



ISSN: 0067-2904

## Supra Topological Spaces Via Delta-Semi-Open Sets

Firas J. Obaid\*, Afraa R. Sadek

Department of Mathematics, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 28/7/2024

Accepted: 19/12/2024

Published: 30/1/2026

### Abstract

The significance of supra topological spaces as a subject of study cannot be overstated, as they represent a broader framework than traditional topological spaces. Numerous scholars have proposed extensions to supra open sets, including supra semi-open sets, supra delta-open sets and others. In this paper, the concept of supra delta-semi-open set was introduced within the generalizations of the supra topology of sets. Our investigation involves harnessing this category of sets to introduce new notions in these spaces, specifically supra delta-semi-limit points, supra delta-semi-derive points and examining their relationship with supra semi-open. Building upon this set classification, we introduce several additional concepts such as supra delta-semi-symmetric, supra ( $\delta$ ,  $\delta$ ) semi-generalized closed, supra delta-semi-continuous functions, supra semi-kernel-delta sets, supra delta-semi-separation axioms, supra temperate delta-semi  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_1$  spaces and supra delta-semi  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_1$  spaces, and we have presented several theories that demonstrate cases of equivalence among these ideas under specific conditions. Additionally, we have proven a collection of useful relationships and properties for the aforementioned ideas. Furthermore, the research was enhanced with illustrative and refuting examples.

**Keywords:** Supra topology,  $\delta$ -open,  $\delta$ -limit point, supra semi open,  $\delta$ -semi open.

## الفضاءات التبولوجية الفوقية عبر المجموعات دلتا شبه المفتوحة

فراس جواد عبيد\*, عفراة راضي صادق

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

### الخلاصة

لا يمكن المبالغة في أهمية مواضيع الفضاءات التبولوجية الفوقية باعتبارها اوسع من الفضاءات التبولوجية التقليدية. اقترح العديد من الباحثين تعريفات للمجموعات المفتوحة الفوق تبولوجية، بما في ذلك المجموعات الشبه مفتوحة الفوق تبولوجية والمجموعات المفتوحة الفوق تبولوجية دلتا وغيرها. في هذا البحث تم تقديم المجموعات الشبه مفتوحة الفوق تبولوجية دلتا ضمن تعريفات التبولوجية الفوقية للمجموعات. يتضمن بحثنا استغلال هذه الفئة من المجموعات لتقديم مفاهيم جديدة في هذه الفضاءات، لاسيما نقاط شبه الحد الفوق تبولوجية دلتا، ونقاط شبه الاشتقاق الفوق تبولوجية دلتا ودراسة علاقتها مع المجموعات شبه المفتوحة الفوق تبولوجية. بناءً على هذا التصنيف للمجموعات، نقدم مفاهيم اضافية مثل المجموعات الفوق تبولوجية دلتا شبه المتناظرة، المجموعات شبه المغلقة العامة (دلتا، دلتا) الفوق تبولوجية، الدوال شبه المستمرة الفوق

\*Email: [ferras.jawad1103a@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq](mailto:ferras.jawad1103a@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq)

تبولوجية دلنا، مجموعة شبه النواة الفوق تبولوجية دلنا، شبه المحاور الفوق تبولوجية دلنا رو، رو  
والفضاءات شبه فوق تبولوجية دلنا رو، رو، وقد قمنا عدة نظريات تظهر حالات التكافؤ بين هذه المفاهيم  
في ظل ظروف معينة. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، أثبتنا مجموعة من العلاقات والخصائص المفيدة لهذه المفاهيم  
المذكورة أعلاه. وعلاوة على ذلك، تم تعزيز البحث بمتلئه توضيحية ومتلئه مضادة.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

It is known that the subset of the power of a set  $C$  forms a topology if certain conditions are met. The most important of which is its closed with respect to the union and the intersection of finite subsets of it. It is also known that every element in that set is called an open set and its complement is a closed set and that  $(C, \tau_C)$  is called a topological space for instance [1], [2].

N. Levine [3] and numerous researchers have generalized concepts in topology like open sets and closed sets and the ideas related to them such as interior, closure and other well-established concepts in topology, for more information see [4], [5]. In 1968 Velicko [6] introduced the notions of regular open and then defined delta-open for short ( $\delta$ . open) and  $\delta$ . closed sets as follows: A subset  $B$  is termed  $\delta$ .open if for every  $c \in B$  there is a regular open set  $O$  such that  $c \in O \subseteq B$ . The complement of  $\delta$ .open is referred to  $\delta$ .closed [7], [8]. A point  $c \in C$  is referred to  $\delta$ .cluster point of  $B \subseteq C$  if  $B \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(O)) \neq \emptyset$  holds for every open set  $O$  of  $C$  that contains  $c$ . The collection of all  $\delta$ .cluster points of  $B$  is defined as the  $\delta$ .closure of  $B$  which denoted by  $\text{cl}_\delta(B)$  [9]. The union of each regular open subsets contains in  $B$  is termed  $\delta$ .interior of  $B$  which denoted by  $\text{int}_\delta(B)$  [7], further  $B$  is deemed  $\delta$ .closed if and only if  $B = \text{cl}_\delta(B)$  applies [10]. The complement of  $\delta$ .closed set is known as  $\delta$ .open set. Recall that,  $B$  is considered a semi-open set if  $B \subseteq \text{cl}_\delta(\text{int}(B))$ , and the complement of a semi-open set is denoted as semi-closed set [8], [11]. Moreover, we denoted the semi-closure of  $B$  by  $\text{semi}cl(B)$ , for an information of this concept see [11], [12]. A space  $(C, \tau_C)$  is called semi-  $R_0$  if for every semi-open set  $O$  and  $c \in O$ ,  $\text{semi}cl(\{c\}) \subseteq O$  [13], [14] and it is called a semi-  $R_1$  if for every  $c_0, c_1 \in C$  such that  $\text{semi}cl(\{c_0\}) \neq \text{semi}cl(\{c_1\})$ , then there are disjoint semi-open sets  $O_0$  and  $O_1$  such that  $\text{semi}cl(\{c_0\}) \subseteq O_0$  and  $\text{semi}cl(\{c_1\}) \subseteq O_1$  [15].

