



ISSN: 0067-2904

## Some Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Space

Raghad I.Sabri

Branch of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Department of Applied Sciences,  
University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 2/10/2023 Accepted: 16/2/2024 Published: xx

### Abstract

Mathematics and the applied sciences both heavily rely on fixed point (FP) theory. Additionally, this theory has several applications in integral equations and differential equations to guarantee the solutions' existence and uniqueness. FP theory relies mainly on the Banach contraction principle. Since this idea first appeared, it has gained a lot of attention and there has been a lot of development in this field. In this paper, the concept of generalized Kannan-type ( $GKT$ ) mapping is presented in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (IFM space), and the FP theory is proven. The results contain extensions of FP theory in IFM-space which include the Caccioppoli FP theorem. Additionally, an instance is provided to illustrate the practical significance of the research's results

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic fuzzy metric, Fixed point theorem, Cauchy sequence, Generalized Kannan-type mappings.

### بعض نظريات النقطة الصامدة في الفضاء المترى الضبابي الحدسي

رغد ابراهيم صبري

فرع الرياضيات وتطبيقات الحاسوب، قسم العلوم التطبيقية، الجامعة التكنولوجية، بغداد، العراق

### الخلاصة

تعتمد الرياضيات والعلوم التطبيقية بشكل كبير على نظرية النقطة الصامدة. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، فإن لهذه النظرية عدة تطبيقات في المعادلات التفاضلية والمعادلات التكاملية والمعادلات التفاضلية لضمان وجود الحلول وتفردتها. تعتمد نظرية النقطة الصامدة بشكل أساسي على مبدأ الانكماش باناخ. منذ ظهور هذه الفكرة لأول مرة، حظيت باهتمام كبير وحدث تطور كبير في هذا المجال. في هذا البحث، تم عرض مفهوم الدوال المعمم من نوع كانان في الفضاء المترى الضبابي الحدسي، وتم إثبات نظرية النقطة الصامدة. تحتوي النتائج على امتدادات لنظرية النقطة الصامدة في الفضاء المترى الضبابي الحدسي والتي تشمل نظرية النقطة الصامدة كاشيويولي. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، يتم توفير مثال لتوضيح الأهمية العملية لنتائج البحث.

## 1. Introduction

Functional analysis is a theoretical field of mathematics that emerged from classical analysis. Currently, functional analytic techniques and conclusions have significant importance in many areas of mathematics and their practical implementations see [1-8]

A new age of researching FP theory in fuzzy metric spaces (FMS) has begun with the introduction of the idea of these spaces. Numerous authors have developed numerous approaches for FMS, including [9-11]. For instance, Kramosil and Michalek [12] generalized Menger's notion of probabilistic metric spaces to the fuzzy situation in 1975 to propose the notion of FMS. On the other hand, the idea of an intuitionistic fuzzy set was first presented and investigated by Atanassov in 1983. Jin Han Park [13] proposes the definition of IFMspace utilizing the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In this space, he also developed a Hausdorff topology and demonstrates how any metric produces an intuitionistic fuzzy metric. Numerous mathematicians, including [14-17], etc., developed several FP theories in the IFM space.

In the present work, FP theory for a  $G\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}$  mapping has proven, and Caccioppoli's FP is extended in IFM space.

The paper has the following structure. Following the preliminary content, in Section 3 FP theory for a  $G\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}$  mapping has proven on IFM space. After that Caccioppoli's FP is extended in IFM space. The fuzzy Caccioppoli FP theory is supported by the presented example.

## 2. Preliminaries

This section includes the terms and results that will be used throughout this paper.

**Definition 2.1:[18]** If a binary operation  $\odot: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  fulfills the following conditions for all  $s, c, d, e \in [0, 1]$ , then it is called a t-norm:

- (i)  $1 \odot c = c$ ,
- (ii)  $c \odot e = e \odot c$ ,
- (iii)  $c \odot (d \odot e) = (c \odot d) \odot e$ ,
- (iv) If  $c \leq e$  and  $d \leq s$  then  $c \odot d \leq e \odot s$ .

