



Γ -CENTRALIZING MAPPINGS OF SEMIPRIME Γ -RINGS

Abdulrahman H.Majeed, Sameer kadem Motashar

ahmajeed@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics , College of Sciences , University of Baghdad. Baghdad-Iraq

Abstract

Let M be a Γ -Ring with center $Z(M)$ and S a non-empty subset of M . A mapping F from M to M is called Γ -centralizing on S if $[x, F(x)]_\alpha = x\alpha F(x) - F(x)\alpha x \in Z(M)$ for all $x \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$. We show that a semi-prime Γ -ring M must have a non-trivial central ideal if it admits an endomorphism which is Γ -centralizing on some non-trivial one-sided ideal.

Key word: Γ -Ring, Derivations, Γ -centralizing, prim Γ -ring, semi-prim Γ -ring.

التطبيقات المركزية من النمط Γ - على الحلقات شبه الاولية من النمط Γ -

عبدالرحمن حميد مجيد، سمير كاظم مطشر

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد. بغداد- العراق

الخلاصة

لتكن M حلقة من النمط Γ - ذات مركز $Z(M)$ و S مجموعة غير خالية من M . الدالة F من M إلى M تدعى تمركز من النمط Γ - اذا كان $[x, F(x)]_\alpha = x\alpha F(x) - F(x)\alpha x \in Z(M)$ لكل $x \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$. سنبين أن الحلقة من النمط Γ - شبه الاولية M يجب ان تحتوي مثالي مركزي غير صفري اذا كانت F تشاكل تقابلي متمركز من النمط Γ - على مثالي (ايمن او ايسر) غير صفري.

الكلمات المفتاحية: حلقات كاما، المشتقات، تمركزات كاما، حلقات اولية من النمط Γ -، حلقات شبه اولية من النمط Γ -.

1. Introduction

The purpose of introducing the concept of a Γ -ring is to generalize that of a classical ring. In the last few decades, a number of modern algebraists have determined a lot of fundamental properties of Γ -rings and extended numerous significant results in classical ring theory to gamma ring theory. Note that the notion of a Γ -ring was first introduced by N. Nobusawa[1] and then generalized by W. E. Barnes[2]. They obtained many important fundamental properties of Γ -rings, and also S.Kyuno[3], J.Luh[4], G.L.Booth[5] and some other prominent mathematicians characterized much more significant results in the theory. Let R denote a ring with center Z , and let S be a nonempty

subset of R . A mapping F from R to R is called centralizing on S if $[x, F(x)] \in Z$ for all $x \in S$; in the special case where $[x, F(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in S$, the mapping F is described as commuting on S . In [6] Mayne prove that if a prime ring R admits either a nonidentity automorphism or a nonzero derivation which is centralizing on some nonzero ideal U of R , then R is commutative in this paper we will extend the results of H.E.Bell and W.S. Martindale[7].

2. Some basic definitines and expmel Definition 2.1[2]

Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups. If there exists a mapping $(a, \alpha, b) \rightarrow a\alpha b$ of $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies the conditions:

- (a) $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$, $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$, $a\alpha (b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$ and
- (b) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha (b\beta c)$ for all $a,b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then M is called a **Γ -ring** in the sense of Barnes[2], or simply, a Γ -ring.

Example 2.2

suppose that R is a ring with identity 1 and $M_{m,n}(R)$ is the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over R . Then M is a Γ -ring with respect to the usual addition and multiplication of matrices if we choose $M = M_{m,n}(R)$ and $\Gamma = M_{n,m}(R)$. In particular, if we let $M = M_{1,2}(R)$ and $\Gamma = \{ \begin{pmatrix} n & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; n \text{ is an integer} \}$, then M is a Γ -ring.

Definition 2.3[3]

An additive subgroup U of M is said to be a left (or right) ideal of M if $M\Gamma U \subset U$ (or $U\Gamma M \subset U$), whereas U is called a two – sided ideal , or simply , an ideal of M if U is a left as well as a right ideal of M .

