



ISSN: 0067-2904

Lie Triple Hom Γ -derivation and Jordan Triple Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra

Rajaa C. Shaheen*, Ameer H. Rahman

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiya, Al-Qadisiya, Iraq

Received: 14/5/2023

Accepted: 24/7/2023

Published: 30/8/2024

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to define Bi- Hom Γ -Lie algebra, Lie triple Hom Γ -derivation on Bi- Hom Γ -Lie algebra $(g, [.,.]_{\lambda}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ and Jordan triple Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra $(g, [.,.]_{\lambda}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, Also to study the relation between Lie triple Hom Γ -derivation and Jordan triple Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra $(g, [.,.]_{\lambda}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Keywords: Derivation, Lie algebra, Jordan Derivation, Lie Derivation

اشتقاق كاما هوم لي الثلاثي واشتقاق كاما هوم جوردان الثلاثي على كاما هوم جبر لي الثلاثي

رجاء جفات شاهين*, امير حسين رحمان

قسم الرياضيات, كلية التربية, جامعة القادسية, القادسية, العراق

الخلاصة

الغرض من هذا البحث هو تعريف كاما هوم جبر لي الثلاثي، اشتقاق كاما هوم لي الثلاثي على كاما هوم جبر لي الثلاثي $(g, [.,.]_{\lambda}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ و اشتقاق كاما هوم جوردان الثلاثي على كاما هوم جبر لي الثلاثي $(g, [.,.]_{\lambda}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ ، ايضا لدراسة العلاقة بين اشتقاق كاما هوم لي الثلاثي و اشتقاق كاما هوم جوردان الثلاثي على كاما هوم جبر لي الثلاثي $(g, [.,.]_{\lambda}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$

1. Introduction

It is indispensable that derivation and generalized derivation algebras are very substantial topic in the study of Lie algebras. In [1] Leger and Luks investigated the construction of the generalized derivation of Lie algebras. In [2] Benoist Generalized derivations also have a crucial role in Benoist study of Levi principles in derivation algebras of nilpotent Lie algebras. In [3] Bresar and vukman generalized Herstein result to Jordan (α, β) - derivation. In [4] Ashraf and Ali proved that in non-commutative prime ring R, a generalized Jordan derivation is a generalized (α, β) - derivation when α is an automorphism of R. Nowadays in [5,9], there is an increasing interest in studying the Lie triple derivation. In [10] Sheng, the Hom Lie algebra is a reasonable generalization of the Lie algebra and has significant applications in both maths. and phys. In [11] Bing and Chen identify the generalized derivations for Hom Lie algebras. In short, Lie triple (α, β) -Hom derivations and Jordan triple (α, β) -Hom derivations on Hom Lie algebra are studied. In [12] Rezaei and Davvaz, generalize the aspect of algebra over a field. A Γ - algebra is an algebraic structure consisting of a vector space V, a groupoid Γ together with a map from $V \times \Gamma \times V$ to V. Then, on every associative Γ -algebra V and for

*Email: rajaa.chaffat@qu.edu.iq

every $\alpha \in \Gamma$ establish an α -Lie algebra. In this study we generalize the above results to Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra, we proved that Jordan triple (α, β) Hom Γ -derivation if and only if Lie triple (α, β) -Hom Γ -derivations on Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra under some conditions. In particular, α -Jordan Hom Γ -derivation if and only if Lie triple α -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra. For more result on Γ -Lie algebra, see [13,14] Throughout this paper, the main field F is presupposed to be of features not equal to 3. We now recall some primary definitions. In [15] Zhou, construct a Lie triple algebra L_T by defining a triple product $[r, p, w] = [r, [p, w]]$, Where $[r, p]$ is the brocket of elements r, p in the Lie algebra. Now, we will recall the followings which are necessary in this paper.

