



Anticancer Activity of Sunflower (*Helianthus annuns* L.) Seeds oil against cell lines

Rakad M. Kh. Al-Jumaily^{*1}, Nawal.M.J. Al-Shamma², Mohammed M. F. Al-Halbosiy³, Laith .M.J. Al-Shamma¹

¹Department of. Biology, College of Science, University of Baghdad, ²Department of. Chemistry, College of Education, University of Baghdad, ³Biology Research Centre, University of Al-Nahrin

Abstract:

This study investigated the role of sunflower (*Helianthus annuns* L.) seed extracts as a cytotoxic agent against two cell lines (RD and L20B) using different concentrations of sunflower seeds oil 1.3, 2.6, 5.2, 10.4, 20.8, 41.6, 83.2 and 166.4 μ g / ml for different exposure time (24,48 and 72 hrs). The results revealed a clear cytotxoic activity of sunflower seeds oil on growth of RD cancer cell line, and the effect was concentration-dependent.

The signification inhibition (P<0.05) was obtained at a concentration of 83.5 and 166.4 μ g / ml as compared to control. In addition, the growth of RD cell line was more sensitive to sunflower seeds oil in comparison with the growth of L20B transform cell line. In conclusion, the extract showed inhibitory effect on cancer cell line and it is promising to use as anticancer drug.

Kay word: Sunflower oil, Cytotxoic effects, Anticancer activity

فعالية زيت بذور زهرة الشمس (.Helianthus annuns L) ضد الخطوط الخلوية السرطانية

ركاد محمد خماس الجميلي¹، نوال محمد جواد الشماع²، محمد محمود فرحان الحلبوسي³، ليث محمد جواد الشماع¹ ¹قسم علوم الحياة، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، ²قسم الكيمياء، كلية التربية ابن الهيثم، جامعة بغداد،³مركز بحوث التقانات الاحيائية، جامعة النهرين.

الخلاصة:

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى التحري عن التأثيرات السمية الخلوية لزيت بذور زهرة الشمس Helianthus) (Helianthus في اثنين من الخطوط الخلوية RD و L20B باستخدام ثمانية تراكيز مختلفة 1.3 ، 2.6 ، 2.5 ، 10.4 ، 20.8 ، 41.6 ، 83.2 و 166.4 مايكرو غرام /مللتر وضمن فترة تعريض مختلفة (23 ، 41.6 ، 20.8 ، 10.4 ، 5.2 و 166.4 مايكرو غرام /مللتر وضمن فترة تعريض مختلفة (زهرة ، 41.6 ، 20.8 ، 10.4 ، 5.2 و 166.4 مايكرو غرام /مللتر وضمن فترة تعريض مختلفة (23 ، 41.6 ، 20.8 ، 10.4 ، 5.2 و 166.4 مايكرو غرام /مللتر وضمن فترة تعريض مختلفة (23 ، 41.6 ي عن مناقد النتائج وجود تأثير سمي واضح وبمعنوية عالية لمستخلص زيت بذور زهرة الشمس في نمو الخط الخلوي السرطاني RD ،علما ان نسبة السمية زادت بزيادة التركيز وسجل أعلى تأثير تثبيطي في نمو الخط الخلوي السرطاني RD لتركيز 23.8 و 166.4 خلال فترة تعريض 24 و72 ساعة مقارنة بالسيطرة. في حين لم يكن هناك تأثير واضح للمستخلص بذور زيت زهر الشمس في نمو الخلايا المتحولة 20.8

Introduction:

The practice of herbal medicine dates backs to very early periods of known human history. There is evidence of herbs having been used in treatment of disease and for revitalizing body systems in almost all ancient civilization such as the Egyptian, India, Chinese, Greek and Roman civilization, [1]. In the last years, the research on bioactive compounds of natural origin has gained much interest. According to a

the estimate of World Health recent Organization (WHO), 70-80 % of the world population especially in developing countries, relies on traditional medicine, mostly plant drugs for their primary health care needs [2, 3]. However, plant secondary products have overlapping complementary and actions, including antioxidant effects, modulation of detoxification enzymes, stimulation of the immune system, reduction of inflammation, modulation of steroid metabolism, and antibacterial and antiviral effects [4, 5].