Definition 2.4[3]: If M is a Γ -ring then M is called prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ (with $a,b \in M$) Implies either $a=0$ or $b=0$ Note that this concept of prime Γ -ring were introduced by J. Luh[4], and some analogous results corresponding to the prime rings were obtained by him as well as by S. Kyuno[3]. For $a,b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $[a,b]_\alpha = a\alpha b - b\alpha a$ is called the commutator of a and b with respect to α . The set $Z(M) = \{ a \in M ; a\alpha m = m\alpha a \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } m \in M \}$ is called the center of Γ -ring M .

Definition 2.5[3]

A subset S of a Γ -ring M is called strongly nilpotent if there exists a positive integer n such that $(S\Gamma)^n S = (0)$.

Definition 2.6[3]

An ideal P of a Γ -ring M is prime if for any ideals $A, B \subset M, A\Gamma B \subset P$ implies $A \subset P$ or $B \subset P$. and an ideal Q of M is semi-prime if for any ideal $U, U\Gamma U \subset Q$ implies $U \subset Q$ Also a Γ -ring M is semi-prime if the zero ideal is semi-prime ideal. And we can prove that a semi-prime Γ -ring contains no strongly nilpotent one sided – ideal. $(a, \alpha, b) \rightarrow a\alpha b$ of $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies the conditions

- (a) $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$, $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$, $a\alpha (b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$
 - (b) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha (b\beta c)$ for all $a,b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$
- then M is called a **Γ -ring** in the sense of Barnes[2], or simply, a Γ -ring.

Remark 2.7[8]: T.K. Mukherjee and M.K.Sen give equivalent definition of prime ideal ,if P is

an ideal of Γ -ring M ,then the following are equivalent

- P is prime ideal of M .
- if $a,b \in M$ and $a\Gamma M\Gamma b \subset P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$

Definition 2.8[9]: An additive subgroup S of a Γ -ring M is called subring if $S\Gamma S \subset S$.

Definition 2.9[3]: let M and N be two Γ -rings let T be a map from M to N then T is called Γ -ring homomorphism iff $T(x\alpha y) = T(x)\alpha T(y)$ and $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$, for all $x,y \in M$.

In the following we will define Γ -centralizing mapping on Γ -rings.

Definition 2.10: Let M be a Γ -ring with center $Z(M)$ and S be a non-empty subset of M . A mapping $F: M \rightarrow M$ is called Γ -centralizing on S if $[x, F(x)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$ for all $x \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$;in the special case where $[x, F(x)]_\alpha = 0$,for all $x \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the mapping F is described as Γ -commuting on S .

Example 2.11: Let M_1 be Γ_1 -ring ,put $M = M_1 \oplus M_1$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_1$ then M is a Γ -ring. Define a mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ by $d((x,y)) = (y,x)$ for all $x,y \in M_1$, and let $S = \{ (x,0) | x \in M_1 \}$ be a subset of M .

Then $[(x,0), d((x,0))]_\alpha = (x,0)\alpha(0,x)(0,x)\alpha(x,0) = (x\alpha 0, 0\alpha x) - (0\alpha x, x\alpha 0) = (0,0)$. That is mean d is Γ -centralizing on S .

3. Γ -Centralizing mappings of semiprime Γ -rings:

For proving our main result, we have need some important results which we have proved here as lemmas. So, we start as follows:

Lemma 3.1

The center of a semi-prime Γ -ring M contains no non-zero strongly nilpotent elements.

Proof: Let $a \in Z(M)$ be a strongly nilpotent element then there exists smallest positive integer n such that

$$(a\Gamma)^n a = (0). \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

Then from (1) we have

$$(a\Gamma)^{n-1} a \Gamma a = (0). \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

Since M is a Γ -ring ,we get

$$(a\Gamma)^{n-1} a \Gamma a \Gamma M = (0). \quad \dots \quad (3)$$

Now from (3) and since $(a\Gamma)^{n-2} a \in M$, therefore $(0) = (a\Gamma)^{n-1} a \Gamma M \Gamma a \Gamma (a\Gamma)^{n-2} a$

$= (a\Gamma)^{n-1} a \Gamma M \Gamma (a\Gamma)^{n-1} a$. But M is a semi-prime Γ -ring we have from above relation , $(0) = (a\Gamma)^{n-1} a$
 But n is smallest positive integer such that $(a\Gamma)^n a = (0)$, then $a = 0$.