Definition 1.1: [16]

A Hom Lie algebra is a triple $(A, [.,.], \theta)$ consisting of a vector space A , a skew symmetric bilinear map $[.,.]: A \times A \longrightarrow A$, and a linear map $\theta: A \longrightarrow A$ satisfying the following hom-Jacobi identity $[\theta(r), [p, w]] + [\theta(p), [w, r]] + [\theta(w), [r, p]] = 0$

Definition 1.2:- [17]

A Bi-Hom Lie algebra over a field K is a 4-tuple $(L, [.,.], \theta_1, \theta_2)$, where L is a K -linear space $\theta_1: L \rightarrow L$, $\theta_2: L \rightarrow L$ and $[.,.]: L \times L \rightarrow L$ are linear maps, with notation $[.,.](r, p) = [r, p]$, satisfying the following conditions, for all $r, p, w \in L$

- 1- $\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1$
- 2- $[\theta_1(r), \theta_2(p)] = -[\theta_1(p), \theta_2(r)]$
- 3- $[\theta_2^2(r), [\theta_2(p), \theta_1(w)]] + [\theta_2^2(p), [\theta_2(w), \theta_1(r)]] + [\theta_2^2(w), [\theta_2(r), \theta_1(p)]] = 0$.

Definition 1.3: [11]

A Lie triple derivation of Lie algebra is a linear mapping $D: A \longrightarrow A$ such that $D([r, p], w) = [[D(r), p], w] + [[r, D(p)], w] + [[r, p], D(w)]$, for all $r, p, w \in A$.

Definition 1. 4: [11]

A Jordan triple derivation of a Lie algebra is a linear mapping $\acute{D}: A \longrightarrow A$ such that $\acute{D}([r, p], r) = [[\acute{D}(r), p], r] + [[r, \acute{D}(p)], r] + [[r, p], \acute{D}(r)]$, for all $r, p \in A$.

2- Main Results

In this section, we give a definition of Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra, Lie triple Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra and Jordan triple Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ -Lie algebra. We will use the notation L for Lie, $L.T$ for Lie triple, $J.T$ for Jordan triple.

Definition 2.1:

A Bi-Hom Γ -(L) algebra over a field K is a 4-tuple $(L, [.,.], \theta_1, \theta_2)$, where L is a K -linear space $\theta_1: L \rightarrow L$, $\theta_2: L \rightarrow L$ and $[.,.]: L \times L \rightarrow L$ are linear maps, with notation $[.,.](r, p) = [r, p]$, satisfying the following conditions, for all $r, p, w \in L, \lambda \in \Gamma$.

- 1- $\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1$
- 2- $[\theta_1(r), \theta_2(p)]_\lambda = -[\theta_1(p), \theta_2(r)]_\lambda$
- 3- $[\theta_2^2(r), [\theta_2(p), \theta_1(w)]_\lambda]_\lambda + [\theta_2^2(p), [\theta_2(w), \theta_1(r)]_\lambda]_\lambda + [\theta_2^2(w), [\theta_2(r), \theta_1(p)]_\lambda]_\lambda = 0$.

Definition 2.2:

A L. T Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is a Linear map $D: A \rightarrow A$ such that $D \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ D, D \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ D$ and $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism such that $\delta \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ \delta, \delta \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \delta,$

$$D([r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) = \left[[D(r), \theta_1^k(p)]_\lambda, \theta_2^k(w) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\theta_1^k(r), D(p)]_\lambda, \theta_2^k(w) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\theta_1^k(r), \theta_2^k(p)]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda, \text{for all } r, p, w \in A, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

Definition 2.3:

A J. T Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is a Linear map $\acute{D}: A \rightarrow A$ such that $\acute{D} \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ \acute{D}, \acute{D} \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \acute{D}$ and $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism such that $\delta \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ \delta, \delta \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \delta,$

$$\acute{D}([r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda) = \left[[\acute{D}(r), \theta_1^k(p)]_\lambda, \theta_2^k(r) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\theta_1^k(r), \acute{D}(p)]_\lambda, \theta_2^k(r) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\theta_1^k(r), \theta_2^k(p)]_\lambda, \acute{D}(r) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda, \text{for all } r, p \in A, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

Definition 2.4:

Let $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ be a Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra and let $D, \alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ be Linear maps satisfying $D \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ D, D \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ D$ and $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism such that $\delta \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ \delta, \delta \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \delta,$

1- D is called a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_1$ - Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra if

$$D([r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) = \left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p)]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda.$$

2- D is called a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_2$ - Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra if

$$D([r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) = \left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p)]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(r), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda.$$

