



Some Results on Strongly Fully (m,n)- Stable Modules to Ideal

Uhood S. Al-Hassani*

Department of Computer Science, college of Science University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring with non-zero identity element. For two fixed positive integers m and n , a right R -module M is called strongly fully (m,n) - stable relative to an ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$ if $\theta(N) \subseteq N \cap M^n A$ for each n - generated submodule of M^m and R - homomorphism $\theta : N \rightarrow M^m$. In this paper I give some characterizations theorems and properties of strongly fully (m,n) -stable modules relative to an ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$.

Keywords: fully (m,n) , stable, modules, ideal

نتائج حول المقاسات تامة الاستقرارية من النمط (m,n) بقوة بالنسبة الى مثالي

عهود سعدي الحسني

قسم الحاسبات، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد -العراق

الخلاصة:

لتكن R حلقة أبدالية ذات عنصر محايد M مقاساً أيمناً أحادياً على R . فكرة المقاسات تامة الاستقرارية من النمط (m,n) بقوة بالنسبة الى مثالي A في الحلقة $R^{n \times m}$ قدمت في هذا البحث. نقول ان المقاس M تام الاستقرارية من النمط (m,n) بقوة بالنسبة الى مثالي A في $R^{n \times m}$ اذا كان $\theta(N) \subseteq N \cap M^n A$ لكل تشاكل مقاسي θ من N الى M^m حيث N مقاس جزئي متولد من النمط n . في هذا البحث تم دراسة علاقة صنف المقاسات تامة الاستقرارية من النمط (m,n) بقوة بالنسبة الى مثالي بأصناف أخرى مثل المقاسات شبه اغمارية من النمط (m,n) بالنسبة الى مثالي

Introduction:

Throughout, R is commutative ring with non-zero identity and all modules are unitary right R -modules. We use the notation $R^{m \times n}$ for the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over R . For $G \in R^{m \times n}$, G^T will denote the transpose of G . In general, for an R -module N , we write $N^{m \times n}$ for the set of all formal $m \times n$ matrices whose entries are elements of N . Let M be a right R -module and N be a left R -module. For $x \in M^{1 \times m}$, $s \in R^{m \times n}$ and $y \in N^{n \times k}$ under the usual multiplication of matrices, x_s (resp. sy) is a well defined element in $M^{1 \times m}$ (resp. $N^{n \times k}$). If $X \in M^{1 \times m}$, $S \in R^{m \times n}$ and $Y \in N^{n \times k}$, define

$$l_M^{1 \times m}(S) = \{u \in M^{1 \times m} : us = 0, \forall s \in S\}$$

$$r_N^{n \times k}(S) = \{v \in N^{n \times k} : vs = 0, \forall s \in S\}$$

$$l_R^{m \times n}(Y) = \{s \in R^{m \times n} : sy = 0, \forall y \in Y\}$$

$$r_R^{m \times n}(X) = \{s \in R^{m \times n} : xs = 0, \forall x \in X\}$$

We will write $N^n = N^{1 \times n}$, $N_n = N^{n \times 1}$.

*Email: vhood vhood@yahoo.com

Strongly fully stable module relative to an ideal A of R have been discussed in [1],an R –modul M is called fully stable relative to an ideal A if $\theta(N) \subseteq N \cap MA$ for each submodule N of M and R – homomorphism $\theta: N \rightarrow M$.

It is an easy matter to see that M is strongly fully stable relative to an ideal A of R .if and only if $\theta(xR) \subseteq xR \cap MA$ for each x in M and R-homomorphism. $\theta: xR \rightarrow M$.In this paper , for two fixed positive integer m and n , we introduce the concepts of strongly fully (m, n) -stable module relative to an ideal and strongly (m, n) - Baer criterion relative to ideal and we prove that an R-module M is strongly fully (m, n)-stable relative to an ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$ if and only if any two m-element subsets $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ of M^n , if $\beta_j \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^m A, j = 1, \dots, m$ implies $r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \not\subseteq r_{Rn} \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$.

Strongly fully (m, n) –stable module relative to ideal.

