



ISSN: 0067-2904

## New Differential Subordination and Superordination Results for a subclass of Meromorphic Univalent Functions Defined by a New Operator

Mustafa A. Sabri <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Waggas Galib Atshan <sup>3</sup>, Essam El-Seidy <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah,

Received: 5/11/2023

Accepted: 31/1/2024

Published: 28/2/2025

### Abstract

In this paper, we explore and introduce a novel study employing a new operator denoted as  $\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s}$  within the field of geometric function theory, particularly in the context of sandwich theorems. We derive results pertaining to differential subordination and superordination for this new formula operator. Additionally, we establish specific sandwich theorems.

**Keywords:** Meromorphic univalent function, Analytic function, Subordinate, differential subordination, dominant, Hadamard product, sandwich theorem,

## نتائج جديدة للتبعية التفاضلية والتبعية التفاضلية العليا لفئات من الدوال احادية التكافؤ الميرمورفية المعرفة بواسطة مؤثر جديد

مصطفى عبدالستار صبري<sup>1,2</sup>, وقاص غالب عطشان<sup>3</sup>, عصام الصعيدي<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة عين شمس، القاهرة، مصر

<sup>2</sup> قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية، الجامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق

<sup>3</sup> قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة القادسية، الديوانية، العراق

### الخلاصة

في هذا البحث، نستكشف ونقدم دراسة جديدة تستخدم عاملاً جديداً يُشار إليه بـ  $\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s}$  في مجال نظرية الدالة الهندسية، خاصة في سياق نظريات الساندويتش. نحن نستخلص النتائج المتعلقة بالتبعية التفاضلية والتبعية التفاضلية العليا لمشغل الصيغة الجديد هذا. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، قمنا بتأسيس نظريات ساندويتش محددة.

### 1. Introduction

The notation  $\mathcal{A}^*$  represents the class of functions characterized as following:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1.1)$$

This class comprises functions that are meromorphic and univalent within the punctured open unit disk  $U^*$  defined as  $\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}: 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . Many researchers have investigated meromorphic functions within various classes and under different circumstances, as

\*Email: [esam\\_elsedy@hotmail.com](mailto:esam_elsedy@hotmail.com)

discussed in references [1, 2]. Consider  $\mathcal{H}$  as the linear space encompassing all analytic functions within the open unit disk  $U$ . For a positive integer  $n$  and a complex number  $a$ , we define the following:

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\}.$$

In the context of analytic functions in the  $\mathcal{H}$ , we say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in the open unit disk  $U$ , denoted as  $f(z) < g(z)$ , if there exists a Schwarz function  $w$ , which is analytic in  $U$ , has  $w(0) = 0$ , and  $|w(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in U$ , such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  for  $z \in U$ .

In addition, when the function  $g$  is univalent in  $U$ , we establish these particular equivalence relationship, as can be found in references (cf., e.g. [3, 4] and [5]):

$f(z) < g(z)$  if and only if  $f(0) = g(0)$  and the image of  $f$  over  $U$  is contained within the image of  $g$  over  $U$ , for  $z \in U$ .

**Definition 1.1:** [3] In this scenario,  $\psi(r, s, t; z): \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and the analytic function  $h(z)$  is defined within  $U$ . We require  $p$  and  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z)$  to be univalent in  $U$ , and  $p$  should satisfy the second-order differential superordination condition:

$$h(z) < \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z), (z \in U). \tag{1.2}$$

We categorize  $p$  as a solution to the differential superordination (1.2). A subordinate of  $p$ , is an analytic function  $q(z)$  for which  $p < q$  holds true for all  $p$  that satisfy (1.2). A univalent subordinate  $\tilde{q}(z)$  that meets the condition  $q < \tilde{q}$  for all subordinates  $q$  related to (1.2) is referred to as the best subinvariant.