**Definition 2.2:[18]** If a binary operation  $\odot: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  fulfills the following conditions for all  $s, c, d, e \in [0, 1]$ , then it is called a t-conorm:

- (i)  $0 \odot c = c$ ,
- (ii)  $c \odot e = e \odot c$ ,
- (iii)  $c \odot (d \odot e) = (c \odot d) \odot e$ ,
- (iv) If  $c \leq e$  and  $d \leq s$  then  $c \odot d \leq e \odot s$ .

**Definition 2.3:[19]** An operation  $\odot: \prod_{i=1}^n [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous t-norm of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  order if  $([0,1], \odot)$  is commutative topological monoid with

$$c_1 \odot c_1 \odot \dots \odot c_n \leq d_1 \odot d_2 \odot \dots \odot d_n$$

whenever  $c_i \leq d_i$  for each  $c_i, d_i \in [0,1]; i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Definition 2.4:[13]** A 5-tuple  $(L, \tilde{n}, \tilde{m}, \odot, \odot)$ , is termed as IFM space if  $\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}$  are fuzzy sets on  $L^2 \times (0, \infty)$  fulfill the requirements:

- (1)  $\tilde{m}(x, y, r) + \tilde{n}(x, y, r) \leq 1; \forall r > 0$  and  $x, y \in L$ ;
- (2)  $\tilde{m}(x, y, 0) = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\tilde{m}(x, y, r) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (4)  $\tilde{m}(x, y, r) = \tilde{m}(y, x, r)$ ;
- (5)  $\tilde{m}(x, y, r) \odot \tilde{m}(y, z, s) \leq \tilde{m}(x, z, r + s) \quad \forall r, s > 0$  and  $z \in L$ ;
- (6)  $\tilde{m}(x, y, \cdot): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ ;
- (7)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x, y, r) = 1$ ;
- (8)  $\tilde{n}(x, y, 0) = 1$ ;
- ( $\tilde{n}_1$ )  $\tilde{n}(x, y, r) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- ( $\tilde{n}_2$ )  $\tilde{n}(x, y, r) = \tilde{n}(y, x, r)$ ;
- ( $\tilde{n}_3$ )  $\tilde{n}(x, y, r) \odot \tilde{n}(y, z, s) \geq \tilde{n}(x, z, r + s)$ ;
- ( $\tilde{n}_4$ )  $\tilde{n}(x, y, \cdot): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$  is right continuous;

$$(\tilde{n}_5) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x, y, r) = 0.$$

where  $L$  is an arbitrary set,  $\odot$  is a continuous t-norm and  $\ominus$  is a continuous t-conorm.

**Definition 2.5:[13]** Let  $(L, \tilde{n}, \tilde{m}, \odot, \ominus)$  be an IFM space. Then

(1)  $\{x_n\}$  is called convergent to  $x \in L$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x_n, x, r) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x_n, x, r) = 0$  for all  $r > 0$ .

(2)  $\{x_n\}$  is called Cauchy if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x_n, x_m, r) = 1$  and  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x_n, x_m, r) = 0$  for all  $r > 0$ .

### 3. Main result

In this part, FP theorem for a  $GKT$  mapping is proven in IFM space. After that Caccioppoli's FP is extended in IFM space.

At first, some notations are introduced which are essential for this current work.

**Notation1:** Let  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  stand for the collection of each function  $\hat{\theta}: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , having the properties:

- 1)  $\hat{\theta}$  is increasing and continuous,
- 2)  $\hat{\theta}(d, d) > d$  for all  $0 < d < 1$ ,
- 3)  $\hat{\theta}(1, 1) = 1$ ;  $\hat{\theta}(0, 0) = 0$ .

**Notation2:** Let  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  stand for the collection of each function  $\hat{\theta}: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , that possess the following properties:

- 1)  $\hat{\theta}$  is decreasing and continuous,
- 2)  $\hat{\theta}(d, d) < d$  where  $0 < d < 1$ ,
- 3)  $\hat{\theta}(1, 1) = 1$ ;  $\hat{\theta}(0, 0) = 0$ .

