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#### Abstract

In this research, many simple new techniques will be used supported by numerical and theoretical proofs of the methods of the intersection of $\beta$-numbers for any partition $\mu$ of $r$; which represented by Mahmood in 2010, in which he could appoint the location and the number of beads using "Guide value" and "The main diagram" methods


## ملاحظات حول تقاطع قلب مخططات يونك

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { عمار صديق محمود، سارة موفق محمود، فادية سنحاريب نـوم } \\
& \text { قسم الرياضيات، كلية النربية، جامعة الموصل. الموصل-العراق. } \\
& \text { ملخص البحث: } \\
& \text { في هذا البحث يتم استخدام تقنيـات جديدة وسهلة مدعومـة ببراهين نظريـة وحسابية لمفهوم تقاطع أعداد } \\
& \text { لتجزئة معينة } \mu \text { من } r \text { والتي قدمها محمود في } 2010 \text { حيث تمكن من تحديد موقع وعدد الكرات أو (العقد) } \\
& \text { باستخدام مفهومي "القيمة الليل" و "الكخطط الرئيسي". }
\end{aligned}
$$

## I. Introduction:

Let $r$ be a non-negative integer. A composition $\mu$ of $r$ is a sequence $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ of non-negative integers such that $|\mu|=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}=r$.
A composition $\mu$ is a partition if $\mu_{j} \geq \mu_{j+1}$, for all $j \geq 1$.
$\beta$-numbers is defined by; see James [1]:
" Fix $\mu$ is a partition of $r$. Choose an integer $b$ greater than or equal to the number of parts of $\mu$ and define $\beta_{i}=\mu_{i}+b-i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq b$. The set $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{b}\right\}$ is said to be a set of $\beta$ numbers for $\mu$."

For example, if $\mu=(5,4,4,2,1)$, then $n=5$ and if we take $b=7$, then $\beta$-numbers are $\{11,9,8,5,3,1,0\}$.
We can represent $\beta$-numbers by the diagram (A):

where every $\beta$ will be represented by a bead which takes its location in (diagram A). Returning to the above example we will see:


Many researchers had been study this subject as it has a connection with representation theory of Iwahori-Hecke algebras, such as Fayers in [2] and [3].

## II. The intersection of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-numbers :

In this part, we will deal with the main diagram and guide value methods which represented by Mahmood in [4]. We will try to give this subject by new technique which support the previous results.

Since the value of $b \geq n$, then we deal with an infinite numbers of values of $b$. Here we want to mention that these values have a special diagram (A) for it, but there is a repeated part of this diagram with other values where a "Down-shifted" or "Up-shifted", occurs when we take the following:
$b_{1}$ if $b=n, \quad b_{2}$ if $b=n+1, \ldots,$.
$b_{e}$ if $b=n+(e-1)$
Definition (2.1):[4] For any $\beta$-numbers in (diag.A), the values of $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{e}$ are called the guides.

By the above example, the guides values are $b_{1}=5$ and $b_{2}=6$ when $\mu=(5,4,4,2,1)$ :

| $\mathrm{e}=2$ |  | $\mathrm{b}_{1}=5$ |  | $\mathrm{b}_{1}+1(\mathrm{e})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |
| 2 | 3 | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |
| 4 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | 7 | - | $\bullet$ | - | - |
| 8 | 9 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ |
| 10 | 11 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ |
| 12 | 13 | - | - | - | - |

And

| $\mathrm{e}=2$ |  | $\mathrm{~b}_{2}=6$ |  | $\mathrm{~b}_{2}+1(\mathrm{e})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | $\bullet \bullet$ | - | $\bullet$ |
| 2 | 3 | $\bullet$ | - |  |
| 4 | 5 | $\bullet$ | - |  |
| 6 | 7 | - | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| 8 | 9 | $\bullet$ | - | $\bullet$ |
| 10 | 11 | $\bullet$ | - | - |
| 12 | 13 | - | - | $\bullet$ |

we will define any (diagram A) that corresponds any $b$ guides as a "main diagram" or "guide diagram".[4]
Theorem (2.2): [4, 2.5] There is $e$ of main diagrams for any partition $\mu$ of $r$.
Now, we return to the intersection method by:

1. let $\tau$ be the number of redundant part pf the partition $\mu$ of $r$, then we have $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}^{\tau_{1}}, \mu_{2}^{\tau_{2}}, \ldots, \mu_{m}^{\tau_{m}}\right)$ such that $r=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}=\sum_{l=1}^{m} \mu_{l}^{\tau_{l}}$.
2. We denote the intersection of main diagrams

$$
\text { by } \bigcap_{s=1}^{e} m \cdot d{ }_{b_{s}} \text {. }
$$

We see in (2.3) that there is just one bead where there isn't any bead in (2.4). So the intersection result as a numerical value will be $\phi$ in the case of no existence for any bead, or, $v$ in the case that $v$ common beads exist in main diagrams (A).

