is open in X such that  $x \in U$ . Since U is open, then X - U is closed in X and  $x \notin X - U$ , since  $(X, \tau)$  is s\*-regular, then there exists two s\*-open sets V and W of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in V, X - U \subseteq W$  and  $V \cap W = \phi$ . Hence  $X - W \subseteq U$ , Since X - W is s\*-closed and U is s-open, then  $cl(X - W) \subseteq U$ .

Since  $V \cap W = \phi$ , then  $V \subseteq X - W$ , hence  $cl(V) \subseteq cl(X - W)$ . Therefore  $x \in V \subseteq cl(V) \subseteq cl(X - W) \subseteq U$  Thus  $x \in V \subseteq cl(V) \subseteq U$ .

**Conversely,** To prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is s\*-regular. Let  $x \in X$  and F be a closed subset of X such that  $x \notin F$ . Then  $x \in X - F$ , hence by hypothesis there is an s\*-open set V of x such that  $x \in V \subseteq cl(V) \subseteq X-F$ , Since  $cl(V) \subseteq X-F$ then  $F \subseteq X - cl(V)$  and X - cl(V) is an s\*-open set of X.Therefore  $x \in V$ ,  $F \subseteq X - cl(V)$  and  $V \cap (X - cl(V)) = \phi$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-regular space.

#### (4.35)Remarks:

i)  $T_0$ -space and s\*-regular space are independent (see (4.15) (iii) and (4.9)).

ii)  $T_1$ -space and s\*-regular space are independent (see (4.23) and (4.9)).

iii)  $T_2$ -space and s\*-regular space are independent. The space of example (4.9) is an s\*-regular space, but not a  $T_2$ -space.

The following example show that  $T_2$ -space may not be an s\*-regular in general.

#### Example:

Let  $\Re$  be the set of all real numbers and k

={ $\frac{1}{n}$ : n is a positive integer }.

Let  $\tau$  be the topology on  $\mathfrak R$  whose base consists of:

(a) Every open interval (a,b) such that  $0 \notin (a,b)$ .

(b) Every set (a,b)-k ,where (a,b) open interval containing 0.

Then  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tau)$  is a  $T_2$ -space, but not s\*-regular.

## (4.36)Theorem:

s\*- $T_3$  property is a closed-hereditary property.

#### Proof:

Let  $(Y, \tau')$  be a closed subspace of an s\*-T<sub>3</sub> space  $(X, \tau)$ . To verify that  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-T<sub>3</sub> space. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>3</sub> -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-regular T<sub>1</sub>-space. Hence by  $(5)(Y, \tau')$  is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. To prove that  $(Y, \tau')$  is s\*-regular.

Let F be a closed subset of Y and  $y \in Y$  such that  $y \notin F$ , then  $F = F_1 \cap Y$ , where  $F_1$  is closed in X and  $y \notin F_1$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is s<sup>\*</sup>regular, then there exists two s<sup>\*</sup>-open sets U and V of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $y \in U$ ,  $F_1 \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Now, by (3.12)  $\bigcup \bigcap Y$  and  $\bigvee \bigcap Y$  are s\*-open sets in  $(Y, \tau')$  such that  $y \in \bigcup \bigcap Y$ ,  $F = F_1 \bigcap Y \subseteq \bigvee \bigcap Y$  and  $(\bigcup \bigcap Y) \cap (\bigvee \bigcap Y) =$  $(\bigcup \bigcap V) \bigcap Y = \phi \bigcap Y = \phi$ . Therefore  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-regular space. Thus  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-T<sub>3</sub>space.

#### (4.37)Definition:

Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two topological spaces. A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is called **s\*-continuous** if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an s\*-open set of  $(X, \tau)$  for each open subset V of Y.

#### (4.38)Theorem:

Every continuous function is an s\*-continuous.

#### Proof:

It is obvious .

#### (4.39)Remark:

The converse of (4.38) may not be true in general .Consider the following example:-

#### Example:

Let  $X = Y = \{a,b,c\}$  ,  $\tau = \{\varphi,X,\{a,b\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\varphi,Y,\{a\},\{a,c\}\}.$ 

Define  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by : f(a)=a, f(b)=cand f(c)=b .f is not continuous, since  $\{a\}$  is an open set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ , but  $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a\}$  is not open in  $(X, \tau)$ . However f is s\*-continuous, because,  $S^*O(X, \tau) = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ and  $f^{-1}(\phi) = \phi$ ,  $f^{-1}(Y) = X$ ,  $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ ,  $f^{-1}(\{a,c\}) = \{a,b\}$ which are s\*-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

(4.40)Definition:

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called **an** s\*completely regular space if for any closed subset F of X and any point x of X which is not in F , there is an s\*-continuous function  $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow ([0,1], \mu')$  such that f(x) = 0 and f(F) = 1 (where [0,1] is a subspace of  $\Re$  with relative usual topology  $\mu'$ ).