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $(L, \tilde{n}, \tilde{m}, \odot, \ominus)$  be an IFM space and let  $\hat{\theta}_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_2$ . A mapping  $f: L \rightarrow L$  is termed as generalized Kannan-type mapping (briefly  $GKT$  mapping) if for every  $x, y \in L$

$$\tilde{m}(f(x), f(y), t) \geq \hat{\theta}_1 \left( \tilde{m} \left( x, f(x), \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{m} \left( y, f(y), \frac{s}{b} \right) \right) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\tilde{n}(f(x), f(y), t) \leq \hat{\theta}_2 \left( \tilde{n} \left( x, f(x), \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{n} \left( y, f(y), \frac{s}{b} \right) \right) \tag{2}$$

where  $r, s > 0$  and  $a, b > 0$  with  $t = r + s$  and  $0 < a + b < 1$ .

Before establishing the main theorem, it is necessary to show the following lemma

**Lemma 3.2:** Let  $(L, v, \tilde{m}, \odot, \ominus)$  be IFM space and  $f$  be a  $GKT$  self-map on  $L$ . Let  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x, y, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x, y, t) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in L$  and  $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$  be an iterative sequence generated by  $x_0 \in L$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 0$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in L$ ,  $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$  and  $r, s, a$ , and  $b$  be positive real numbers with  $0 < a + b < 1$ . From the inequality (1), for  $t = r + s$ :

$$\tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = \tilde{m}(f(x_n), f(x_{n-1}), t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \hat{\theta}_1 \left( \tilde{m} \left( x_n, f(x_n), \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{m} \left( x_{n-1}, f(x_{n-1}), \frac{s}{b} \right) \right) \\
 &= \hat{\theta}_1 \left( \tilde{m} \left( x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{m} \left( x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{s}{b} \right) \right) \\
 &= \hat{\theta}_1 \left( \tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{m} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{s}{b} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) &= \tilde{n}(f(x_n), f(x_{n-1}), t) \\
 &\leq \hat{\theta}_2 \left( \tilde{n} \left( x_n, f(x_n), \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{n} \left( x_{n-1}, f(x_{n-1}), \frac{s}{b} \right) \right) \\
 &= \hat{\theta}_2 \left( \tilde{n} \left( x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{n} \left( x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{s}{b} \right) \right) \\
 &= \hat{\theta}_2 \left( \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{r}{a} \right), \tilde{n} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{s}{b} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

for all  $t > 0$ , putting  $r = \frac{at}{a+b}$ ,  $s = \frac{bt}{a+b}$  and  $c = a + b$  in (3), obtain:

$$\tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \geq \hat{\theta}_1 \left( \tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right), \tilde{m} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \right) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \leq \hat{\theta}_2 \left( \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right), \tilde{n} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \right).$$

Now to demonstrate that the following inequality is valid:

$$\tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) \geq \tilde{m} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) \leq \tilde{n} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \tag{6}$$

for all  $t > 0$ ;  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

The proof will be done by contradiction, assuming that there is  $t > 0$  with

$$\tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) < \tilde{m} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) > \tilde{n} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right).$$

By properties of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and the inequality (5), obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) &\geq \hat{\theta}_1 \left( \tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right), \tilde{m} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \hat{\theta}_1 \left( \tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right), \tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) \right) \\
 &> \tilde{m} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) \\
 &> \tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and by properties of  $\hat{\theta}_2$  and the inequality (5), get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) &\leq \hat{\theta}_2 \left( \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right), \tilde{n} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \hat{\theta}_2 \left( \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right), \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) \right) \\
 &< \tilde{n} \left( x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{c} \right) \\
 &< \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a contradiction exists. Therefore, inequalities (5) and (6) imply that the required inequality is as follows:

$$\tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \geq \tilde{m} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \leq \tilde{n} \left( x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{c} \right)$$

for  $t > 0$ ;  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

When applying the process of induction to the inequality stated above, observe that

$$\tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \geq \tilde{m} \left( x_1, x_0, \frac{t}{c^n} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \leq \tilde{n} \left( x_1, x_0, \frac{t}{c^n} \right) \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

Additional assumption on intuitionistic fuzzy metric implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x_1, x_0, \frac{t}{c^n}) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x_1, x_0, \frac{t}{c^n}) = 0$ . Therefore, by evaluating the limit as  $n$  tends to infinity, it is possible to derive that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 0.$$

The results of this study demonstrate in the following.