In conjunction with the generalizations of open and closed sets mentioned above, the concept of topology itself was generalized by dispensing with the intersection condition as follows: A sub collection  $\tau_C^S$  of the power set of  $C$  is referred to supra topology on  $C$  if  $\emptyset, C \in \tau_C^S$  and it is closed under arbitrary union [9], [16]. The pair  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  labeled supra topological space or supra space for short, where any member belongs to  $\tau_C^S$  named supra open (briefly S.open), the complement of S.open is named supra closed (briefly S.closed). The intersection of all S.closed sets including  $B$  is labeled supra closure of  $B$  and symbolized by  $S.cl(B)$ , where  $B$  is any subset of  $C$ . The union of all S.open that contains in  $B$  is named supra interior of  $B$  and is denoted by  $S.int(B)$  [17], [18]. A point  $c$  in a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is referred to supra  $\delta$ cluster point and symbolize is by S.  $\delta$ cluster of  $B$  if  $O \cap S.int(S.cl(B)) \neq \emptyset$  for each S.open set  $O$  of  $C$  containing  $c$  [19]. The set of S.  $\delta$ cluster points of  $B$  is called supra  $\delta$ closure of  $B$  and is symbolized by  $S.cl_\delta(B)$ . A subset  $B$  of supra space  $C$  is referred to supra  $\delta$ closed whenever  $B = S.cl_\delta(B)$ , while the complement of supra  $\delta$ closed set is referred to supra  $\delta$ open [19]. The notations of  $\delta$ -limit points [20], semi-cluster points, semi-derive points and semi-limit points [21], [22], semi-separation axioms [23], [24], semi-continuous functions [25], semi-symmetric [5] in supra topological spaces are defined in the same manner as the previous notations in topology, with the substitution of semi-open by supra semi open, for instance see [25].

In this paper we defined supra  $\delta$ semi open which is stronger than supra semi open. The concepts related to  $S.\delta$ semi open are introduced, like supra  $\delta$ semi closure, supra  $\delta$ semi interior, supra  $\delta$ semi limit points, supra  $\delta$ semi neighborhood, supra  $\delta$ semi kernel, supra  $\delta$ semi continuous functions, supra temperate  $\delta$ semi  $\rho_0, \rho_1$  and supra  $\delta$ semi  $\rho_0, \rho_1$ . Many results were proved as well as we investigated the relationship involving supra semi open and supra  $\delta$ semi open. Also, the connection among the concepts mentioned above have been highlighted through numerous theories and properties, supported by examples that illustrate the differences between these concepts and the concept of supra  $\delta$ semi sets.

## 2. Supra $\delta$ .semi. derived Sets

In this section we will acquaint supra topological space via  $\delta$ semi open, examples and verified some important results and properties associated with previous concepts, and we will be beginning with the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1:** A subset  $B$  of supra space  $C$  is referred to supra-delta semi open (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. open) set if there is an  $S.\delta$ open set  $O$  of  $C$  such that  $O \subseteq B \subseteq S.cl(O)$ . The complement of  $S.\delta$ semi. open set is referred to supra-delta semi closed (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. closed) set.

**Definition 2.2:** A point  $c$  in a subset  $B$  of a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is referred to supra-  $\delta$ semi limit (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. limit) point of  $B$  if every  $S.\delta$ semi. open subset  $O$  of  $C$  containing  $c$  satisfies the condition  $O \cap (B - \{c\}) \neq \phi$ . The collection of all  $S.\delta$ semi. limit points of  $B$  is said to be supra- $\delta$ semi derived (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. derived) set of  $B$  and is symbolized by  $S._{semi}D_\delta(B)$ .

**Example 2.3:** Let  $C = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $\tau_C^S = \{\phi, C, \{a\}, \{d, c\}, \{b, e, a\}, \{b, e, d, c\}, \{c, d, a\}\}$ . Then, the family of all  $S.\delta$ open sets is  $\{\phi, C, \{b, e, d, c\}, \{b, e, a\}, \{c, d, a\}, \{d, c\}, \{a\}\}$ . The family of  $S.\delta$ semi. open set is  $\{\phi, C, \{b, e, d, c\}, \{b, e, a\}, \{c, d, a\}, \{c, b, d, a\}, \{c, e, d, a\}, \{d, c\}, \{a\}\}$ . It is clear that  $\{c, b, d, a\}$  is  $S.\delta$ semi. open and  $\{b, a\}$  is not  $S.\delta$ semi. open set. On the other hand, the elements  $(e)$  and  $(a)$  are examples of  $S.\delta$ semi. limit point and not  $S.\delta$ semi. limit point respectively for the subset  $\{b, c\}$ .

**Definition 2.4:** Let  $B$  be a subset of  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , A point  $c$  in  $C$  is referred to supra- $\delta$ semi cluster (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. cluster) point of  $B$  whenever  $O \cap B \neq \phi$  for each  $S.\delta$ semi. open set  $O$  of  $C$  containing  $c$ . The set of each  $S.\delta$ semi. cluster points of  $B$  is called supra- $\delta$ semi closure (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. closure) symbolized by  $S._{semi}cl_\delta(B)$ . We symbolized the collection of  $S.\delta$ semi. open (resp.,  $S.\delta$ semi. closed) sets by  $S.\delta$ semi.  $O(C, \tau_C^S)$  (resp.,  $S.\delta$ semi.  $C(C, \tau_C^S)$ ).

**Definition 2.5:** A subset  $O$  of a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is referred to supra- $\delta$ semi neighborhood (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. neighborhood) of a point  $c$  if whenever  $O$  contains a  $S.\delta$ semi. open set to which  $c$  belongs.

**Example 2.6:** In Example 2.3, let  $B = \{b, c\}, H = \{d, c\}$  then  $S._{semi}cl_\delta(B) = \{b, e, c, d\}$ ,  $S._{semi}cl_\delta(H) = \{d, c\}$ . Let  $O = \{c, e, d\}$  is  $S.\delta$ semi. neighborhood of a point  $d$ , but  $O$  is not  $S.\delta$ semi. neighborhood of a point  $e$  since there is not  $S.\delta$ semi. open set that contains in  $O$  which  $e$  belongs.

Now, we proof the following result which is important in our work.

**Proposition 2.7:** The intersection of any collection of  $S.\delta$ semi. closed sets in  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S.\delta$ semi. closed.

