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#### Abstract

: In this paper fuzzy multiobjective optimization problem with or without constraints is presented. The weighted method is considered to formulate the fuzzy multiobjective problem as a fuzzy singleobjective function and then Iskandars' approach is used to solve the resulting fuzzy optimization problem.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { حكول المسائل الامثلية المتعدة الاهداف الضبابية بأستخدام طريقة الاوزان } \\
& \text { اسامـة حميد،فاضل صبحي، عراق طارق } \\
& \text { جامعة النهرين- كلية العلوم،جامعة النهرين-كلية العلوم،جامعة بغداد ـكلية العلوم-قسم الرياضيات،بغدادـ العراق. } \\
& \text { الخلاصة } \\
& \text { في هذا البحث تم تقـيم المسائل الامثلية المتعددة الاهداف الضبابية مع القيود.وقد تم اعتبار طريقة الاوزان } \\
& \text { لصياغة مسائل الامثلية المتعددة الاهداف الضبابية كدالة هدف ضبابية منفردة ونم استخدام اسلوب اسكندرس } \\
& \text { لتحويل مسائل النمذجة الخطية الضبابية الىى ما كافئها في الحالة الاعتيادية ومن ثم تم حل المسائل الناتجة }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Introduction

Optimization is an important activity in many fields of science and engineering. A lot of modeling, design ,control and decision making problems can be formulated in terms of mathematical optimization. The classical frame work for the optimization is the minimization (or maximization)of the objectives, given the constraints for the problems to be solved.

Many design problems however ,are characterized by multi-objectives. The first note on multi-criterion optimization was given by Pareto ; since ,then the determination of the compromise set of a multi-objective problem is called Pareto optimization [1,2].The engineer often encounters a problem in develop-ment of a precise mathematical model of the systems.

Vagueness and impreciseness often arise due to poorly defined data, system boundaries, and unsatisfactory formulation of design objective and in ability in evaluating the relative importance between the objectives. As the complexity of the systems increases, more assumption are made about its behavior and hence, the ability of the engineering to exactly model the systems in the precise mathematical terms is severely hampered [3].

To model vagu and imprecise nature of the design problem,one has to use the fuzzy set theory $[3,4]$.The fuzzy set theory was originally developed by professor Zadeh and is a beautiful way of describing a natural condition in optimization mathematically .Since ,the appearance of the fuzzy theory ,some researches
and applications have accomplished ,such as [3,5].

In one formulation of fuzzy optimization due to Zimmerman[6],concepts from Bellman and Zadeh model of decision making[7]are used for formulating the fuzzy optimization problem .The linear fuzzy membership function[8,9]and non linear fuzzy membership function $[10,11]$ for structural optimization are used to represent the fuzzy nature of failure . Rao presented a formulation for the fuzzy optimization of engineering systems involving multiple objective[3].

In this paper, we shall use the weighting method in order to transform the muti-objective linear programming problem to singleobjective ones and then the transform problem will be solved by the technique given by Iskander's [12].

## Weighting Method:-

In the weighting method the multiobjective linear programming problems will be transformed to an equivalent singleobjective linear programming ones [5], in which the problem:
$\operatorname{Maximize} \mathrm{Z}(\underline{\mathrm{X}})=\left[\mathrm{Z}_{1}(\underline{\mathrm{X}}), \mathrm{Z}_{2}(\underline{\mathrm{X}}), \ldots, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}(\underline{\mathrm{X}})\right]$
Subject to: $g_{i}(\underline{X}) \leq 0 \quad i=1,2 \ldots$ I

$$
\underline{X}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots . x_{n}\right)
$$

Will transformed to:

$$
\text { Maximize } z^{*}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}(\underline{\mathrm{X}})
$$

Subject to:

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}(\underline{\mathrm{X}}) \leq 0 \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{I}
$$

Where $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are called the rational weighed for the objective function $z_{i}$, and the set of all feasible solutions will be obtained by changing the coefficients $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

## Possibility Programming Method in Fuzzy SingleObjective Functions [12]:

Consider the formulation of the fuzzy singleobjective linear programming

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Maximize } \mathrm{Z}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{~m} \quad \ldots  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j} \geq 0}, \quad, \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n} \quad \ldots .
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{n}$, are non-negative decision variable $\widetilde{c}_{j}$ is the fuzzy coefficient of the $j$ th
decision variable , $\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ represents the fuzzy coefficient of the jth decision variable in the ith constraint, while $\widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the fuzzy right-hand side in the ith constraint. Hence for simplicity $\widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{j}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\widetilde{b}_{i}$ are considered to be of triangular fuzzy numbers i.e., $\widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{j}}=\quad \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j} 0}$, $\left.\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}+\sqrt{1-\alpha}\right], \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{j}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} & -\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij} 0} & , \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} & +\sqrt{1-\alpha}\end{array}\right]$ ,$\widetilde{b}_{j}=\left[b_{j}-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, b_{j 0}, b_{j}+\sqrt{1-\alpha}\right]$
Thus, according to the triangular fuzzy numbers, the equivalent crisp model for the fuzzy model (1)-(3), is given below:

$$
\text { Maximize } \mathrm{Z}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[(1-\beta) \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{j}}+\beta \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}_{0}}\right] \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