The sunflower, *Helianthus annuus* major is agricultural crop and it's seeds are widely used for the production of edible oil and food. In addition, research has also suggested that this seed is a good source of phytochemical, including tocopherols (Vitamin E), choline, betaine, lignan, arginine and phonelic acids, [6, 7]. Such constituents may justify the folkoric medicinal applications of the plant in treating rheumatism, sedative in cough, antispasmodic, antimalaria, urinary antiseptic, liver disorders and peptic ulcers, furthermore, the plant has also used as anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, antitumor and antimicrobial agent [8, 9].

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death in the world despite newly developed and miracle drugs for treatment and diagnosis [10]. Although several anticancer drugs are already commercially available and a number of adverse effects sometimes occur during chemotherapy [11]. Therefore, researches are necessary to find alternative effective, safe and inexpensive therapies [12].

According to Cardellinall *et al.* [13] cytotoxicity screening models provide important preliminary data to help selecting plant extract with potential antineoplatic properties. Also, cytotoxicity test is a qualitative and quantitative tests to determine how cell death[14]. For this purpose this study was designed to evaluate the anticancer activity of the sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seed oil on the growth of cancer cell lines *in vitro*.

Materials & Methods:

Plant collection: The dried seed of *Helianthus annuus* were obtained from a Department of Field Crops Science, College of Agriculture in Abu-Ghraib University of Baghdad. The seeds were powdered using an electric blender, and 50 grams of the powder was infused in hexane overnight, and then oil by soxhelt apparatus using hexane for 20 hours. Solvent was then distilled off under reduced

pressure below 4 °C using rotary evaporated and stored at 4°c until use [15].

Cell Culture and Cytotoxicity:

All the experiments of this study were performed in the laboratories of the tissue culture unit of Biotechnology Research Center / Al-Nahrin University. Two types of cell lines; rhabdomysarcoma (RD) and murine L20B cells, were used. Cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% inactivated fetal calf penicillin serum (FCS) and (100U/ml)streptomycin (100 mg/ml)antibiotic. The cytotoxicity of hexane extract was tested using the method of [16]. The extract was dissolved in 0.1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Stock solution concentration 1mg/ml) and diluted with RPMI-1640 medium to give concentrations ranging from $1.3 - 166.4 \,\mu\text{g}$ / ml, the cells were grown in tissue culture flasks containing growth medium at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% Co2 and 95% relative humidity in a Co2 incubator.

The cells at subconfluent stage were harvested from the flask by treatment with trypsin-versine solution (20 ml trypsin in 370 ml PBS containing 10 ml versine) and suspended in the medium. Cells with more than 97% viability blue exclusion) were used (trypan for determination of cytotoxicity. Cells were plated in 96 multi well plate for 24 hours in a Co2 incubator at 37°C. Different concentration of the tested substance (1.3, 2.6, 5.2, 10.4, 20.8, 41.6, 83.2 and 166.4 μ g /ml) were added to the cells (three replicate wells were prepared for each individual concentration) and reincubated for the selected exposure time (24, 48 and 72 hrs). Control cultures containing RPMI-1640 alone were tested for back ground cytotoxicity. After that, 50 µl of crystal violate stain were added to the wells, and the plates were incubated in a CO2 incubator for 30 minutes at 37 °C. The stain was washed gently with tap water for three times reader at 492 nm. The inhibitory rate of cell growth was calculated as following formula [17]:

Inhibition (%)= [(Optical density of control wells - Optical density of test wells) / Optical density of control wells] * 100.