**Proof:** Assume that  $\{F_i : i \in I\}$  is a family of  $S.\delta$ semi. closed sets, we want to prove that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i$  is  $S.\delta$ semi. closed. Since  $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i \subseteq F_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , so  $S.cl(\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i) \subseteq S.cl(F_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ , [22]. By Definition 2.1 we have  $S.\delta$ open sets  $B_i$  such that  $B_i \subseteq F_i^c \subseteq S.cl(B_i)$  for

each  $i \in I$  implies  $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i^c \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} S.cl(B_i)$ , [22]. Hence,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i^c \subseteq S.cl(\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i)$ , [22]. Since  $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$  is an S.δopen, hence  $\bigcup_{i \in I} F_i^c$  is an S.δsemi. open, and hence  $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i = (\bigcup_{i \in I} F_i)^c$  is an S.δsemi. closed set, which complete the proof.

The following case highlights some properties of the derive and closure operator.

**Proposition 2.8:** Let  $B$  be a subset of a supra topological space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , then  $S.sem D_\delta(B) \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $c \notin S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ , so there is an S.δsemi. open subset say  $O$  containing  $c$  with  $O \cap B = \emptyset$  implies  $c \notin S.sem D_\delta(B)$ , hence  $S.sem D_\delta(B) \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ .

**Proposition 2.9:** For a subset  $B$  of a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  the following properties are hold:

1.  $S.sem D_\delta(B) \cup B \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ ;

2.  $B \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ .

**Proof:**

1. Let  $c \in S.sem D_\delta(B) \cup B$ , so either  $c \in B$  or  $c \in S.sem D_\delta(B)$ . Now, if  $c \in B$  and since  $B \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ , thus  $c \in S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ . If  $c \in S.sem D_\delta(B) \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$  (Proposition 2.8), hence  $c \in S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ , and hence  $S.sem D_\delta(B) \cup B \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ .

Conversely: Let  $d \notin S.sem D_\delta(B) \cup B$ , then  $d \notin S.sem D_\delta(B)$  and  $d \notin B$  which means there exists an S.δsemi. open set  $O$  containing  $d$  with  $O \cap B = \emptyset$  implies  $d \notin S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ , hence  $S.sem cl_\delta(B) \subseteq S.sem D_\delta(B) \cup B$ , which complete the proof.

2. Follows from Definition 2.4.

**Corollary 2.10:** Let  $B$  be a subset of a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , then  $S.sem cl_\delta(B) = \bigcap\{K : K \in S.\deltasemi.C(C, \tau_C^S), B \subseteq K\}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $c \in C$ , then either  $c \in B$  or,  $c \notin B$ . If  $c \in B$  and  $c \in S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ , then  $c \in \bigcap\{K : B \subseteq K, C \in S.\deltasemi.C(C, \tau_C^S)\}$ . If  $c \notin B$  and  $c \in S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ , then  $c \in S.sem D_\delta(B)$  (Proposition 2.9 part 1), hence  $O \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for every S.δsemi. open subset  $O$  of  $C$  containing  $c$ . Now, it is clear that  $K^c \cap B = \emptyset$  for each  $K$  in  $\bigcap\{K : K \in S.\deltasemi.C(C, \tau_C^S), B \subseteq K\}$ . If  $c \notin K$  for some  $K$  then  $c \in K^c$ , hence  $K^c \cap B \neq \emptyset$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $c \in K$  for all  $K$  containing  $B$  which leads to  $c \in \bigcap\{K : K \in S.\deltasemi.C(C, \tau_C^S), B \subseteq K\}$ .

Conversely: Suppose  $c \notin S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ , so there is an S.δsemi. open  $O$  containing  $b$  such that  $O \cap B = \emptyset$  implies  $B \subseteq O^c$  and since  $O^c$  is an S.δsemi. closed with  $b \notin O^c$ , hence  $b \notin \bigcap\{K : K \in S.\deltasemi.C(C, \tau_C^S), B \subseteq K\}$  implies  $\bigcap\{K : B \subseteq K, C \in S.\deltasemi.C(C, \tau_C^S)\} \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ .

**Corollary 2.11:** Let  $B$  be a subset of a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , then  $S.sem cl_\delta(B)$  is S.δsemi. closed, that is  $S.sem cl_\delta(S.sem cl_\delta(B)) = S.sem cl_\delta(B)$ .

**Proof:** Follows from Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 2.10.

**Theorem 2.12:** Let  $A_i, i \in I$  be a subsets of a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , then the following statements are holds.

1. If  $A_i \subseteq A_j, i, j \in I$ , then  $S.sem cl_\delta(A_i) \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(A_j)$ ;
2.  $S.sem cl_\delta(\bigcap\{A_i, i \in I\}) \subseteq \bigcap\{S.sem cl_\delta(A_i), i \in I\}$ ;
3.  $\bigcup\{S.sem cl_\delta(A_i), i \in I\} \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(\bigcup\{A_i, i \in I\})$ ;
4.  $A_i$  is S.δsemi. closed if and only if  $A_i = S.sem cl_\delta(A_i)$ .

**Proof:**

1. Let  $a \notin S.sem cl_\delta(A_i)$ , so there is an S.δsemi. closed set  $O$  such that  $a \notin O$  with  $A_i \subseteq O$ . Since,  $A_i \subseteq A_j$  implies  $A_i \subseteq O$ , hence  $a \notin S.sem cl_\delta(A_j)$ .

2. Let  $a \notin \bigcap\{S.sem cl_\delta(A_i), i \in I\}$ , then there is  $i \in I$  such that  $a \notin S.sem cl_\delta(A_i)$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \subseteq A_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , by (1)  $a \notin S.sem cl_\delta(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$ , hence we are done.

3. Since  $A_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , by (1)  $S.sem cl_\delta(A_i) \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(\bigcup\{A_i, i \in I\})$ , so  $\bigcup\{S.sem cl_\delta(A_i), i \in I\} \subseteq S.sem cl_\delta(\bigcup\{A_i, i \in I\})$ .

4. Follows from Corollaries 2.10 and 2.11.

**Remark 2.13:** The converse of Theorem 2.12 parts 2 and 3 are not necessarily holds in general. The following examples explain that.

**Examples 2.14:** Consider:

1.  $C = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_C^S = \{\phi, C, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . Then, the family of all S. $\delta$ open sets is the same family of  $\tau_C^S$  and S. $\delta$ semi.  $O(C, \tau_C^S) = \{\phi, C, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $B = \{a, c\}$ . We see that  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A \cap B) = \{a\}$  and  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A) \cap S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(B) = C \cap C = C$ . So  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A) \cap S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(B) \not\subseteq S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A \cap B)$  and  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A) = C \not\subseteq A$ , also  $A$  is not S. $\delta$ semi. closed with  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A) \neq A$ .