3- D is called a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_3$ - Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra if

$$D([r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) = \left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p)]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda, \text{for all } r, p, w \in A, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

If $w = r$ we can defined a J. T $(\alpha, \beta)_2$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra by the same way it is easy to see that if D is a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_i$ - Hom Γ -derivati of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ where is, $i = 1, 2, 3$. In this section, $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra and $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ be a Linear mappings, where θ_i is an injection and $\theta_i[r, p]_\lambda = [r, \theta_i(p)]_\lambda, \theta_2$ be a homomorphism

Definition 2.5:

Let $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ be a Bi-Hom Γ - (L) algebra and let $D, \alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ be linear map satisfying, $D \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ D, D \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ D$ and $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism such that $\delta \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ \delta, \delta \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \delta,$

1- D is called a J. T $(\alpha, \beta)_1$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra if

$$D([r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda) = \left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda$$

$$+ \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda$$

$$+ \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(r) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda, \forall r, p \in A.$$

2- D is called a $J. T (\alpha, \beta)_2$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra if

$$D([[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda) = \left[\left[D(r), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \alpha \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda$$

$$= \left[\left[D(r), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \alpha \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda$$

$$+ \left[\left[\beta \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(r) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda, \forall r, p \in A .$$

3- D is called a $J. T (\alpha, \beta)_3$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra

$$D([[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda) = \left[\left[D(r), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \alpha \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda +$$

$$\left[\left[\beta \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda$$

$$+ \left[\left[\beta \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(r) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda, \text{for all } r, p, \in A, \lambda \in \Gamma$$

Theorem 2.6:

D is a $L. T (\alpha, \beta)_1$ – Hom Γ -derivation if and only if D is a

$J. T (\alpha, \beta)_1$ Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ such that:

1- $\left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda = \left[\left[\beta \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda .$

2- $B(r, p, w) + B(p, w, r) + B(w, r, p) = 0$, where $r, p, w \in A$ and

$$B(r, p, w) = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[D(r), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(w) \right) \right]_\lambda + \right.$$

$$\left. \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r), D(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(w) \right) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right)$$

$$+ \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda . \text{ And } \theta_2 \text{ be a homomorphism } \theta_1 [r, p]_\lambda = [r, \theta_1(p)]_\lambda \text{ and } \text{ch } A \neq 3$$

Proof:

Assume that D is a $(L. T) (\alpha, \beta)_1$ - a Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$. Clearly D is a $(J. T) (\alpha, \beta)_1$ - Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ and

$$D \left([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \right) = -D \left([[p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \right) =$$

$$- \left[\left[D(p), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(w) \right) \right]_\lambda - \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right), D(r) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda$$

$$- \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda - \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda$$

$$= \left[\left[D(r), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(w) \right) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[D(r), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(w) \right) \right]_\lambda$$

$$+ \left[\left[\beta \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda . \text{ And}$$

$$D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) = \left[\left[D(r), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(w) \right) \right]_\lambda$$

$$+ \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \beta \left(\theta_2^k(w) \right) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda +$$

$\delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda$, Then we get 1

$$\left[\left[\beta \left(\theta_2^k(r) \right), \alpha \left(\theta_1^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda = \left[\left[\alpha \left(\theta_1^k(r) \right), \beta \left(\theta_2^k(p) \right) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda .$$

Since D is a $(L. T) (\alpha, \beta)_1$ -Hom Γ - derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, we have