Statistical analysis:

The experimental data were analyzed using statistical software SPSS (SPSS 16.0 for windows, SPSS Ins. III., USA). Significant difference between control and sample means was assessed using student's t test and P values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results:

The effect of treating RD cells of *H. annuus* seed oil are shown in Figure 1. Growth inhibition in a dose – dependent manner was observed in RD cell line. *H. annuus* seed oil has significant effect (p<0.05) on RD cell line at the concentrations (83.2 and 166.4 μ g / ml) at 24, 48

and 72 hrs. Also, there is significant effect (p<0.05) for the concentration (10.4 and 20.8 μ g/ml) at 72 hrs, and 41.6 μ g / ml at 24 hrs. Where the other concentrations showed non-significant effect during all the period of exposures, figure 1.



Figure 1- The effect *H. annuus* seed oil at different concentration on optical density of RD cell line during 24, 48 and 72 hours of exposure. * Significant P<0.05

The effect of hexane extract of *H. annuus* seed oil on L20B cell line was demonstrated in figure 2, most concentration of sunflower seed oil had no significant effects on the growth of

L20B cell line (p>0.05). Only the treatment with concentration (83.2 and 166.2 μ g/ml) caused significant effect (p<0.05) on L20B cell line at 72 hrs.



Figure 2- The effect *H. annuus* seed oil at different concentration on optical density of L20B cell line during 24, 48 and 72 hours of exposure.

* Significant P<0.05

Based on the results of optical density the growth inhibiting (G1) effect was calculated, the results in table 1- revealed that the RD cell line was more sensitive to the sunflower seed oil after period of exposure. Also, the results in table 1- showed that best exposure period was 72 hrs than the other periods (24 and 48 hrs).

The G1 percentage for sunflower seed oil on RD cell line at 166.4 μ g/ml concentration was 63.40%, 72% and 78.21% after 24, 48 and 72 hrs., respectively. The results also showed the G1 percentage for sunflower extract on L20B cell line by the same concentration was 20.4,

47.69% and 51.78% after 24, 48 and 72 hrs, respectively.

The results also showed that the G1 percentage for the *H. annuus* seed oil on RD cell line at 83.2 μ g/ml was 44.68%, 58.8% and 57.69% after 24, 48 and 72 hrs., respectively, while the G1 percentage for *H. annuus* seed oil on L20B cell line at the same concentration was

2.27%, 32.82% and 46.70% after 24, 48 and 72 hrs., respectively. on the other hand, the results showed that the G1 for RD cell line was decreased at the lower concentrations (1.3, 2.6, 5.2and 10.4 μ g/ml) during all exposure time. The results also showed there was less G1 for L20B at lower concentrations during all exposure time.

Table 1- Percentage of inhibition of RD and L20B cells by using the hexane extract of *H. annuus* seed oil three periods exposure.

Type of cell line	Concentration	Growth Inhibition (%)		
	(µg/ml)	24 hrs.	48 hrs.	72 hrs.
RD	1.3	-	-	-
	2.6	-	-	10.68
	5.2	-	8.4	15.38
	10.4	4.68	8	35.47
	20.8	17.02	22.4	40.17
	41.6	30.21	36.4	32.91
	83.2	44.68	58.8	57.69
	166.4	63.40	72	78.21
L20B	1.3	-	-	-
	2.6	-	-	-
	5.2	-	-	-
	10.4	-	-	3.55
	20.8	-	10.23	25.38
	41.6	-	16.41	29.95
	83.2	2.27	32.82	46.70
	166.4	20.45	47.69	51.78

- No Inhibition

Discussion:

In this study, sunflower seeds demonstrated different cytotocicity *in vitro* toward RD cell line according to its concentration. A support of such results has been presented by Zhang *et al.*,[7]who demonstrated that the plant have antiproliferative action and growth inhibition on various cancer cell lines including Hela and Glioma cells.

According to[18], one of the most prominent natural product research efforts is in the field of antineoplastic drugs in an attempt to discover a product that more susceptibly affect the signaling pathways in tumorigenic cells than non- tumorigenic cells. 61% of new antitumor drugs were natural products or derived from natural products [19]. Sunflower polyphenols such as caffeic, chlorogenic and ferulic acids extract a high antioxidative potential and they have antimutagenic activity by blocking the metabolic activation of the mutagens and screening the free radicals produced from mutagens metabolism [20, 21]. According to Maria [22], the high antioxidant capacity observed for the aqueous extract of the sunflower seed oil suggests that the intake of this seed may prevent *in vivo* oxidative reactions responsible for the development of several diseases, such as cancer.

