



On Reverse – Centralizers Of Semiprime Rings

Ali A. Hassan^{*1} and Fatin A. Fadhil²

¹General Directorate Of Education Al Karakh, Ministry of Education, ²Physics Researches and Sciences Directorate, Ministry Of Science & Technology, Baghdad, Iraq.

Abstract

In this paper we study necessary and sufficient conditions for a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring R to be orthogonal. We also prove that a reverse-centralizer T of a semiprime ring R having a commuting generalized inverse is orthogonal.

Keywords: Semiprime ring, left (right) reverse-centralizer, reverse-centralizer, orthogonal map, generalized inverse.

حَوَل الدوال التمركية العكسية على الحلقات شُبه الأولية

علي عامر حسان^{1*} و فاتن عباس فاضل²

¹معهد اعداد المعلمين الصباحي ، مديرية تربية الكرخ الثانية، وزارة التربية، دائرة بحوث وعلوم المواد. وزارة العلوم والتكنولوجيا

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث ندرس الشروط الضرورية والكافية للدوال التمركية العكسية على الحلقة شبه الأولية R لتكون متعامدة. ونبرهن أيضاً ان الدالة التمركية العكسية على الحلقة شبه الأولية R والتي تمتلك تعميم معكوس ابدالي تكون متعامدة.

كلمات مفتاحية: الحلقة شبه الأولية ، تمرکز عكسي ايسر (ايمين) ، التمرکز العكسي، الدالة المتعامدة ، التعميم المعكوس.

1. Introduction:

The purpose of this paper is to investigate some further properties of reverse-centralizers of semiprime rings and we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring to be orthogonal map. Recall that R is semiprime if $aRa=0$ implies $a=0$ and is prime if $aRb=0$ implies that $a=0$ or $b=0$. Recently, some authors have studied left (right) centralizers and centralizers in the general framework of semiprime rings (see [1-4]). An additive mapping $T:R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (resp. right) centralizer of R if $T(xy)=T(x)y$ ($T(xy)=xT(y)$) holds, for all $x, y \in R$. If T is both left as well right centralizer, then T is a centralizer. An additive mapping $T:R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (resp. right) reverse-centralizer of R if $T(xy)=T(y)x$ ($T(xy)=yT(x)$) holds, for all x

$y \in R$, if T is both left as well right reverse-centralizer, then T is a reverse-centralizer, see [5]. Let M be a subset of a ring R , following [6], we recall the orthogonal complement of M is the set $M^\perp = \{x \in R: xy=yx=0 \text{ for all } y \in M\}$. Also we recall the mapping T of a ring R is called orthogonal if $R = R(T) \oplus R(T)^\perp$. We prove that a reverse-centralizer T of a semiprime ring R is orthogonal if and only if $R(T) = R(T^2)$, where $R(T)$ denotes the range of T . [Theorem 2.10]. Also we prove that if T is a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring R then the following are equivalent:

- * $R(T) = R(T^2)$
- * $R = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus R(T)$. [Theorem 2.4].

Following [6], A mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ of a ring R into itself is said to have a generalized inverse if there is a mapping $S: R \rightarrow R$ such that $STS = S$

*Email: ali1977math@yahoo.com

and $TST = T$. In this case S is said to be a generalized inverse of T or S is a g -inverse of T . Generalized inverses are useful in various fields of mathematics, statistics and engineering (see [6-9]). Finally we prove that if T is a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring having a commuting generalized inverse then T is orthogonal [Proposition 2.15].

2. Results:

Remark 2.1. It is easy to verify that if T is a reverse-centralizer of a ring R , then $\text{Ker}(T)$ and $R(T)$ are ideals of R .

Remark 2.2. Let $S, T \in V(R)$, the set of all reverse-centralizers of a ring R is denoted by $V(R)$. We Define $(S+T)(x)=S(x)+T(x)$ and $(ST)(x)=S(T(x))$, $x \in R$. then $V(R)$ with these two operations is a ring with identity.

It is easy to verify that if R is a semiprime ring then $V(R)$ is commutative.

Proof: we want prove that $V(R)$ is commutative.

Let $S, T \in V(R)$, we want prove that $(ST)(x)=(TS)(x)$, for all $x \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } ((ST)(x)-(TS)(x))z &= ST(x)z-TS(x)z \\ &= ST(x)z-T(S(x))z \\ &= ST(x)z-T(zS(x)) = ST(x)z-S(x)T(z) \\ &= ST(x)z-S(T(z)x) = ST(x)z-xS(T(z)) \\ &= ST(x)z-xST(z) = ST(x)z-ST(zx) \\ &= ST(x)z-ST(x)z = 0, \text{ for all } x,z \in R. \end{aligned}$$

By semiprimeness of R we obtain $(ST)(x)-(TS)(x)=0$, and hence $(ST)(x) = (TS)(x)$ for all $x \in R$. Thus $V(R)$ is a commutative ring.