$\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) = B(r, p, w)$, Hence

$$B(r, p, w) + B(p, w, r) + B(w, r, p)$$

$= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 ((D[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) + D([[p, w]_\lambda, r]_\lambda) + D([[w, r]_\lambda, p]_\lambda))$
 $= D[[\theta_2(r), \theta_2(p)]_\lambda, \theta_1(w)]_\lambda + [[\theta_2(p), \theta_2(w)]_\lambda, \theta_1(r)]_\lambda + [[\theta_2(w), \theta_2(r)]_\lambda, \theta_1(p)]_\lambda = 0$
 Conversely, let D be a $J. T (\alpha, \beta)_1$ -Hom Γ - derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ for which 1, 2 conditions hold then, $\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda)) = B(r, p, r)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r + w, p]_\lambda, r + p]_\lambda)) \\
 &= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda)) + D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) + D([[w, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda) + D([[w, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) \\
 &= B(r, p, r) + B(w, p, w) + \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) + \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[w, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda)), \text{ And} \\
 & \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r + w, p]_\lambda, r + w]_\lambda)) = B(r + w, p, r + w) \\
 &= B(r, p, r) + B(r, p, w) + B(w, p, r) + B(w, p, w) \\
 & \text{thus we obtain} \\
 & \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) + \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[w, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda)) \\
 &= B(r, p, w) + B(w, p, r) \dots\dots\dots (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(p, r, w) &= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 ([[[D(p), \alpha(\theta_1^k(r))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda + \\
 & [[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p), D(r))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda + [[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p)), \beta(\theta_2^k(r))]_\lambda, D(w)]_\lambda) \\
 & + \delta[[p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\
 &= -\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 ([[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda + \\
 & [[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r), D(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda + [[\beta(\theta_1^k(r), \alpha(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w)]_\lambda) \\
 & + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\
 &= -\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 ([[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda + [[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r), D(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda + \\
 & [[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w)]_\lambda) + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\
 &= -B(r, p, w) \Rightarrow \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, p]_\lambda)) = -\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[p, r]_\lambda, p]_\lambda)) \\
 &= -B(p, r, p) = B(r, p, p). \text{ A similar argument proves} \\
 & \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) + \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, w]_\lambda, p]_\lambda)) \\
 &= B(r, p, w) + B(r, w, p) \dots\dots\dots (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) + \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[w, p]_\lambda, r]_\lambda)) \quad + \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) + \\
 & \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, w]_\lambda, p]_\lambda)) \\
 &= B(r, p, w) + B(w, p, r) + B(r, p, w) + B(r, w, p) . \text{ Then} \\
 & \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 ((D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) + \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) - \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) \\
 &= B(r, p, w) + B(w, p, r) + B(r, p, w) + B(r, w, p) . \text{ That is,} \\
 & 3\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) = 3B(r, p, w) \\
 & + B(w, p, r) + B(r, w, p) + B(p, r, w) = 3B(r, p, w),
 \end{aligned}$$

Where the last equation (ii) is used. Since $ch A \neq 3$, we have $\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) = B(r, p, w)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D([[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) = \\
 & [[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda + [[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r), D(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w))]_\lambda \\
 & + [[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w)]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., D is a $L. T (\alpha, \beta)_1$ -Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$

Corollary 2.7:

D is a L. T α -Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra if and only if D is a J. T α -Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Proof.

If D is a J. T α -Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, then 1 follows immediately.

2 holds because

$$\begin{aligned} & B(r, p, w) + B(p, w, r) + B(w, r, p) \\ &= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), \alpha(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\ &+ \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[D(p), \alpha(\theta_1^k(w)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p)), D(w) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p)), \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda, D(r) \right]_\lambda \right) + \delta[[p, w]_\lambda, r]_\lambda \\ &+ \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[D(w), \alpha(\theta_1^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(w)), D(r) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(w)), \alpha(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, D(p) \right]_\lambda \right) + \delta[[w, r]_\lambda, p]_\lambda \\ &= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p)), \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda, D(r) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(w)), D(r) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda \right) \\ &+ \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[D(p), \alpha(\theta_1^k(w)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(w)), \alpha(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, D(p) \right]_\lambda \right) \\ &+ \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), \alpha(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p)), D(w) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\left[D(w), \alpha(\theta_1^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore D is a L.T α -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Theorem 2.8: D is a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_2$ - Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ if and only if D is a J. T $(\alpha, \beta)_2$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1- \left[\left[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, (\beta - \alpha)(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\ &= \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, (\beta - \alpha)(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\ & 2- \hat{B}(r, p, w) + \hat{B}(p, w, r) + \hat{B}(w, r, p) = 0, \text{ Where } r, p, w \in A \\ & \text{and } \hat{B}(r, p, w) = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[\left[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ & \left. \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

