



New Characterization of Topological Transitivity

Hussein J. Abdul Hussein

Department of Mathematics and Computer Applications, College of Science , University of Al Muthanna, Al Muthanna , Iraq

Abstract

Let (X, f) be a dynamical system, (X, f) is said to be topological transitive if for every pair of non-empty open set U, V , there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. We introduce and investigate a new definition of topological transitive by using the notion N-open subset and we called N-transitive and prove the equivalent definitions of this new definition.

Keyword: Topological transitive ,N-open Subset

MSC:54H20

وصف جديد للانتقالية التبولوجية

حسين جابر عبد الحسين

قسم الرياضيات وتطبيقات الحاسوب، كلية العلوم، جامعة المثنى، المثنى، العراق.

الخلاصة

قدم البحث وصفاً جديداً للدوال الانتقالية وباستخدام مفهوم المجموعة (N-Open) وسمية هذا المفهوم N الانتقالية (N-transitive) ، وتم تقديم عدد من التعريفات المكافئة لهذا المفهوم الجديد .

1.Introduction

The concept of topological transitive goes back to G.D Birkhoff who introduced it in 1920, [1].

A topological transitive dynamical system has points which eventually move under from one arbitrarily small open set to any other. Consequently, such a dynamical system cannot be decomposed into two disjoint sets with non-empty interiors which do not interact under the transformation.

We will consider a discrete dynamical system (X, f) given by a metric space X and

continuous map $f: X \rightarrow X$. The trajectory of a point $x \in X$ being the sequence $x, f(x), f^2(x), f^3(x), \dots$, where $f^n(x)$ is the n th iteration of f . The set of points of the trajectory of x under f is called the orbit of x , denoted by $O_f(x)$. A point $x \in X$ is called non-wandering if for every neighborhood U of x there is a positive integer n such that $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ [2]. The set of non-wandering points of f will be denoted by $\Omega(f)$.

A dynamical system (X, f) is said to be topological transitive (hereafter briefly called transitivity), if for every pair of non-empty open set U, V , there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, [3].

Recently several researches were conducted to introduce weak forms of open set and obtain some characterization and preserving theorem of topological properties Al Omari A. and Noorani M. in [4] introduce new class of set called N-open sets "A subset A of a space X is said to be an N-open if for every $x \in A$ there exists an open subset U_x in X contains x such that $U_x - A$ is a finite set". They prove that the family of all N-open establishes a topology. Moreover, they obtain a characterization and preserving theorem of compact space. The objective of this paper is use the new class of N-open set to create an N-transitive function and prove some equivalent definitions.

2. N-Open Set

In 2009 Al. Omari and Noorani[4], introduce the concept of "N-open Set" in a topological space, they prove that the family of all N-open sets establishes a topology. Moreover, they obtain a characterization and preserving theorems of compact spaces.

Definition 2.1[4]:

A subset A of a space X is said to be an N-open if for every $x \in A$ there exists an open subset U_x in X contains x such that $U_x - A$ is a finite set.

The complement of N-open set is said to be an N-closed set. Clearly every open set is N-open but the converse is not true. If X is a topological space then the family of all N-open subsets of X is a topological space, [4].

Theorem 2.2[4]:

Let (X, T) be the topological space then the family of all N-open subset is a topological space.

The union of all N-open sets of X contained in a subset A is called the N-interior of A and denoted by $A^{\circ N}$ [5].

Proposition 2.3 [5]:

A subset A of a space X is N-open if and only if $A = A^{\circ N}$.

Clearly, the interior of A is a subset of $A^{\circ N}$ ($A^{\circ} \subseteq A^{\circ N}$). The N-neighborhood of a point $x \in X$ is any N-open subset of X which contains x . Now we can introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.4:

A subset A is said to be N-nowhere dense if the closure has empty N-interior.

The function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be continuous function if $f^{-1}(A)$ is an open set in X for every open set A in Y . This definition is equivalent to the following definition see [4], a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be N-continuous if $f^{-1}(A)$ is an N-open set in X for every open set A in Y , if $f^{-1}(A)$ and A is N-open then f is said to be N*-continuous, [5], clearly every continuous is an N-continuous function, but the converse is not true in general, and every N*-continuous function is an N-continuous, but the converse is not true in general, for more details see [5].

The following proposition gives the condition on a continuous function which implies N*-continuous

Proposition 2.5 [5]:

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous injective, then $f^{-1}(A)$ is N-open whenever A is an N-open subset of Y .