| m.d. $\mathrm{b}_{1}=5$ | m.d. $\mathrm{b}_{2}=6$ |  | $\bigcap_{S=1}^{2} \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - • | - - |  | - - |
| - • |  |  | - - |
| - - | - - | $\longrightarrow$ | - - |
| - • |  |  | - • |
| - • | - - |  | - - |
| - - | - - |  | - - |


| m.d. $b_{1}=5$ | m.d. $\mathrm{b}_{2}=6$ | m.d. $b_{3}=7$ |  | $\bigcap_{S=1}^{3} \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - - - | - - - | - • |  | - - - |
| - - - | - • - | - |  | - - |
| - • | - • - | - - • | $\longrightarrow$ | - - - |
| - | - | - |  | - - |

Remark (2.5): For any $e$, all beads in all runners of main diagram $b=l$ will be "rightshifted" in the next main diagram $b=l+1$
under consideration that the last runner will be right-shifted to the first runner adding 1 extra bead.

| m.d. $\mathrm{b}_{1}=5$ | m.d. $\mathrm{b}_{2}=6$ | m.d. $b_{3}=7$ |  | $\bigcap_{S=1}^{3} \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\longrightarrow$ |  |

This notice leads us to that, the main diagram for $b_{1}$ plays an important role in this problem, that we can neglect the remaining main diagrams to find the intersection. By this let us take the following proposition:

## Proposition (2.6):

1. In the case of existence of repetitions equal to one, then this part will appear
2. only in the ( maindiagram $_{b_{1}}$ ) but not in other main diagrams where
$b_{1}<b_{2}<\ldots<b_{e}$. So $\bigcap_{s=1}^{e} m . d \cdot \cdot_{s}=\phi$.
3. In the case that there exist repetitions equal to 2 , this means that there exist 2 beads in
(m.d. $\cdot_{b_{1}}$ ). The least value of these 2 beads will be canceled, where the other will be exist.
4. If we choose $e>2$, this case in the same as in part one in this proposition, that we must cancel "the two least values of two repetitions where $e=3$, the three least values of two repetitions where $e=4, \ldots$, the least $(m-1)$ values of $(e-1)$ repetitions where $e=m$."
To explain this, let us take the example $\mu=\left(5,4^{2}, 2,1\right)$ then we see:

or if $\mu=\left(6,3^{3}, 2,1^{2}\right)$ and $e=3$, then

| $\beta$-numbers <br> $b_{1}=7$ | $\beta$-numbers <br> $b_{2}=8$ | $\beta$-numbers <br> $b_{3}=9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6+7-1=12$ | $6+8-1=13$ | $6+9-1=14$ |
| $3+7-2=8$ | $3+8-2=9$ | $3+9-2=10$ |
| $3+7-3=7$ | $3+8-3=8$ | $3+9-3=9$ |
| $3+7-4=6$ | $3+8-4=7$ | $3+9-4=8$ |
| $2+7-5=4$ | $2+8-5=5$ | $2+9-5=6$ |
| $1+7-6=2$ | $1+8-6=3$ | $1+9-6=4$ |
| $1+7-7=1$ | $1+8-7=2$ | $1+9-7=3$ |
|  | $8-8=0$ | $9-8=1$ |
|  |  | $9-9=0$ |

$\ldots . .(2,8)$
So instead of putting $\beta$-numbers in diagram (A), we will put the numbers as a numerical series in one row with the following steps:

|  | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu=\left(5,44^{2}, 2,1\right)$ | - | - | © | - | - | - | © | - | (0) | - |
| $\mathrm{e}=2$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{e}=3$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |


|  |  | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 |  |  | 18 |  | 16 |  |  | 13 |  | 12 | 11 | 10 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 32 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu=\left(7^{5}, 5^{3}, 4^{4}, 2^{6}, 3^{3}\right)$ |  |  | - | © 0 | (4) | 0- | - |  | © | c | 0 |  |  | C | C | , | ( |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}=2$ |  |  |  | ( 0 |  | - | - |  |  | C |  |  |  |  | C | O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}=3$ |  |  |  | O. |  | - |  |  | $\bigcirc$. |  |  |  |  |  | C. |  |  | - |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | - |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}=4$ |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | C. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}=5$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}=6$ |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}=7$ |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |

We want to appoint that this simple technique which combine remark (2.5) and Proposition (2.6), will support the result of the intersection, by determining (2.7)-(2.9).