Subject to:

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[(1-\beta) \underline{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\beta \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ijo}}\right] \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq(1-\beta) \overline{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{i}}+\beta \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i} 0}
$$

Where $\alpha$ is a predetermined value of the minimum required possibility, $\beta \in(0,1]$,and upon using a suitable method for solving the linear programming problem, we get our desired solution for the above problem.

## Fuzzy Multiobjective Linear Programming in terms of the weighting Method:

`In this section the fuzzy multiobjective linear programming problem will be transformed to a singleobjective linear programming ones by using the weighting method and before that let us give two fuzzy operations which are the addition between two fuzzy number and multiplication of fuzzy number by a real constant as follows:

## 1-Fuzzy Operation:

Consider $\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{b}}$ be two fuzzy numbers in triangular form and $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{R}$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 1) } \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}+\widetilde{\mathrm{b}} & =[\mathrm{a}-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}+\sqrt{1-\alpha}]+[\mathrm{b}- \\
\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{~b} & +\sqrt{1-\alpha}] \\
& =(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}-2 \sqrt{1-\alpha}, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+2 \sqrt{1-\alpha}) . \\
\text { 2)c } \widetilde{\mathrm{a}} & =\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{a}-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}+\sqrt{1-\alpha}] \\
& =(c a-c \sqrt{1-\alpha}, c a, c a+c \sqrt{1-\alpha}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2-The Weighting Method for the Multiobjective Linear Programming Problem.

Consider the fuzzy multiobjective linear programming:
Maximize $\widetilde{Z}=\left[\widetilde{Z}_{1}(\underline{\mathrm{X}}), \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{2}(\underline{\mathrm{X}}), \ldots . . \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{\mathrm{k}}(\underline{\mathrm{X}})\right]$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{~m}  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0, \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

And by using the weighting method which illustrated by section (2), equation
will takes the form

$$
\text { Maximize } \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{\mathrm{i}}(\underline{\mathrm{X}})
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \widetilde{b}_{\mathrm{i}}, & \mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{~m} \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0, & \mathrm{j}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n}
\end{array}
$$

And the resulting fuzzy singleobjective linear programming will be solved by Islander's approach given by [12] for different value of $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$, in order to get our feasible solution.

## Illustrative Examples:-

Example (1): Maximize $\widetilde{Z}_{1}(X)=\widetilde{5} x_{1}+2 \widetilde{0} x_{2}$ Maximize $\tilde{Z}_{2}(\mathrm{X})=2 \tilde{3} \mathrm{x}_{1}+3 \tilde{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \tilde{0} x_{1}+\tilde{6} x_{2} \leq 250 \tilde{0} \\
-\tilde{5} x_{1}-1 \tilde{0} x_{2} \leq-200 \tilde{0}, \quad x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, by using the weighting method the problem will becomes:

$$
\text { Maximize } \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=\widetilde{\omega}_{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+\widetilde{\omega}_{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{2}(\mathrm{x})
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \tilde{0} x_{1}+\tilde{\sigma} x_{2} \leq 250 \tilde{0} \\
& -\widetilde{5} x_{1}-1 \widetilde{0} x_{2} \leq-200 \tilde{0} \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0 \\
& \text { 1-Choose } \quad \overline{\mathrm{W}}=\left(\widetilde{\omega}_{1}, \widetilde{\omega}_{2}\right)=(1,0) \text {, thus we }
\end{aligned}
$$ have

$\therefore$ Maximize $\mathrm{Z}^{*}=\widetilde{5} \mathrm{x}_{1}+2 \widetilde{0} \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \tilde{0} x_{1}+\tilde{6} x_{2} \leq 250 \tilde{0} \\
& -\tilde{5} x_{1}-1 \tilde{0} x_{2} \leq-200 \tilde{0} \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The fuzzy singleobjective linear programming in triangular form takes the form:
Maximize $(5-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 5,5+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{1}+$ (20-$\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 20,20+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to:
$(10-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 10,10+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) x_{1}+(6-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 6$, $6+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(2500-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 2500,2500+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$ - $\quad(5-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad 5, \quad 5+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \quad x_{1}-(10-$ $\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 10,10+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq-(2000-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad 2000$, $2000+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$
Thus, the equivalent crisp objective linear programming in the case of possibility programming is which is given in section three stated as:
Maximize $[(1-\beta)(5+\sqrt{1-\alpha})+5 \beta] x_{1}+[(1-$ $\beta)(20-\sqrt{1-\alpha})+20 \beta)] \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to:
$[(1-\beta)(10-\sqrt{1-\alpha}+10 \beta)] x_{1}+[(1-\beta)(6-$ $\sqrt{1-\alpha}+6 \beta)] x_{2} \leq(1-\beta)(2500+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+2500 \beta)$
$-[(1-\beta)(5-\sqrt{1-\alpha})+5 \beta] x_{1}-[(1-\beta)(10-$
$\sqrt{1-\alpha}+10 \beta] \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq-(1-\beta)(2000+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+2000 \beta)$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$.
Which can be solved easily by using a suitable method for solving linear programming problems.