**Definition 1.2:** [5] In this situation, consider  $\psi(r, s, t; z): \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $h(z)$  be a univalent function in  $U$ . Also, let  $p$  be an analytic function in  $U$ , satisfying the differential subordination of second-order:

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) < h(z), (z \in U). \tag{1.3}$$

In this context, we classify  $p$  as a solution to (1.3). The univalent function  $q$  is referred to as a dominant of  $p$ , if  $p < q$  holds true for all. A dominant  $\tilde{q}(z)$  that adheres to the condition  $q < \tilde{q}$  for every dominant  $q$  associated with (1.3) is termed the best dominant.

Many authors have established conditions that are sufficient for the functions  $h, q$  and  $\psi$  that fulfill the following conditions. You can refer to references [1, 2,] and [6-11] for more details on these requirements.

$$h(z) < \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \Rightarrow q(z) < p(z), (z \in U^*). \tag{1.4}$$

If we have an  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  represented as (1.1), and a  $g \in \mathcal{A}^*$  given by:

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z).$$

We can utilize the results from references [2], [6], [8-14] to derive suitable criteria for the fulfillment of normalized analytic functions

$$q_1(z) < \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q_2(z).$$

Here,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  with  $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ , represent univalent functions in  $U$ . Recently, Shanmugam et al. [10, 11] and Goyal et al. [8] have obtained Sandwich results for classes of analytic functions. You can also refer to [15] for additional information.

Recent studies in the literature have also explored specific univalent and multivalent functions, along with differential subordination outcomes for higher-order functions. These findings are discussed in references [7], and [16-23].

Atshan et al. [7] defined an integral operator  $\mathfrak{R}_*^\eta(\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, r)$  on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_*^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ \mathfrak{R}_*^1 f(z) &= \left(\frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r}\right) z^{-1 - \frac{(\nu + \tau - 1)}{\gamma + \delta - r}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{(\nu + \tau - 1)}{\gamma + \delta - r}} f(t) dt, \\ &(\nu > 1, \gamma > 1, \delta > 0, \tau > 0, 0 < r < 1, z \in U^*). \end{aligned}$$

For a  $f(z)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and given by (1.1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{R}_*^\eta f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\nu + \tau - 1 + (n + 1)(\gamma + \delta - r)}\right)^\eta a_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the general Hurwitz – lersch zeta function

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z, s, d) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{(d + n)^s}, d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z_0^-, s \\ &\in \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

when  $0 < |z| < 1$ .

**Definition 1.3:** For any  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $z$  within  $U^*$ , we introduce a new operator defined as follows  $\mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) &= \frac{\Phi(z, s, d)}{z^{d-s}} * \mathfrak{R}_*^\eta f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\nu + \tau - 1 + (n + 1)(\gamma + \delta - r)}\right)^\eta \left(\frac{d}{n + d + 1}\right)^s a_n z^n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

We note from (1.5) that

$$z \left(\mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z)\right)' + \left(\frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r} + 1\right) \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) = \left(\frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r}\right) \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta - 1, s} f(z). \tag{1.6}$$

The primary objective of this research is to determine adequate conditions under which specific normalized analytic functions  $f$  fulfill the following:

$$q_1(z) < \left(z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z)\right)^\mu < q_2(z),$$

and

$$q_1(z) < \left((1 - \rho)z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta + 1, s} f(z)\right)^\mu < q_2(z),$$

where  $q_1(z)$  and  $q_2(z)$  denote univalent functions within the open unit disk  $U$ , and they both satisfy  $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ .

In our research, we have established multiple results in the sandwich-type theorem category, utilizing the operator  $\mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s}$  when applied to the function  $f(z)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

To lay the foundation for our subordination and superordination findings, we introduce these concepts

**Definition 2.1:** According to reference [4], the set  $Q$  consists of all functions  $q$  that are both analytic and one-to-one within the region  $\bar{U} \setminus E(q)$ , where  $\bar{U}$  represents  $U$  union its boundary  $(\partial U)$ . Here,  $E(q)$  is defined as  $E(q) = \{\zeta \in \partial U: \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} q(z) = \infty\}$  and these functions also satisfy the condition  $q'(\zeta) \neq 0$  for  $\zeta \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$ . Additionally, we define the subclasses of  $Q$  as follows: The subclass for which  $q(0) = a$  is denoted as  $Q(a)$ ,  $Q(0)$  is referred to as  $Q(0)$ , and  $Q(1)$  is represented by  $Q(1)$ , which comprises functions  $q \in Q$  with  $q(0) = 1$

**Lemma 2.1:** As per reference [6], consider a convex univalent function  $q(z)$  within the region  $U$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , and assume that  $Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > \max \left\{ 0, -Re \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) \right\}$ . If  $p(z)$  is an analytic function in  $U$  and  $\alpha p(z) + \beta zp'(z) < \alpha q(z) + \beta zq'(z)$ , then it follows that  $p(z) < q(z)$ , and  $q$  is identified as the best dominant.