Let D be a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_2$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D([r, p]_\lambda, w)_\lambda = -D([p, r]_\lambda, w)_\lambda. \text{ As well as the fact that} \\
 & -D([p, r]_\lambda, w)_\lambda = -\left[[D(p), \alpha(\theta_1^k(r))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\
 & - \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p)), D(r)]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\
 & - \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(p)), \beta(\theta_2^k(r))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda - \delta[[p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\
 & = \left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p)]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\
 & + \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p)]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\
 & = \left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r), D(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, (\beta - \alpha)(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda = \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r), D(p))]_\lambda, (\beta - \alpha)(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda$$

Since D is a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_1$ - Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [\dots]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, we have

$$\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([r, p]_\lambda, w)_\lambda) = B(r, p, w), \text{ Hence}$$

$$B(r, p, w) + B(p, w, r) + B(w, r, p)$$

$$= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 ((D([r, p]_\lambda, w)_\lambda) + D([p, w]_\lambda, r)_\lambda + D([w, r]_\lambda, p)_\lambda) =$$

$$D([\theta_2(r), \theta_2(p)]_\lambda, \theta_1(w))_\lambda + [[\theta_2(p), \theta_2(w)]_\lambda, \theta_1(r)]_\lambda + [[\theta_2(w), \theta_2(r)]_\lambda, \theta_1(p)]_\lambda = 0$$

Suppose that, conversely, that D is a (J. T. $(\alpha, \beta)_2$ - Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [\dots]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ satisfying 1 and 2. Note that

$$B'(p, r, w)$$

$$= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[[D(p), \alpha(\theta_1^k(r))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(p)), D(r)]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \right.$$

$$\left. \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(p)), \beta(\theta_2^k(r))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) + \delta[[p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda$$

$$= -\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r), D(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \right.$$

$$\left. \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) - \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda$$

$$= -\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 \left(\left[[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \left[[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p)]_\lambda, \beta(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \right.$$

$$\left. \left[[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p))]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) - \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda$$

$$= -\hat{B}(r, p, w)$$

Similarly, we can obtain equations (1) and (2). The proof of the remainder is the same as A similar argument proves the following result the corresponding proof of Theorem2.5.

Theorem 2.9:

D is a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_3$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [\dots]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ if D is a J. T $(\alpha, \beta)_3$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [\dots]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ such that.

$$1- \left[[D(r), (\alpha - \beta)(\theta_1^k(p))]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda$$

$$= \left[[(\alpha - \beta)(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p)]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda$$

$$2- \hat{B}(r, p, w) + \hat{B}(p, w, r) + \hat{B}(w, r, p) = 0. \text{ Where } r, p, w \in A \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}(r, p, w) &= \theta_1 \circ \theta_2 \left(\left[\left[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

Assume that D be a L. T $(\alpha, \beta)_3$ - Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [., ., .]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$. Use the fact that. $D([r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) = -D([p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)$,

As well as the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &-D([p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) \\ &= -\left[\left[D(p), \alpha(\theta_1^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(p)), D(r) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\ &\quad - \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(p)), \beta(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda - \delta[[p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\ &= \left[\left[D(r), \beta(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\ &\quad + \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda + \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda, \text{ Then we have} \\ &\left[\left[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r), D(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\ &= \left[\left[D(r), \beta(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \\ &\quad + \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda, \text{ That is} \end{aligned}$$

$\left[\left[D(r), (\alpha - \beta)(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda = \left[\left[(\alpha - \beta)(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda$, Since D is a (L. T) $(\alpha, \beta)_1$ -Hom Γ -derivation of $(A, [., ., .]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, we have

$\theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda)) = B(r, p, w)$, Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &B(r, p, w) + B(p, w, r) + B(w, r, p) \\ &= \theta_2 \circ \theta_1 (D([r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda) + D([p, w]_\lambda, r]_\lambda) + D([w, r]_\lambda, p]_\lambda) \\ &= D([\theta_2(r), \theta_2(p)]_\lambda, \theta_1(w)]_\lambda + [\theta_2(p), \theta_2(w)]_\lambda, \theta_1(r)]_\lambda + [\theta_2(w), \theta_2(r)]_\lambda, \theta_1(p)]_\lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