2.  $Z = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau_Z^S = \{\phi, Z, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . So, the family of all S. $\delta$ open sets is the same family of  $\tau_Z^S$  and S. $\delta$ semi.  $O(Z, \tau_Z^S) = \{\phi, Z, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{b\}$ . It is clear that  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A \cup B) = Z$  and  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A) \cup S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(B) = \{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\}$ . So,  $S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A \cup B) \not\subseteq S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(A) \cup S_{\text{semi}} cl_\delta(B)$ .

### 3. Supra $\delta$ . semi. separation axioms

In this section we will introduce three concepts of separation axioms, and the three other concepts which are supra- $\delta$ semi symmetric, supra-  $\delta$ ,  $\delta$ semi generalized closed and supra- $\delta$ continuous functions by using an S. $\delta$ semi. open set, where some characteristics related to these concepts have been investigated and supported by illustrative examples.

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra topological space, then it is called supra- $\delta$ semi  $T_0$  (briefly

S. $\delta$ semi $T_0$ ) if for any distinct pair of points in  $C$ , there is an S. $\delta$ semi. open set containing one of the points but not the other.

**Example 3.2:** Let  $Z = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_Z^S = \{\phi, Z, \{c\}, \{c, b\}, \{c, a\}, \{b, a\}\}$ . Then, the family of all

S. $\delta$  open sets is the same family of  $\tau_Z^S$  and S. $\delta$ semi.  $O(C, \tau_Z^S) = \{\phi, Z, \{c\}, \{c, b\}\}$ . Then,  $(Z, \tau_Z^S)$  is S. $\delta$ semi $T_0$ . But  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  in Example 2.3 is not an S. $\delta$ semi $T_0$  since the elements  $d$  and  $c$  are disjoint, but there is not an S. $\delta$ semi. open contains  $d$  but not  $c$  or conversely.

**Definition 3.3:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, then it is called a supra- $\delta$ semi  $T_1$  (briefly S. $\delta$ semi $T_1$ ) if for any distinct pair of points  $w, z$  in  $C$ , there is an S. $\delta$ semi. open set  $O$  in  $C$  containing  $w$  but not  $z$  and an S. $\delta$ semi. open set  $V$  in  $C$  containing  $z$  but not  $w$ .

**Definition 3.4:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, then it is called supra- $\delta$ semi  $T_2$  (briefly S. $\delta$ semi $T_2$ ) if for any distinct pair of points  $w, z$  in  $C$ , there are S. $\delta$ semi. open sets  $O$  and  $V$  in  $C$  containing  $w$  and  $z$  respectively with  $O \cap V = \phi$ .

**Example 3.5:** Let  $Y = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_Y^S = \{\phi, Y, \{c, d, f, e\}, \{c, b, f, e\}, \{c, a, f, e\}, \{c, f, e\}, \{a, b, e\}, \{b, e\}, \{a, e\}, \{e\}, \{a, b, f, e\}, \{b, f, e\}, \{a, f, e\}, \{f, e\}, \{d, b, f\}, \{a, d, f\}, \{a, b, f\}, \{d, f\}, \{b, f\}, \{a, f\}, \{f\}, \{a, b\}, \{b\}, \{a\}\}$ , then the family of S. $\delta$ semi $O(Y, \tau_Y^S)$  is  $\{\phi, Y, \{c, d, b, f, e\}, \{c, a, d, f, e\}, \{c, d, f, e\}, \{c, a, d, b, e\}, \{c, d, b, e\}, \{c, a, d, e\}, \{c, a, b, e\}, \{c, b, e, a, f\}, \{c, b, e\}, \{c, b, f, e\}, \{c, a, e\}, \{c, a, f, e\}, \{d, a, b\}, \{c, d, a, b\}, \{d, a, b, e\}, \{d, a, b, f\}, \{d, b\}, \{d, b, f\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, d, f\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . It is clear that  $(Y, \tau_Y^S)$  is an S. $\delta$ semi $T_1$  but not S. $\delta$ semi $T_2$  since the elements  $e$  and  $c$  are disjoint but there are not two S. $\delta$ semi. open sets  $O$  and  $V$  in  $Y$  containing  $e$  and  $c$ , respectively with  $O \cap V = \phi$ .

**Example 3.6:** Let  $C = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau_C^S = \{\phi, C, \{c, d\}, \{b, a, c, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, a\}, \{d\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, \{a\}\}$ , then the family of S. $\delta$ semi $O(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $\{\phi, C, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, a, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, a\}\}$ , one can show that  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an S. $\delta$ semi $T_2$  space.

**Remark 3.7:** If  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta semiT_i$ , then it is an  $S.\delta semiT_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Additively, the converse is not true in general.

**Example 3.8:** In Example 2.14,  $(Z, \tau_Z^S)$  is not an  $S.\delta T_i$  and is not an  $S.T_1$  space,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . But  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta semiT_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

The following two theorems give some properties satisfies in supra- $\delta$ semi  $T_0$ , supra- $\delta$ semi  $T_1$  spaces.

**Theorem 3.9:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, then  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta semiT_0$  if and only if for any pair of distinct points  $y, z$  in  $C$ ,  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{y\}) \neq S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be an  $S.\delta semiT_0$  space and let  $y, z$  be any two distinct points in  $C$ . So, there is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open set  $O$  containing  $y$  or  $z$ , say  $y$  but not  $z$ . So,  $O^c$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. closed set which does not contain  $y$  but contains  $z$ . Since,  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$  is the smallest  $S.\delta$ semi. closed set containing  $z$  (Corollary 2.10),  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\}) \subseteq O^c$ , hence  $y \notin S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , and therefore  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{y\}) \neq S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ .

Conversely: Assume that  $y, z \in C$ ,  $y \neq z$  and  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{y\}) \neq S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . Let  $w$  be a point of  $C$  such that  $w \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{y\})$  but  $w \notin S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . We claim that  $y \notin S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . If  $w \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$  implies  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{y\}) \subseteq S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , and this a contradiction with  $w \notin S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . Consequently  $y$  belongs to the  $S.\delta$ semi. open set  $(S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\}))^c$  to which  $z$  does not belong.

**Theorem 3.10:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta semiT_1$  if and only if the singletons are  $S.\delta$ semi. closed sets.