**Lemma 2.2:** According to reference [5], let  $q$  be a univalent function within  $U$ , and consider  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  as analytic functions in the domain  $D$  that contains  $q(U)$ . It is essential that  $\phi(w) \neq 0$  when  $w$  belongs to  $q(U)$ . Define  $Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$  and  $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$ . Now, assume the following conditions:

- 1)  $Q$  is starlike univalent in  $U$ .
- 2)  $Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) > 0$  for  $z$  in  $U$ .

If  $p$  is an analytic function in  $U$  with  $q(0) = p(0), p(U) \subseteq D$  and  $\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) < \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$ , then it follows that  $p(z) < q(z)$ , and  $q$  is identified as the best dominant

**Lemma 2.3:** In accordance with reference [4], consider a convex univalent function  $q(z)$  within the unit disk  $U$ . Furthermore, let  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  be analytic functions within a domain  $D$  that contains  $q(U)$ . The following conditions are vital:

- 1)  $Re \left\{ \frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right\} > 0$  for  $z$  within  $U$ .
- 2)  $Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$  is starlike univalent for  $z$  in  $U$ .

Assume that  $p$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ , with  $p(U) \subseteq D$ , and  $\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z))$  is univalent in  $U$ . Additionally, if  $\theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) < \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z))$ , then it can be concluded that  $q(z) < p(z)$ , and  $q$  is recognized as the best subordinant.

**Lemma 2.4:** [4] Let  $q(z)$  be a convex univalent in  $U$  with  $q(0) = 1$ . Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , that  $Re\{\beta\} > 0$ . If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$  and  $p(z) + \beta zp'(z)$  is univalent in  $U$ , then  $q(z) + \beta zq'(z) < p(z) + \beta zp'(z)$ , hence,  $p(z) < q(z)$  and  $p(z)$  is named the best dominant.

**Lemma 2.5:** According to reference [4], consider a convex univalent function  $q(z)$  within  $U$ , and ensure that  $q(0) = 1$ . Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with the condition  $Re\{\beta\} > 0$ . If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$  and  $p(z) + \beta zp'(z)$  is univalent within  $U$ , then it follows that  $q(z) + \beta zq'(z) < p(z) + \beta zp'(z)$ , which implies that  $q(z) < p(z)$ , and  $p(z)$  is identified as the best subordinant.

### 3. Results of differential subordination

In this context, we present a variety of differential subordination results, utilizing the operator  $\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s}$  applied to the function  $f(z)$ .

**Theorem 3.1:** Consider  $q(z)$  as a convex univalent function within  $U$ , satisfying  $q(0) = 1$ , where  $\alpha$  is a non-zero complex number in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mu$  is a positive number. Suppose that  $q(z)$  meets the following conditions

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > \max \left\{ 0, -Re \left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha} \right) \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  satisfies the subordination

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{z} \left( \frac{v + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r} \right) \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta-1,s} f(z)}{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z)} - \left( \frac{\gamma + \delta - r}{v + \tau - 1} \right) - 1 \right) + \left( z \mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu \\ & < q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\mu} zq'(z), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

then

$$\left( z \mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu < q(z), \tag{3.3}$$

and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

**Proof:** Consider

$$p(z) = \left( z \mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu, \tag{3.4}$$

thus, the function  $p(z)$  is analytic within  $U$ , and it holds that  $p(0) = 1$ . Consequently, if we differentiate (3.4) subject to  $z$  and utilizing (1.6), it follows that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \mu \left( \frac{\left( \mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)'}{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z)} \right),$$

then

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{\mu}{z} \left( \frac{v + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r} \right) \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta-1,s} f(z)}{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z)} - \left( \frac{\gamma + \delta - r}{v + \tau - 1} \right) - 1 \right).$$

The relation (3.2) follows by the hypothesis give us  $p(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\mu} zp'(z) < q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\mu} zq'(z)$ .

