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#### Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and $I$ a fixed ideal of $R$ and $M$ be an unitary $R$-module. We say that a proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is $I$-semi prime submodule if $a \in R, x \in M$ with $a^{2} x \in N-I N$ implies that $a x \in N$. In this paper, we investigate some properties of this class of submodules. Also, some characterizations of $I$-semiprime submodules will be given, and we show that under some assumptions $I$-semiprime submodules and semiprime submodules are coincided.
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## المقاسات الجزئية شبه الاولية من النمط-I

```
            عدويـة جاسم عبد الخالق
المديرية العامة لتربية ديالى، وزارة التربية، العراق
```

الخلاصة

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { لتكن R حلقة ابدالية ذات عنصرمحايد، وليكن I مثالي من R M R مقاسا احاديا معرفا على R. في هذا } \\
& \text { البحث ، نقول ان المقاس الجزئي N من M هو مقاس جزئي شبه اولي من النمط-I اذ كان r ينتمي الى }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { هذا البحث لقد درسنا واعطينا بعض خواص ومميزات هذا النوع من الدقاسات الجزئية .وبرهنا تحت شروط } \\
& \text { معينة ان المقاسات الجزئية شبه الاولية وهذا النوع من المقاسات الجزئية يكونان متكافئيين. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Introduction

Throughout, $R$ represents an associative ring with nonzero identity and $I$ a fixed ideal of $R$ and $M$ be a unitary $R$-module. The concept of semiprime submodules was introduced and studied in [1980], where a proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called a semiprime submodule if for each $r \in R, x \in M$, $\mathrm{k} \in Z_{+}$with $r^{k} x \in N$ implies that $\mathrm{r} x \in N,[1]$. Then, many generalizations of semiprime submodules were studied such as weakly semiprime submodules in [2], S-semiprime submodules in [3]and nearly semiprime submodules in [4].

In this paper, we extend the concept of semiprime submodules. Let $I$ a fixed ideal of R.A proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called $I$-semiprime if whenever $a \in R, x \in M$ with $a^{2} x \in N-I N$ implies that $a x \in N$. We generalize some basic properties of prime and semiprime to $I$ - semiprime submodules and give some characterizations of $I$-semiprime submodules.

[^0]
## 1. Main result

Definition (1.1):(i) Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ and $M$ an $R$-module. A proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called a $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$, if $a^{2} x \in N-I N$ for all $a \in R, x \in M$ implies that $\in N$.
(ii)An ideal $A$ is called $I$-semiprime ideal iff for every $a \in R$ and any ideal $I,\left(a^{2}\right) \subseteq A-$ $I A$ implies $(\mathrm{a}) \subseteq \mathrm{A}$.

Now, it is clear that every semiprime submodule $N$ of $M$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$. But the converse need not be true. For example, consider $Z$-module $M=Z_{24}$ and $N=\langle\overline{8}\rangle$. Then if $I=[N: M] N=\left[\langle\overline{8}\rangle: Z_{24}\right]\langle\overline{8}\rangle=\langle\overline{8}\rangle$. So $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$. But $N$ is not semiprime in $M$, since $2^{2} .(\overline{2})=\overline{8} \in N$, but $2 . \overline{2} \notin N$.