**Theorem 3.3:** Let  $(L, \tilde{n}, \tilde{m}, \odot, \ominus)$  be a complete IFM space, such that

- (i)  $\odot$  is the 3-rd order  $t$ -norm (minimum) and  $\ominus$  is the 3-rd order t-conorm (maximum)
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x, y, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x, y, t) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in L$ ,
- (iii)  $f: L \rightarrow L$  be a GKT mapping.

Then  $f$  possesses a unique FP.

**Proof:** Consider  $x_0 \in L$ ,  $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$  that was generated in the previous lemma. In order to establish that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy. Assuming it is not, hence by definition,  $\exists \epsilon$  where  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  for which find  $t > 0$  and subsequences  $\{x_{m(k)}\}$  and  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  with  $n(k) > m(k) > k$  for all positive integers  $k$  such that  $\tilde{m}(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \leq 1 - \epsilon$  and  $\tilde{n}(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \geq \epsilon$ . So, for all  $r, s > 0$  with  $t = r + s$  and  $a, b > 0$  with  $0 < a + b < 1$ , obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \epsilon &\geq \tilde{m}(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \\ &= \tilde{m}(f(x_{m(k)-1}), f(x_{n(k)-1}), t) \\ &\geq \hat{\theta}_1(\tilde{m}(x_{m(k)-1}, f(x_{m(k)-1}), \frac{r}{a}), \tilde{m}(x_{n(k)-1}, f(x_{n(k)-1}), \frac{s}{b})) \\ &\geq \hat{\theta}_1(\tilde{m}(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, \frac{r}{a}), \tilde{m}(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, \frac{s}{b})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$1 - \epsilon \geq \hat{\theta}_1(\tilde{m}(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, \frac{r}{a}), \tilde{m}(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, \frac{s}{b})) \quad \text{where } \hat{\theta}_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_1, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq \tilde{n}(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \\ &= \tilde{n}(f(x_{m(k)-1}), f(x_{n(k)-1}), t) \\ &\leq \hat{\theta}_2(\tilde{n}(x_{m(k)-1}, f(x_{m(k)-1}), \frac{r}{a}), \tilde{n}(x_{n(k)-1}, f(x_{n(k)-1}), \frac{s}{b})) \\ &\leq \hat{\theta}_2(\tilde{n}(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, \frac{r}{a}), \tilde{n}(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, \frac{s}{b})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\epsilon \leq \hat{\theta}_2(\tilde{n}(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, \frac{r}{a}), \tilde{n}(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, \frac{s}{b})) \quad \text{where } \hat{\theta}_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_2. \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 3.2, for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 0.$$

So, it can choose  $k$  large enough such that

$$\tilde{m}(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, \frac{r}{a}) > 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{m}(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, \frac{s}{b}) > 1 - \epsilon \tag{9}$$

and

$$\tilde{n}(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, \frac{r}{a}) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{n}(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, \frac{s}{b}) < \epsilon. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, from (7), (8), (9), (10) and the definition of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  it is inferred that,

$$1 - \epsilon \geq \hat{\theta}_1(1 - \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \leq \hat{\theta}_2(\epsilon, \epsilon) < \epsilon$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy and the completeness of IFM space indicates that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  for some  $x \in X$ .

Now, to assert that  $x$  is FP. Given the assumption that it is not, therefore there is  $t > 0$  with  $0 < \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t) < 1$ .

Since  $0 < b < 1$ , it can be choose  $\rho_1, \rho_2, r, s > 0$  such that

$$t = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + r + s \text{ and } \frac{s}{b} > t. \tag{11}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t) &\geq \tilde{m}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \circledast \tilde{m}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \circledast \tilde{m}(x_{n+1}, f(x), r + s) \\ &\geq \tilde{m}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \circledast \tilde{m}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \circledast \hat{\theta}_1\left(\tilde{m}\left(x_n, f(x_n), \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{m}\left(x, f(x), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \\ &\geq \tilde{m}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \circledast \tilde{m}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \circledast \hat{\theta}_1\left(\tilde{m}\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{m}\left(x, f(x), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}(x, f(x), t) &\leq \tilde{n}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \odot \tilde{n}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \odot \tilde{n}(x_{n+1}, f(x), r + s) \\ &\leq \tilde{n}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \odot \tilde{n}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \odot \hat{\theta}_2\left(\tilde{n}\left(x_n, f(x_n), \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{n}\left(x, f(x), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{n}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \odot \tilde{n}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \odot \hat{\theta}_2\left(\tilde{n}\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{n}\left(x, f(x), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_2$ .