Applying Lemma 2.1 where  $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{\mu}$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , we derive (3.3).  $\square$

By taking  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ), in Theorem 3.1, we arrive at we can derive the next result.

**Corollary 3.1:** Suppose that  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ).

Let  $Re \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, -Re \left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha} \right) \right\}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and fulfills the following subordination condition:

$$p(z) < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \frac{\mu}{\alpha} \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2},$$

where  $p(z)$  given by (3.4), then  $\left( z \mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ,

furthermore,  $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  is called the best dominant.

If we substitute  $A = 1$  and  $B = -1$  into Corollary 3.1, we obtain the next corollary:

**Corollary 3.2:** Suppose that  $\mu > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , and assume that  $Re \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, -Re \left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha} \right) \right\}$ . If  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and holds the subordination criteria:

$$p(z) < \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \frac{\mu}{\alpha} \frac{2z}{(1-z)^2},$$

where  $p(z)$  is given by (3.4), then  $\left( z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu < \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ , and  $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$  is identified as the best dominant.

**Theorem 3.2:** Consider  $q(z)$  as a convex univalent function within the unit disk  $U$ , where  $q(0) = 1$  and  $q'(z) \neq 0$ . Let  $t$  be a non-zero complex number in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\zeta$  be a positive number, and suppose that  $q(z)$  satisfies:

$$Re \left\{ \frac{1}{t} (\zeta + t) + z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $t$  is non-zero complex number and  $z$  is within  $U$ .

Assuming  $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$  is starlike univalent in  $U$ , if  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and meets

$$G(z) = \psi_{(\eta,s,\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d;z)} < \zeta q(z) + ztq'(z), \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$G(z) = \left( (1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta+1,s} f(z) \right)^\mu \left[ \zeta + t\mu \left( \frac{\nu+\tau-1}{\gamma+\delta-r} \right) \left( \frac{(1-\rho)\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta-1,s} f(z) - (1-2\rho)\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) - \rho\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta+1,s} f(z)}{(1-\rho)\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) + \rho\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta+1,s} f(z)} \right) \right], \tag{3.7}$$

then  $\left( (1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta+1,s} f(z) \right)^\mu < p(z)$ , and  $p(z)$  is identified as the best dominant.

**Proof:** Let

$$p(z) = \left( (1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta+1,s} f(z) \right)^\mu. \tag{3.8}$$

In this scenario, the function  $p(z)$  is analytic within  $U$ , and it holds that  $p(0) = 1$ . Consequently, if we differentiate (3.5) subject to  $z$  and applying (1.6), we can deduce that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \mu \left( \frac{\nu+\tau-1}{\gamma+\delta-r} \right) \left[ \frac{(1-\rho)\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta-1,s} f(z) - (1-2\rho)\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) - \rho\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta+1,s} f(z)}{(1-\rho)\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) + \rho\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta+1,s} f(z)} \right]. \tag{3.9}$$

Set  $\theta(m) = \zeta m$  and  $\psi(m) = t$ .

It follows that  $\theta(m)$  and  $\psi(m)$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$ , in addition,

$$Q(z) = zq'(z) \psi(q(z)) = ztq'(z) \text{ and } h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \zeta q(z) + ztq'(z).$$

Since it's evident that  $Q(z)$  is starlike univalent in  $U$ , we can establish the following

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right\} = Re \left\{ \frac{1}{t} (\zeta + t) + z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

Using a simple calculation, we have

$$G(z) = \zeta p(z) + ztp'(z), \tag{3.10}$$

where  $G(z)$  is as defined in (3.7). Applying (3.6) and (3.10), we get

$$\zeta p(z) + ztp'(z) < \zeta q(z) + ztq'(z). \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.2, we deduce that  $p(z) < q(z)$ .