## Propostion (1.2):

1-Let $N, K$ are two submodules of an $R$-module $M$. If $N \leq K$ and $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$ and then $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule of $K$.
2- If $I_{1} \subseteq I_{2}$. Then if N is $I_{1}$ - semiprime implies $N$ is $I_{2}$ - semi prime.
3- If $N$ is semiprime then $N$ is $I$-semiprime.
Proof: 1, 2 and 3 are trivial.
The following theorem gives a useful characterization for $I$-semiprime submodules.
Theorem(1.3): Let $N$ a proper submodule of an $R-$ module $M$. Then $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule in $M$ if and only if for any ideal A of $R$ and submodule $K$ of $M$ such that $A^{2} K \subseteq N-I N$, we have $A K \subseteq \mathrm{~N}$.
Proof.Suppose that $N$ is $I$-semi prime submodule of $M$, and $A^{2} K \subseteq N-I N$ for $A$ is an ideal of $R$ and submodule $K$ of $M$. If A $K \nsubseteq N$,so there exist $x \in K$ and a $\in A$ such that $a x \notin N$.Now, $a^{2} x \in a^{2} K \subseteq$ $N-I N$. We claim that $a^{2} x \in I N$, because if $a^{2} x \notin I N$, we get a $x \in N$ which is a contradication. Thus $a^{2} x \in I N$. Since $a^{2} K \subseteq N-I N$, there exists $m \in K$ such that $a^{2} m \in a^{2} K \subseteq N-I N$. This implies a $m \in N$. On the other hand $a^{2} x+a^{2} m=a^{2}(x+m) \in N-I N$. This implies $\mathrm{a}(x+m) \in N$; that is $a x+a m \in N$. But am $\in N$, so a $x \in N$ which is a contradication. Therefore $A \mathrm{~K} \subseteq \mathrm{~N}$.
Conversely suppoes that $a^{2} m \in N-I N$ for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then $\left(a^{2}\right)(m) \subseteq N-I N$. So by assumption, (a) $(m) \subseteq N$. Therefore a $m \in N$. Thus $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$.
Corollary(1.4): Let $N$ a proper submodule of an $R-$ module $M$. Then $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule in $M$ if and only if for any ideal A of $R$ such that $A^{2} M \subseteq N-I N$, we have $A \mathrm{M} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$.
Remark (1.5): If $I$-semiprime submodule of an R-module M, then it is not necessarily that [ $N: M$ ] $I$ semi prime ideal, for example: If $N=\langle\overline{0}\rangle$ of the $Z$ - module $Z_{4}$, then $N$ is $I$-semiprime. But $[N: M]=$ $\left[\langle\overline{0}\rangle: Z_{4}\right]=4 Z$ is not an $I$-semiprime ideal of $Z$ where $I=[N: M]$, since $2^{2} \in[N: M]-I[N: M]$, but $2 \notin\left[\langle\overline{0}\rangle: Z_{4}\right]=4 Z$.