Proposition 2.3. Let T be a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring R , then $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Ker}(T^2)$.

Proof: Clearly, $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq \text{Ker}(T^2)$. Now, let $x \in \text{Ker}(T^2)$, this implies that $T^2(x)=0$, Now, since T is a reverse-centralizer then we have, $T(x)rT(x)=(T(x)r)T(x)=T(rx)T(x)=T(T(x)r x)=rxT(T(x))=rxT^2(x)=0$. By semiprimeness of R we get, $T(x)=0$, hence $x \in \text{Ker}(T)$, and hence $\text{Ker}(T^2) \subseteq \text{Ker}(T)$. Thus, $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Ker}(T^2)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let T be a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring R . Then $R(T) = R(T^2)$ if and only if $R = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus R(T)$.

Proof:

Suppose that $R(T) = R(T^2)$, since T is a reverse-centralizer then by (Remark 2.1.) $\text{Ker}(T)$ and $R(T)$ are ideals of R , now we show that $\text{Ker}(T) \cap R(T)=0$. Let $w \in \text{Ker}(T) \cap R(T)$. Then $w \in \text{Ker}(T)$ and $w \in R(T)$ and hence $T(w)=0$ and $T(y)=w$ for some $y \in R$. Hence

$T(w)=T^2(y)=0$. Thus $y \in \text{Ker}(T^2)$. But by (proposition 2.3.), we have $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Ker}(T^2)$. Thus $y \in \text{Ker}(T)$ which implies that $T(y)=0$ and hence $w=0$. Which implies that $\text{Ker}(T) \cap R(T)=0$.

Now, suppose that $z \in R$, then $T(z) \in R(T)$, by assumption we have $T(z) \in R(T^2)$. Thus $T(z)=T^2(v)$ for some $v \in R$, and so $T(z)-T^2(v)=T(z-T(v))=0$. This implies that $z-T(v) \in \text{Ker}(T)$, now we can write $z=(z-T(v))+T(v)$. This implies that $R = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus R(T)$.

Conversely, Suppose that $R = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus R(T)$, we prove that $R(T) = R(T^2)$. Clearly that $R(T^2) \subseteq R(T)$. Now let $y \in R(T)$, then $y=T(x)$ for some $x \in R$. Thus by assumption, $x=x_1+x_2$, where $x_1 \in \text{Ker}(T)$ and $x_2 \in R(T)$. Thus

$y= T(x)=T(x_1+x_2)=T(x_1)+T(x_2)=T(x_2)$. Since $x_2 \in R(T)$, therefore $x_2=T(w)$ for some $w \in R$, hence

$y = T(x_2) = T(T(w)) = T^2(w)$, which implies that

$y \in R(T^2)$. Thus $R(T) \subseteq R(T^2)$, hence $R(T) = R(T^2)$.

Definition 2.5. [6]. Let M be a subset of a ring R . We define the orthogonal complement of M to be the set $M^\perp = \{x \in R: xy = yx = 0 \text{ for all } y \in M\}$.

Remark 2.6. [6]. It is easy to verify that if M is an ideal of R then M^\perp is also an ideal of R .

Example 2.7 Let $M_2(R)$ denote the ring of all 2×2 matrices over the set of all real numbers R and let $T: M_2(R) \rightarrow M_2(R)$ be an additive mapping defined by $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & d \end{bmatrix}$, for all

$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R)$. One can easily show that

$\text{Ker}(T) \not\subseteq R(T)^\perp$ and $R(T) \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(T)^\perp$. Farther, it is clear that T is not reverse-centralizer. Moreover, if T is reverse-centralizer then it is easy to verify that $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq R(T)^\perp$ and $R(T) \subseteq \text{Ker}(T)^\perp$.

Proposition 2.8. Let R be a semiprime ring and $T: R \rightarrow R$ a reverse-centralizer of R . Then $\text{Ker}(T) = R(T)^\perp$.