Finally, applying (3.8), we obtain the required result.  $\square$

When we substitute  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ) into Theorem 3.2 for every  $\mu > 0$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , the condition (3.5) transforms into

$$Re \left\{ \frac{1}{t} (\zeta + t) - \frac{2zB}{1+Bz} \right\} > 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Consequently, we obtain this corollary.

**Corollary 3.3:** Let  $\zeta > 0, t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ . Consider the assumption that (3.12) hold.

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $G(z) < \zeta \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) + zt \left( \frac{A}{1+Bz} + \frac{(1+Az)B}{(1+Bz)^2} \right)$ , where  $G(z)$  is as defined in (3.7), then  $\left( z\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ .

As well as  $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  is defined as the best dominant.

Set  $p(z) = \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho$  ( $0 < \rho \leq 1$ ), the requirement (3.12) in the proof of Theorem 3.2 has the form

$$Re \left\{ \frac{1}{t} (\zeta + t) + \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} \left( 1 + 2(\rho - 1) \left( \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right) \right) \right\} > 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, the following corollary follows.

**Corollary 3.4:** Suppose that  $\zeta > 0, t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, m \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $(0 < \rho \leq 1)$ . Consider the assumption (3.13) satisfy.

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $G(z) < \zeta \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) + zt \left( \frac{2\rho}{(1+z)^2} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\rho-1} \right)$ ,

where  $G(z)$  given by (3.7), then  $\left( z\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu < \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho$ ,

and  $\left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho$  is called the best dominant.

#### 4. Results of differential superordination

**Theorem 4.1:** Suppose  $q(z)$  is a convex univalent function within the unit disk  $U$ , where  $q(0) = 1$ , and  $\alpha$  is a complex number while  $\mu$  is a positive value with  $Re(\alpha) > 0$ . If  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}^*$  such that

$$\left( z\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu \neq 0.$$

Furthermore, assume that  $f$  fulfills the condition

$$\left( z\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q. \tag{4.1}$$

If  $p(z)$ , that defined as in (3.4), is univalent and meets the superordination condition

$$q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\mu} zq'(z) < \left( z\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu + \frac{\mu}{z} \left( \frac{v+\tau-1}{\gamma+\delta-r} \right) \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta-1,s} f(z)}{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z)} - \left( \frac{\gamma+\delta-r}{v+\tau-1} \right) - 1 \right), \tag{4.2}$$

then  $q(z) < \left( z\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu$ , and  $q(z)$  is recognized as the best subordinant.

**Proof:** Consider the following

$$p(z) = \left( z\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu. \tag{4.3}$$

Upon differentiate (3.4) subject to  $z$ , we obtain

$$\frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{\mu}{z} \left( \frac{v+\tau-1}{\gamma+\delta-r} \right) \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta-1,s} f(z)}{\mathcal{W}_{v,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z)} - \left( \frac{\gamma+\delta-r}{v+\tau-1} \right) - 1 \right) \tag{4.4}$$

$$\frac{\mu}{z} \left( \frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r} \right) \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta-1, s} f(z)}{\mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z)} - \left( \frac{\gamma + \delta - r}{\nu + \tau - 1} \right) - 1 \right) + p(z) < q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\mu} z q'(z).$$

By performing a straightforward computation and utilizing (1.6), we can derive from (4.4):

$$\frac{\mu}{z} \left( \frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r} \right) \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta-1, s} f(z)}{\mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z)} - \left( \frac{\gamma + \delta - r}{\nu + \tau - 1} \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\mu} z p'(z).$$

By applying Lemma 2.4, we achieve the desired result.

When we substitute  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ) into Theorem 4.1, we get this result:

**Corollary 4.1:** Assume that  $\mu > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ), where

$$\left( z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q.$$

If  $p(z)$  described by (3.4) is univalent in  $U$  and if  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and adheres to the following condition superordination:

$$\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \frac{\alpha (A + B)z}{\mu (1 + Bz)^2} < p(z), \tag{4.5}$$

then  $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} < \left( z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) \right)^\mu$ , and  $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  is recognized as the best subordinant.