conversely, Suppose that D is a (J. T) $(\alpha, \beta)_3$ -Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - L algebra $(A, [., .]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ satisfying 1 and 2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}(p, r, w) &= \theta_1 \circ \theta_2 \left(\left[\left[D(p), \alpha(\theta_1^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \left[\left[(\theta_1^k(p), D(r)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(p)), \beta(\theta_2^k(r)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) + \delta[[p, r]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\ &= -\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 \left(\left[\left[D(r), \beta(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \left[\left[\alpha(\theta_1^k(r), D(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) - \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\ &= -\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 \left(\left[\left[D(r), \alpha(\theta_1^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), D(p) \right]_\lambda, \alpha(\theta_2^k(w)) \right]_\lambda - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[\left[\beta(\theta_1^k(r)), \beta(\theta_2^k(p)) \right]_\lambda, D(w) \right]_\lambda \right) - \delta[[r, p]_\lambda, w]_\lambda \\ &= -\hat{B}(r, p, w). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain equations (1) and (2). The proof of the remainder is the same as A similar argument proves the following result the corresponding proof of Theorem 2.5.

Remark 2.10:

Corollary 2.6 can also be concluded from the 2.7 or theorem 2.8 since for any $r, p, w \in A$,
 $B(r, p, w) = \hat{B}(r, p, w) = \check{B}(r, p, w)$
 When D is a J. T α - Hom Γ -derivation

Conclusions

In this research we have reached to D is a Lie triple $(\alpha, \beta)_i$ – Hom Γ -derivation if and only if D is a Jordan triple $(\alpha, \beta)_i$ Hom Γ -derivation on Bi-Hom Γ - Lie algebra $(A, [.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ under some conditions, where $i = 1, 2, 3$.

References

- [1] G. Leger and E. Luks, "Generalized derivation of Lie algebras," *J. Algebra*, pp. 165-203, 2000.
- [2] Y. Benoist, "semi-simple de 1^\wedge , algebra des derivations d^\wedge , une algebra", de Lie nilpotent," (*Friench*) *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Se´r.I math*, pp. 901-904, 1998.
- [3] M. Bres´ar and J. Vukman, "Jordan (θ_1, θ_2) -derivation," *Glas. Mat. Ser*, vol. 26, no. 46, pp. 13-17, 1991.
- [4] M. Ashraf, A. Ali and S. Ali, "On Lie ideals and generalized (α, β) -derivations in prime rings," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 2977-2985, 2004.
- [5] Z. Chen and Z. Xiao, "Nonlinear Lie triple derivations on parabolic sub algebras of finite – dimensional simple Lie algebras," *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 3, no. 60, pp. 645-656, 2012.
- [6] F. Lu, "Lie triple derivations on nest algebra," *Math. Nachr*, pp. 882-887, 2007.
- [7] C. Miers, "Lie triple derivations of von Neumann algebras," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc*, pp. 57-61, 1978.
- [8] H. Wang and Q. Li, "Lie triple derivations of the Lie algebra of strictly upper triangular matrix over a commutative ring," *Linear Algebra Appl*, pp. 66-77, 2009.
- [9] D. Wang and X. Yu, "Lie triple derivations of the parabolic sub algebras of simple Lie algebras," *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 837-840, 2011.
- [10] Y. Sheng, "Representation of hom-Lie algebras," *Represent. Theory*, pp. 1081-1098, 2012.
- [11] S. Bing and C. Liangyun, "Lie triple derivations and Jordan derivations of Hom- Lie algebra," *Math. Aeterna*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 671-682, 2014.
- [12] A. Rezaei and B. Davvaz, "Construction of Γ –Lie algebra and Γ –Lie Admissible," *Korean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 175-189, 2018.
- [13] A. Alzaiad and R. Shaheen, "Involutive Gamma Derivations on n-Gamma Lie Algebra and 3- Pre Gamma-Lie Algebra," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 1146-1157, 2022.
- [14] H. Taher and R. Shaheen, "Triple Γ - Homomorphisms and Bi- Γ -Derivations on Jordan Γ - algebra," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, To appear.
- [15] J. Zhou, "Triple Derivations of Perfect Lie algebras," *Communication in Algebra*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1647-1654, 2013.
- [16] S. Yunhe, "Representation of Hom Lie algebras," *Algebras and Representation Theory*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1081-1098, 2012.
- [17] B. Abdelkader, "Generalized derivations of BiHom-Lie algebras," *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1-7, 2017.