Following,(Table -1)which represents the solution of the above example.

| (Table -1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\beta$ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 |  |
| Z | 8190.3249 | 7241 | 6862.0452 | 6715.3204 | 666 <br> 6.6 <br> 67 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 485.9626 | 442.8189 | 425.5531 | 418.8769 | 416 <br> .66 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2-Choose $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(0.5,0.5)$

$$
\text { Maximize } \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=0.5 \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+0.5 \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{2}(\mathrm{x})
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \tilde{0} x_{1}+\tilde{6} x_{2} \leq 250 \tilde{0} \\
& -\widetilde{5} x_{1}-1 / \tilde{0} x_{2} \leq-200 \tilde{0}, \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the problem becomes:
Maximize $\tilde{Z}^{*}=0.5[(5-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 5,5+\sqrt{1-\alpha})]$ $\mathrm{x}_{1}+0.5[(20-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 20,20+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x} 2$
$+0.5 \quad[(23-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad 23, \quad 23+\sqrt{1-\alpha})]$ $\mathrm{x} 2+0.5[(32-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 32,32+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \times 2$
Subject to:
$(10-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 10,10+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) x_{1}+(6-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 6$, $6+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(2500-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 2500,2500+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$ $-(5-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 5,5+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) x_{1}-(10-$
$\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 10,10+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq-(2000-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad 2000$, $2000+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$.
Hence, the fuzzy singleobjective linear programming in triangular form will takes the form:

Maximize $\quad \widetilde{Z}^{*}=\quad[(14-\quad \sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad 14$, $14+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] x_{1}+[(26-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 26,26+\sqrt{1-\alpha})]$ x2
Subject to:
$(10-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 10,10+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) x_{1}+(6-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 6$, $6+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) x_{2} \leq(2500-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 2500,2500+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$
$-(5-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 5,5+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) x_{1}-(10-$
$\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 10,10+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq-(2000-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad 2000$,
$2000+\sqrt{1-\alpha}$ )
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$.
Thus, the equivalent crisp singleobjective linear programming in the case of possibility programming given in section three is stated as:

Maximize $\widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=[(1-\alpha)(14+\sqrt{1-\alpha})+14 \alpha]$
$\left.x_{1}+[(1-\alpha)(26-\sqrt{1-\alpha})+26 \alpha)\right] x_{2}$
Subject to:
$[(1-\beta)(10-\sqrt{1-\alpha}+10 \beta)] \quad x_{1}+\quad[(1-\beta)$ (6-
$\sqrt{1-\alpha}+6 \beta)] x_{2} \leq(1-\beta)(2500+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+2500 \beta)$
$-[(1-\beta)(5-\sqrt{1-\alpha})+5 \beta] x_{1}-[(1-\beta)(10-$
$\sqrt{1-\alpha}+10 \beta] x_{2} \leq-(1-\beta)(2000+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+2000 \beta)$
Which also can be solved easily by using a suitable method for solving linear programming problems.

Following, ,(Table-2)which represents the solution of the above example.
(Table-2)

| $\beta$ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Z | 1304 | 1166 | 1111 | 1090 | 7086 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5061 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 485.962 | 442.7262 | 425.5236 | 418.8796 | 416. |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 6635 |

Example (2): Maximize $\widetilde{Z}_{1}(\mathrm{X})=0 . \tilde{4} \mathrm{x}_{1}+0 . \widetilde{3} \mathrm{x}_{2}$ Maximize $\tilde{Z}_{2}(X)=\widetilde{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \tilde{1} x_{1}+\tilde{1} x_{2} \leq 40 \tilde{0} \\
& \tilde{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}+\widetilde{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 50 \tilde{0} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by using the weighting method the problem will becomes:

$$
\operatorname{Maximize} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=\widetilde{\omega}_{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+\widetilde{\omega}_{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{2}(\mathrm{x})
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \tilde{1} x_{1}+\tilde{1} x_{2} \leq 40 \tilde{0} \\
& \tilde{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}+\tilde{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 50 \tilde{0} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