#### (4.41)Definition:

An s\*-completely regular  $T_1$ -space is called an s\*- $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

#### (4.42)Theorem:

Every s\*- $T_{3\frac{1}{3}}$ -space is an s\*- $T_3$ -space.

#### **Proof:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an s\*- $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.To prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>3</sub>-space. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>31/</sub>-space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-completely regular  $T_1$ -space. It is enough to prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-regular space. Let F be a closed subset of X and  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin F$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$ is s\*-completely regular, then there is an s\*-continuous function  $f:(X,\tau) \rightarrow ([0,1],\mu')$  such that f(x) = 0 and f(F) = 1. Since  $[0,1] \subseteq \Re$  and  $\Re$  with usual topology is a  $T_2$ -space then so is ([0,1],  $\mu'$ ). Since  $0,1 \in [0,1]$  and  $0 \neq 1$ , then there are two open sets U and V in  $([0,1],\mu')$  such that  $0 \in U, 1 \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Since f is s\*function , then  $f^{-1}(U)$  and continuous  $f^{-1}(V)$  are s\*-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .  $:: 0 \in U \Rightarrow f^{-1}(0) \in f^{-1}(U) \Rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(U).$  $\therefore 1 \in V \Longrightarrow f^{-1}(1) \in f^{-1}(V) \Longrightarrow F \subset f^{-1}(V).$ Since  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(U \cap V) = f^{-1}(\phi) = \phi$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-T<sub>3</sub>-space.

#### (4.43)Theorem:

S\*- $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$  property is a closed-hereditary property.

#### Proof:

Let  $(Y, \tau')$  be a closed subspace of an  $s^*-T_{3\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}$ space  $(X, \tau)$ . To verify that  $(Y, \tau')$  is an  $s^*-T_{3\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}$ -space. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*-T_{3\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}$ -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is an s\*-completely regular  $T_1$ -space.

Hence by  $(5)(Y, \tau')$  is a  $T_1$ -space. To prove that  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-completely regular Let F be a closed subset of Y and  $y \in Y$  such that  $y \notin F$ , then  $F = F_1 \cap Y$ , where  $F_1$  is closed in X and  $y \notin F_1$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is s\*-completely regular, then there is an s\*-continuous function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow ([0,1], \mu')$  such that f(y) = 0 and  $f(F_1) = 1$ . Let  $g = f/Y: (Y, \tau') \rightarrow ([0,1], \mu')$  be a function defined by :-  $g(x) = f(x), \forall x \in Y$ .

Since f is s\*-continuous and Y be a closed subspace of X, then g is also an s\*-continuous .Since g(y) = f(y)=0 and g(y) = f(y)=1,  $\forall y \in F$ , then g(y)=0 and g(F)=1. Hence  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-completely regular. Thus  $(Y, \tau')$  is an s\*-T<sub>31/2</sub>-space.

## (4.44)Theorem:

i) s\* - T<sub>3</sub> property is a topological property. ii) s\* - T<sub>3</sub> property is a topological property.

## **Proof:**

ii) Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a homeomorphism and  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $s^* T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. To prove that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is an  $s^* T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s^* T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*$ -completely regular  $T_1$ -space. Hence by  $(5)(Y, \sigma)$  is a  $T_1$ space .To prove that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is an  $s^*$ -completely regular . Let F be a closed subset of Y and  $y \in Y$  such that  $y \notin F$ . Since f is onto , then there is  $x \in X$  such that f(x) = y. Since f is

continuous, then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is closed in Х and  $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{F}_1$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is s\*completely regular, then there is an s\*continuous function  $h: (X, \tau) \rightarrow ([0,1], \mu')$  such that h(x) = 0and  $h(F_1) = 1$ Since  $f^{-1}: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is homeomorphism and  $h: (X, \tau) \rightarrow ([0,1],\mu')$  is s\*-continuous ,then  $h \circ f^{-1}: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow ([0,1], \mu')$  is s\*-continuous.  $h \circ f^{-1}(y) = h(f^{-1}(y)) = h(x) = 0$  and Since  $h \circ f^{-1}(F) = h(f^{-1}(F)) = h(F_1) = 1$  Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$ is an s\*-completely regular space .Thus  $(Y, \sigma)$  is an s\*- $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. By the same way we can prove that (i).

#### References

- 1. Levine, N. **1963**. Semi-open sets and semi-Continuity in topological spaces. *Amer. Math.Monthly*, 70:36-41.
- Levine ,N .1970. Generalized closed Set in topology .*Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo*,19(2). :89-96.
- **3.** Al-Meklafi, S. **2002**. On new types of separation axioms. M. SC. Thesis .Department of Mathematics, college of Education, AL-Mustansiriya University, pp.34-58.
- 4. Maheshwari , S. N. and Prasad, R .1975. Some new separation axioms. *annales .soc. Scien Bruxelles*, 89:395-402.
- 5. Willard, S. 1970. *General Topology*. Addisonwesley Inc., Mass, pp. 86.