In a recent study, sunflower trypsin inhibitor (SFT1), the protein ring, can be in it's natural form to block breast cancer enzymes and in a modified form to block enzymes associated with other types of cancer [23]. It has also been reported that subcutaneous treatment with sunflower seed oil (SSO) inhibits the solid tumor growth and increase lymph node cell number in animals with solid tumor [24]. P-coumaric is generally considered to be the most important element of *H. annuus* seed oil, and recently it has been demonstrated that coumarins have antioxidant properties and exerted a reduced proliferative activity of cancer cell lines [25, 26].

Coumarins have also been found to induce glutathione-s-transferase (GST) activity in the for stomach, liver and intestine of mice [27]. Tannins is another phenolic phytochemicals, which can be extracted from sunflower seed. Tannins is a widely distributed plant phenolic that was recently reported as an anticancer agent, It induced cell death in cancer cells in a dose-depended mummer but did not affect the growth of normal cells [28, 29].

The numerous other active compounds in sunflower seeds oil identified include palimitic acid, linolenic acid, α -Linoleic acid and Stearidonic acid all of them have antioxidant properties and also anti-carcinogenic effects [26, 30].

Fractionation of a petroleum ether extract of *H. annuus* L. led to the isolation of three diterpene acid ; grandiflorolic, kaurenoic acid and trachylobanoic acid. These three compound reduced , in concentration dependent manner nitric acid (ON), prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α) production[31]. Sunflower seeds oil are an excellent source of vitamin E. Clinical studies show the vitamin E has been associated with reduced risk of certain cancer such as colon, bladder and prostate [32, 33].

Selenium is other compound of sunflower seeds works with vitamin E as an antioxidant and protects cells from damage that may lead to cancer [34]. Sunflower seeds are a great natural source of potassium and phosphorus, as well as, protein, iron and magnesium with is antioxidant activity and enhance immune function [35]. Also, the sunflower seeds contain high concentration of zinc, which plays an essential role in DNA replication, transcription and protein synthesis influencing cell division and apoptosis [36].

In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrated that the sunflower seeds oil had anti-cancer activity.

References:

- 1. Gaidhani, S. N.; Lavekar, G. S.; Juvekar, A. S.; Singh, A. and Kumari, S. 2009. *In vitro* anticancer activity of standard extracts used in ayurveda. Phycog. Mag. 5, pp:425–429.
- WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2002-2005, Document WHO / EDM/TRM, 2002.1, WHO; Geneva; 2002, pp:11.
- **3.** Kaskoniene, V.; Maruska, A.; Kornysova, O.; Charczun, N. and Buszewski, B. **2009**. Quantitative and qualitative determination

of phenolic compounds in honey. *Chemine Technologija*, 3 952), pp:74-80.