Lemma3.2

Let M be a Γ -ring then for all $a, b, c \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

- (1) $[a, b+c]_\alpha = [a, b]_\alpha + [a, c]_\alpha$
- (2) $[a+b, c]_\alpha = [a, c]_\alpha + [b, c]_\alpha$
- (3) $[a\beta b, c]_\alpha = a\beta [b, c]_\alpha + [a, c]_\alpha + a\beta (cab) - a\alpha (c\beta b)$.

Proof: Obvious.

Throughout this paper ,the condition $a\beta cab = a\alpha c\beta b$, for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ will represent by (*)

Lemma3.3

Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring satisfying (*) and let $a \in M$ such that $a\beta [a, m]_\alpha = 0$ (or $[a, m]_\alpha \beta a = 0$) , for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then $a \in Z(M)$.

Proof

For all $m_1 \in M$ and $\delta \in \Gamma$, then
 $0 = a\beta [a, m\delta m_1]_\alpha = a\beta (m\delta [a, m_1]_\alpha + [a, m]_\alpha \delta m_1)$
 $a\beta (m\delta [a, m_1]_\alpha)$ (1)
 By assumption and (*) ,we get
 $0 = m\delta a\beta [a, m_1]_\alpha = m\beta a\delta [a, m_1]_\alpha$... (2)
 Now from (1) and (2), we have
 $[a, m]_\beta \delta [a, m_1]_\alpha = 0$... (3)
 In (3) replace m_1 by $m_1 \gamma m$,for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have
 $0 = [a, m]_\beta \delta [a, m_1 \gamma m]_\alpha = [a, m]_\beta \delta (m_1 \gamma [a, m]_\alpha + [a, m_1]_\alpha \gamma m)$
 $= [a, m]_\beta \delta m_1 \gamma [a, m]_\alpha$
 Now for all $\beta \in \Gamma$ take $\beta = \alpha$ and since M is a semi-prime Γ -ring therefore $[a, m]_\alpha = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, thus $a \in Z(M)$. Similarly we can prove the lemma ,when $[a, m]_\alpha \beta a = 0$.

Lemma3.4

let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring , U be a left ideal of M and $A, B: M \times M \rightarrow M$,be two bi-additive maps ,if $A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(x, y) = 0$, then $A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v) = 0$, for all $x, y, u, v \in U$.

Proof: By assumption

$A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(x, y) = (0)$, for all $x, y \in U$... (1)
 In (1) replace x by $x+u$ for all $u \in U$ we get
 $(0) = A(x+u, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(x+u, y)$
 $= (A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma A(u, y)) \Gamma U \Gamma (B(x, y) + B(u, y))$
 $A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y) + A(u, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(x, y) = (0)$. (2)
 Now from (2) and semi-primness of M we can prove

$(A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y)) \Gamma M \Gamma (A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y))$
 $= -A(u, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y)$
 but $U \Gamma B(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma A(x, y) \Gamma U \subseteq U$, (U be a left ideal), therefore

$(A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y)) \Gamma M \Gamma (A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y)) = 0$.
 Then
 $A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y) = (0)$ (3)

In (3) replace y with $y+v$, for all $v \in U$ we get
 $(0) = A(x, y+v) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y+v)$
 $= (A(x, y) + A(x, v)) \Gamma U \Gamma (B(u, y) + B(u, v))$
 $A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v) + A(x, v) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y) = 0$ (4)

Also we can prove that
 $(A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v)) \Gamma M \Gamma (A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v)) = -A(x, v) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, y) \Gamma M \Gamma A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v)$.
 From the above relation ,since U be a left ideal, then $U \Gamma B(u, v) \Gamma M \Gamma A(x, y) \Gamma U \subseteq U$, therefore
 $A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v) \Gamma M \Gamma A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v) = 0$, but M be a semi-prime Γ -ring then $A(x, y) \Gamma U \Gamma B(u, v) = 0$,for all $x, y, u, v \in U$.