**Proof:** Assume that  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta semiT_1$  and let  $w$  be any point of  $C$ . Let  $z \in \{w\}^c$ , so  $w \neq z$  implies there is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open set  $O_z$  such that  $z \in O_z$  but  $z \notin O_z$ . Thus,  $z \in O_z \subseteq \{w\}^c$  that means  $\{w\}^c = \bigcup \{O_z : z \in \{w\}^c\}$  which is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open.

Conversely: From assumption we have  $\{w\}$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. closed for each  $w \in C$ . Now assume that  $y, z \in C$  with  $y \neq z$ , hence  $z \in \{y\}^c$ . Thus,  $\{y\}^c$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open set containing  $z$  but not  $y$ . Similarly,  $\{z\}^c$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open containing  $y$  but not  $z$  and we are done.

**Definition 3.11:** The  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is termed supra- $\delta$ semi symmetric (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. symmetric) if for  $w, z \in C$  with  $w \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$  implies  $z \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\})$ .

The following definition is crucial in our work to achieve certain conclusions regarding the relationship of the concept of supra- $\delta$ semi symmetric with the concepts of supra- $\delta$ semi  $T_0$ , supra- $\delta$ semi  $T_1$  spaces.

**Definition 3.12:** A subset  $B$  of  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is said to be supra- $\delta$ ,  $\delta$ semi generalized closed (briefly  $S.(\delta, \delta)semi.GC$ ) set if  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(B) \subseteq O$ , whenever  $B \subseteq O$  and  $O$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open.

**Remark 3.13:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space. Easley from Definitions 2.1, 2.4 and Theorem 2.12, show that each  $S.\delta$ semi. closed set is an  $S.(\delta, \delta)semi.GC$ .

**Theorem 3.14:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. symmetric if and only if  $\{w\}$  is  $S.(\delta, \delta)semi.GC$  set for each  $w \in C$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $z, w \in C$  such that  $w \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$  and  $z \notin S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\})$  implies  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\}) \subseteq (S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}))^c$  (Theorem 2.12 part 1). Now,  $(S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}))^c$  contains  $w$  which is a contradiction.

Conversely: Suppose  $\{w\} \subseteq O \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  and  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\})$  is not subset of  $O$ . Thus, and  $O^c$  are not disjoint, so let  $z$  belongs to their intersection. But  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S.\delta$ semi. symmetric, hence  $w \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$  which is subset of  $O^c$  a contradiction with assumption.

**Corollary 3.15:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. symmetric if it is an  $S.\delta semiT_1$  space.

**Proof:** By Theorem 3.10 the singleton sets are  $S.\delta$ semi. closed, hence they are  $S.(\delta, \delta)semi.GC$  sets (Remark 3.13) and hence  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. symmetric (Theorem 3.14).

**Corollary 3.16:** For a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  the properties are equivalent:

1.  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. symmetric and  $S.\delta$ semi $T_0^S$ ;
2.  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S.\delta$ semi $T_0^S$ .

**Proof:** (2→1) Follows immediately from Remark 3.7 and Corollary 3.15.

(1→2) Let  $w, z$  be any distinct points in  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ . Since,  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi $T_0^S$  then there is  $O_w$  such that  $w \in O_w \subseteq \{z\}^c$  for some  $O_w \in S.\delta$ semi.  $O(C, \tau_C^S)$ . Thus,  $w \notin S.\delta$ semi.  $cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , hence  $z \notin S.\delta$ semi.  $cl_\delta(\{w\})$  that means there is  $O_z \in S.\delta$ semi.  $O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $z \in O_z \subseteq \{w\}^c$  and we are done.

**Definition 3.17:** Let  $(C_1, \tau_{C_1}), (C_2, \tau_{C_2})$  be two topological spaces. A map  $f: (C_1, \tau_{C_1}) \rightarrow (C_2, \tau_{C_2})$  is called  $\delta$ -semi. continuous map if for every  $c \in C_1$  and every  $\delta$ -semi. open set  $O$  containing  $f(c)$ , there is a  $\delta$ -semi. open set  $V$  in  $C_1$  containing  $c$  such that  $f(V) \subseteq O$ , [5]. In a similar manner, we define a new type of continuous maps as given by the following definition.

**Definition 3.18:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  and  $(Z, \tau_Z^S)$  be two supra spaces, then  $f: (C, \tau_C^S) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_Z^S)$  is said to be supra- $\delta$ continuous (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. continuous) function if for each  $w \in C$  and each  $S.\delta$ semi. open set  $O$  containing  $f(w)$ , there is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open set  $V$  in  $C$  containing  $w$  such that  $f(V) \subseteq O$ .

**Proposition 3.19:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  and  $(Z, \tau_Z^S)$  be two supra spaces, then a function  $f: (C, \tau_C^S) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_Z^S)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. continuous if and only if the inverse image of each  $S.\delta$ semi. open set is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open.

**Proof:** Let  $f$  be an  $S.\delta$ semi. continuous and let  $O \in S.\delta$ semi.  $O(Z, \tau_Z^S)$ , if  $O \cap f(C) = \phi$ , then  $f^{-1}(O) = \phi$  and hence is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open set in  $C$ . If  $O \cap f(C) \neq \phi$ , then  $O$  is  $S.\delta$ semi. neighborhood of each of its points in  $Z$  implies  $f^{-1}(O)$  must be an  $S.\delta$ semi. neighborhood of each of its points in  $C$ , hence  $f^{-1}(O)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open set in  $C$  (Proposition 2.7).

Conversely: Let  $w \in C$  and  $V$  be a  $S.\delta$ semi. neighborhood of  $f(w)$  in  $Z$ . Then,  $w \in f^{-1}(V)$ , hence  $f(w) \in f(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq V$ , [8] and since  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. open implies  $f$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. continuous.

**Example 3.20:** Let  $(M, \tau_M^S)$  and  $(K, \tau_K^S)$  be two supra spaces such that  $M = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ ,  $K = \{k_1, k_2, k_3\}$ ,  $\tau_M^S = \{\phi, M, \{m_1\}, \{m_2\}, \{m_1, m_2\}, \{m_1, m_3\}, \{m_2, m_3\}\}$ ,  $\tau_K^S = \{\phi, K, \{k_1\}, \{k_1, k_2\}, \{k_1, k_3\}, \{k_2, k_3\}\}$ . Consider  $f, g: (M, \tau_M^S) \rightarrow (K, \tau_K^S)$  such that  $f(m_1) = k_3, f(m_2) = k_1, f(m_3) = k_2, g(m_1) = k_2, g(m_2) = k_3, g(m_3) = k_1$ , then  $f$  is an  $S.\delta$ semi. continuous function but  $g$  is not  $S.\delta$ semi. continuous since  $g^{-1}(\{k_1\}) = \{m_3\}$  which is not  $S.\delta$ semi. open set.

