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#### Abstract

In this paper, we provide some types of $\mu$-Kc-spaces, namely, $\mu-K(\alpha c)$ (respectively, $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)-, \mu-\alpha K(c)$ - and $\mu-\theta K(c)-$ ) spaces for minimal structure spaces which are denoted by ( $m$-spaces). Some properties and examples are given. The relationships between a number of types of $\mu$ - $K c$-spaces and the other existing types of weaker and stronger forms of $m$-spaces are investigated. Finally, new types of open (respectively, closed) functions of $m$-spaces are introduced and some of their properties are studied.
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الخلاصة
$\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)^{-}$, $\mu-\alpha K(c)-$ - في هدا البحث قمنا بغض الانواع من فضاءات- $\mu-K c$ اي فضاءاء (minimal structure والاي رمزنا لله (فضاء- $\mu-\theta K(c)-)^{-} \mu-K(\alpha c)$,
واعطيت بعض الخصائص والامثلة. العلاقات بين بضض الانواع من فضاءات $\mu$ مات $\mu$ والانواع الموجودة
الاخرى من الصيغ الاضعف و الاقوى لضضاء-m حقتّ. اخيرا انواع جديدة من الدوال المتتوحة (المغلقة على
التوالي) في فضاء-m قدمت ودرست بعض صفاتها.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of $K c$-space was introduced by Wilansky [1], that is "A topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be $K c$-space if every compact subset of $\mathcal{X}$ is closed". Also, many important properties were provided by that study, e.g., "Every $K c$-space is $T_{1}$-space" and "every $T_{2}$-space is $K c$-space". In 1996, Maki [2] introduced the minimal structure spaces, shortly $m$-spaces, that is " A sub collection $\mu$ of $P(\mathcal{X})$ is called the minimal structure of $\mathcal{X}$, if $\emptyset \in \mu$ and $\mathcal{X} \in \mu,(X, \mu)$ is said to be $m$-structure space". The elements of $\mu$ are called $\mu$-open sets and their complements are $\mu$-closed sets, which is a generalization of topological spaces. Popa and Noiri [3] studied the $m$-spaces and defined the notion of continuous functions between them. In 2015, Ali et al. [4] defined the concept of $K c$-space with

[^0]respect to the $m$-space to obtain a new space which they called the $\mu-K c$-space. A weaker and stronger form of open sets plays an important role in topological spaces. In 1965, Najasted [5] introduced the concept of $\alpha$-open sets as a generalization of open sets. That is, let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space and a nonempty subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be $\alpha$-open set, if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{A})))$. In 2010, Min [6] generalized the concept of $\alpha$-open sets to $m$-spaces. On the other hand, in 1968, Velicko [7] introduced the concept of $\theta$-open sets. That is "Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space, $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, a point $b \in X$ is said to be an $\theta \mu$-adherent point for a subset $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{X}$, if $\mathcal{N} \cap \operatorname{Cl}(G) \neq \emptyset$ for any open set $G$ of $\mathcal{X}$ and $b \in \mathcal{N}$. The set of $\theta$-adherent point is said to be an $\theta$-closure of $\mathcal{N}$ which is denoted by $\theta C l(\mathcal{N})$. A subset $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is called $\theta$-closed set if every point to $\mathcal{N}$ is an $\theta$-adherent point. Also, in 2018, Makki [8] defined $\theta$-open sets in $m$-space. The aim of the present paper is to introduce and study new type of $\mu$-Kc-spaces, namely, $\mu-K(\alpha c)$ - (resp. $\mu-\alpha K(c)-, \mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$ - and $\mu-\theta K(c)-$ ) spaces by using the concept of $\alpha$-open, respectively $\theta$-open sets, with respect to the $m$-space. We study the basic properties of each space and give the relationships between them. Also, we introduce new kinds of continuous, open (respectively closed) functions on $m$-spaces and investigate their properties.