Lemma3.5

Let M be semiprime Γ -ring satisfying (*) and let U be left ideal of M then $Z(U) \subseteq Z(M)$.

Proof

Let $a \in Z(U)$ then for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $x \in M$, $x\alpha a \in U$ and $[a, x\alpha a]_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$, then by lemma 3.3 , $a \in Z(M)$.

Lemma3.6

Let U be a nonzero left ideal of the semi-prime Γ -ring M satisfying (*) if T is an endomorphism of M which is Γ -centralizing on U .Then T is Γ -commuting on U

Proof: By assumption $[x, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, for all $x \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Polarizing the above relation we have

$[x, T(y)]_\alpha + [y, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, for all $x, y \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ (1)

In (1) replacing y by $x\beta x$, then we get
 $[x, T(x\beta x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha = [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta T(x)_\alpha + x\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta x$
 $= 2T(x)\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2x\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$.

Now since $[x, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, then
 $[2x\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha, x]_\alpha = 0$,for all $x \in U$.

Therefore $2x\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z(U) \subseteq Z(M)$ (by Lemma 3.5) ,so $2x\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, by additive subgroup of $Z(M)$ we have $2T(x)\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha$ Therefore
 $0 = 2[T(x)\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha, x]_\alpha$
 $= 2[x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha$, for all $x \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.
 Which means that

$$(2[x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta)^3 (2[x, T(x)]_\alpha) = 2^3 (2[x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha) \beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0.$$

Since the center of a semi-prime Γ -ring contains no nonzero strongly nilpotent elements we conclude that

$$2[x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots(2)$$

and hence

$$2([x, T(y)]_\alpha + [y, T(x)]_\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad \dots(3)$$

Now by use (1) and (2) we can proved that $[x\beta y + y\beta x, xT(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(y)]_\alpha = 2y\beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2x\beta [x, T(y)]_\alpha + [y, T(x)]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x, y \in U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Therefore $[x\beta y + y\beta x, xT(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(y)]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x, y \in U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. $\dots(4)$

Now in (4) let $T(x) = z$ and take $y = z\delta x\mu x$, for all $\delta, \mu \in \Gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta z\delta x\mu x + z\delta x\mu x\beta x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z\delta x\mu x)]_\alpha \\ &= [x\beta z\delta x\mu x, z]_\alpha + [z\delta x\mu x\beta x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z\mu z]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta z\delta [x\mu x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta z, z]_\alpha \delta x\mu x + z\delta x\mu x\beta [x, z]_\alpha \\ &+ [z\delta x\mu x, z]_\alpha \beta x + [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z\mu z]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta z\delta (x\mu [x, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha \mu x) + (x\beta [z, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha \beta z) \\ &\delta x\mu x + z\delta x\mu x\beta [x, z]_\alpha + (z\delta x\mu [x, z]_\alpha + [z\delta x, z]_\alpha \mu x)\beta x \\ &+ [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z\mu z]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta z\delta (2x\mu [x, z]_\alpha) + 2[x, z]_\alpha \beta z\delta x\mu x + z\delta x\mu [x, z]_\alpha \beta x \\ &+ z\delta [x, z]_\alpha \mu x\beta x + [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z\mu z]_\alpha \\ &= 2[x, z]_\alpha \beta z\delta x\mu x + [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z\mu z]_\alpha \\ &= [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z\mu z]_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $0 = [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z\mu z]_\alpha = T(z)\delta [x\beta x, z\mu z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z\mu z = T(z)\delta (x\beta (2[x, z]_\alpha \mu z) + [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z\mu z) [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z\mu z = 0 \quad \dots(5)$