**Theorem 4.2:** Consider  $q(z)$  as a convex univalent function within the unit disk  $U$ , with  $q'(z) \neq 0$ . Let  $t$  be a complex number in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\zeta$  be a positive real number, and  $m$  belong to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $q(z)$  satisfies

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta q'(z)}{t} \right\} > 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Assume that  $f(z)$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and satisfies the following condition:

$$\left( (1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta+1, s} f(z) \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q,$$

Further,

$$\left( (1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta+1, s} f(z) \right)^\mu \neq 0.$$

If the function  $p(z)$  given by (3.8) is univalent in  $U$  and

$$\zeta q(z) + z t q'(z) < G(z), \tag{4.7}$$

then,  $q(z) < \left( (1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta+1, s} f(z) \right)^\mu$ ,

and  $q(z)$  is recognized as the best subordinant.

**Proof:** Consider  $p(z)$  that given in  $U$  by (3.8). A calculation reveals that

$$\frac{z q'(z)}{q(z)} = \mu \left( \frac{\nu + \tau - 1}{\gamma + \delta - r} \right) \left[ \frac{(1 - \rho) \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta-1, s} f(z) - (1 - 2\rho) \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) - \rho \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta+1, s} f(z)}{(1 - \rho) \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta, s} f(z) + \rho \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \gamma, \delta, \tau, n, r, d}^{\eta+1, s} f(z)} \right]. \tag{4.8}$$

Set  $\theta(m) = \zeta m$  and  $\psi(m) = t$ .

It follows that  $\theta(m)$  and  $\psi(m)$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Moreover,  $\psi(m) \neq 0, m \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . As well as,  $Q(z) = z q'(z) \psi(q(z)) = z \varepsilon \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)}$ .

Clearly,  $Q(z)$  is starlike univalent in  $U$ , we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\psi(q(z))} \right\} = Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta q'(z)}{t} \right\} > 0.$$

Through a simple computation, it can be concluded that:

$$G(z) = \theta(p(z)) + p(z) = \zeta p(z) + z t p'(z), \tag{4.9}$$

where  $G(z)$  is defined by (3.7).



It can be deduced from both (4.7) and (4.9) that

$$\zeta q(z) + ztq'(z) < \zeta p(z) + ztp'(z). \tag{4.10}$$

Hence, when we employ Lemma 2.3, we obtain that  $q(z) < p(z)$ , and the result is follow.  $\square$

### 5. Sandwich results

The Sandwich Theorem is a consequent of combining Theorem 3.1 with Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 5.1:** Suppose  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are a convex univalent function in  $U$  with  $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$  and  $q_2$  meets (3.1). Let  $Re\{\alpha\} > 0, \mu > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Consider  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are convex univalent functions within the unit disk  $U$ , both having  $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$  and  $q_2$  satisfies (3.1). Let  $Re\{\alpha\} > 0, \mu > 0$ , and  $\alpha$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , such that

$$\left( z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q.$$

Moreover, the function  $p(z)$  defined as in (3.4) is univalent and it meets this condition

$$q_1(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\mu} zq_1'(z) < p(z) < q_2(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\mu} zq_2'(z), \tag{5.1}$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) < \left( z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu < q_2(z).$$

Here,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  represent the best subordinant and the best dominant of (5.1), respectively.

Furthermore, when Theorem 3.2 is combined with Theorem 4.2, it results in the Sandwich Theorem:

**Theorem 5.2:** Suppose that  $q_i$  are two convex univalent functions in  $U$ , with  $q_i(0) = 1, q_i'(z) \neq 0 (i = 1,2)$ . Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  meets (4.6) and (3.5), respectively.

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and we assume that  $f$  fulfills the following conditions:

$$\left( z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu \neq 0,$$

and

$$\left( z\mathcal{W}_{\nu,\gamma,\delta,\tau,n,r,d}^{\eta,s} f(z) \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q,$$

and  $\psi(z)$  is univalent in  $U$ , then

$$\zeta q_1(z) + ztq_1'(z) < \psi(z) < \zeta q_2(z) + ztq_2'(z). \tag{5.2}$$

This implies that  $q_1(z)$  is subordinate to  $\psi(z)$ , which is subordinate to  $q_2(z)$ . In this context,  $q_1(z)$  and  $q_2(z)$  serve as the best subordinant and the best dominant, respectively, while  $\psi(z)$  follows the form described in (3.7).