- Granado, F. ; Olmedillam B. and Blanco, I. 2003. Nutritional and clinical relevance of lutein in human health. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 90 (3), pp:487–502.
- 5. Gomez-Flores, R. ; Hernandez-Martinez, H. ; Tamez-Guerra, P. ; Tamez-Guerra, R. ; Quintanilla-Licea, R. ; Monreal-Cuevas, E. and Rodriguez-Padilla, C. 2010. Antitumor and immunomodulating potential of *Corianddrum sativum*, *Piper nigrum* and *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*. J. Nut. Prod., 3, pp:54–63.
- 6. Holliday, R. and Philips, K. 2001. Health benefits of the sunflower kernel. *Cereal Foods, World.*, 46, pp:205–208.
- Zhang, X.C. ; Shao, H. L. ; Wang, J. X. and Zhao, X. F. 2006. Purification and characterization of an inhibitor of a cathepsin B-like proteinase from sunflower seed. J. of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry., 21 (4), pp:433–439.
- Al-Shamma, L. M. J.; Bu-Risha, R.; Al-Shamma, N. M. J. and Batol, K. 2010. Effect some of sunflower genotypes oil on some pathogenic bacteria. *Iraqi. J. Sci.*, Vol. 51 (4), pp:565–570.
- 9. Saini, S. and Sharma, S. 2011. Helianthus annuus (Asteracea) Review. *Inter. J. Phar. Profess. Res.*, Vol. 2(4), pp:465–470.
- **10.** Yang, X.; Guo, D.; Zhang, J. and Wu, M. (2007). Characterization and antitumor activity of pollen polysaccharide. *Intern. Immunoph.*, 7, pp:427–434.
- Desai, A. G.; Qazi, G. N.; Ganju, R. K.; El-Tamer, M.; Singh, J.; Saxenam A. K.; Bedi, Y. S., Taneja, S. C. and Bhat, H. K.
 2008. Medicinal plants and cancer chemoprevention. *Current Drug Metabolism.*, 9, pp:581–591.
- 12. Ubied, H. N., 2006. Study of the anticancer effects of Olea europea leaves crude extracts (*in vitro* and *in vivo*). M. Sc. Thesis. College of Medicine. Kufa University.
- Cardellinall, J. H. : Fuller, R. W. ; Gamble, W. R. ; Westergard, C. ; Boswell, J. ; Munro, M. H. G. ; Currens, M. and Boyel, M. 1999. Evolving strategies for the selection derplication and prioritization of antitumor and HIV-inhibitory natural product extracts. In: Bohlin, L. and Bruhn, J. G. (Eds), Bioassay Methods in Natural Products Research and Development.

Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrech., pp:25–36.

- 14. Rachmani, E.P.; Suhest, T.S. and Aditiyono, R.W. 2012. The breast of anticancer from leave extract of Annona muricata against cell line in T47D. Internat. J Appl. Sci. Techn., Vol.2 (1), pp:157-164.
- **15.** Harborn, J. B. ; Mabray, T. J. and Mabray, H. (1975). Physiology and function flavonoids. Academic Press. New York., pp:970–971.
- Freshneym R. I. 2005. Culture of animal cells : A manual for basic technique (5th ed) Willey-Liss Inc. New Jersey. USA.
- Gaom S.; Yu, B. L.; Dong, W. and Luo, H. 2003. Antiproferative effect of Octreotide gastric cells mediated of inhibition of AKT / PKB and telomerase. *World J. Gastroentro.*, 9, pp:2362–2365.
- Maati, M.E., Mohamed, M.S. and Zain, M. M, 2012. Cytotoxicity efficiency of nanodosage of Libyan *Urgin maritime* agueous extract on malignant human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cell line. Internat. *Confere. Med. Pharma. Sci.Bangkok.*,(3), pp:94-99.
- Cregg, G. M.; Newmanm D. J. and Sander, K. M. 1997. Natural products in drug discovery and development. *J. Nat. prod.*, 60, pp:52–60.
- Raja, A. S. ; Heddle, J. A. ; Newmor, K. H. L. and Katz, M. 1983. Caffeic acid as an inhibitor of DMBA induced chromosomal breakage in mice assessed by bone marrow micronucleus test. *Mutat. Res.*, 124, pp:247–253.
- DeLeonardis, A. ; Macciola, V. and Di Rocco, A. 2003. Oxidative stabilization of cold-pressed sunflower oil using phenolic compounds of he same seeds. J. Sci. Food. Agri., 83 (6), pp:523–528.
- 22. Giada, M. D. L. R. and Mancini-Filho, J. 2009. Antioxidant capacity of the striped sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seed extracts evaluated by three *in vitro* methods. *International Journal of Food and Nutrition.*, 60 (5), pp:395–401.
- 23. Mylne, S. J.; Colgrave, M. L.; Daly, N. L.; Chanson, A. H.; Elliott, A. G.; McCallum, E. J.; Jones, A. and Cralk, D. J. 2011. Albumins and their processing machinery are hijacked for cyclic peptides in sunflower. *Nature Chemical Biology.*, 7, pp:257–259.