Now, we give characterizations of $I$-semiprime submodule. But first, we need the following definitions.
[Recall that an $R$-module $M$ is called a multiplication module if every submodule N of $M$ has the form $I M$ for some ideal $I$ of $R$, [5]. And an R-module $M$ is called faithful if it has zero annihiilator, [6].
Theorem (1.6): Let $N$ a proper submodule of a finitely generated faithful multiplaction $R-$ module $M$ with $I[N: M]=[I N: M]$. If $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule in $M$ if and only if $[N: M]$ is an $I$-semi prime ideal of $R$.
Proof. $\Rightarrow$ )Supposethat $N$ is $I$-semi prime submodule in M.Let $a \in R$ with $a^{2} \in[N: M]-I[N: M]$. Then $a^{2} M \subseteq N$. If $a^{2} M \subseteq I N$. Then $a^{2} \in[I N: M]=I[N: M]$ which is contradiction. Assume $a^{2} M \nsubseteq I N$. Then $a^{2} M \subseteq N-I N$. But $N$ is $I$-semi prime submodule. So $a M \subseteq N$, thus $a \in[N: M]$. Hence $[N: M$ ]is an $I$-semi prime ideal of $R$.
$\Leftarrow)$ Supposethat $[N: M]$ is $I$-semi prime ideal. Let $a \in R, m \in M$, such that $a^{2} m \in N-I N$. $a^{2}[R m: M]=\left[a^{2} R m: M\right] \subseteq[N: M]$ and $a^{2}[R m: M] \nsubseteq I[N: M]$ otherwise $a^{2} R m=a^{2}[R m: M] M \subseteq$ $I[N: M] M=I N$. Thus $a^{2}[R m: M] \subseteq[N: M]-I[N: M]$. But $[N: M]$ is an $I$-semi prime ideal, so $a[R m: M] \subseteq[N: M]$ and implies that $[a R m: M] \subseteq[N: M]$. Hence $a R m \subseteq N$, so $a m \in N$. Thus $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$.
Recall that a proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called $I$ - prime submodule if $r x \in N-I N$ for all $r \in R$, $x \in M$ implies that either $r \in[N: M]$ or $x \in N$, [7]. Also a proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called $I$ -
primary submodule if $\quad r x \in N-I N$ for all $r \in R, x \in M$ implies that either $r \in \sqrt{[N: M]}$ or $x \in N$, [8]. And recall that an ideal $I$ is called radical if $I=\sqrt{I}$, [9].
By using these concepts we can give the following proposition.
Proposition (1.7):Let $N$ a proper submodule of an $R$-module $M$. If $N$ is $I$-prime then $N$ is $I$ semiprime.
Proof: Let $N$ is $I$-prime submodule of an R-module M , Assume that $a^{2} m \in N-I N$, where $a \in$ $R, m \in M$. Since $a^{2} m=a(a m) \in N-I N$ and $N$ is $I$-prime submodule of $M$, then either $a m \in N$ or $a \in[N: M]$. In any case, we have $a m \in N$. Therefore $N$ is $I$-semi prime submodule of $M$.
Proposition(1.8):Let $N$ a proper submodule of an $R-$ module $M$ such that $[N: M]$ is radical ideal . If $N$ is $I$-primary submodule in $M$, then $N$ is an $I$-prime (and hence $I$-semi prime) submodule of $M$.
Proof:Let $N$ is $I$-primary submodule and [ $N: M$ ] is radical ideal. Assume that $a^{2} m \in N-I N$, where $a \in R, m \in M$, suppose $\mathrm{m} \notin N$. Since $N$ is $I$-primary submodule of $M$ and $\mathrm{m} \notin N$, then $a \in \sqrt{[N: M]}$. But [ $N: M$ ] is radical, so $a \in[N: M]$.Therefore $N$ is $I$ - prime (and hence $I$-semi prime)submodule of $M$.
From proposition (1.8) we get the following:
Corollary (1.9):Let $N$ a proper submodule of an $R$-module $M$ such that [ $N: M$ ] is semi prime ideal of $R$. If $N$ is $I$-primary submodule in $M$, then $N$ is an $I$ - prime (and hence $I$-semiprime) submodule of $M$.
Propostion (1.10): Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Let $N$ be an $I$ - semiprime submodule $M$. If $\quad(r+$ $[N: M])^{2} N \nsubseteq I N$ for all $r \in R-[N: M]$, then $N$ is a semiprime submodule $M$.
Proof: Suppose that $(r+[N: M])^{2} N \nsubseteq I N$, we show that $N$ is a semiprime. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in$ $M$ such that $a^{2} m \in N$. If $a^{2} m \notin I N$, then $N, I$ - semiprime gives $a m \in N$. So assume that $a^{2} m \in I N$. First suppose that $a^{2} N \nsubseteq I N$, say $a^{2} n \notin I N$ where $n \in N$. Then $a^{2}(m+n) \in N-I N$, so $a(m+n) \in$ $N$. Hence $a m \in N$. So we can assume that $a^{2} N \subseteq I N$. Next, suppose that $(a+b)^{2} m \notin I N$ for some $b \in[N: M]$. Therefore $(a+b)^{2} m \in N-I N$ and so $(a+b) m \in N$. Hence $a m \in N$. So we can assume that $(a+[N: M])^{2} m \subseteq I N$. Since $(a+[N: M])^{2} m \nsubseteq I N$ there exists $r \in[N: M]$ and $x \in N$ with $(a+r)^{2} x \notin I N \quad$ Then $(a+r)^{2}(m+x) \in N-I N . \quad \operatorname{So}(a+r)(m+x) \in N$.
Hence $a m \in N$. So $N$ is a semiprime submodule $M$.
Propostion (1.11): Let M be an $\mathrm{R}-$ module. Let N be an I- semiprime submodule M . If (r) $)^{2} \mathrm{~N} \nsubseteq \mathrm{IN}$ for somer $\in[N: M]$, then N is a semiprime submodule M .
Proof: Let $a \in R$ and $m \in$ Msuch that $a^{2} m \in N$. Suppose $a^{2} N \subseteq I N$. If $a^{2} m \notin I N$, then $a^{2} m \in N-I N$, and $N$ is an I- semiprime gives $a m \in N$. Suppose that $r^{2} m \notin I N$. Therefore $(a+r)^{2} m=\left(a^{2}+\right.$ $\left.r^{2}\right) m \in N-I N$ and hence $(a+r) m \in N$. So am $\in N$. Now, we can assume that $r^{2} m \in I N$. But $(r)^{2} N \nsubseteq I N$, so there exists $x \in N$ such that $r^{2} x \notin I N$. Then $(a+r)^{2}(m+x)=\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)(m+x) \in N-$ IN and hence $(a+r)(m+x) \in N$. So $a m \in N$. Then $N$ is a semiprime submodule M.