## 2.Preliminaries

Let us recall the following definitions, properties and theorems which we need in this work
Definition 2.1 [3] Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a non-empty set and $P(X)$ be the power set of $\mathcal{X}$. A sub collection $\mu$ of $P(\mathcal{X})$ is called the minimal structure of $\mathcal{X}$, if $\emptyset \in \mu$ and $\mathcal{X} \in \mu,(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is said to be $m$-structure space (shortly, $m$-spaces). The elements of $\mu$ are called $\mu$-open sets and their complements are $\mu$-closed sets. For a subset $\mathcal{B}$ in an $m$-space on $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$, the interior (respectively, closure) of $\mathcal{B}$ denoted by $\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})$ (respectively, $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{B})$ ) is defined as follows:
$\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})=U\{U: U \subseteq \mathcal{B}, U \in \mu\}$ and $\mu C l(\mathcal{B})=\cap\left\{F: \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{c} \in \mu\right\}$.
Remark 2.2 Note that according to a previous study [9], $\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})$ (respectively, $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{B})$ ) is not necessarily $\mu$-open (respectively, $\mu$-closed), but if $\mathcal{B}$ is $\mu$-open then $\mathcal{B}=\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{B})$, respectively, and if $\mathcal{B}$ is $\mu$-closed, then
$\mathcal{B}=\mu C l(\mathcal{B})$.
Definition 2.3 [10] an $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ has a property $\beta$ (respectively $\Upsilon$ ) if the union (respectively intersection) of any family (respectively finite subsets) of $\mu$ also belongs to $\mu$.
Definition 2.4 [6] A subset $A$ of an $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is said to be an $\alpha \mu$-open, if $A \subseteq \mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(A)))$.The complement of $\alpha \mu$-open set is called $\alpha \mu$-closed set or, equivalently, $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu C l(A))) \subseteq A$.
Definition 2.5 [6] An $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ has a property $\alpha \Upsilon$, if the intersection of finite $\alpha \mu$-open sets is an $\alpha \mu$-open set in $\mathcal{X}$.
Remark 2.6 [6] From Definition 2.4, it is clear that every $\mu$-open (respectively $\mu$-closed) set is an $\alpha \mu$ open (respectively $\alpha \mu$-closed) set.
Definition 2.7 [10] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be an $m$-space. A point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is called an $\alpha \mu$-adherent point of a set $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ if and only if $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $G \in \mu$ such that $x \in G$. The set of all $\alpha \mu$-adherent points of a set $A$ is denoted by $\alpha \mu \operatorname{ICl}(A)$, where $\alpha \mu C l(A)=\cap\{F: A \subseteq F, F$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set $\}$.
Proposition 2.8 [6] A subset $F$ of $m$-space $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$ iff $\mathrm{F}=\alpha \mu C l(F)$.
Definition 2.9 [7] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be an $m$-space, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Then $a \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be $\alpha \mu$-interior point to $\mathcal{A}$ iff $\in U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, for some $\alpha \mu$-open set $U$ and $x \in U$. The $\alpha \mu$-interior point of a set $\mathcal{A}$ is all $\alpha \mu$ interior point to $\mathcal{A}$ and denoted by $\alpha \mu \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{A})$, where $\alpha \mu \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{A})=\bigcup\{U: U \subseteq \mathcal{A}, U$ is $\alpha \mu$-open set $\}$.
Proposition 2.10 [6] any subset of $m$-space $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu$-open set iff every point in it is $\alpha \mu$-interior point.
Remark 2.11 [6] If $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is an $m$-space, then:

1. The union of any family of $\alpha \mu$-open sets is $\alpha \mu$-open set.
2. The intersection of any two $\alpha \mu$-open sets may be not $\alpha \mu$-open set.

Definition 2.12 [12] An $m$-space, $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is called $\mu$-compact if any $\mu$-open cover of $\mathcal{X}$ has a finite subcover. A subset $\mathcal{H}$ of an $m$-space is said to be $\mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$, if for any cover by $\mu$-open of $\mathcal{X}$, there is a finite subcover of $\mathcal{H}$.
Proposition 2.13 [11] Every $\mu$-closed set in $\mu$-compact space is an $\mu$-compact set.

Definition 2.14 [6] An $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is said to be $\alpha \mu$-compact space if any $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $\mathcal{X}$ has a finite subcover. A subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $m$-space $\mathcal{X}$ is called $\alpha \mu$-compact, if any $\alpha \mu$-open set of $\mathcal{X}$ which covers $\mathcal{B}$ has a finite subcover of $\mathcal{B}$.
Remark 2.15 Any $\alpha \mu$-compact is $\mu$-compact set. However the converse is not necessarily true as shown by the following example.
Example 2.16 Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of real numbers and $\mathcal{X}$ be a non-empty set such that $\mathcal{X}=\{x\} \cup$ $\{r: r \in \mathcal{R}\}$, where $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Also $\mu=\{\phi, \mathcal{X},\{x\}\}$, then $\mathbb{C}=\{\{x, r\}: r \in \mathcal{R}\}$ is an $\alpha \mu$-open cover to $\mathcal{X}$. Since $\{x, r\} \subseteq \mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(\{x, r\})))=\mathcal{X}$, so $\{x, r\}$ is an $\alpha \mu$-open set. Now, $\mathbb{C}$ is an $\alpha \mu$-open cover to $\mathcal{X}$, but it has no finite subcover to $\mathcal{X}$, since, if we remove $\{\mathrm{x}, 50\}$ then the reminder is not cover $\mathcal{X}$ (cover all $\mathcal{X}$ except 50), and it is infinite cover. Hence, $\mathcal{X}$ is not $\alpha \mu$-compact space and it is clear that $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$-compact space, since the only $\mu$-open cover of $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathcal{X}$ itself, which is one set, that is, a finite open cover to $\mathcal{X}$.
Definition 2.17 [10] An $m$-space is called an $\mu$ - $T_{1}$-space, if for any two points $a, b$ in $\mathcal{X}, a \neq b$ there is two $\mu$-open sets $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}$ such that $a \in \mathrm{~N}$, but $b \notin \mathrm{~N}$ and $b \in \mathrm{M}$ but $a \notin \mathrm{M}$.
Proposition 2.18 [4] An $m$-space is $\mu$ - $T_{1}$-space if and only if every singleton set is $\mu$-closed set, whenever $\mathcal{X}$ has $\beta$ property.
Definition 2.19 [10] An $m$-space is said to be $\alpha \mu-T_{1}$-space, if for every two t points $c, d$ in $\mathcal{X}$, there are two $\alpha \mu$-open sets $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}$ with $c \in \mathcal{K}$, but $c \notin \mathcal{H}$ and $d \in \mathcal{H}$ but $d \notin \mathcal{K}$.
Remark 2.20 [10] Every $\mu$ - $T_{1}$-space is $\alpha \mu-T_{1}$-space.
Definition 2.21 [10] An $m$-space ( $\mathcal{X}$, ) is called $\mu$ - $T_{2}$-space (respectively $\alpha \mu-T_{2}$-space), if for any two distinct points $x, y$ in $\mathcal{X}$, there are two $\mu$-open (respectively $\alpha \mu$-open) $U, V$, such that $x \in U, y \in$ $V$, and $U \cap V=\emptyset$.
Definition 2.22 [4] An $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is said to be $\mu$ - $K c$-space if any $\mu$-compact subset of $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$ closed set.
Example 2.23 Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the real numbers, $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{\mathrm{U}}\right)$ is the usual $\mu$-space which is $\mu$-Kc-space.
Proposition 2.24 [12] Every $\mu$-compact set in $\mu$ - $T_{2}$-space, that has the property $\beta$ and $\Upsilon$, is $\mu$-closed set.