According to Lemma 3.2 and the convergence of  $\{x_n\}$ , there is  $N1$  (positive integer) such that for each  $n > N1$ ,

$$\tilde{m}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \circledast \tilde{m}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \circledast \tilde{m}\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{r}{a}\right) > \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t),$$

$$\tilde{n}(x, x_n, \rho_1) \odot \tilde{n}(x_n, x_{n+1}, \rho_2) \odot \tilde{n}\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{r}{a}\right) < \tilde{n}(x, f(x), t)$$

Then from (11) and (12), it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t) &> \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t) \circledast \hat{\theta}_1\left(\tilde{m}(x, f(x), t), \tilde{m}\left(x, f(x), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \\ &\geq \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t) \circledast \hat{\theta}_1\left(\tilde{m}(x, f(x), t), \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t)\right) \\ &\geq \tilde{m}(x, f(x), t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}(x, f(x), t) &> \tilde{n}(x, f(x), t) \odot \hat{\theta}_2\left(\tilde{n}(x, f(x), t), \tilde{n}\left(x, f(x), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \\ &\geq \tilde{n}(x, f(x), t) \odot \hat{\theta}_2\left(\tilde{n}(x, f(x), t), \tilde{n}(x, f(x), t)\right) \\ &\geq \tilde{n}(x, f(x), t) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence,  $\tilde{m}(x, f(x), t) = 1$  and  $\tilde{n}(x, f(x), t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , therefore,  $x$  is a FP for  $f$ . Assume that  $f$  admits two FPs  $x$  and  $u$ . In light of the aforementioned assertions about on  $a, b$ , and  $r, s$ , for each  $t > 0$ , obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(x, u, t) &= \tilde{m}(f(x), f(u), t) \\ &\geq \hat{\theta}_1\left(\tilde{m}\left(x, f(x), \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{m}\left(u, f(u), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \\ &= \hat{\theta}_1\left(\tilde{m}\left(x, x, \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{m}\left(u, u, \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \\ &= \hat{\theta}_1(1, 1) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}(x, u, t) &= \tilde{n}(f(x), f(u), t) \\ &\geq \hat{\theta}_2\left(\tilde{n}\left(x, f(x), \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{n}\left(u, f(u), \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) \\ &= \hat{\theta}_2\left(\tilde{n}\left(x, x, \frac{r}{a}\right), \tilde{n}\left(u, u, \frac{s}{b}\right)\right) = \hat{\theta}_2(0, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x = u$ .

The Caccioppoli FP theorem in IFM space is now stated and shown.

**Theorem 3.4:** Assume that  $(L, \tilde{m}, \tilde{n}, \odot, \otimes)$  is complete IFM space and  $\mathbb{T} : L \rightarrow L$  is a mapping satisfies:

For any positive integer  $n$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(\mathbb{T}^n x, \mathbb{T}^n y, k_n t) &\geq \tilde{m}(x, y, t) \\ \text{and } \tilde{n}(\mathbb{T}^n x, \mathbb{T}^n y, k_n t) &\leq \tilde{n}(x, y, t), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $k_n > 0$  being independent of  $x, y$ . If  $k_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\mathbb{T}$  possesses a unique FP in  $X$ .