1-Choose $\quad \overline{\mathrm{W}}=\left(\widetilde{\omega}_{1}, \widetilde{\omega}_{2}\right)=(1,0)$, thus we have

Maximize $\widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=0 . \tilde{4} \mathrm{x}_{1}+0 . \tilde{3} \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \tilde{1} x_{1}+\tilde{1} x_{2} \leq 40 \tilde{0} \\
& \tilde{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}+\widetilde{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 50 \tilde{0} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the fuzzy singleobjective linear programming in triangular form will takes the form:

$$
\text { Maximize } \widetilde{Z}^{*}=\quad[(0.4-\quad \sqrt{1-\alpha}, \quad 0.4
$$ $0.4+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x}_{1}+[(0.3-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 0.3,0.3+\sqrt{1-\alpha})]$ x2

Subject to:
$[(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 1,1+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x}_{1}+[(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 1$, $1+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] x_{2} \leq(400-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 400,400+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$
$[(2-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 2,2+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x} 1+[(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 1$, $1+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(500-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 500,500+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$.
Thus, the equivalent crisp singleobjective linear programming in the case of possibility programming is stated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Maximize }[(1-\alpha)(0.4+\sqrt{1-\alpha})+0.4 \alpha] \\
& \left.\mathrm{x}_{1}+[(1-\alpha)(0.3-\sqrt{1-\alpha})+0.3 \alpha)\right] \mathrm{x}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subject to:
$[(1-\alpha)(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}+\alpha)] x_{1}+[(1-\alpha)(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}+\alpha)]$
$\mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(1-\alpha)(400+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+400 \alpha)$
$[(1-\alpha)(2-\sqrt{1-\alpha})+2 \alpha] \mathrm{x}_{1}+[(1-\alpha)(1-$
$\sqrt{1-\alpha}+\alpha] \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(1-\alpha)(500+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+500 \alpha)$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$.
Also can be solved easily by using a suitable method for solving linear programming problems.

Following, ,(Table-3) which represents the solution of the above problem with different value of real $\beta$.
(Table-3)

| $\beta$ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Z$ | 3173.8661 | 414.7548 | 204.3464 | 146.9916 | 130 |
| $x_{1}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $x_{2}$ | 2642.0909 | 519.3141 | 357.2757 | 313.0948 | 300 |

2-Choose $\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{w}}=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(0.5,0.5)$

$$
\operatorname{Maximize} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=0.5 \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+0.5 \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{2}(\mathrm{x})
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \tilde{1} x_{1}+\tilde{1} x_{2} \leq 40 \tilde{0} \\
& \tilde{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}+\tilde{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 50 \tilde{0} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the fuzzy singleobjective linear
programming in triangular form will takes the form:

$$
\begin{array}{rccc}
\text { Maximize } & \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=0.5 \quad[(0.4-\quad \sqrt{1-\alpha}, & 0.4, \\
0.4+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] & \mathrm{x}_{1}+0.5[(0.3-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, & 0.3, \\
0.3+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \times 2+0.5[1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 1,1+\sqrt{1-\alpha}] \mathrm{x}_{1} \\
=(0.7-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 0.7,0.7+\sqrt{1-\alpha}) & \mathrm{x}_{1}+ \\
(0.15+0.5 \sqrt{1-\alpha}, 0.15,0.15+0.5 \sqrt{1-\alpha}) \mathrm{x}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Subject to:
$[(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 1,1+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x}_{1}+[(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 1$, $1+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(400-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 400,400+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$
$[(2-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 2,2+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x} 1+[(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 1$, $1+\sqrt{1-\alpha})] \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(500-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, 500,500+\sqrt{1-\alpha})$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$.
Thus, the equivalent crisp singleobjective linear programming in the case of possibility programming is stated as:
Maximize $\left.\widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}=(1 \alpha)(0.7+\sqrt{1-\alpha})+0.7 \alpha\right] \mathrm{x}_{1}+[(1-$
$\alpha)(0.15+0.5 \sqrt{1-\alpha})+0.15 \alpha] \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to:
$[(1-\alpha)(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}+\alpha)] x_{1}+[(1-\alpha)(1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}+\alpha)]$
$\mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(1-\alpha)(400+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+400 \alpha)$
$[(1-\alpha)(2-\sqrt{1-\alpha})+2 \alpha] \mathrm{x}_{1}+[(1-\alpha)(1-$
$\sqrt{1-\alpha}+\alpha] \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq(1-\alpha)(500+\sqrt{1-\alpha}+500 \alpha)$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$.
Also can be solved easily by using a suitable method for solving linear programming problems.

Following,(Table-4) which represents the solution of the above problem with different value of real $\beta$.
(Table-4)

| $\beta$ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Z$ | 1669.6281 | 320.1738 | 220.0550 | 185.8559 | 175 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 100 | 303.8990 | 266.7333 | 254.0324 | 250 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 2642.0989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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