## Remark 2.25 [4]

1. Every $\mu-K c$ space is $\mu-T_{1}$-space.
2. Every $\mu$ - $T_{2}$-space with the property $\beta$ and $\Upsilon$ is $\mu-K c$-space.

Definition 2.26 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be a function. Then $f$ is called:

1. $m$-continuous [15] iff for any $\mu^{\prime}$-open $\mathcal{N}$ in , the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ is an $\mu$-open set in $\mathcal{X}$.
2. $\alpha m$-continuous [6] iff for any $\mu^{\prime}$-open set $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$ is an $\alpha \mu$-open set in $X$.
Proposition 2.27 [14] The $m$-continuous image of $\mu$-compact is $\mu^{\prime}$-compact.
Definition 2.28 [4] A function $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is said to be $m$-homeomorphism, if $f$ is injective, surjective, continuous and $f^{-1}$ continuous. If there exists an $m$-homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ and $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ then we say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mu) m$-homeomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$.
Definition 2.29 [13] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be $m$-space, $\mathcal{F}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{X}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. A point $x$ is called an $\theta \mu$ interior point of $\mathcal{F}$ if there is $\mathcal{C} \in \mu$ such that $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in \mu C l(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. And $\theta \mu$-interior set which is denoted by $\theta \mu \operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{F})$ is the set of all $\theta \mu$-interior points. A subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is called an $\theta \mu$ open set if every point of $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\theta \mu$-interior point.
Definition 2.30 [13] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be $m$-space, $H \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, a point $b \in X$ is said to be an $\theta \mu$-adherent point for a subset $H$ of $\mathcal{X}$, if $H \cap \mu C l(G) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\mu$-open set $G$ of $\mathcal{X}$ and $b \in H$. The set of $\theta \mu$-adherent point is said to be an $\theta \mu$-closure of $H$, which is denoted by $\theta \mu C l(H)$. A subset $H$ of $X$ is called $\theta \mu$ closed set if every point to $H$ is an $\theta \mu$-adherent point.
Example 2.31 Any subset of a discrete $m$-space $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{D}\right)$ on a real number $\mathcal{R}$ is $\theta \mu$-closed set and $\theta \mu$ open set.
Definition 2.32 [8] An $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is said to have the property $\theta \Upsilon$ (respectively $\theta \beta$ ) if the intersection (respectively union) of any finite number (respectively family) of $\theta \mu$-open sets is an $\theta \mu$ open set.
Remark 2.33 [8] If an $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ has $\theta \Upsilon$ property, then every $\theta \mu$-closed is an $\mu$-closed.

Definition 2.34 [8] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be $m$-space, $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be $\theta \mu$-compact if any $\theta \mu$-open cover of $X$ has a finite subcover. A subset $A$ of an $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is said to be $\theta \mu$-compact if for any $\theta \mu$-open cover $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ and cover $A$ then there is a finite subset $\left\{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}$. Example 2.35 Let $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{\text {ind }}\right)$ be an $m$-space where $\mu_{\text {ind }}$ be indiscrete $m$-space on a real number $\mathcal{R}$, so is $\theta \mu$-compact.
Remark 2.36 [8] Every $\mu$-compact with the property $\theta \beta$ is $\theta \mu$-compact.
Definition 2.37 [8] An $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is called $\theta \mu-T_{2}$-space, if for every two points $a, b$ that belong to $\mathcal{X}, a \neq b$, there is $\theta \mu$-open sets $M$ and $N$ containing $a$ and b , respectively, such that $M \cap N=\emptyset$.
Definition 2.38 [8] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ and $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be two $m$-spaces and $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be a function. Then $f$ is called:

1. $\theta m$-continuous function iff for any $\mu^{\prime}$-closed ( $\mu^{\prime}$-open) subset $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is $\theta \mu$-closed $(\theta \mu$-open $)$ set in $\mathcal{X}$.
2. $\theta^{*} m$-continuous function iff for every $\theta \mu^{\prime}$-closed $\left(\theta \mu^{\prime}\right.$-open) $\mathcal{M}$ subset of $\mathcal{Y}$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$ is $\mu$-closed ( $\mu$-open) set in $\mathcal{X}$.
3. $\theta^{* *} m$-continuous function iff for any $\mathcal{N} \theta \mu^{\prime}$-closed $\left(\theta \mu^{\prime}\right.$-open) $\mathcal{N}$ subset of $\mathcal{Y}$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ is $\theta \mu$-closed $(\theta \mu$-open $)$ set in $\mathcal{X}$.
4. $\theta m$-closed function if $f(F)$ is $\theta \mu^{\prime}$-closed set in $\mathcal{Y}$ for each $\mu$-closed subset $F$ of $\mathcal{X}$.
5. $\quad \theta^{*} m$-closed function if $f(F)$ is $\mu^{\prime}$-closed set in $\mathcal{Y}$ for each $\theta \mu$-closed subset $F$ of $X$.