#### 4. Supra Temperate $\delta$ . semi $\rho_0$ -spaces

In this section, we introduce two new concepts, namely supra- $\delta$ semi kernel and supra temperate  $\delta$ semi  $\rho_0$ -spaces. Some theorems and properties related to the relationship between these two concepts have been proved, as well as the relationship between supra temperate  $\delta$ semi  $\rho_0$ -spaces and supra spaces in the case of cartesian product of supra spaces was investigated. Additionally, we shed light on the connection between the concepts of supra- $\delta$ semi kernel and supra- $\delta$ semi closure.

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $B$  be a subset of a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , the supra- $\delta$ semi kernel (briefly  $S.\delta$ semi. kernel) of  $B$ , symbolized by  $S.\delta$ semi.  $ker_\delta(B)$  is defined by  $S.\delta$ semi.  $ker_\delta(B) = \bigcap \{O \in S.\delta$ semi.  $O(C, \tau_C^S): B \subseteq O\}$ .

**Theorem 4.2:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space and  $w \in C$ , then  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(A) = \bigcap\{w \in A : S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $w \in S_{semi} ker_\delta(A)$  and  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap A = \emptyset$ , so  $w \notin C/S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\})$  which is an  $S_{\delta semi}$  open set containing  $A$ , but this a contradiction with assumption, hence  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, consider  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and assume  $w \notin S_{semi} ker_\delta(A)$ , so there is an  $S_{\delta semi}$  open set  $O$  containing  $A$  with  $w \notin O$ . Let  $z \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap A$  hence  $z \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\})$ , but  $O$  is  $S_{\delta semi}$  neighborhood of  $z$  which does not contain  $w$  a contradiction (Definition 2.4) implies  $w \in S_{semi} ker_\delta(A)$ .

**Definition 4.3:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is called supra temperate  $\delta semi$   $\rho_0$ -space, symbolized by  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$  if  $\bigcap_{w \in C} S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) = \emptyset$ .

**Example 4.4:** In Example 3.20. We see that  $\bigcap_{m \in M} S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{m\}) = \emptyset$ , so  $(M, \tau_M^S)$  is an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$ .

**Theorem 4.5:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$  if and only if  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\}) \neq C$  for each  $w \in C$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$ , and let  $z \in C$  with  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\}) = C$ . Since  $C$  is an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$ , so there is an  $S_{\delta semi}$  open set  $O$  of  $C$  such that  $z \notin O$  implies  $z \in \bigcap S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\})$  (Theorem 4.2) but this is a contradiction.

Conversely: Let  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\}) \neq C$  for each  $w \in C$ . If there is an element  $z \in C$  with  $z \in \bigcap_{w \in C} S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\})$ . So, any  $S_{\delta semi}$  open set containing  $z$  must contain each elements of  $C$  and since  $C$  is an  $S_{\delta semi}$  open, hence  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\}) = C$  which is a contradiction, and hence  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$ .

**Theorem 4.6:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$  and  $(Z, \tau_Z^S)$  is a supra space, then  $C \times Z$  is an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\bigcap_{(a,b) \in C \times Z} S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{(a,b)\}) \neq \bigcap_{(a,b) \in C \times Z} (S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{a\}) \times S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{b\}))$  [26], which is equal to  $\bigcap_{a \in C} S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{a\}) \times \bigcap_{b \in Z} S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{b\}) \neq \emptyset \times Z = \emptyset$ , [27]. Thus  $C \times Z$  is an  $S_{t\delta semi} \rho_0$ .

The following result is useful for the remainder of our work.

**Properties 4.7:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, and  $w, z \in C$ . Then,  $z \in S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\})$  if and only if  $w \in S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\})$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $z \notin S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\})$  implies there is an  $S_{\delta semi}$  open sets  $O$  containing  $w$  with  $z \notin O$ , so  $w \notin S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\})$ . Proof of the converse is smaller.

**Theorem 4.8:** For any two elements  $w, z$  in a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , the following statements are equivalent.

1.  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\})$ ;
2.  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ .

**Proof:** Let  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\})$  implies there is an element  $y \in C$  such that  $y \in S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\})$  and  $y \notin S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{z\})$ . Hence,  $w \in S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{y\})$ , so  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S_{semi} ker_\delta(S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{y\}))$  (Theorem 2.12) implies  $S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{y\})$  (Corollary 2.11), and since  $z \notin S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{y\})$  hence  $z \notin S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\})$  therefore  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ .

Conversely: Let  $S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , hence there is an element  $y \in C$  with  $y \in S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{w\})$  and  $y \notin S_{semi} cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . Thus, there is an  $S_{\delta semi}$  open set containing  $w$  but not  $z$ , hence  $z \notin S_{semi} ker_\delta(\{w\})$  which complete the proof.

## 5. Supra $\delta$ semi $\rho_0$ and supra $\delta$ semi $\rho_1$ -spaces

In this section, we introduce two new concepts of supra spaces, namely supra  $\delta$  semi  $\rho_0, \rho_1$ . Furthermore, study the relationship between these two concepts and also, we demonstrate a

collection of results and conclusions associated with them, as well discuss the connection and properties between these concepts and the others which we have been presented in previous sections.

**Definition 5.1:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is said to be supra  $\delta$ semi  $\rho_0$ , symbolized by  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$  if for each  $w \in V$ , then  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq V$ , where  $V \in S.\delta\text{semi. } O(C, \tau_C^S)$ .

**Definition 5.2:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is termed supra  $\delta$ semi  $\rho_1$ , symbolized by  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_1$  if for any  $w, z \in C$  with  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , there are disjoint  $O, V \in S.\delta\text{semi. } O(C, \tau_C^S)$  that contain  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\})$  and  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , respectively.

**Examples 5.3:** In Example 3.20, we can see that  $(M, \tau_M^S)$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$  and an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_1$ .