On the other hand taking $y = z\delta x$ in (4) for all $\delta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta z\delta x + z\delta x\beta x, x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z\delta x)]_\alpha \\ &= [x\beta z\delta x + z\delta x\beta x, x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z]_\alpha \\ &= [x\delta z\beta x + z\delta x\beta x, x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z)\delta z]_\alpha \\ &= [(x\delta z + z\delta x)\beta x, x, z]_\alpha + T(z)\delta [x\beta x, z]_\alpha \\ &+ [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z \\ &= [(x, z)_\delta + 2z\delta x)\beta x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z \\ &= [(x, z)_\delta \beta x, z]_\alpha + 2[z\delta x\beta x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z \\ &= [x, z]_\delta \beta [x, z]_\alpha + [(x, z)_\delta, z]_\alpha \beta x + [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z. \\ &0 = [x, z]_\delta \beta [x, z]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z \in Z(M). \quad \dots(6) \end{aligned}$$

But $[x, z]_\delta \beta [x, z]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, therefore from (6) $[x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z \in Z(M)$.

Now from (5) $0 = [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z\mu z = z\mu [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z$ $0 = [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z\mu [x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z$ but the center of a semi-prime Γ -ring contains no nonzero strongly nilpotent elements we conclude that $[x\beta x, T(z)]_\alpha \delta z = 0$. Therefore from

(6) we have $[x, z]_\delta \beta [x, z]_\alpha = 0$, for all $\delta \in \Gamma$, thus $[x, z]_\alpha \beta [x, z]_\alpha = 0$, therefore $[x, z]_\alpha = [x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0$.

4. Main result

Theorem 4.1:

Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring satisfying (*) and U be a non zero left ideal of M , suppose that M admits an endomorphism T which is one-to-one on U , Γ -centralizing on U and not the identity on U , if $T(U) \subseteq U$. Then M contains a non zero central ideal.

Proof:

Let x^T be the image of element x under the mapping T .

Now, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$[x, x^T]_\alpha = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Polarizing the above relation we have

$$[x, y^T]_\alpha = [x^T, y]_\alpha \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad \dots(1)$$

Substituting $x\beta y$ for y and applying (1), we then get

$$(x - x^T)\beta [x^T, y]_\alpha = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U, \beta, \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad \dots(2)$$

Replacing y by uy in (2) for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ yields

$$(x - x^T)\beta U\gamma [x^T, y]_\alpha = (0) \quad \text{therefore } (x - x^T)\Gamma U\Gamma [x^T, y]_\alpha = (0)$$

now by Lemma 3.4 then

$$(x - x^T)\Gamma U\Gamma [z^T, y]_\alpha = (0), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in U. \quad \dots(3)$$

Let $P = \{ P_i \mid P_i \text{ is prime ideal with } \bigcap P_i = (0) \}; i \in I$, therefore from (3), we get

$$(x - x^T)\Gamma M\Gamma U\Gamma [z^T, y]_\alpha \subseteq P_i.$$

Therefore by Remark 2.6 either

(a) $(x - x^T) \subseteq P_i$ or (b) $U\Gamma [z^T, y]_\alpha \subseteq P_i$.

Call a prime ideal in P a type -one prime if it satisfies (a); call all other members of P type-two primes. Now let $P_1 = \bigcap P_i$ (type -one prime) and $P_2 = \bigcap P_i$ (type-two prime). It is clear that $P_1 \cap P_2 = (0)$. Now from (a) and (b) and since $T(U) \subseteq U$ then for all x in U we have $x^T \in U$ and $x - x^T \in U$. From (a) and (b) we get $U^T\Gamma [(x - x^T), y^T]_\alpha \subseteq P_1 \cap P_2 = (0)$, therefore $(U\Gamma [x - x^T, y]_\alpha)^T = 0$, but T is one to one on U then

$$U\Gamma [x - x^T, y]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots(4)$$