Definition 2.1:-An R-module M is called strongly fully (m, n) -stable relative to an ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$ if $\theta(N) \subseteq N \cap MnA$ for each n- generated submodule N of M^m and R-homomorphism $\theta: N \rightarrow M^m$. It is clear that M is strongly fully (1,1)- stable relative to Ideal ,if and only if M is strongly fully stable relative to ideal .

It is an easy matter to see that an R-module .M is strongly fully (m, n) –stable relative to ideal if and only if it is strongly fully (m, q) –stable relative to ideal for all $1 \leq q \leq n$,if and only if it is strongly fully (p,n) – stable relative to ideal for all $1 \leq p \leq m$,if and only if fully (p, q) –stable relative to ideal for all $1 \leq p \leq m$ and $1 \leq q \leq n$.

In [2] an R-module M is called fully (m,n) –stable if $\theta(N) \subseteq N$ for each n –generated submodule N of M^m and R-homomorphism $\theta: N \rightarrow M^m$. It is clear that every strongly fully (m, n) –stable module M relative to a non –zero ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$ is fully (m, n) – stable .This follows from the fact $N \cap M^m A \subseteq N$ for each n- generated submodule N of M^m .

Remark 2.2

1-Let M be anR-module and A be a a non –zero ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$. If M is fully (m,n)-stable and $M^m = MnA$ then M is strongly fully (m, n) –stable relative to A ,since for each n-generated N of M^m and R- homomorphism. $f: N \rightarrow M^m, f(N) \subseteq N = N \cap M^m = N \cap M^m A$.

2-Note that the concepts fully (m, n) – stable R-module and strongly fully (m, n) –stable module relative to an ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$ consider for the ideal $R^{n \times m}$, that is an R-module M is fully (m, n)-stable if and only if M is strongly fully (m, n)-stable module relative to ideal $R^{n \times m}$ of $R^{n \times m}$.

The following proposition gives another characterization of strongly fully (m,n) – stable relative to ideal .

Proposition 2.3

An R- module M is strongly fully (m,n) – stable relative to a non –zero ideal A of $R^{m \times n}$ if and only if any two m-element subsets $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ of M^n , if $\beta_j \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^m A, j = 1, \dots, m$ implies

$$r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \not\subseteq r_{Rn} \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} .$$

Proof:- Assume that M is strongly fully (m, n) –stable relative to an ideal A of $R^{m \times n}$ and the exist two m - element subsets $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ of M^n such that

$$\beta_j \notin \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^m A \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subseteq r_{Rn} \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} .$$

$$\text{Define } f: \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \rightarrow M^m \text{ by } f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i r_i$$

Let $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in})$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i = 0$,then $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} r_i = 0, j=1, \dots, m$ implies that $\alpha_j r^T = 0$ where $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in R^n$ and hence $r^T \in r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$.By assumption $\beta_j r^T = 0, j=1, \dots, m$,so $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i r_i = 0$. This shows that f is well define.It is an easy matter to see that f is R- homomorphism strongly fully (m,n) –stable relative to an ideal A of $R^{m \times n}$ implies that there exist t $\in R$ such that

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) t_k, j=1, \dots, m$$

for each $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R$,let $r_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in R^n$,where 1 in the ith position and 0 otherwise

$$\beta_i = f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_i t_k \in M^m A, \text{ thus } \beta_i \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^m A \text{ which is contradiction.}$$

Thus $r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \not\subseteq r_{Rn} \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$.

Conversely assume that there exist n- generated submodule of M^m and R- homomorphism $f: \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \rightarrow M^m$ such that $\theta(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R) \not\subseteq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^m A$.

Then there exists an element $\beta = (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R$ such that $(\beta) \notin \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^n A$, take $\beta_j = \beta, j=1, \dots, m$.

Then we have m- element subset $\{(\beta), \dots, \theta(\beta)\}$ such that $\theta(\beta) \notin \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^m A$.

Let $\eta = (t_1, \dots, t_n)^T \in r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ then $\alpha_j \eta = 0$ i.e $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i j t_j = 0 \forall j, \dots, m$.