A subset A of topological space X is said to be dense set (or everywhere dense) in X , if the closure of A is equal to X ($\bar{A} = X$) [6]. Equivalently, A is dense if and only if A intersects every non-empty open set in X . Now, we can prove the relation between dense set and N-open set in a topological space.

Theorem 2.6:

Let X be a topological space and $A \subset X$ then A is dense in X if and only if $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every non-empty N-open set U .

Proof:

let A be a dense subset of X , then for every non-empty open set $V \subset X, A \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Let U be N-open subset in X , suppose $A \cap U = \emptyset$.

$\forall x \in U$, implies $x \notin A$, but U is N-open then there exist N_x is open set in X such that $x \in N_x$, and $N_x - U$ is finite. $N_x \cap A = \emptyset$ this is a contradiction, $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$

Conversely, suppose $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every non-empty N-open set of X , we shall show that A is dense. if not suppose $p \in X$ and $p \notin \bar{A}$, so $p \in X - \bar{A}$.

$$X - \bar{A} \cap A = \emptyset,$$

which is a contradiction to that fact $X - \bar{A}$ is open set in X , so $X - \bar{A}$ is N-open set in X . Hence $p \in \bar{A}$, therefore $X \subseteq \bar{A} \subseteq X$ then, $\bar{A} = X$ (A is dense). \square

3. N-Transitive Map

In this section we introduce the following new notion

Definition 3.1.

let X be a compact metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. The map f is said to be N-transitive if for all non empty N-open sets U, V there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Clearly every transitive map is N-transitive but the converse is not true. The following results gives an equivalent condition for N-transitivity.

Theorem 3.2:

Let (X, f) be a dynamical system, then f is topologically N-transitive if and only if for every non-empty N-open set U in $X, \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(U)$ is dense in X .

Proof : Assume $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(U)$ is not dense. Then there exists a non-empty N-open set V such that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(U) \cap V = \emptyset$. This implies $f^n(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is a

contradiction to the N-transitivity of f .

Hence $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(U)$ is dense in X .

Now, let U and V be two non-empty N-opens sets in $X. \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(U)$ is dense in X so, by

Theorem 2.6, we have $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. This implies there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^m(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, hence f is N-transitive. \square

Now, if (X, f) is dynamical system and f is N*-continuous then the following condition are equivalent.

Theorem 3.3:

let (X, f) be a dynamical system and f is N*-continuous then the following are equivalent:

- (i) f is N-transitive.
- (ii) For every non-empty N-open set U in $X, \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$ is dense in X .
- (iii) If $E \subset X$ is N-closed and $f(E) \subset E$ then $E = X$ or E is N-nowhere dense.
- (iv) If $U \subset X$ is N-open and $f^{-1}(U) \subset U$ then $U = \emptyset$ or U is dense in X

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since f is N*-continuous and the family of N-open set is topological space, thus $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$ is N-open and since f is N-transitive, it has to meet every N-open set in X and hence is dense.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let U, V be N-open and non-empty sets in X . Then $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$ is dense in X .

As a result $U \cap \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(V) \neq \emptyset$. This implies

$\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \cap f^{-m}(V) \neq \emptyset$. We further have $f^m(U \cap f^{-m}(V)) = f^m(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Hence f is N-transitive.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) f is N-transitive, $E \subset X$ is N-closed and $f(E) \subset E$. Assume that $E \neq X$

and E has a non-empty N -interior . Define $U = X \setminus E$. Clearly U is N -open , since E is N -closed. Let $V \subset E$ be N -open since E has a non-empty N -interior .We have $f^n(V) \subset E$ since E is invariant. Then $f^n(V) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.This is a contradiction to N -transitivity . Hence $E = X$ or E is N -nowhere dense .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let U be non-empty N -open set in X . Suppose f is not N -transitive ,then from(ii) of this theorem , $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$ is not

dense ,but $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$ is N -open . Define

$E = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$.Clearly E is N -closed and $E \neq X$.Claim $f(E) \subset E$.

Suppose $f(E)$ is not a subset of E . This implies $f(E) \cap \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U) \neq \emptyset$. This further implies

$$f^{-1} \left[f(E) \cap \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U) \right] = E \cap \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U) \neq \emptyset$$

. This is contradiction to the definition of E , thus $f(E) \subset E$.