**Theorem 5.4:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, if  $C$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_1$ , then it is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_1$ ,  $w \in C$  and  $O$  is an  $S.\delta\text{semi.}$  open set containing  $w$ . If there is no  $p \notin O$  implies  $O = C$ , so  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq O$ . If there is  $z \notin O$ , then  $w \notin S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$  hence  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . By assumption there is a  $S.\delta\text{semi.}$  open set  $V$  such that  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) \subseteq V$  and  $z \notin V$  implies  $z \in S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\})$ . Hence,  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq O$ , and we are the done.

**Corollary 5.5:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, then  $C$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_1$  if and only if for any  $w, z \in C$ ,  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\})$ , there are  $O, V \in S.\delta\text{semi. } O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $O \cap V = \phi$  and containing  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\})$ ,  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , respectively.

**Proof:** Follows from Theorem 4.8.

**Theorem 5.6:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, then  $C$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$  if and only if for any  $w, z \in C$ ,  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$  implies  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$ , and let  $w, z \in C$  with  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , hence there is  $y \in C$  such that  $y \in S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\})$  and  $y \notin S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$  or vice versa. Thus, there is  $O \in S.\delta\text{semi. } O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $z \notin O$  and  $y \in O$ , hence  $w \in O$ , and thus,  $w \notin S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . Thus,  $w \in C/S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) \in S.\delta\text{semi. } O(C, \tau_C^S)$  implies  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq C/S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , that means the intersection is empty and we are done.

Conversely: Let  $w \in O \in S.\delta\text{semi. } O(C, \tau_C^S)$  and assume  $z \notin O$ , hence  $z \notin S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\})$  implies  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ . By assumption we have  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$ , hence  $z \notin S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\})$  and hence  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq O$ .

**Theorem 5.7:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, then  $C$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$  if and only if for any  $w, z \in C$ ,  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\})$  implies  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$  and  $w, z \in C$  such that  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\})$ .

Now, by Theorem 4.8 we have  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , hence  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$  (Theorem 5.6). Assume there is  $y \in S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$ , so  $w \in S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{y\})$  (Definition 2.4) and by Theorem 5.6 we have  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) = S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{y\})$ . Similarly,  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) = S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{y\})$  a contradiction, hence  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$ .

Conversely: If  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , then  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \neq S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\})$  (Theorem 4.8) as a result it will be  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) = S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$ . If  $y \in S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , hence  $w \in S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{y\})$  (Proposition 4.7) and hence  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{y\}) \neq \phi$ . Thus,  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{w\}) = S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{y\})$  and in the same manner  $S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{y\}) = S.\text{semi } ker_\delta(\{z\})$  a contradiction which leads to  $S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{w\}) \cap S.\text{semi } cl_\delta(\{z\}) = \phi$  and by Theorem 5.6,  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$ .

**Theorem 5.8:** For a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

1.  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is an  $S.\delta.\text{semi } \rho_0$  space;

2. For any non-empty set  $K$  and  $L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$ , there is  $M \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $K \cap M \neq \emptyset$  and  $M \subseteq L$ ;
3. Any  $L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$ ,  $L = \bigcup\{M \in S.\delta semi.C(C, \tau_C^S) : M \subseteq L\}$ ;
4. Any  $M \in S.\delta semi.C(C, \tau_C^S)$ ,  $M = \bigcap\{L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S) : M \subseteq L\}$ ;
5. For any  $w \in C$ ,  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\})$ .

**Proof:** (1→2): Let  $K$  be a non-empty set of  $C$  and  $L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$ , so there is  $w \in K \cap L$ . Now,  $w \in L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$ , hence  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq L$ . And since  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$  is  $S.\delta semi.$  closed (Theorem 2.12) then  $M = S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$  is the required set.

(2→3): Let  $L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$ , then clear that  $\bigcup\{M \in S.\delta semi.C(C, \tau_C^S) : M \subseteq L\} \subseteq L$ . Let  $w$  be any point in  $L$ , so (2) guarantees the existence of  $M \in S.\delta semi.C(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $w \in M$  and  $M \subseteq L$ , therefore  $L \subseteq \bigcup\{M \in S.\delta semi.C(C, \tau_C^S) : M \subseteq L\}$ . Thus,  $L = \bigcup\{M \in S.\delta semi.C(C, \tau_C^S) : M \subseteq L\}$ .

(3→4): This is obvious.

(4→5): Let  $w$  be any point of  $C$  and  $z \notin S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\})$ . There is  $O \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $w \in O$  and  $z \notin O$ , hence  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\}) \cap O = \emptyset$  (Proposition 4.7), and since  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\})$  is  $S.\delta semi.$  closed (Theorem 2.12) then by (4) we have  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\}) = \bigcap\{L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S) : S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\}) \subseteq L\}$ , so there is  $L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  such that  $w \notin L$  and since  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\}) \subseteq L$  consequently  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})L = \emptyset$ , hence  $z \notin S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$  and we are done.

(5→1): Let  $L \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  and  $w \in L$ . Let  $z \in S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\})$ , hence  $w \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\})$  (Proposition 4.7) and hence  $z \in L$  which leads to  $S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq L$ . Thus, we have  $w \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq L$  implies  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S.\delta semi \rho_0$  space.

**Corollary 5.9:** For a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

1.  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is a  $S.\delta semi \rho_0$  space;
2.  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\}) = S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\})$  for all  $w \in C$ .

**Proof:** (1→2): By Theorem 5.8 we have  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\})$  for each  $w \in C$ . Now, consider  $z \in S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\})$ , then by Proposition 4.7  $w \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\})$  and by Theorem 5.6  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\}) = S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , hence  $z \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$  and hence  $S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$ .

(2→1): Follows directly from Theorem 5.8.

**Theorem 5.10:** For a supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$ , the following properties are equivalent.

1.  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is a  $S.\delta semi \rho_0$  space;
2.  $w \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\})$  if and only if  $z \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$ .

**Proof:** (1→2): Let  $w \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\})$  and  $O$  be any  $S.\delta semi.$  open set that contain  $z$ , hence  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\}) \subseteq L$  and hence  $w \in O$  implies  $z \in S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$ .

(2→1): Consider  $w \in O \in S.\delta semi.O(C, \tau_C^S)$  and  $z \notin O$ , hence  $w \notin S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{z\})$ , so by assumption  $z \notin S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$  implies  $S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq O$ . Thus,  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S.\delta semi \rho_0$ .

**Corollary 5.11:** A supra space  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S.\delta semi \rho_0$  if and only if it's  $S.\delta semi.$  symmetric.