- 24. Silva, L. A.; Nascimento, K. A. F.; Maciel, M. C. G.; Pinheir, M. T.; Sousa, P. R. A.; Ferreria, S. C. P.; Azevedo, A. S.; Gnerra, R. N. M. and Nascimanto, F. R. F.
 2006. Sunflower seed oil – Enriched product can inhibit elrlich solid tumor growth in mice. *Chemotherapy*, 52, pp:91-94.
- **25.** Loe, K. T.; Sohn, H. C.; Pork, H. J.; Kim, D. W.; Jung, G. O. and Park, K. Y. **2000**. Essential moiety for antimutagenic and cytotoxic activity of hedergenin monodemosides and bidesmosides isolated from the stem bark of Kalapanox pictus. *Planta Med.*, 66, pp:329–332.
- 26. Siger, A. ; Nagala-Aalucka, M. and Lampart-Szczapa, E. 2008. The content antioxidant activity of phemolic compounds in cold – pressed oils. *Journal of Food Lipids.*, 15, pp:137–149.
- 27. Okuyama, T. ; Takata, M. ; Nishino, A. ; Takaysu, J. and Iwashima, A. 1990. Studies on the antitumor-promoting activity of naturally occurring substances II. Inhibition of tumor enhanced phospholipids metabolism by umbelliferous materials. *Chem.. Pharma. Bull.*, 38, pp:1084–1086.
- **28.** Bawadi, H. A. ; Bansode, R. R. ; Trappey, H. A. ; Truax, R. E. and Losso, J. N. **2005**. Inhibition of Caco-2 colon MCF-7 and Hs578T breast and DU 145 prostatic cancer cell proliferation by water soluble black bean condensed tannins. *Cancer Lett.*, 218, pp:153–162.
- **29.** Catherine, N. K. **2011**. Antioxidant and antidiabetic properties of condensed tannins in acetonic extract of selected was and processes indigenous food ingredient, from Kenya. *Journal of Food Science.*, **76** (4), pp:560–567.
- **30.** Bauman, D. E.; Barbona, D. A.; Dwyer, D. A. and Girinarj, J. M. **2000**. Technical note: production of butter with enhanced conjugated linolic acid for use in biochemical studies with animal models. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83, pp:2422–2425.
- Diaz-Vicedo, R.; Hottelano,S.; Giron, N.; Masso, J.M.; Rodriquez,B; Villa, A. and Heras, B. 2008. Modulation of inflammatory responses by diterpene acid from Helianthus annuus L. Biochm. Biophys. *Res. Communic.*, 369(2), pp:761-766.

- **32.** Tiang, Q. ; Christenm S. ; Shigenoga, M. K. and Ames, B. N. **2001**. Y-tocopherol, the major form of vitamin E in the US diet, deserves more attention. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 74, pp:714–722.
- **33.** Hernandez, L. M. ; Wu, X.; Lin, J, and Radcliffe, J. D. **2004**. Intake of Vitamin E (2-R isomers of alpha-tocopherol) and gamma-tocopherol in a case control study and bladder cancer risk. *Proc. Assoc. Cancer Res.*, 451, pp:904–908.
- 34. Vogt, T. M.; Ziegler, R. G.; Graubardm B. I. 2003. Serum selenium and risk of prostate cancer in U. S. blacks and whites. *Int. J. cancer. Fab* 703 (5), pp:664–670.
- **35.** Al-Attas, A. S. **2008**. Determination of essential elements in milk and urine of camel and in *Nigella sativa* seeds. *Arabian J. Chem.*, Vol. 1 (2), pp:123–129.
- **36.** Goering, P. L. and Klassenm C. D. **1984**. Zinc induced tolerance to cadmium hepatotoxicity. Toxicol. *Applied Pharmacol.*, 74, pp:299–307.