Since $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$ is not dense , there exists a non-empty N -open set V in X such that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U) \cap V = \emptyset$. This implies $V \subset E$,this is contradiction to the fact that E is N -nowhere dense . Hence f is N -transitive .

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) f is N -transitive , $U \subset X$ is N -open and $f^{-1}(U) \subset U$.Assume that $U \neq \emptyset$ and U is not dense in X . Then there exists a non-empty N -open set V in X such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Further $f^{-n}(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $U \cap f^n(V) = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,a contradiction to N -transitivity of f . Hence $U = \emptyset$ or U is dense in X .

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose f is not N -transitive, for a non -empty N -open set U in X , let

$$W = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$$

is non-empty , N -open and not dense. Clearly $f^{-1}(W) \subset W$, this is contradiction since $W \neq \emptyset$ is dense. This proves that f is N -transitive . \square

We can introduce the new definition of non-wandering point.

Definition3.4:

A point $x \in X$ is called N - non-wandering if for every N -neighborhood $N(x)$ of x there is a positive integer n such that $f^n(N(x)) \cap N(x) \neq \emptyset$. The set of all N -non-wandering points of f will be denoted by $\Omega_N(f)$.

Topological transitivity and existence of a dense orbit are two equivalent definition for some space but is not true generally, [7, 3].In the following we will make a connection between the set of N -non wandering points , N -transitive and a dense orbit.

Proposition3.5:

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map on compact metric space , f is N -transitive if and only if $\Omega_N(f) = X$,and f has a dense orbit.

Proof:

Suppose f is N - transitive, clearly has a dense

orbit, i.e. ,there exists $x_0 \in X$,such that $O_f(x_0)$

is dense in X , if $\Omega_N(f) \neq X$ then there exist

a non-empty N -open subset U such that $\{f^n(U) \mid n > 0\}$ are pairwise disjoint set. Since $O_f(x_0)$ is dense orbit, for some

$$n_0 \geq 0, f^{n_0}(x_0) \in U,$$

$$f^{n_0+n_1}(x_0) \in f^{n_1}(U), \quad n_1 \geq 0,$$

which contradiction with $f^n(U)$ is pairwise

disjoint set. Therefore $\Omega_N(f) = X$.

Now, suppose f has a dense orbit and $\Omega_N(f) = X$,let U, V be two non-empty

N -open subset of X . let $x \in X$ have a dense orbit, thus the orbit of x will enter both U and V .

Let m and n be the least integers such that $f^m(x) \in U$ and $f^n(x) \in V$.

Assume $m < n$ and set $k = n - m$.

Then obviously $f^k(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. \square

Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ and $g: B \rightarrow B$ be two maps, f, g are said to be topologically conjugate, if there exists a homeomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that $hof = goh$, [2]. Mapping which are conjugate are completely equivalent in terms of their dynamics. Now we can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6:

let f, g be two conjugate function, then if f is N-transitive the g is N-transitive also.

Proof: let U, V be two N-open subset of B , since h is continuous and one to one, then h is N*-continuous (Theorem 3.3), Thus $h^{-1}(U)$ and $h^{-1}(V)$ are N-open in A .

Since f is N-transitive then there exists $k > 0$ such that $f^k(h^{-1}(U)) \cap h^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$, i.e.,

$$hof^k(h^{-1}(U)) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

$$g^k oh(h^{-1}(U)) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

$g^k(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Thus g is N-transitive function.

\square

References

- 1.V.Kannan, Nagar, 2003, "Topological transitivity for discrete dynamical systems" Applicable mathematics in the golden age, Narosa, pp.410-453.
- 2.L.S.Block and W.A.Coppel, 1991, "Dynamical in one Dimension" Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 1513, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- 3.S.Kolyada and L. Snoha, 1997, "Some aspects of topological transitive – A survey" Grazer Math. Ber., 334, pp.3-35.
- 4.Al.Omari. A and Noorani. S. Md. , 2009, "New characterization of compact spaces" proceeding of the 5th Asian Mathematical conference, Malaysia, pp.53-60.
- 5.Majhool, Fatima and Hamza, Sattar, 2011, "On N-proper Action" M.Sc. thesis, Al Qadisiyah University.
- 6.Modak, Shyamapada, 2011 "Remarks on dense set" International Math. Forum, Vol.6, No.44, pp. 2153-2158.
- 7.N.Degirmenci and S. Kocak, 2003, "Existence of a dense orbit and topological transitivity when are they equivalent." Acta. Math. Hungar. Vol.99, No.3, pp.185-187.