$\alpha_j = (a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj})$ and $\{\theta(\beta), \dots, \theta(\beta)\} \eta = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta(\beta) t_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) t_k = \sum_{k=1}^n (\theta(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i t_k)) = 0$

hence $r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subseteq r_{Rn} \{\theta(\beta_1), \dots, \theta(\beta_m)\}$ which is a contradiction. Thus M is strongly fully (m, n)- stable relative to ideal.

Corollary 2.4

Let M be strongly fully (m, n)-stable module relative to an ideal A of $R^{m \times n}$, then for any two m- element subsets $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ of M^n ,

$$r_{Rn} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subseteq r_{Rn} \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \text{ implies } (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_m R) \cap M^m A = (\beta_1 R + \dots + \beta_m R) \cap M^m A$$

Corollary 2.5 [1]

let M be a strongly fully stable module relative to an ideal A of R, then for each x,y in M, $y \notin (x)$, $r_R(x) = r_R(y)$ implies $(x) \cap M A = (y) \cap M A$.

Recall that a submodule N of an R – module M is (m, n)- pure submodule if for all $C \in R^{n \times m}$. $N^m \cap M^n C = N^n C$ [3].

The following proposition gives a partial answer for the question: - When the submodule of strongly fully (m, n)-stable module relative to ideal.

Proposition 2.6

let M be a strongly fully (m, n)- stable module to a non –zero ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$. Then every (m, n)- pure submodule of M is strongly fully (m, n)-stable module relative to A.

Proof:- let N be (m, n)- pure submodule of M. For each n-generated submodule K of N and an R – homomorphism $f: K \rightarrow N^m$, put $g = i \circ f: K \rightarrow M^m$ (where i is the inclusion mapping of N^m to M^m), then by assumption $f(K) = g(K) \subseteq M^n A$, and since $f(K) \subseteq N^m$. Hence $f(K) \subseteq K \cap M^n A \cap N^m$. since N is (m, n) –pure submodule of M then $N^m \cap M^n A = N^n A$, for each ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$, therefore $f(K) \subseteq K \cap N^n A$.

Thus N is strongly fully (m, n) stable module relative to A.

Corollary 2.7[1]

let M be a strongly fully stable R –module relative to a non –zero ideal A of R. Then every pure submodule of M is strongly fully stable module relative to A.

A.M .Sharky in [4], has introduced the concepts of Baer, s Criterion relative to an ideal.

Let M be an R-module, A be an ideal of R and N be a submodule of M. She says that N satisfies Baer’s Criterion relative to A if for each R-homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$, there exist $r \in R$ such that $f(n) = nr \in MA$, for each $n \in N$. M is said to satisfy Baer’s Criterion relative to A, if each submodule of M satisfies Baer’s Criterion relative to A. We introduce the concept of strongly (m,n)Baer’s Criterion relative to A.

Definition 2.8

For affixed positive integers n and m, we say that an R –module M satisfies strongly (m, n)- Baer’s Criterion relative to an ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$, if for any n- generated submodule N of M^m and any R – homomorphism $\theta: N \rightarrow M^m$ there exists $t \in R^{n \times m}$ such that $\theta(x) = xt \in M^n A$ for each $x \in N$.

It is clear that if M satisfies strongly (m, n) Baer’s Criterion relative to an ideal A then M satisfies strongly (p, q)- Baer’s Criterion relative to A, $\forall 1 \leq p \leq m$ and $1 \leq q \leq n$.

Proposition 2. 9

Let M be an R- module and A be a non zero ideal of $R^{n \times m}$. Then M satisfies strongly (m, n) Baer, s Criterion relative to an ideal A, if and only if

$$l_{M^m} r_{Rm}(\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \subseteq (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \cap M^n A \text{ for any n- element subset } \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \text{ of } M^m.$$

Proof:- First assume that strongly (m, n) Baer’s Criterion relative to an ideal A holds for n-generated submodule of M^m , let $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im})$, for each $i=1, \dots, n$ and $\beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \in l_{M^m} r_{Rm}(\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R)$

Define $\theta : \alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R \rightarrow M^m$ by $\theta(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i r_i$ If $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i = 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i = 0$, this implies that $\alpha_i(r^T) = 0$ where $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in R^m$, hence $r^T \in r_{Rm}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. By assumption $\beta_i r^T = 0, \forall i=1, \dots, n$ so $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i r_i = 0$. This shows that f is well defined. It is an easy matter to see that θ is an R -homomorphism. By assumption there exists $t \in R$ such that $\theta(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) t_k \in M^m A$ $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^m$ for each $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R$. Let $r_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in R^m$ where 1 in the i th positive and 0 otherwise.