Recall that a proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called an irreducible submodule if for each K, L be two submodules of M such that $\mathrm{L} \cap K=N$, then either $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{N}$, [1].
Theorem (1.12): Let $N$ be an irreducible submodule of an $R$-module $M$.Then $N$ is an $I$-prime if and only if $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$.
Proof: $\Rightarrow$ )Supposethat $N$ is $I$-semi prime irreducible submodule in $M$. Assume that $N$ is not $I$-prime, so there exists $a \in R ; a \notin[N: M]$ and $m \in M ; m \notin N$ such that a $m \in N-I N$. Since $a \notin[N: M]$, so there exists $x \in \mathrm{M}$ such that $a x \notin N$. Claim that $\mathrm{L} \cap K=N$ where $K=N+(a x), L=N+(m)$. Now, let $\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{L} \cap K$, so $\mathrm{b} \in N+(a x)$, and $\mathrm{b} \in N+(m)$, therefore there exists n , $\mathrm{w} \in N$ and $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s} \in R$ such that stisfiey $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{sax}=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{rm}$, then $\mathrm{sax}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{rm}$ and so $\mathrm{s} a^{2} x=\mathrm{an}-\mathrm{aw}+\mathrm{ram}$. Therefore $\mathrm{s} a^{2} x \in N-I N$. But $N$ is $I$-semi prime, then $\operatorname{sax} \in N$ and so $\mathrm{b}=$ sax+ $\mathrm{n} \in N$. Thus, $\mathrm{L} \cap K \subseteq$ $N$ and it is clear that $N \subseteq \mathrm{~L} \cap K$. Therefore the claim $\mathrm{L} \cap K=N$ is true. But $N$ an irreducible, so which is contradiction. Therefore $N$ is an $I$ - prime submodule of M .
$\Leftarrow)$ : It follows direct by (1.7).
Theorem (1.13): Let $N$ a proper submodule of a faithful multiplaction $R$-module $M$ and A be a finitely generated faithful multiplaction ideal of R. Then $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule in $A M$ if and only if $[N: A]$ is an $I$-semi prime in M .
Proof. $\Rightarrow$ )Supposethat $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule in AM. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $a^{2} m \in[N: A]-I[N: A]$. Then $a^{2} A m \subseteq N-I N$. If $a^{2} A m \nsubseteq I N$, so by [8, lemma 2.15]
$a^{2} m \in[I N: A]=I[N: A]$ which a contradication. Since $N$ is $I$-semiprime in AM, then a $A m \subseteq N$ and so a $m \in[N: A]$. Hence $[N: A]$ is an $I$-semi prime in M
$\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that $[N: A]$ is $I$-semiprime submodule in $M$. Let J be an ideal of R , and K be a submodule of AM such that $J^{2} K \subseteq N-I N$. Then $J^{2}[K: A] \subseteq\left[J^{2} K: A\right] \subseteq[N: A]$. Moreover, if $J^{2}[K: A] \subseteq$ $I[N: A]$, by [8], lemma 2.15] $J^{2} \mathrm{~K}=\left[J^{2}[A K: A]\right]=J^{2}[K: A] A \subseteq I[N: A] A=$ AN which is a contradiction. Hence $J^{2}[K: A] \subseteq[N: A]-I[N: A]$. Since $[N: A]$ is an $I$-semi prime in $M$, so $\mathrm{J}[K: A] \subseteq[N: A]$ which impliesthat $[\mathrm{JK}: \mathrm{A}] \subseteq[N: A]$. Thus $\mathrm{JK} \subseteq N$ and therefore $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule in $A M$.
[Recall that a subset $S$ of a ring $R$ is called multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ if $1 \in S$ and $a b \in S$ for every $a, b \in S$. We known that a proper ideal $P$ of $R$ is prime if and only if $R-P$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R,[10]$.
[Now, let $M$ be an $R$-module and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and let $R_{s}$ be the set of all fractional $r / s$ where $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ and $M_{s}$ be the set of all farctional $x / s$ where $x \in M$ and $s \in S$. For $x_{1}, x_{2} \in M$ and $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S, x_{1} / s_{1}=x_{2} / s_{2}$ if and only if there exists $t \in S$ such that $t\left(s_{1} x_{1}-s_{2} x_{2}\right)=0$.]
[So, we can make $M_{s}$ in to $R_{s}$-module by setting $x / s+y / t=(t x+s y) / s t$ and $r / t . x / s=$ $r x / t s$ ) for every $x, y \in M$ ands, $t \in S, r \in R$. And $M_{s}$ is the module of fractions.]
[Recall that if $N$ is a submodule of an $R$-module $M$ and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ so $N_{s}=\{n / s: n \in N, s \in S\}$ be a submodule of the $R_{S^{-}}$module $M_{S}$, see [10].]
The quotient and localization of prime submodules are again prime submodules. But in case of $I$ semiprime submodules. We give a condition under which the quotient and localization becomes true as we see in the following theorem.
Theorem(1.14): Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Let $N$ be an $I$-semi prime submodule of $M$.
Then:

1) Suppose that $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ such that $N_{S} \neq M_{S}$ and (IN) $S \subseteq I s N s$. Then $N_{S}$ is an $I_{S}$-semiprime submodule of an $R_{S}$-module $M_{S}$.
2) If $K \subseteq N$ is a submodule of $M$, then $N / K$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M / K$.

Proof.(1): For all $a / s \in R_{S}$ and $x / t \in M s$, let $(a / s)^{2} \cdot x / t=a^{2} x / s^{2} t \in N s-I s N s \subseteq N_{S}-(I N) s=$ $(N-I N) s$. Then $a^{2} x / s^{2} t=n / u$ for $n \in N-I N$ and $u \in S$. So there exists $v \in S$ such that $v^{2} x=a^{2}(v u x) \in N-I N$. As $N$ is $I-$ semiprime submodule, then axvu $\in N$. So axvu/ stvu $=a / s . x / t \in N_{S}$. Hence $N_{S}$ is an $I_{S}$-semiprime submodule of an $R_{S}$-module $M_{S}$.
(2):Let $a \in R, m \in M$ such that $a^{2}(m+K)=a^{2} m+K \in N / K-I(N / K)$. Then $a^{2} m+K \in[N-$ $I N] / K$. So $a^{2} m \in N-I N$. Since $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$, so am $\in N$.Therefoream + $K \in N / K$. Hence $N / K$ is $I$ - nearly prime submodule of $M / K$.
Theorem (1.15): Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Let $N$ and K be a submodules of $M$ such that $\mathrm{K} \subseteq I N$. Then $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$ if and only if $N / K$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M / K$.
Proof: $\Rightarrow$ ) It follows by part 2 of Theorem (1.14).
$\Leftarrow)$ Let $N / K$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M / K$ and assume that $a^{2} m \in N-I N$, where $a \in$ $R$ and $m \in M$. If $a^{2}(m+K) \in I(N / K)=I N / K$, then $a^{2} m \in I N$, which is a contradication. So we have $a^{2}(m+K) \in N / K-I(N / K)$. Thus a $(m+K) \in N / K$, because $N / K$ is an $I$-semiprime. So a $m \in N$. Thus $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$.
Recall that asubmodule N of an R -module M is called 0 -semiprime if for $r \in R, x \in M$ with $0 \neq$ $r^{2} x \in N$ implies that $r x \in N$, [2].
Propostion (1.16): Let $M$ be an $R$-module and let $N$ be a proper submodule of $M$. Then $N$ is $I$ semiprime in $M$ if and only if $N / I N$ is 0 -semiprime in $M / I N$.
Proof: Suppose that $N$ is $I$-semiprime in $M$. Let $a \in R, x \in M$ such that $0 \neq a^{2} x+I N=a^{2}(x+$ $I N) \in N / I N$ in $M / I N$. Then $a^{2} x \in N-I N$. Since $N$ is $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$, so $a x \in N$. Therefore $a(x+I N) \in N / I N$. Hence $N / I N$ is 0 - semiprime submodule of $M / I N$.
Conversely suppose that ( N )/IN is 0 -nearly prime in $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{IN}$. Let $a \in R, x \in M$ such that $a^{2} x \in N-$ $I N$. So $0 \neq a^{2}(x+I N) \in N / I N$. But $N / I N$ is 0 -semiprime in $M / I N$. Thus $a(x+I N) \in N /$ $I N$.Hence, $a x \in N$ and $N$ is 0 -semiprime.
Proposition (1.17): Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be two $R$-modules and $M=M_{1} \oplus M_{2}$. If $N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M=M_{1} \oplus M_{2}$ such that then $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are $I$-semiprime in $M_{1}, M_{2}$ respectively.