**proof:** Assume  $x \in L$ ;  $x_n = \mathbb{T}^n x$ ;  $n \in N$ . Now,  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of points of  $L$  such that  $x_1 = \mathbb{T}x$ ,  $x_2 = \mathbb{T}x_1$ , ...,  $x_{n+1} = \mathbb{T}x_n$ ;  $n \in N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \tilde{m}(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) \\ 1 &\geq \tilde{m}\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}\right) \otimes \tilde{m}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{p}\right) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{m}\left(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}\right) \\ &\geq \tilde{m}\left(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk_n}\right) \otimes \tilde{m}\left(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk_{n+1}}\right) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{m}\left(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk_{n+p-1}}\right) \quad \text{by (13)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \tilde{n}(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) \\ 0 &\leq \tilde{n}\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}\right) \odot \tilde{n}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{p}\right) \odot \dots \odot \tilde{n}\left(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{n}\left(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk_n}\right) \odot \tilde{n}\left(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk_{n+1}}\right) \odot \dots \odot \tilde{n}\left(x, x_1, \frac{t}{pk_{n+p-1}}\right) \quad \text{by (13)} \end{aligned}$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{m}(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{n}(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $p > 0$  so  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy. Because  $L$  is complete there is  $y \in L$  with  $x_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \tilde{m}(y, \mathbb{T}y, t) \geq \tilde{m}\left(y, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \otimes \tilde{m}\left(x_{n+1}, \mathbb{T}y, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\geq \tilde{m}\left(x_{n+1}, y, \frac{t}{2}\right) \otimes \tilde{m}\left(x_n, y, \frac{t}{2k_1}\right) \quad \text{by (13),} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \tilde{n}(y, \mathbb{T}y, t) \leq \tilde{n}\left(y, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \odot \tilde{n}\left(x_{n+1}, \mathbb{T}y, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{n}\left(x_{n+1}, y, \frac{t}{2}\right) \odot \tilde{n}\left(x_n, y, \frac{t}{2k_1}\right) \quad \text{by (13).} \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\tilde{m}(y, \mathbb{T}y, t) = 1$  and  $\tilde{n}(y, \mathbb{T}y, t) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathbb{T}y = y$  a FP of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

To demonstrate uniqueness, consider  $w \in L$  such that  $\mathbb{T}w = w$ . Get that  $\mathbb{T}^n y = y$ ,  $\mathbb{T}^n w = w$  for all  $n \in N$ .

Now,

$$1 \geq \tilde{m}(y, w, t) \geq \tilde{m}(\mathbb{T}^n y, \mathbb{T}^n w, t) \geq \tilde{m}\left(y, w, \frac{t}{k_n}\right)$$

and,

$$0 \leq \tilde{n}(y, w, t) \leq \tilde{n}(\mathbb{T}^n y, \mathbb{T}^n w, t) \leq \tilde{n}\left(y, w, \frac{t}{k_n}\right)$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ , obtain that  $\tilde{m}(y, \mathbb{T}y, t) = 1$  and  $\tilde{n}(y, \mathbb{T}y, t) = 0$ . Thus  $y = w$ .

**Example 3.5:** Let  $L = [0, 1]$  and  $\vartheta(x, y) = |x - y|$  for every  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $(L, \vartheta)$  is a complete metric space. Consider  $m$  and  $\tilde{n}$  to be a fuzzy set in  $L^2 \times (0, \infty)$  specified by:

$\tilde{m}(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + \vartheta(x, y)}$  and  $\tilde{n}(x, y, t) = \frac{\vartheta(x, y)}{t + \vartheta(x, y)}$  if  $t > 0$  and  $\tilde{m}(x, y, 0) = 0$  with  $a \odot b = \min\{a, b\}$  and  $a \ominus b = \max\{a, b\}$  for every  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ .

$(L, \tilde{n}, \tilde{m}, \odot, \ominus)$  is a complete IFM space[20]. Let  $\mathbb{T}: x \rightarrow x$  be given by  $\mathbb{T}x = \frac{x}{5}$  for each  $x \in L$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(\mathbb{T}^n x; \mathbb{T}^n y; k^n t) &= \frac{k^n t}{k^n t + \vartheta(\mathbb{T}^n x, \mathbb{T}^n y)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{t}{2^n}}{\frac{t}{2^n} + |\mathbb{T}^n x - \mathbb{T}^n y|} \text{ with } k = \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^n |x - y|} \geq \frac{t}{t + \vartheta(x, y)} = \tilde{m}(x, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x, y \in L, t > 0, n > 0$ .

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}(\mathbb{T}^n x; \mathbb{T}^n y; k^n t) &= \frac{\vartheta(\mathbb{T}^n x, \mathbb{T}^n y)}{k^n t + \vartheta(\mathbb{T}^n x, \mathbb{T}^n y)} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbb{T}^n x - \mathbb{T}^n y|}{\frac{t}{2^n} + |\mathbb{T}^n x - \mathbb{T}^n y|} \text{ with } k = \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{|x - y|}{\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^n t + |x - y|} \leq \frac{\vartheta(x, y)}{t + \vartheta(x, y)} = \tilde{n}(x, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $k^n = \frac{1}{2^n} \rightarrow 0$ . As a result, the requirements of Theorem 3.4 are fulfilled. The unique FP of  $\mathbb{T}$  is zero.