$\beta_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_i t_k$ thus $(\alpha_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_i t_k \in M^m A$ thus $\beta_i \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R \cap M^m A$ which is contradiction. This implies that $l_{M^m} r_{Rm}(\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \subseteq (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \cap M^m A$.

Conversely, assume that $l_{M^m} r_{Rm}(\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \subseteq (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \cap M^m A$, for each $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of M^m . Then for each R -homomorphism $f : \alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R \rightarrow M^m$ and $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in r_{Rm}(\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R)$, $\sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) s_k = 0$ for each $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i \in \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R$ hence $\sum_{k=1}^n f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) s_k = \sum_{k=1}^n f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i s_k) = 0$, thus $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) \in l_{M^m} r_{Rm}(\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) = (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \cap M^m A$, then $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = f(\alpha_i r^T) = f(\alpha_i) r^T \in (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \cap M^m A$. Then M satisfies strongly (m, n) -stable relative to A .

Corollary 2.10

An R -module is strongly fully (m, n) stable relative to an ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$ if and only if $l_{M^m} r_{Rm}(\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \subseteq (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \cap M^m A$ for any n -element subset $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of M^m .

Proposition 2.11

Let A be an ideal of $R^{n \times m}$ and M be an R -module such that $r_R(N \cap K) = r_R(N) + r_R(K)$ for each two n -generated submodule of M^m . If M satisfies strongly $(m, 1)$ -Bear's criterion relative to A . Then M satisfies strongly (m, n) -Bear's criterion relative to A for each $n \geq 1$.

Proof:-Let $L = x_1 R + \dots + x_n R$ be n -generated submodule of M^m and $f: l \rightarrow M^m$ an R -homomorphism. We use induction on n . It is clear that M satisfies strongly (m, n) -Bear, s criterion, if $n=1$. Suppose that M satisfies strongly (m, n) -Bear, s criterion for all k -generated submodule of M^m , for $k \leq n-1$.

Write $N = x_1 R, k = x_2 R + \dots + x_n R$, then for each $w_1 \in N$ and $w_2 \in k, f/N(w_1) = w_1 r = f/K(w_2) = w_2 s$ for some $r, s \in R$. It is clear $r - s \in r_R(N \cap K) = r_R(N) + r_R(K)$, suppose that $r - s = u + v$ with $u \in r_R(N), v \in r_R(K)$ and let $r - u = s + v$. then for any $w = c + w_2 \in L$ with $w_1 \in N$ and $w_2 \in K, f(w) = wt$
 $f(w_1) + f(w_2) = (w_1 + w_2)t$
 $f(w_1) - w_1 r = w_2 t - f(w_2)$
 $f(w_1) - w_1(r - u) = w_2(s + v) - f(w_2)$
 $f(w_1) - w_1 r + w_1 u = w_2 s + w_2 v - f(w_2) \in M^m A$.

Corollary 2.12[1]

Let M be an R -module and A be a non-zero ideal of R . Then a strongly Bear's criterion relative to A holds for each cyclic submodule of M if and only if $l_M(r_R(x)) = R_x \cap MA$ for each $x \in M$.

Corollary 2.13

Let A be a non-zero ideal of R and M be an R -module such that $r_R(N \cap K) = r_R(N) + r_R(K)$ for every finitely generated submodule N and K of M . Then M is strongly fully stable relative to A if and only if M satisfies strongly Bear's criterion relative to A for finitely generated submodules.

Corollary 2.14

An R -module M is strongly fully (m, n) stable relative to A of $R^{n \times m}$, if and only if $l_M r_R((\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \subseteq (\alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R) \cap M^m A$ for any n -element subset $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of M .