Many uses of technique in Proposition (2.6) and the studying of many cases as in (2.7)-(2.10) lead us numerically to the following relations such as knowing the new partition $\rho_{e}$ directly from the main partition $\mu$ of $r$. First we must appoint that $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}^{\tau_{1}}, \mu_{2}^{\tau_{2}}, \ldots, \mu_{m}^{\tau_{m}}\right) \quad$ which means that there are $m$-sets which separated by a blank or set of blanks depending on the value of the difference between $\left(\mu_{l}-\mu_{l-1}\right)$, for
all $1 \leq l \leq m$ where in every set of $m$ there exists $\tau_{l}$ beads.

Theorem (2.11): Let $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}^{\tau_{1}}, \mu_{2}^{\tau_{2}}, \ldots, \mu_{m}^{\tau_{m}}\right)$ be a partition of $r$. The new partition $\rho_{e=2}$ after the intersection of the main diagrams is equal to: $\rho_{2}=\left(\left(\mu_{1}+m\right)^{\tau_{1}-1},\left(\mu_{2}+(m-1)\right)^{\tau_{2}-2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\left(\mu_{m}+1\right)^{\tau_{m}-1}\right)$.
Proof: By Proposition (2.6) we will cancel the last bead in each set; (see (2.9)-(2.10)), i.e. we cancel $m$ beads, that is, we add $m$ blanks to $\mu_{1},(m-1)$ blanks to $\mu_{2}, \ldots$ and one blank to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{m} . \quad \text { Hence } \\
& \rho_{2}=\left(\left(\mu_{1}+m\right)^{\tau_{1}-1},\left(\mu_{2}+(m-1)\right)^{\tau_{2}-2}, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.\left(\mu_{m}+1\right)^{\tau_{m}-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark (2.12): Let some or all $\tau_{l}-1=0$. Then this part with the new partition $\rho_{2}$ which related with it and we ordered $\rho_{2}$ without the existence of the part of order which equal zero, i.e. $\rho_{2}=\left(\left(\mu_{1}+m\right)^{\tau_{1}-1},\left(\mu_{2}+(m-1)\right)^{\tau_{2}-2}, \ldots\right.$, .

$$
\left.\left(\mu_{m}+1\right)^{\tau_{m}-1}\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}^{t_{1}}, \sigma_{2}^{t_{2}}, \ldots, \sigma_{c}^{t_{c}}\right), c<m
$$

Repeat the same steps of Theorem (2.11) and Remark (2.12) in order to find $\rho_{3}$ as the following:

## Theorem (2.13):

1. $\rho_{3}=\phi$ if $\rho_{2}=\phi$, (i.e. $\tau_{l}=1$ ) for all
$1 \leq l \leq m, c$. or $t_{x}=1$ for all $1 \leq x \leq c$
2. $\rho_{3}=\left(\left(\mu_{1}+2 m\right)^{\tau_{1}-2},\left(\mu_{2}+2(m-1)\right)^{\tau_{2}-2}, \ldots\right.$,

$$
\left.\left(\mu_{m}+2\right)^{\tau_{m}-2}\right) \text { if } \tau_{l}>2 \text { for all } 1 \leq l \leq m
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3-\rho_{3}=\left(\left(\sigma_{1}+c\right)^{t_{1}-1},\left(\sigma_{2}+(c-1)\right)^{t_{2}-1}, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.\left(\sigma_{c}+1\right)^{t_{c}-1}\right) . \\
& \text { if } t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{c} \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark (2.14): We can find any $\rho_{e}$ after repeating the same methods of Theorems (2.11) and (2.13) and Remark (2.12).

Example: Let $\mu=\left(7^{5}, 5^{3}, 4^{4}, 2^{6}, 1^{3}\right)$, then the number of parts is 5 . Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{2}=\left(12^{4}, 9^{2}, 7^{3}, 4^{5}, 2^{2}\right), \quad n=5, \\
& \rho_{3}=\left(17^{3}, 13,10^{2}, 6^{4}, 3\right), \quad n=5, \\
& \rho_{4}=\left(22^{2}, 17^{0}, 13,8^{3}, 4^{0}\right)=\left(22^{2}, 13,8^{3}\right), \quad n=3, \\
& \rho_{5}=\left(25,15^{0}, 9^{2}\right)=\left(25,9^{2}\right), \quad n=2, \\
& \rho_{6}=\left(27^{0}, 10\right)=(10), \quad n=1, \\
& \rho_{7}=\left(11^{0}\right)=\phi, \\
& \text { and } \rho_{e>7}=\phi .
\end{aligned}
$$
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