Proof:

First, we want prove that $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq R(T)^\perp$. Let $x \in \text{Ker}(T)$, then $T(x)=0$, now replace x with xy , we get $T(xy)=0$, for all $x,y \in R$. But T is reverse-centralizer of R , therefore

$T(xy)=yT(x)=T(y)x=0$ for all $x,y \in R$. Thus $x \in R(T)^\perp$. and hence $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq R(T)^\perp$. Now let $z \in R(T)^\perp$, then $zT(x)=T(z)x=0$ for all $x \in R$.

By semiprimeness of R we get $T(z)=0$, that is $z \in \text{Ker}(T)$. Therefore $R(T)^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker}(T)$, and hence $\text{Ker}(T) = R(T)^\perp$.

Definition 2.9. [6]. A mapping T of a ring R is said to be orthogonal if $R=R(T) \oplus R(T)^\perp$.

The following Theorem gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for a reverse-centralizer T of a semiprime ring to be orthogonal.

Theorem 2.10. A reverse - centralizer T of a semiprime ring R is orthogonal if and only if $R(T)=R(T^2)$.

Proof: Let T be a reverse-centralizer of semiprime ring R . Suppose that T is orthogonal then $R=R(T) \oplus R(T)^\perp$. By proposition (2.8.), we have $\text{Ker}(T) = R(T)^\perp$, this implies that $R= R(T) \oplus \text{Ker}(T)$. Thus by Theorem (2.4.) we get $R(T) = R(T^2)$. Conversely, suppose that $R(T)= R(T^2)$. Thus by proposition (2.4.) we have

$R = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus R(T)$, hence by proposition (2.8.), we obtain $R=R(T) \oplus R(T)^\perp$, and hence, T is orthogonal.

Corollary 2.11. An idempotent reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring is orthogonal.

Definition 2.12. [6] A mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ of a ring R into itself is said to have a generalized inverse if there is a mapping $S: R \rightarrow R$ such that $STS = S$ and $TST = T$. In this case S is said to be a generalized inverse of T or S is a g -inverse of T .

Before we give our main result, we need the following proposition which can be found in [3].

Proposition 2.13. Let $T: R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping with S as a g -inverse.

Then the following hold:

- (a) TS and ST are idempotents.
- (b) $R(TS) = R(T)$, and $\text{Ker}(ST) = \text{Ker}(T)$.

Remark 2.14. [6] It is well known that a g -inverse S of a mapping $T: R \rightarrow R$ is not unique. But there is at most one g -inverse which commutes with T . If S and S' are g -inverses of T , both commuting with T , then $TS' = TSTS' = STS'T = ST$, and hence $S' = S'TS' = S'ST = S'TS = TS'S = STS = S$.

The following proposition gives a condition under which a reverse-centralizer of a ring is orthogonal.

Proposition 2.15. Let T be a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring R . if T has a commuting g -inverse $S \in V(R)$, then T is orthogonal map.

Proof:

Let T be a reverse-centralizer of a semiprime ring R . Suppose that T have a commuting g -inverse $S \in V(R)$. Thus by Remark (2.2.) we get $TS = ST$. Also TS and ST are reverse-centralizers and are idempotent by Proposition (2.13). Thus by Corollary (2.11.) TS and ST are orthogonal. Thus $R = \text{Ker}(TS) \oplus R(TS) = \text{Ker}(ST) \oplus R(TS)$. Now by Proposition (2.13.), we have $\text{Ker}(ST) = \text{Ker}(T)$ and $R(TS) = R(T)$. Thus $R = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus R(T)$. But by Proposition (2.8.), $\text{Ker}(T) = R(T)^\perp$, therefore $R = R(T)^\perp \oplus R(T)$. Hence T is orthogonal.

References

1. Vukman J. **1997**, Centralizers on semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 38, pp:231–240.
2. Vukman J. **1999**, An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 40, pp:447–456.
3. Vukman J. **2001**, Centralizers on semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 42, pp:237–245.
4. Zalar B. **1991**, On centralizers of semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 34, pp:609–614.
5. Fadhil F.A. and Majeed A.H. **2011**, free action on prime and semiprime rings, *Journal of Basrah Researches (Sciences)*, 37(4)C, pp:134-137.
6. Chaudhry, M. A. and Samman, M. S. **2006**, Generalized inverses of centralizers of semiprime rings, *Aequationes Math.* 71, pp:246-252.
7. Caradus, S. R. **1974**, Generalized Inverses and Operator Theory, *Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math.* 38.
8. Campbell, S. L. and Meyer Jr. C. D. **1991** Generalized inverses of linear transformations, *Dover Publications, Inc.*, New York., pp:77-90.
9. Steffen R. and Silbermann B. **1999**, Continuity of generalized inverses in Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* 136 (3), pp:197–227.