Recall that an R -module M is (m, n) -quasi injective if for each R -homomorphism from an n -generated submodule of M^n to M extends to one from M^m to M [5]. Now, we introduce the concept of strongly (m, n) -quasi-injective relative to ideal.

Definition 2.15

An R -module M is said to be strongly (m, n) -quasi-injective relative to a non-zero ideal A of $R^{n \times m}$ if for each n -generated submodule N of M and R -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$ there exists an R -homomorphism $g: M^m \rightarrow M$ such that

$$f(x) = g(x) \in M^n A \quad \forall x \in N.$$

It is clear an R -module M is strongly principally quasi-injective relative to A if and only if strongly $(1, 1)$ -quasi-injective relative to A .

Proposition 2.16

Let M be an R -module and A a non-zero ideal of $R^{n \times m}$. If M is a strongly fully (m, n) -stable relative to A , then M is strongly (m, n) -quasi-injective relative to A .

Proof: Let $N = \alpha_1 R + \dots + \alpha_n R$ for each $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \in M^m$ (N is n -generated submodule of M^m) and $f: N \rightarrow M$ be an R -homomorphism then $f(N) \subseteq N \cap M^n A$, thus there exist $t \in R$ such that $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) t_k$, $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^m$.

Define $g: M^m \rightarrow M$ by $g(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i t_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ $\forall \alpha_i \in M^m$. It is clear that g is well defined R -homomorphism and $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = g(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i r_i) t_k$. Therefore M is strongly (m, n) -quasi-injective relative to A .

Corollary 2.17[1]

Let M be an R -module M and A a non-zero ideal of R . If M is a strongly fully-stable relative to A , then M is strongly principally quasi-injective relative to A .

In [6], a submodule N of an R -module M is said to be fully invariant if $\theta(N) \subseteq N$ for each R -endomorphism θ of M . In case that each submodule of M is fully invariant, then M is called duo module.

Theorem 2.18

Let M be an R -module and A be a non-zero ideal of $R^{n \times m}$. Then M is a strongly fully (m, n) -stable relative to A and duo module.

Proof: \rightarrow by proposition (2.16), M is strongly (m, n) -quasi-injective module relative to A and it is clear that M is duo module.

Conversely, let N be an n -generated submodule of M^m and $f: N \rightarrow M$ be an R -homomorphism since M is strongly (m, n) -quasi-injective relative to A , then there exists an R -homomorphism $g: M^m \rightarrow M$ such that $f(n) = g(n) \in M^n A$ for each $n \in N$. Now, since M is duo module, then $g(N) \subseteq N$, hence $g(N) \subseteq N \cap M^n A$ then $f(n) \in N \cap M^n A$, for each $n \in N$. Therefore $f(N) \subseteq N \cap M^n A$.

Corollary 2.19

Let M be an R -module, and A be a non-zero ideal of R . M is a strongly fully-stable module relative to A if and only if M is strongly principally quasi-injective relative to A and duo module.

References:-

1. Khudhair .K.A , **2009**, On strongly fully stable module relative to an ideal ,MSC Thesis, department of mathematics, college of Science , University of Mustansiriy
2. Abbas M.S. , Mohammdali .M. J., **2009**, A note on fully (m, n) -stable modules ,*International Electronic Journal of Algebra* ,6 ,pp:65 -73
3. Zhu Z., J.chen and X .zhang, **2003**, On (m, n) -purely of modules ,*east-west Journal of math*.5(1),pp:35-44
4. Shakry A.N . **2008** ,On fully stable modules relative to ideal ,MSC. Thesis ,department of mathematics college of science ,Mustansiriy University
5. ZHU Z.M.. CHEN J.L. and ZHANG X.X. **2005**. On (m, n) -Quasi-injective modules ,*Acta Math Univ. comeniana* vol.LXXIV,pp:25-36
6. Nicholson W.K. , Park J.K. and Ousif M.F.Y. **1999**, principally quasi-injective module comm. In *Algebra* 27,pp:1683 -1693