Proposition 2.39 [8] The $\theta^{* *} m$-continuous image of $\theta \mu$-compact is $\theta \mu^{\prime}$-compact.
Proposition 2.40[8] If $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is an $m$-homeomorphism and $\mathcal{B}$ is an $\theta \mu^{\prime}$-compact set in $\mathcal{Y}$ then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ is an $\theta \mu$-compact set in $\mathcal{X}$, with $\mathcal{X}$ has the property $\theta \mathcal{B}$.

## 3. Strong and weak forms of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ - $K c$-spaces

In this section, we provide some weak forms of $\mu$ - $K c$-space, namely $\mu$ - $K(\alpha c)$-space, $\mu-\alpha K(c)$-space and $\mu$ - $\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space. In addition, we introduce $\mu-\theta K(c)$-space as a strong form of $\mu$ - $K c$-space.
Definition 3.1 An $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is said to be $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space if every $\mu$-compact set in $\mathcal{X}$ is an $\alpha \mu$ closed set.
Now, we give some examples to explain the concept of $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space.
Example 3.2 The discrete $m$-space $\left(\mathcal{X}, \mu_{D}\right)$ is $\mu$ - $K(\alpha c)$-space.
Example 3.3 Let $\mathcal{X}=\{1,2,3\}$ and let $\mu=\{\varnothing, \mathcal{X},\{1\}\}$. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is not $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space, since there exists an $\mu$-compact set $\{1,2\}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ but it is not $\alpha \mu$-closed.
To show that Definition 3.1 is well defined, we give the following example to illustrate that there is no relation between the concepts of $\mu$-compact set and $\alpha \mu$-closed set.

## Example 3.4

1. In the discrete $m$-space $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{\boldsymbol{D}}\right)$ where $\mathcal{R}$ is a real number, $\mathbb{Q}$ is the rational numbers subset of $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed but not $\mu$-compact set.
2. In the indiscrete $m$-space $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{\text {ind }}\right), \mathbb{Q}$ is $\mu$-compact but not $\alpha \mu$-closed set.

## Remark 3.5

1. Every $\mu-K c$ space is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space.
2. In discrete $m$-space, the two definitions of $\mu-K c$-space and $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-paces are satisfied.

The following example indicates that the converse of Remark 3.5 part (1) is not necessarily hold.
Example 3.6 Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be an $m$-space, $\mathcal{X}=\{a, b, c\}, \mu=\{\varnothing, \mathcal{X},\{a\}\}$, so $\{c\}$ is $\mu$-compact since $\{c\}$ is finite set. Also it is $\alpha \mu$-closed set since $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu C l\{c\}))=\emptyset \subseteq\{c\}$, so $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$-K( $\alpha c)$-space, but not $\mu$-Kc-space since $\{c\}$ is not $\mu$-closed set.
Proposition 3.7 An $\alpha \mu$-compact subset of $\alpha \mu-T_{2}$-space is $\alpha \mu$-closed, whenever $\mathcal{X}$ has $\alpha \Upsilon$ property.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{B}$ be $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\alpha \mu$ - $T_{2}$-space. To show that $\mathcal{B}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed, let $p \in \mathcal{B}^{c}$, since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu$ -$T_{2}$-space. So for every $q \in \mathcal{B}, p \neq q$, there exist $\alpha \mu$-open sets $G, H$ with $p \in H, q \in G$, such that $G \cap H=\emptyset$,. Now the collection $\left\{G_{q_{i}}: q_{i} \in \mathcal{B}, i \in I\right\}$ is $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $\mathcal{B}$.Since $\mathcal{B}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact set, then there is a finite subcover of $\mathcal{B}$, so $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} G_{q_{i}}$. Let $H^{*}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} H_{q_{i}}(p)$ and $G^{*}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_{q_{i}}$, then $H^{*}$ is an $\alpha \mu$-open set $p \in H^{*}$ (since $\mathcal{X}$ has property $\alpha \Upsilon$ ). Claim that $G^{*} \cap H^{*}=\emptyset$, let $x \in G^{*}$, then $x \in$ $G_{q_{i}}$, for some $q_{i}$, and suppose that $x \in H^{*}, \mathcal{B} \cap H^{*} \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction, then $p \in H^{*} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{c}$, so $\mathcal{B}^{c}$ is $\alpha \mu$-open set in $\mathcal{X}$, hence $\mathcal{B}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set.
Theorem 3.8 Every $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\alpha \mu$-compact space is $\alpha \mu$-compact set.