#### 4. Conclusions

This study presents the idea of  $G\mathcal{KT}$  mappings in the IFM space. The existence of FP theorem in IFM space is then proven. After that, in the same space, Caccioppoli's FP theorem is proved and a specific example is given to highlight the advantages of the outcomes.

#### References

- [1] E. H. Abood and M. A. Al-loz, "On some generalization of normal operators on Hilbert space", *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 57, no. 2C, pp. 1786–1794, Apr. 2023.
- [2] N. J. Al-Jawari and I. N. Ahmed, "Controllability of nonlinear boundary value control systems in Banach spaces using Schauder fixed point theorem," *Engineering and Technology Journal*, vol. 33, no. 4B, pp. 640–653, 2015.
- [3] S. Abed and Z.H. Mohamed, "Weak Convergence of Two Iteration Schemes in Banach Spaces," *Engineering and Technology Journal*, vol.37, no.2B, pp. 32-40, 2019.
- [4] A. M. Hashim and A. A. Kazem, "On Fixed Point Theorems by Using Rational Expressions in Partially Ordered Metric Space," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 7, pp. 3088–3097, Jul. 2022.
- [5] M. Zhou, N. Saleem, X. Liu, A. Fulga, and A. F. Roldán López de Hierro, "A new approach to Proinov-type fixed-point results in Non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces," *Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 23, p. 3001, 2021.
- [6] S.I. Raghad, "Product of Two Fuzzy Normed Spaces and its Completion," *Engineering and Technology Journal*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 1925-1934, 2012.
- [7] R. I. Sabri and B. A. A. Ahmed, "Another Type of Fuzzy Inner Product Space", *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 1853–1861, 2023.
- [8] R. I. Sabri and B. A. A. H. Ahmed, "Best Proximity Point Results in Fuzzy Normed Spaces," *Science and Technology Indonesia*, vol.8, no.2, pp. 298–304. 2023.
- [9] S. H. Hadi and Z. S. Madhi, "Fixed-point theorems of FR-Ćirić-pata type in Fuzzy-Riesz I-Convex Metric Space (FRCMS)," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 2181-2193, 2021.
- [10] R. I. Sabri, "Compactness property of fuzzy soft metric space and fuzzy soft continuous function," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 3031–3038, 2021.



- [11] A. E. Kadhm. “ Schauder Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Space”, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 58, no. 1C, pp. 490–496, 2022.
- [12] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, “Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces,” *Kybernetika*, vol.11, no.5, pp. 336-344, 1975.
- [13] J. H. Park, “Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces,” *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1039–1046, 2004.
- [14] V. Singh et al., “Common Fixed Point Theorem in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces Satisfying Integral Type Inequality, ” *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, vol. 12, pp. 14-18, 2015.
- [15] S. Manro, “Common Fixed Point Theorems for non-Compatible Mappings in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric space,” *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 32-36, 2012.
- [16] A. Garg, Z. K. Ansari, and P. Kumar, “Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Implicit Relations,” *Applied Mathematics*, vol. 07, no. 06, pp. 569–577, 2016.
- [17] V. Pazhani, V. Vinoba and M. Jeyaraman, “Some Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Condition of Integral Type in Intuitionistic Generalized Fuzzy Metric Spaces,” *Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 1453-1476, 2019.
- [18] B.Schweizer and A.Sklar, “ Statistical metric spaces, ” *Pacific J Math*, vol.10, pp.314–34, 1960.
- [19] E. Feizi , Z. Hosseinia and M. Barahmanda, “Fixed Point Theorem of Kannan-Type Mappings in Generalized Fuzzy Metric Spaces,” *International Journal of Mathematical Modelling & Computations*, vol. 06, no. 01, pp. 19- 27, 2016.
- [20] , J.S. Park and H.J., Kang, “Common fixed point theorem for a sequence of mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space,” *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol.7, no. 1, pp. 30-33, 2007.