



Generalized Amply Cofinitely Supplemented Modules

Alaa Abbas Elewi

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

Let R be an associative ring with identity. An R -module M is called generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module if every cofinite submodule of M has an ample generalized supplement in M . In this paper we proved some new results about this concept.

Keyword: Amply, cofinitely supplemented, R -module

المقاسات المكتملة المنتهية المضادة بأسهاب معمم

ألأء عباس عليوي

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

لنكن R حلقة تجميعية تمتلك عنصر محايد. المقاس M من النمط R يدعى مقاس مكمل منتهي مضاد بأسهاب معمم اذا كان لكل مقاس جزئي منتهي مضاد من M يمتلك تعميم مكمل بأسهاب في M . في هذا لبحث قدمنا نتائج جديدة حول هذا النوع من المقاسات.

1. Introduction

In this paper, R will denote on arbitrary ring with unity and M is a unitary left R -module.

Let M be an R -module and, recall that a submodule N of M is called small, denoted by $N \ll M$, if $N+K \neq M$ for every proper submodule K of M (See [1], 5. 1.1). K is supplemented of N in M if and only if $N+K=M$ and $N \cup K \ll K$ (See [2]) where K and N are submodules of M .

M is called supplemented if every submodule of M has a supplement in M (See [2]). On the other hand, the module M is called amply supplemented if, for every submodules A, B with $A+B=M$, there exists a supplement C of A in M such that $C \subseteq B$ (See [2]).

A submodule N of M is said to be cofinite if $\frac{M}{N}$ is finitely generated (See [3]).

An R -module M is called a cofinitely supplemented module if every cofinite submodule of M has a supplement in M (See

[3]). Clearly every supplemented module is cofinitely supplemented module.

An R -module M is called a cofinitely amply supplemented module if for every cofinite submodule of M has an ample supplement in M (See [4]).

Submodules A and B of an R -module M with $A+B=M$, B is called a generalized supplement of A in M in case $A \cup B \subseteq \text{Rad}(B)$, where $\text{Rad}(B)$ is the Jacobson radical of B , (See [5])

M is called generalized supplemented module or briefly a GS-module if every submodule N of M has a generalized supplemented K in M (See[5]).

Following [5], M is called a generalized amply supplemented module or briefly a GAS-module in case $M=N+K$ implies that N has a generalized supplement $N \subseteq K$. it is clear that every GAS-module is GS-module.

In [6] M is called generalized cofinitely supplemented if every cofinite submodule of M has a generalized supplement and denoted by GCS.

Clearly every generalized supplemented module is generalized cofinitely supplemented.

It is shown in [6] that a generalized cofinitely supplemented module need not to be generalized supplemented, also in [8] there is one more example about such modules.

An R-module M is called generalized amply cofinitely supplemented if every cofinite submodule of M has an ample generalized supplement [7]. Clearly that every generalized amply cofinitely supplemented is generalized cofinitely supplemented, but the converse is not true [8].

2- Generalized amply cofinitely supplemented modules

An R-module M is called generalized amply cofinitely supplemented if every cofinite submodule of M has an ample generalized supplement [7].

In this section we give a new characterization for generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module when every submodule of this module is generalized cofinitely supplemented as the following lemma shows.

Theorem 2.1

If every submodule of an R-module M is generalized cofinitely supplemented, then M is generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module.

Proof:

Let N be a cofinite submodule of an R-module M. By assumption N has generalized supplement K in M such that $N+K=M$ and $N \cup K \subseteq \text{Rad}(K)$.

Now, $N \cup K \subseteq K$ also $N \cup K$ is a cofinite submodule since N is a cofinite thus $\frac{M}{N}$ is

finitely generated and $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{N+K}{N} \cong \frac{K}{N \cap K}$.

This means that $\frac{K}{N \cap K}$ is finitely generated

thus $N \cup K$ is a cofinite submodule of K, thus by assumption, there is a submodule H of K such that $K = N \cup K + H$ and $(N \cup K) \cup H = N \cup H \subseteq \text{Rad}(H)$. So, $M = N + K = N + N \cup K + H = N + H$.

Thus H is a generalized amply supplement to a cofinite submodule N of M. ■

An R-module is called π -projective module if for every two submodules A and B of M such that $A+B=M$, there exists a homomorphism $f \in \text{End}(M)$ such that $f(M) \subseteq A$ and $(I-f)(M) \subseteq B$, [2].

In [8] proved that every weakly supplemented and π -projective module is amply weak supplemented module. In [9], proved that if M is cofinitely weak supplemented and π -projective module; then M is cofinitely amply weakly supplemented module. Also, [10] proved that every generalized supplemented and π -projective module is a generalized amply supplemented module.

The following theorem is proved in [11]. Here we will give another proof with more details.

Theorem 2.2[11] Let M be a generalized cofinitely and π -projective module. Then M is generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module.

Proof:

Let U be a cofinite submodule of an R-module M and $M=U+V$ where V is a submodule of M. Since M is generalized cofinitely supplemented, then U has generalized supplement X in M, i.e $U+X=M$ and $U \cup X \subseteq \text{Rad}(X)$. But M is π -projective, thus there exists a homomorphism $f \in \text{End}(M)$ such that $f(M) \subseteq V$ and $(I-f)(M) \subseteq U$.

We claim that $M=f(X)+U$; to prove this, let $m \in M \Rightarrow m=u+x, u \in U, x \in X$, hence $m=f(x) + f(x) = u+(I-f)(x)+f(x) \in U+f(X)$.

Therefore $M \subseteq U+f(X)$ /Also we have $U+f(X) \subseteq M$, thus $M=U+f(X)$.