Proof: Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be $\alpha \mu$-compact, $A$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$, and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathrm{I}}$ is an $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $A$, that is $A \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha}$, where $V_{\alpha}$ is $\alpha \mu$-open in $X . \forall \alpha \in I$, since $X=A \cup A^{c} \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{\alpha} \cup A^{c}$, also $A^{c}$ is $\alpha \mu$ -open (since $A$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$ ). So $\mathrm{U}_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha} \cup A^{c}$ is $\alpha \mu$-open cover for $\mathcal{X}$ which is $\alpha \mu$-compact space, then there exists $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ such that $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}} \cup A^{c}$, so $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}$. Then $\cup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, n$ is a finite subcover of $A$. Therefore , $A$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact set.
Remark 3.9 In the above theorem, if we replace the $\alpha \mu$-compact by $\mu$-compact, the theorem will not be true.
Now, we introduce the weak form of $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space which was introduced in Definition 3.1.
Definition 3.10 A space $X$ is said to be $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space if any $\alpha \mu$-compact subset of $X$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set.
Example 3.11 Let $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{\boldsymbol{D}}\right)$ be a discrete $m$-space where $\mathcal{R}$ is a real number. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact subset of $\mathcal{R}$, then $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\mu$-compact in $\mathcal{R}$ from Remark 2.15 , and $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\mu$-closed so it is $\alpha \mu$-closed by Remark 2.6. Hence ( $\mathcal{R}, \mu_{D}$ ) is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space.
Proposition 3.12 Every $\mu-\alpha K(c)$-space is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space.
Proof: Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be $m$-space and $\mathcal{K}$ be $\alpha \mu$-compact subset of $\mathcal{X}$, which is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(c)$-space, so $\mathcal{K}$ is $\mu$ closed subset of $\mathcal{X}$ and, by Remark 2.6, $\mathcal{K}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set. Hence $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space.
Theorem $3.13(X, \mu)$ is $\alpha \mu-T_{1}$-space iff $\{x\}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}$ for all $x \in X$.
Proof: Let $\{x\}$ be $\alpha \mu$-closed set $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, let $a, d \in \mathcal{X}$ with $a \neq d$, and $\{a\}$ and $\{d\}$ are $\alpha \mu$-closed sets, then $\{a\}^{c}$ is $\alpha \mu$-open subset of $\mathcal{X}$, with $d \in\{a\}^{c}$ and $a \notin\{a\}^{c}$. Also $\{d\}^{c}$ is $\alpha \mu$-open subset of $\mathcal{X}$, with $a \in\{d\}^{c}$ and $d \notin\{d\}^{c}$, so $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu-T_{1}$-space.
Conversely, we must prove that $\{x\}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}$, that is $\alpha \mu \mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\{x\}$, since $\{x\} \subseteq$ $\alpha \mu \mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \ldots$ (1). Let $y \in \alpha \mu \mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$ and $y \notin\{x\}$, so $x \neq y$, but $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu$ - $T_{1}$-space, so there exist two $\alpha \mu$-open sets $U_{x}$ and $V_{y}$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively, with $y \notin U_{x}$ and $x \notin V_{y}$. Then $V_{y}$ containing $y$, so $y$ is not $\alpha \mu$-adherent point to $\{x\}$, that is $y \notin \alpha \mu \mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$, and this is contradiction. Therefore, $y \in\{x\}$ and $\alpha \mu \mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq\{x\} \ldots$ (2), so by (1) and (2) we get $\alpha \mu \mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\{x\}$, and by Proposition 2.8, $\{x\}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}$.
Proposition 3.14 Every $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space is $\alpha \mu-T_{1}$-space.
Proof: Let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and let $\{x\}$ be $\alpha \mu$-compact set in $\mathcal{X}$, since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space, hence $\{x\}$ is $\alpha \mu$ closed set, so $X$ is $\alpha \mu-T_{1}$-space by Theorem 2.18.

The next example shows that the converse of Proposition 3.14 is not true.
Example 3.15 Let $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{c o f}\right)$ be a co-finite $m$-space on a real number $\mathcal{R}$ which is $\alpha \mu$ - $T_{1}$-space, if we take $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as $\alpha \mu$-compact (since there exists one $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is $R$ ), but $\mathbb{Q}$ is not $\alpha \mu$ closed in $\mathcal{R}($ since $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu C l(\mathbb{Q})))=\mathcal{R} \not \subset \mathbb{Q}$.
Proposition 3.16 Every $\alpha \mu$ - $T_{2}$-space is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space, whenever $\mathcal{X}$ has $\alpha \Upsilon$ property.
Proof: Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be an $m$-space and $\mathcal{P}$ be an $\alpha \mu$-compact subset in $\mathcal{X}$. Also $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu-T_{2}$-space, so $\mathcal{P}$ is an $\alpha \mu$-closed set from Proposition 3.7. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space.

The converse of Proposition 3.16 may not be hold. The following example explains that.

## Example 3.17

Let ( $\mathcal{R}, \mu_{c o c}$ ) be a co-countable $m$-space on a real number $\mathcal{R}$, which is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space, but not $\alpha \mu$ -$T_{2}$-space, since the $\mu$-compact set in it are just the finite set, if we $\mu$-compact set then it is finite, so it is countable, then it is $\mu$-closed since in $\mu_{c o c}$ the closed take sets are $\emptyset, \mathcal{R}$ and countable sets. Now suppose that it is $\alpha \mu-T_{2}$-space, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{R}, x \neq y$, there are $U_{x}, V_{y}$ as two $\alpha \mu$-open sets such that $x \in U_{x}$, $y \in V_{y}$ and $U_{x} \cap V_{y}=\emptyset,\left(U_{x} \cap V_{y}\right)^{c}=\emptyset^{c},\left(U_{x}\right)^{c} \cup\left(V_{y}\right)^{c}=\mathcal{R}$, but this is a contradiction. Since $U_{x}$ and $V_{y}$ are countable, the union also countable, but $\mathcal{R}$ is not countable so it is not $\alpha \mu$ - $T_{2}$-space. Therefore $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{c o c}\right)$ are $\mu-K c-, \mu-K(\alpha c)$ - and $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-spaces.
Proposition 3.18 A subset $\mathcal{F}$ of an $m$-space $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $X$ if and only if there exists an $\mu$ closed set $M$ such that $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq M$.
Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$, so $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, by Definition 2.3, and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq(\mu C l(\mathcal{F})$, then $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu C l(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mu C l(\mathcal{F})$, put $\mu C l(\mathcal{F})=M$, so $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)) \subseteq$ $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq M$. To prove that $\mathcal{F}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set whenever $M$ is $\mu$-closed set, $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)) \subseteq \mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mu \operatorname{Cl}(M)=M$, then $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)) \subseteq \mu C l(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq$ $M$, and $\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M))) \subseteq \mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu C l(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \mu \operatorname{Int}(M)$, by hypothesis $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq$ $M$, we get $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(\mu C l(\mathcal{F}))) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.Therefore $\mathcal{F}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set.
Definition 3.19 An $m$-space $\mathcal{X}$ is called $\mu$ - $\alpha K(c)$-space if any $\alpha \mu$-compact subset in $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$-closed set. Example 3.20 Let ( , $\mu_{D}$ ) be a discrete $m$-space on any space $\mathcal{X}$, it is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(c)$-space.