From (4) we have $U\Gamma [x, y]_\alpha - U\Gamma [x^T, y]_\alpha = 0 \in P_i$ but $U\Gamma [x^T, y]_\alpha \subseteq P_i$ (type-two prime), therefore

$$U\Gamma [x, y]_\alpha \subseteq P_i \text{ (type-two prime)}. \quad \dots(5)$$

Now returning to (1) and replacing x by $x\beta y$ for all $x, y \in U$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta y, y^T]_\alpha = [(x\beta y)^T, y]_\alpha = [x^T\beta y^T, y]_\alpha \\ & x\beta [y, y^T]_\alpha + [x, y^T]_\alpha \beta y = x^T\beta [y^T, y]_\alpha + [x^T, y]_\alpha \beta y^T \\ & [x, y^T]_\alpha \beta y = [x^T, y]_\alpha \beta y^T = [x^T, y]_\alpha \beta y^T \quad \text{(from (1))} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$[x^T, y]_\alpha \beta (y - y^T) = 0$,
 now since $T(U) \subseteq U$, then in above relation
 replace y by y^T , we have $[x^T, y^T]_\alpha \beta (y^T y^{TT}) = 0$
 ,but T is one to one on U therefore
 $[x, y]_\alpha \beta (y - y^T) = 0$, replace x by $x\gamma u$, for all $u \in U$
 and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, thus

$$0 = [x\gamma u, y]_\alpha \beta (y - y^T) = (x\gamma[u, y]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha \gamma u) \beta (y - y^T) \\ = [x, y]_\alpha \gamma u \beta (y - y^T).$$

Therefore $[x, y]_\alpha \Gamma U \Gamma (y - y^T) = (0)$. Now by
 Lemma 3.4, then $[x, y]_\alpha \Gamma U \Gamma (z - z^T) = (0)$, for all
 $x, y, z \in U$. By definition of P then either
 $[x, y]_\alpha \in P_i$ or $U \Gamma (z - z^T) \subset P_i$. But $T(U) \subset U$,
 for all $z_1 \in U$, then $z_1 - z_1^T \in U$, therefore
 $[x, y]_\alpha \Gamma (z_1 - z_1^T) \Gamma (z_2 - z_2^T) = (0)$,
 for all $x, y, z_1, z_2 \in U$. (6)

Define V be the left ideal generated by all
 elements of form $u\beta(v - v^T)$ for $u, v \in U$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$.
 We will show that V is commutative as Γ -
 ring, it will suffice to show that
 $[u_1\beta(v_1 - v_1^T), u_2\gamma(v_2 - v_2^T)]_\alpha = 0$, for all $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in U$
 and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$... (7)

We note that

$$[u_1\beta(v_1 - v_1^T), u_2\gamma(v_2 - v_2^T)]_\alpha = u_1\beta[(v_1 - v_1^T), u_2\gamma(v_2 - v_2^T)]_\alpha \\ + [u_1, u_2\gamma(v_2 - v_2^T)]_\alpha \beta(v_1 - v_1^T) \\ = u_1\beta[v_1 - v_1^T, u_2\gamma v_2]_\alpha - u_1\beta[v_1 - v_1^T, u_2\gamma v_2^T]_\alpha \\ + u_2\gamma[u_1, v_2 - v_2^T]_\alpha \beta(v_1 - v_1^T) \\ + [u_1, u_2]_\alpha \gamma(v_2 - v_2^T) \beta(v_1 - v_1^T) \\ = 0$$

according to (4) and (6), V is commutative ideal
 so by Lemma 3.5, V is central left ideal of M .
 Now if $V = (0)$ then $u\beta(v - v^T) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$
 and $\beta \in \Gamma$, there for
 $U \Gamma (y - y^T) = 0$, for all $y \in U$ (8)

Suppose that $F = \{u \in U \mid u^T = u\}$, then from (1)
 and (8) we can prove that $x\beta y + y\beta x \in F$ for all $x, y \in U, \beta \in \Gamma$.
 Since $U \Gamma (y - y^T) = 0$, $U \Gamma (x - x^T) = 0$ and $x, x^T, y, y^T \in U$, then

$$x\beta y = x\beta y^T \dots (a) \\ y\beta x = y\beta x^T \dots (b)$$

but from (1), we have $[x, y^T]_\beta = [x^T, y]_\beta$,
 therefore from (a) and (b), we get
 $x\beta y + y\beta x = x^T \beta y^T + y^T \beta x^T = (x\beta y + y\beta x)^T$.
 Therefore
 $x\beta y + y\beta x \in F$ (9)

Now from (1) if $x \in F$ then
 $[x, y^T]_\alpha = [x^T, y]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha$ for all $y \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma$
 therefore $[x, y - y^T]_\alpha = 0$ and $(y - y^T)\alpha x = x\alpha(y - y^T)$,
 but by (8) then

$$(y - y^T)\alpha x = 0, \text{ for all } x \in F, y \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \dots (10)$$

Now from (9) then
 $(y - y^T)\alpha(x\beta z + y\beta z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in U$... (11)
 therefore $(y - y^T)\alpha x \beta z + (y - y^T)\alpha y \beta z = 0$.