**Proof:** Follows from Theorem 5.10 and Definition 3.11.

**Theorem 5.12:** Let  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  be a supra space, the following properties are equivalent:

1.  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S.\delta semi \rho_0$ ;
2. For any  $S.\delta semi.$  closed  $K$ , then  $K = S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(K)$ ;
3. For any  $S.\delta semi.$  closed  $K$  and  $w \in K$ , then  $S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq L$ ;
4. If  $w \in C$ , then  $S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S.\delta semi.cl_\delta(\{w\})$ .

**Proof:** (1→2): Follows from Theorem 5.8.

(2→3): Since  $\{w\} \subseteq K$  implies  $S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(K)$  and by (2)  $S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(K) = K$ , hence  $S.\delta semi.ker_\delta(\{w\}) \subseteq K$ .

(3→4): Since  $S_{semi} cl_{\delta}(\{w\})$  is  $S_{semi}$  closed (Theorem 2.12) and  $w \in S_{semi} cl_{\delta}(\{w\})$ . Thus  $S_{semi} ker_{\delta}(\{w\}) \subseteq S_{semi} cl_{\delta}(\{w\})$ .

(4→1): Consider  $w \in S_{semi} cl_{\delta}(\{z\})$ , so by Proposition 4.7  $z \in S_{semi} ker_{\delta}(\{w\})$ . But  $S_{semi} cl_{\delta}(\{z\})$  is  $S_{semi}$  closed (Theorem 2.12) and by use (4) we have  $S_{semi} ker_{\delta}(\{w\}) \subseteq S_{semi} cl_{\delta}(\{w\})$ , hence  $z \in S_{semi} cl_{\delta}(\{w\})$  implies  $(C, \tau_C^S)$  is  $S_{semi}$   $\rho_0$  (Theorem 5.10).

## 6. Conclusions

The study of semi delta open sets of supra spaces has very interesting and useful for simulating many spaces that cannot meet all the conditions of topology. Therefore, in this work, we have introduced new concepts of supra topological spaces like  $S_{semi}$  open,  $S_{semi}$  symmetric and  $S_{semi}$  kernel which have actively contributed to achieving confirmed results for several theorems, which in turn will serve as a starting point for forming new types of concepts carefully constructed and organized in patterns to simulate future applications. In this research, many results related to supra topology were obtained using sets  $S_{semi}$  open, including results about interior, closure and separation axioms. Two types of supra topological spaces were also presented, namely  $S_{semi}$   $\rho_0$  and  $S_{semi}$   $\rho_1$  and many equivalent properties were obtained in them. This will elevate the perspective on various applications, making them clearer than what has been previously studied in other fields.

## References

- [1] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, London: Allyn and Bacon, Inc. 470 Aclantic Avenue, Boston, 1966.
- [2] J. Nagata, *Modern General Topology*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1974.
- [3] N. Levine, "Semi-open Sets and Semi-continuity in topological spaces," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 36-41, 1963.
- [4] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, "Semi-topological Properties," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 233-254, 1972.
- [5] S. H. Abdal-Wahad, J. H. Bayati and A. M. Zarco, "On Faintly  $\theta$ -Semi-Continuous functions," *Baghdad Science Journal*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1066-1072, 2024.
- [6] N. V. Velicko, "H-closed topological spaces," *American Mathematical Society Translations*, vol. 78, pp. 103-118, 1968.
- [7] R. M. Latif, "Delta-Open Sets And Delta-Continuous Functions," *International Journal of Pure Mathematics*, vol. 8, pp. 1-22, 2021.
- [8] T. M. Al-shami, E. A. Abo-Tabl, B. A. Asaad and M. A. Arahet, "Limit Points and Separation Axioms With Respect to Supra Semi-open Sets," *European Journal Of Pure And Applied Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 427-443, 2020.
- [9] A. Talabeigi, "Extracting Some Supra Topologies From The Topology of a Topological Space," *AUT Journal of Mathematics and Computing*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 45-52, 2022.
- [10] R. M. Latif, "Topological Properties of Delta-Open Sets," *Department Of Mathematical Science King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals TR.409*, pp. 1-18, 2009.
- [11] K. Dlaska, N. Ergun and M. Ganster, "On the topology generated by semi-regular sets," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 1163-1170, 1994.
- [12] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, "Semi-Closure," *Texas Journal of Science*, vol. 22, no. 2-3, pp. 99-112, 1971.
- [13] B. K. Mahmoud and Y. Y. Yousif, "CutPoints and Separations in Alpha-Connected Topological Spaces," *Journal of Science*, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 3091-3096, 2021.
- [14] C. Dorset, "Semi-T2, Semi-R1 and Semi-R0 Topological Spaces," *Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles*, vol. 92, pp. 143-150, 1978.

- [15] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, "On (R0)s-spaces," *Portugaliae Mathematica*, vol. 34, pp. 213-217, 1975.
- [16] A. S. Mashhour, A. A. Allam, F. S. Mahmoud and F. H. khedr, "On Supratopological Spaces," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 502-510, April 1983.
- [17] S. Modak and S. Mistry, "Ideal on Supra Topological Space," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1 – 10, 2012.
- [18] M. L. Thivagar and J. Kavitha, "On Binary Structure of Supra Topological Spaces," *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 25-37, 2017.
- [19] F. J. Obaid and A. R. Sadek, "On S $\delta$ .Derived and S $\delta$ .Isolated Sets in Supra Topological Spaces".*In AIP Conference*, vol.3229, no.1, 2024.
- [20] Z. A. Aodia, " $\delta$ -derived and  $\delta$ -scattered Sets," *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 6-17, 2015.
- [21] T. Noiri, "Remarks on  $\delta$ -semi-open sets and  $\delta$ -preopen sets," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1007–1020, 2003.
- [22] T. M. Al-Shami, "On Supra Semi Open Sets and Some Applications on Topological Spaces," *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 144–153, 2017.
- [23] C. Dorsett, "Semi Separation Axioms and Hyperspaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, pp. 445-450, 1981.
- [24] S. G. Saeed and R. B. Esmaeel, "Separation Axioms Via  $\alpha\text{gI}$ -open set," *Journal of physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1591, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2020.
- [25] N. K. Humadi and H. J. Ali, "New Type of Perfectly Supra Continuous Functions," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 811-819, 2020.
- [26] J. L. Kelley, General topology, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [27] C. C. Pinter, Set Theory, Mineola, New York: Addison-Wesley Publishing, 1971.