Proof. Let $a^{2} m_{1} \in N_{1}-I N_{1}$ where $a \in R, m_{1} \in M_{1}$.Then $a^{2}\left(m_{1}, 0\right) \in\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right)-I\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right)$. Since $\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}\right)$ is an $I$-semiprime, then $\mathrm{a}\left(m_{1}, 0\right) \in N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$ and so $a m_{1} \in N_{1}$. Hence $N_{1}$ is $I$ semiprime in $M_{1}$.
similarly $N_{2}$ is an $I$-semiprime in $M_{2}$.
In what follows give some of charactrizations for I-semiprime submodules.
Theorem (1.18): Let $N$ be a proper submodule of $M$, then the following are equivalent:
(1) $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule $M$.
(2) For $r \in R,\left[N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]=\left[I N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right] \cup\left[N:_{M}(r)\right]$.
(3) For $r \in R,\left[N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]=\left[I N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]$ or $\left[N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]=\left[N:_{M}(r)\right]$.

Proof: (1) $\rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$. Let $r \in R, m \in\left[N: M\left(r^{2}\right)\right]$. So $r^{2} m \in N$. If $r^{2} m \notin I N$, then $r m \in N$,because $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule in $M . I f r^{2} m \in I N$, then $m \in\left[I N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]$. Hence $\left[N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right] \subseteq\left[I N:_{M}(r)\right] \cup\left[N:_{M}(r)\right]$. Since $I N \subseteq N$, so $\left[I N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right] \cup\left[N:_{M}(r)\right] \subseteq\left[N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]$. Therefore $\left[N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]=\left[I N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right] \cup\left[N:_{M}(r)\right]$.
(2) $\rightarrow$ (3): It is clear because $[N: r]$ is a submodule of $M$.
(3) $\rightarrow$ (1): Let $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $r^{2} m \in N-I N$. Then $m \in\left[N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]$ and $m \notin$ $\left[I N:_{M}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]$. Then by assumption, $m \in\left[N:_{M}(r)\right]$. Thereforerm $\in N$. Thus $N$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M$.
Proposition (1.19): Let $N$ be a proper submodule of M . If $N$ is an I-semiprime submodule $\mathrm{M}, \sqrt{[N: m]}=\sqrt{[I N: m]}$ or $\sqrt{[N: m]}=[N: m]$, for all $m \in M-N$.
Proof: Suppose that $N$ is an I-semiprime submodule of M. Let $\mathrm{m} \in M-N$ and $\mathrm{r} \in \sqrt{[N: m]}-$ $\sqrt{[I N: m]}$. So $\mathrm{r}^{n} \mathrm{~m} \in N-I N$ for some $\mathrm{n} \in Z_{+}$. Since $N$ is an I-semiprime submodule of M , sor $\in$ $[N: m]$. Hence $\sqrt{[N: m]} \subseteq \sqrt{[I N: m]} \cup[N: m]$. Since $I N \subseteq N$, so $\sqrt{[I N: m]} \cup[N: m] \subseteq$ $\sqrt{[N: m]}$ and hence $\sqrt{[N: m]}=\sqrt{[I N: m]} \cup[N: m]$. Therefore $\sqrt{[N: m]}=\sqrt{[I N: m]}$ or $\sqrt{[N: m]}=$ [ $N: m$ ].