## Remark 3.21

1. Every $\mu-K c$-space is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(c)$-space.
2. Every $\mu-\alpha K(c)$-space is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space.
3. Every $\mu-T_{2}$-space is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(c)$-space.
4. Every $\mu-\alpha K(c)$-space is $\alpha \mu-T_{1}$-space.

Now, we define a strong form of $\mu-K c$-space which is $\mu-\theta K(c)$-space.
Definition 3.22 An $m$-space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is called $\mu-\theta K(c)$-space, if every $\theta \mu$-compact of $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$-closed set.
Example 3.23 Let $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{c o f}\right)$ be a co-finite $m$-space on a real line $\mathcal{R}$. Then $\left(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{c o f}\right)$ is an $\mu-\theta K(c)-$ space.
Proposition 3.24 Every $\theta \mu$-compact subset of $\theta \mu-T_{2}$-space is $\theta \mu$-closed, whenever that space has $\theta \Upsilon$ property.
Proof: Let $A$ be an $\theta \mu$-compact set in $\mathcal{X}$. Let $p \notin \notin A$, so for each $q \in A$ then $p \neq q$. But $\mathcal{X}$ is $\theta \mu-T_{2^{-}}$ space, so there exist two $\theta \mu$-open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $q$ and $p$, respectively, then $A=\mathrm{U}_{\alpha \in I}\left\{U_{q_{\alpha}}\right\}$. But $A$ is $\theta \mu$-compact, so $A=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{U_{q_{\alpha_{i}}}\right\}=U^{*}$ and $V^{*}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{q_{i}}(p)$ is $\theta \mu$-open (since $X$ has $\theta \Upsilon$ property). Claim that $U^{*} \cap V^{*}=\emptyset$, and suppose that $U^{*} \cap V^{*} \neq \emptyset$, since $p \in V^{*}$, let $p \in U^{*}$, that is $p \in A$, but this is a contradiction. So $U^{*} \cap V^{*}=\emptyset$ and then there exists $V^{*}$ containing $p$ and $V^{*} \subseteq A^{c}$, that is $p \in \mu \operatorname{Int}\left(A^{c}\right)$, then $A^{c}$ is $\theta \mu$-open, by Proposition 2.10 , so $A$ is $\theta \mu$-closed.
Proposition 3.25 If an $\mu$-space has $\theta \Upsilon$ property, then every $\theta \mu$ - $T_{2}$-space is $\mu$ - $\theta K(c)$-space.
Proof: Let $H$ be an $\theta \mu$-compact subset of $\mathcal{X}$. To prove that $H$ is $\mu$-closed set, since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\theta \mu$ - $T_{2}$-space, so by proposition 3.24, we get $H$ is $\theta \mu$-closed set and by Remark 2.33, we get $H$ is $\mu$-closed, hence $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu-\theta K(c)$-space.
Proposition 3.26 If an $\mu$-space has $\theta \beta$ property, then every $\mu-\theta K(c)$-space is $\mu$ - $k c$-space.
Proof: Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be $m$-space, $A$ be $\mu$-compact of $\mathcal{X}$ by Remark 2.36, $A$ is $\theta \mu$-compact and since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu-\theta K(c)$-space, so $A$ is $\mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}$, hence $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$ - $k c$-space.
Remark 3.27 The following diagram shows the relationships between the stronger and weaker forms of $\mu$ - $k c$-space.


## 4-Some types of continuous, open (closed) function on $\boldsymbol{m}$-spaces.

Definition 4.1 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be a function, then $f$ is called:

1. $m$-open (respectively $m$-closed) function [2], if $f(\mathcal{H})$ is an $\mu^{\prime}$-open respectively $\mu^{\prime}$-closed set in $\mathcal{Y}$ for any $\mu$-open (respectively $\mu$-closed) $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{X}$.
2. $\alpha m$-open (respectively $\alpha m$-closed) function [6], if $f(A)$ is an $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-open (respectively $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-closed) set in $\mathcal{Y}$ for every $\mu$-open (respectively $\mu$-closed) $A$ in $\mathcal{X}$.
3. $\alpha^{*} m$-open (respectively $\alpha^{*} m$-closed) function, if $f(\mathcal{K})$ is an $\mu^{\prime}$-open (respectively $\mu^{\prime}$-closed) set in $\mathcal{Y}$ for any $\alpha \mu$-open (respectively $\alpha \mu$-closed) subset $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{X}$.
4. $\alpha^{* *} m$-open (respectively $\alpha^{* *} m$-closed) function, if $f(\mathcal{N})$ is an $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-open (respectively $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-closed) subset of $\mathcal{Y}$ for any $\alpha \mu$-open (respectively $\alpha \mu$-closed) $\operatorname{set} \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{X}$.
5. $\alpha^{*} m$-continuous iff for any $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-open set $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is $\mu$-open set in $\mathcal{X}$.
6. $\quad \alpha^{* *} m$-continuous iff for every $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-open set $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ is $\alpha \mu$-open set in $X$.
Example 4.2 Let $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{Y}=\{a, b, c\}, \mu=\mu^{\prime}=\{\varnothing, \mathcal{X},\{a\}\}$ and $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ defined by $f(a)=f(b)=a$ and $f(c)=c$. Then $f$ is $\mu$-open, $\alpha \mu$-open and $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-open but it is not $\alpha^{*} \mu$-open function (where $\alpha \mu$-open set in $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are $\{\phi, \mathcal{X},\{a\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\}\}$.
Next, we introduce a proposition about $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-closed function. But before that we need to introduce the following proposition:
Proposition 4.3 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be a function. Then for every subset A of $\mathcal{X}$ :
7. $f$ is $m$-homeomorphism iff $\mu C l(f(A))=f(\mu C l(A))$.
8. $f$ is $m$-homeomorphism iff $\mu \operatorname{Int}(f(A))=f(\mu \operatorname{Int}(A))$.

Proof: The proof follows directly from the Definition 2.26 part (1) and Definition 4.1 part (1).
Theorem 4.4 If $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $m$-homeomorphism, then $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-closed function.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{F}$ be $\alpha \mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}$, by Proposition 3.18 , there exists $\mu$-closed set $M$ such that $\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq M$. Now, by taking the image, we get $f(\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M))) \subseteq f(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq f(M)$. But $f$ is $m$-homeomorphism, so

$$
f(\mu C l((\mu \operatorname{Int}(M))) \subseteq f(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq f(M) \ldots(1)
$$

Also from Proposition $4.3 \quad f(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M))=\mu \operatorname{Int}(f(M)), \quad$ hence

$$
\mu C l(f(\mu \operatorname{Int}(M)))=\mu C l(\mu \operatorname{Int}(f(M))) \ldots(2)
$$

Now, from (1) and (2) we have, $\mu \operatorname{Cl}(\mu \operatorname{Int}(f(M))) \subseteq f(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq f(M)$. Therefore, $f(\mathcal{F})$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{Y}$.
Corollary 4.5 If $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $m$-homeomorphism, then $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-open function.
Proof: Let $K$ be an $\alpha \mu$-open set in $\mathcal{X}$. To prove that $f(K)$ is $\alpha \mu$-open set in $\mathcal{Y}$. Now, $K^{c}$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$, and since $f$ is $m$-homeomorphism. From Theorem $4.4, f\left(K^{c}\right)$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{Y}$. But $f$ is surjective, so $f\left(K^{c}\right)=(f(K))^{c}$, which means that $f(K)$ is $\alpha \mu$-open set in $\mathcal{Y}$. Hence $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-open function.
Theorem 4.6 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be $\alpha^{* *} m$-continuous. Then $f(\mathcal{M})$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$, whenever $\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$. To prove that $f(\mathcal{M})$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$, let $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}$ be a family of $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $f(\mathcal{M})$. That is $(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha}$, so $f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$ is $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $\mathcal{M}, \forall \alpha \in I$. Also, since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$, then there exist $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)$, then $f(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}$. Therefore, $f(\mathcal{M})$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$.
Theorem 4.7 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be $\alpha^{*} \mu$-continuous function. Then $f(\mathcal{N})$ is $\mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$, whenever $\mathcal{N}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$. To prove that $f(\mathcal{N})$ is $\mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$, let $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}$ be a family of $\mu$-open cover of $f(\mathcal{N})$. That is $(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha}$, so $f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$ is an $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $\mathcal{N}, \forall \alpha \in I$. Also, since $\mathcal{N}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$, then $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)$. This implies that $f(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq$ $f\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m} f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_{\alpha_{i}}$. Therefore, $f(\mathcal{N})$ is $\mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$.
Theorem 4.8 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-continuous function. If a space $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact and a space $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\alpha \mu-T_{2}$, then the function $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-closed, whenever $\mathcal{X}$ has $\alpha \Upsilon$ property.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact, then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$ by Theorem 3.8 and the function $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-continuous. Then $f(\mathcal{H})$ is $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-compact subset of $\mathcal{Y}$ from Theorem 4.6, and since $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\alpha \mu-T_{2}$-space, so $f(\mathcal{H})$ is $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-closed set of $\mathcal{Y}$ by proposition 3.7. Therefore $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} \mu$-closed function.
Theorem 4.9 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be a $\alpha^{*} \mu$-continuous function, from $\alpha \mu$-compact space $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mu$ - $K c$-space $\mathcal{Y}$, then $f$ is $\alpha^{*} \mu$-closed function.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{B}$ be $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$ which is $\alpha \mu$-compact, so $\mathcal{B}$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$ from Theorem 3.8. Also, from the hypotheses, $f$ is $\alpha^{*} \mu$-continuous, then $f(\mathcal{B})$ is $\mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$ by Theorem 4.7. But $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\mu$ - $K c$-space, hence $f(\mathcal{B})$ is $\mu^{\prime}$-closed set of $\mathcal{Y}$. Therefore, $f$ is $\alpha \mu^{*}$-closed function.
Proposition 4.10 Let the function $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be $m$-continuous. If $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is $\mu$-compact and $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space, then $f$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed function.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{S}$ be an $\mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$, also $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$-compact, then $\mathcal{S}$ is $\mu$-compact subset of $\mathcal{X}$ from Proposition 2.13, and $f$ is $m$-continuous function, then $f(\mathcal{S})$ is $\mu$-compact set in $\mathcal{Y}$ from Proposition 2.27. Also $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\mu$-K $(\alpha c)$-space, so $f(\mathcal{S})$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed in $\mathcal{Y}$, therefore $f$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed .

Proposition 4.11 If the function $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $\alpha^{* *} m$-continuous, $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact and $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space, then $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} m$-closed function.
Proof: Let $F$ be an $\alpha \mu$-closed set of $\mathcal{X}$, since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\alpha m$-compact, so by Theorem 3.8, $F$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$ and $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} m$-continuous. Then $f(F)$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$. Also by Theorem $4.6, \mathcal{Y}$ is $\mu$ $\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space, hence $f(F)$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed in $\mathcal{Y}$. Therefore, $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} m$-closed .
Theorem 4.12 If $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $m$-closed, $\alpha^{* *} m$-open bijective function and $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is $\mu$ $\alpha K(c)$-space, then $\left(Y, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(c)$-space.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{K}$ be $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}$ be an $\alpha \mu$-open cover of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ in $\mathcal{X}$, that is $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha}$. Since $f$ is bijective, so $\mathcal{K}=f\left(f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})\right) \subseteq f\left(\mathrm{U}_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha}\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$. And $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} m$-open function, so $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} f\left(V_{\alpha}\right)$ is $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-open in $\mathcal{Y}$, for each $\alpha \in I$. Also, $\mathcal{K}$ is $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$ compact in $\mathcal{Y}$, so $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)$. This implies that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}\left(f\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}$, so $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$, which is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space, so $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is $\mu$-closed. Also, since $f$ is $m$-closed function, therefore $f\left(f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})\right)=\mathcal{K}$ is $\mu^{\prime}$-closed in $\mathcal{Y}$. Hence $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space.
Theorem 4.13 Let the injective function $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be $m$-continuous and $\alpha^{* *} m$-continuous. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space whenever $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space.
Proof: Let $K$ be $\mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$. To prove that $K$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed, let $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}$ be an $\mu$-open cover to $f(K)$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, that is $f(K) \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in I} V_{\alpha}$. But $f$ is $m$-continuous function, so by Proposition 2.27, $f(K)$ is $\mu$ compact in $\mathcal{Y}$, hence $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}$. Also $f$ is injective function, so $K=f^{-1}(f(K) \subseteq$ $f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)$. Also, $f$ is $m$-continuous, hence $f^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ is $\mu$-open in $\mathcal{X}, \forall i=$ $1,2,3, \ldots, n$. This implies that $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{\alpha_{i}}$, hence $f(K)$ is $\mu$-compact set of $\mathcal{Y}$ which is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$ space, that is $f(K)$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{Y}$. But $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} m$-continuous and $f^{-1}(f(K))=K$, so $K$ is $\alpha \mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu-K(\alpha c)$-space.
Theorem 4.14 Let a bijective function $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be $\alpha^{* *} m$-continuous. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)-$ space, then $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$ - $\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space.
Proof: Let $A$ be $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{X}$, so $f(A)$ is $\alpha \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$ by Theorem 4.6. And since $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\mu$ $\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space, so that $f(A)$ is $\alpha \mu^{\prime}$-closed set of $\mathcal{Y}$ and $f^{-1}(f(A))=A(f$ is injective), so $A$ is $\alpha \mu$ closed subset in $\mathcal{X}$ since $f$ is $\alpha^{* *} m$-continuous function. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu-\alpha K(\alpha c)$-space.
Proposition 4.15 If $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $m$-continuous function, $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$-compact space and $\mathcal{Y}$ is $\mu$ $\theta k(c)$-space, then $f$ is $\theta \mu^{*}$-closed function, whenever $\mathcal{X}$ has $\theta \Upsilon$ property.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{N}$ be $\theta \mu$-closed subset of $\mathcal{X}$, so that $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mu$-closed in $\mathcal{X}$ by Remark 2.33. And since $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mu$-compact, then $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mu$-compact by Proposition 2.13. Also $f$ is $m$-continuous function, so by Proposition 2.27, $f(\mathcal{N})$ is $\mu$-compact, hence from Remark 2.36, $f(\mathcal{N})$ is $\theta \mu$-compact in $\mathcal{Y}$ which is $\mu-\theta k(c)$-space. Therefore $f(\mathcal{N})$ is $\mu^{\prime}$-closed. That is $f$ is $\theta^{*} m$-closed function.
Proposition 4.16 Let $f:(\mathcal{X}, \mu) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ be $m$-homeomorphsim function. Then $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu-\theta k(c)-$ space, whenever $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ is $\mu$ - $\theta k(c)$-space which has $\theta \beta$ property.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $\theta \mu$-compact set in $\mathcal{Y}$, by Proposition $2.40, f^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$ is $\theta \mu$-compact in $X$ which is $\mu-\theta k(c)$-space. So $f^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$ is $\mu$-closed set in $\mathcal{X}$ and $f\left(f^{-1}(\mathcal{H})\right)=\mathcal{H}$ is $\mu^{\prime}$-closed set in $\mathcal{Y}$. Therefore, $\left(\mathcal{Y}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu-\theta k(c)$-space.
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