### References

- [1] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, "On application of differential subordination for certain subclass of meromorphically p-valent functions with positive coefficients defined by linear operator," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 11, 2009.
- [2] W. G. Atshan, A. H. Battor and A. F. Abaas, "Some sandwich theorems for meromorphic univalent functions defined by new integral operator," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 579-591, 2021.
- [3] T. Bulboacă, "Classes of first-order differential superordinations," *Demonstration Math.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 287-292, 2002.

- [4] T. Bulboacă, Differential subordinations and superordinations, Recent Results, Cluj-Napoca: House of Scientific Book Publ., 2005.
- [5] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, New York and Basel: Marcel Dekker, 2000.
- [6] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, M. H. Khan and K. G. Subramaniam, "Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions," *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 87-94, 2004.
- [7] W. G. Atshan, A. H. Battor and A. F. Abaas, "On third-order differential subordination results for univalent analytic functions involving an operator," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1664, no. 012044, pp. 1-19, 2020.
- [8] S. P. Goyal, P. Goswami and H. Silverman, "Subordination and superordination results for a class of analytic multivalent functions," *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 561638, pp. 1-12, 2008.
- [9] M. A. Sabri, W. G. Atshan and E. El-Seidy, "On Sandwich-type results for a subclass of certain univalent functions using a new Hadamard product operator," *Symmetry*, vol. 14, no. 931, pp. 1-11, 2022.
- [10] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian and H. Silverman, "on sandwich theorems for some classes of analytic functions," *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 29684, pp. 1-13, 2006.
- [11] T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran and S. Sivasubramanian, "Differential sandwich theorems for subclasses of analytic functions," *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1-11, 2006.
- [12] M. I. Hameed, M. H. Ali and B. N. Shihab, "A certain Subclass of Meromorphically Multivalent Q-Starlike Functions Involving Higher-Order Q-Derivatives," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 251-258, 2022.
- [13] I. I. Hameed and A. R. S. Juma, "Certain Subclasses of Meromorphic Functions Involving Differential operator," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 5425-5437, 2022.
- [14] A. A. Hussein and K. A. H. Jassim, "On A Certain Class of Meromorphic Multivalent Functions Defined by Fractional Calculus Operator," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 60, no. 12, pp. 2685-2696, 2019.
- [15] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, "An application of second order differential inequalities based on linear and integral operators," *Int. J. Math. Sci. Eng. Appl.*, vol. 2, pp. 105-114, 2008.
- [16] R. M. El-Ashwah, and M. K. Aouf, "Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of p-valent functions," *Math. Comput. Model.*, vol. 51, p. 349-360, 2010.
- [17] P. Gochhayat, "Sandwich-type results for a class of functions defined by a generalized differential operator," *Math. Vesnik*, vol. 65, p. 178-186, 2013.
- [18] P. Gochhayat and A. Prajapati, "Applications of third order differential subordination and superordination involving generalized Struve function," *Filomat*, vol. 33, pp. 3047-3059, 2019.
- [19] D. Raducanu, "Third order differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler functions.," *Mediterr. J. Math.*, vol. 14, p. 1-18, 2017.
- [20] H. Tang and E. Deniz, "Third-order differential subordination results for analytic functions involving the generalized Bessel functions," *Acta Math. Sci.*, vol. 34, pp. 1707-1719, 2014.
- [21] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava and E. Deniz, "Third-order differential superordination involving the generalized Bessel functions.," *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, vol. 38, pp. 1669-1688, 2015.
- [22] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, S. Li and L. Ma, "Third-order differential subordination and superordination results for meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the Liu-Srivastava operator.," *Abstract Appl. Anal.*, vol. 2014, pp. 1-11, 2014.
- [23] S. D. Theyab, W. G. Atshan and H. K. Abdullah, "On Some Sandwich Results of Univalent Functions Related by Differential operator," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63(11), pp. 4928-4936, 2022.