## Theorem (1.20):

Let $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$ and $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ with $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1} m_{1}, r_{2} m_{2}\right)$ be an $R$-module, where $r_{i} \in R_{i}, m_{i} \in M_{i}$. Then we have:
(1) If $N_{1}$ is an $I_{1}$-semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$ such that $I N_{1} \times M_{2} \subseteq I\left(N_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$,then $N_{1} \times M_{2}$ is an $I$ semiprime submodule of $M$.
(2) If $N_{2}$ is an $I_{2}$-semiprime submodule of $M_{2}$ such that $I N_{2} \times M_{1} \subseteq I\left(N_{2} \times M_{1}\right)$, then $M_{1} \times N_{2}$ is an $I$ semiprime submodule of $M$.
Proof:Because the prove of (1) and (2) are similar, so we only prove (1). Hence suppose that $N_{1}$ is an $I_{1}$-semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$ and
let $\quad(a, b) \in R_{1} \times R_{2}$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in M$ with $(a, b)^{2}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\left(a^{2} m_{1}, b^{2} m_{2}\right) \in N_{1} \times M_{2} \quad-$ $I\left(N_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$, and $N_{1} \times M_{2}-I\left(N_{1} \times M_{2}\right) \subseteq N_{1} \times M_{2}-I N_{1} \times M_{2}=\left(N_{1}-I N_{1}\right) \times M_{2}$. We have $a^{2} m_{1} \in N_{1}-I N_{1}$ but $\quad N_{1}$ is $\quad I_{1}$-semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$. Then a $m_{1} \in N_{1}$. This give $(a, b)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in N_{1} \times M_{2}$. Hence $N_{1} \times M_{2}$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$.
Proposition (1.21):Let $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}, M_{i}$ be an $R_{i}-\operatorname{module}$ ( $\mathrm{i}=1,2$ ) with $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$. Let $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ be ideals of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ respectively with $I=I_{1} \times I_{2}$. Then all the following types are $I$ semiprime submodule of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$.

1. $N_{1} \times M_{2}$ where $N_{1}$ is an $I_{1}$ - semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$ and $I_{2} M_{2}=M_{2}$.
2. $M_{1} \times N_{2}$ where $N_{2}$ is an $I_{2}$ - semiprime submodule of $M_{2}$ and $I_{1} M_{1}=M_{1}$.

## Proof.

1. Suppose that $N_{1}$ is an $I_{1}$ - semiprime submodule of $M_{1}$ and $I_{2} M_{2}=M_{2}$. Let ( $a, b$ $) \in R$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in M$ such that $\left(a^{2}, b^{2}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\left(a^{2} m_{1}, b^{2} m_{2}\right) \in N_{1} \times M_{2}-I\left(N_{1} \times M_{2}\right)=$ $N_{1} \times M_{2}-\left(I_{1} \times I_{2}\right)\left(N_{1} \times M_{2}\right)=\left(N_{1} \times M_{2}-\left(I_{1} N_{1} \times I_{2} M_{2}\right)=\left(N_{1} \times M_{2}-\left(I_{1} N_{1} \times M_{2}\right)=\left(N_{1}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.I N_{1}\right) \times M_{2}$. Then $a^{2} m_{1} \in N_{1}-I N_{1}$ and $N_{1}$ is $I_{1}$ - semi prime submodule of $M_{1}$, so am $\in N_{1}$. Therefore (a, b) $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in N_{1} \times M_{2}$. $\operatorname{So} N_{1} \times M_{2}$ is an $I$-semiprime submodule of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$.
2. The proof is similar to part (1).

Remark (1.22):Let $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$. Let $M_{i}$ be an $R_{i-}$ module (i=1,2) with $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$. Let $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ be ideals of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ respectively with $I=I_{1} \times I_{2}$. Then all the following types are $I$ semiprime submodule of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$.
1- $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ where $N_{i}$ is a proper submodule of $M_{i}$ with $I_{i} N_{i}=N_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
2- $N_{1} \times M_{2}$ where $N_{1}$ is a prime submodule of $M_{1}$.
3- $M_{1} \times N_{2}$ where $N_{2}$ is a prime submodule of $M_{2}$.
Proof. 1. Since $I_{1} N_{1}=N_{1}$ and $I_{2} N_{2}=N_{2}$. Then $I_{1} N_{1} \times I_{2} N_{2}=\left(I_{1} \times I_{2}\right)\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right)=I\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right)=$ $N_{1} \times N_{2}$. So $N_{1} \times N_{2}-I\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. Thus there is nothing to prove.
2. Let $N_{1}$ be a prime submodule of $M_{1}$. Then $N_{1} \times M_{2}$ is a prime submodule of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ [11] and hence $I$ - prime ( $I$ - semiprime) submodule of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ by (1.6).
3 . The proof is similar to the part (2).
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