Then
 $2(y - y^T)\Gamma U \Gamma U = (0)$ (12)

But U be a left ideal then $2(y - y^T)\Gamma U \Gamma M \Gamma U = (0)$
 and $2(y - y^T)\Gamma U \subset U$ then
 $(2(y - y^T)\Gamma U)\Gamma M \Gamma (2(y - y^T)\Gamma U) = (0)$, but M is a
 semi-prime Γ -ring then
 $2(y - y^T)\Gamma U = (0)$ for all $y \in U$ (13)

Since $T(U) \subset U$ then $y - y^T \in U$ for all $y \in U$
 ,now from (8), we get
 $(y - y^T)\beta(y - y^T) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$ (14)

Therefore $y^T \beta y^T = (y - (y - y^T))\beta(y - (y - y^T))$
 $y^T \beta y^T = y\beta y$ (according to
 (8),(a) and (14)).

then $y\beta y \in F$ for all $y \in U$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$.

In (11) replace x by $x\gamma x$ and z by $m\delta x$, for
 all $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ and $m \in M$, we get

$$0 = (y - y^T)\alpha(x\gamma x)\beta m\delta x + (y - y^T)\alpha m\delta x\beta x\gamma x$$

But if $x \in U$ then $x\gamma x \in F$ therefore from (10)
 we have

$$(y - y^T)\alpha(x\gamma x)\beta m\delta x = 0$$

So that
 $(y - y^T)\Gamma M \Gamma (x\Gamma)^2 x = 0$, for all $x, y \in U$... (15)

Now from definition of P then either (a')
 $(y - y^T) \in P_i$ for all $y \in U$ or (b')
 $(x\Gamma)^2 x \in P_i$ for all $x \in U$.

We say P_i is of type three if satisfy (a')

We say P_i is of type four if satisfy (b')

and $P_4 = \bigcap P_i$ (P_i is type four)

therefore $P_3 \cap P_4 = (0)$

Since T is not identity on U then there exists $y \in U$
 such that $y - y^T \neq 0$

let $0 \neq \tilde{U} = U \cap P_3$ that \tilde{U} is left ideal.

For each $x \in \tilde{U}$ then $x \in U$ there for
 $(x\Gamma)^2 x \in P_4$ but $P_4 \cap P_3 \cap U = (0)$ then
 For all $x \in \tilde{U}$, then $(x\Gamma)^2 x = 0$ therefore $(\tilde{U}\Gamma)^2 \tilde{U} = (0)$
 ,but M has no such left ideal by Definition 2.5
 ,then $V \neq 0$.

References

1. Nobusawa, N. 1964. On the Generalization of the Ring Theory, *Osaka J. Math.*, **1**, 81-89.
2. Barnes, W. E. 1966. On the Γ -Rings of Nobusawa, *Pacific J. Math.*, **18**, 411-422.

3. Kyuno, S. **1978**. On prime gamma rings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **75**(1), 185-190.
4. Luh, J. **1969**. On the theory of simple Γ -rings, *Michigan Math. J.*, **16**, 65-75.
5. Booth, G. L. **1987**. On the radicals of Γ_N -rings, *Math. Japonica*, **32**(3), 357-372.
6. Mukherjee, T.K. and Sen, M.K., **1987**. On fuzzy ideals of a ring I, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **21**(1), 99-104.
7. Kyuno, S. **1977**. On the semi-simple gamma rings, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **29**, 217-225.
8. Mayne, J. **1984**. Centralizing mappings of prime rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **27**, pp.122-126.
9. Bell, H. E. and Martindale, W. S.. **1987**. Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **30**, 92-101.