We claim that $U \cup f(X) \subseteq f(U \cup X)$. To prove this, let $y \in U \cup f(X)$ this implies that $y=f(x)$, where $x \in X$. Now, $x-y=x-f(x) = (I-f)(x) \in U$ and since $y \in U$, hence $y = f(x) \in f(U \cup X)$. But $U \cup X \subseteq \text{Rad}(X)$, therefore $f(U \cup X) \subseteq f(\text{Rad}(X))$ [1]. Also, by [1], $f(\text{Rad}(X)) \subseteq \text{Rad}(f(X))$. Thus $U \cup f(X) \subseteq \text{Rad}(f(X))$. Therefore f(X) is a generalized supplement of U in M where $M=U+V$. ■

Corollary 2.3

Every projective and generalized amply cofinitely supplement module is generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module

Proof:

Since every projective is π -projective module [2], then by theorem 2.2 we get the result. ■

3-Supplement submodule of a generalized amply cofinitely supplemented modules

In this section we will prove that the supplement of a generalized amply cofinitely supplement module is amply cofinitely supplemented module

Lemma 3.1

Every supplement submodule of a generalized amply cofinitely supplemented modules is amply cofinitely supplemented modules.

Proof:

Let M be generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module and V be any supplement submodule of M . Let U be a supplement of V in M .

Let $K \subseteq V$ be a cofinite submodule of V such that $K+T=V$ if we find $T' \subseteq T$ such that $K+T'=V$ and $K \cup T' \subseteq \text{Rad}(T')$, then we get the result.

Since K is a cofinite supplement of V then $\frac{V}{K}$ is finitely generated.

Now, $\frac{M}{U+K} = \frac{U+V}{U+K} \cong \frac{V}{V \cap (U+K)}$ thus $U+K$

is a cofinite submodule of M .

Let $K+T=V$, for every $T \subseteq V$. Since $M=U+V$ then $U+K+T=M$, but M is a generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module and $U+K$ is a cofinite submodule of M , $U+K$ has a generalized supplement submodule T' in M such that $T' \subseteq T, (U+K)+T'=M$ and $(U+K) \cup T' \subseteq \text{Rad}(T')$. Now, $K+T' \subseteq V$ and V is a supplement of U in M , $U+K+T'=M$, hence $V \subseteq K+T'$, thus $K+T'=V$, also $K \cup T' \subseteq \text{Rad}(T')$. Therefore K has an ample generalized supplement. ■

Corollary3.2

Every direct summand of a generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module is generalized amply cofinitely supplemented

Proof:

Let M be a generalized amply cofinitely supplemented module. Since every direct summand of M is supplement in M , then by lemma 3.1, every direct summand of M is a generalized amply cofinitely supplemented. ■

Proposition3.3

Let M be a module, if every submodule of M is a cofinitely generalized supplemented module, then M is amply generalized cofinitely supplemented.

Proof:

Let N be a cofinite submodule of M and suppose that $N+K=M$, where K is submodule of M .

Now, notice that $\frac{K}{N \cap K} \cong \frac{N+K}{N} = \frac{M}{N}$

, since N is a cofinite submodule of M ,

$\frac{M}{N}$ is finitely generated module. Thus $\frac{K}{N \cap K}$

is finitely generated, here $N \cap K$ is cofinite submodule of K , by assumption $N \cap K$ has a generalized supplement $H \leq K$ such that $(N \cap K) + H = K$ and

$(N \cap K) \cap H = N \cap H \subseteq \text{Rad}(H)$, also

$N + H \geq N \cap K + H = K$ thus

$N + H \geq K + N = M$. Hence $N + H = M$. ■

Corollary3.4

Let R be any ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:-

- 1- Every R -module is an amply generalized cofinitely supplemented module.
- 2- Every R -module is a generalized amply supplemented module. ■

References

1. Kasch. F. **1982**. *Module and Rings*, Academic Press, London. pp:106.
2. Wisbauer, R. **1991**. *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*. Godon and Breach, Philadelphia. pp:348 -353.
3. Alizad R., Bilhan G., Smith P.F. **2001**. Modules whose maximal submodules have supplements. *Communication in Algebra*, 29, pp:2389-2405.
4. Figen Yuzbasi, Senol Eren, **2012**. On (cofinitely) Generalized amply weak supplemented modules. *Int. Journal of Pure and Appl. Math.* Vol 76.No.3.pp.333-342.
5. Wang Y., Ding N., **2006**. Generalized supplemented modules. *Taiwan's Journal of Math.* 10.pp.1589-1601.
6. Buyu Kasik E., Lamp C. **2008**. On a recent generalization of semipertect rings. *Bulletin of the Aus.Math.Soc.* 78. pp. 317-325.
7. Tamer M. Kasan. **2009**. Generalized cofinitely supplemented Modules. *Int. Ele. Journal of Algebra.* 5, pp:58-69.
8. Celil Nebiyev. **2005**. Amply weak supplemented modules, *Int. Journal of Computational Cognition*, 3(1),pp:88-90.
9. Filiz Menemen. **2005**. Cofinitely amply weakly supplemented modules, A thesis, Graduate school of engineering and science of Izmir institute of technology.pp:20.
10. Yongduo W., Nanging, D. **2006**. Generalized supplemented modules, *Taiwanese Journal of Math.*, 10(6) pp:1589-1601.
11. Turkmen E., poncar A., **2009**. On Cofinitely Rad-Supplemented Modules, *Int. Journal of Pure and Appl. Math.*, 53(2),.pp:153-162.