



ISSN: 0067-2904

Centralizer and Jordan Centralizer of Inverse Semirings

Ali JA. Abass*, Abdulrahman H. Majeed

Math Department, College, of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 16/4/2023

Accepted: 8/8/2023

Published: 30/9/2024

Abstract

Let M be a semiprime 2-torsion free inverse semiring, and let α be an endomorphism of M . Under some conditions, we prove a Jordan α -centralizer of M is a α -centralizer of M , also we prove if $R: M \rightarrow M$ be an additive mapping such that $R(r^3) + \alpha(r)R(r)\alpha(r)' = 0$ holds for all $r \in M$, where R is a centralizer, and α is a surjective endomorphism of M .

Keywords: Inverse semiring, centralizer of inverse semiring, Jordan centralizer, α -centralizer, Jordan α -centralizer.

تمركزات و تمرکزات جوردان لاشباه الحلقات المعكوسة

علي جعفر عباس*, عبد الرحمن حميد مجيد

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعه بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

في ضل بعض الظروف، نثبت ان M هو تشاكل على α شبه حلقة معكوسة شبه اوليه 2 طليقه الالتواء، و M لتكن تمرکزات α -جوردان ل M تكون تمرکزات جوردان ل M ، نبرهن ايضاً اذا $R: M \rightarrow M$ تكون تطبيق جمعي بحيث $R(r^3) + \alpha(r)R(r)\alpha(r)' = 0$ لكل $r \in M$. في هذه الحالة تكون R تمرکز، عندما α تكون تشاكل شامل على M .

1. Introduction

The investigation of the semiring goes back to Vandiver [1]. A non-empty set with two binary operation (+) and (\bullet) is called semiring if and only if the following conditions hold:

- $(M, +)$ is commutative semigroup.
- (M, \bullet) is semigroup.
- $a \bullet (r + s) = a \bullet r + a \bullet s$, and $(r + s) \bullet a = r \bullet a + s \bullet a$ for all $a, r, s \in M$.

A semiring $(M, +, \bullet)$ is said to be commutative if and only if $r \bullet s = s \bullet r$ holds for all $r, s \in M$, and it's called additively inverse semiring, if for every $r \in M$ there exists a unique element $r' \in M$ such that $r + r' + r = r$ and $r' + r + r' = r'$, [2]. The semiring M is known as a semiring with 0, if there exists an element $0 \in M$ such that $r + 0 = r$ for all $r \in M$, and is known as a semiring with unity, if there exists an element $1 \in M$ such that $r \bullet 1 = 1 \bullet r = r$ for

*Email: ali.jaafar1603b@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq

all $r \in M$, [3]. A semiring M is additively left cancellative if for all $r, s, m \in M$, such that $r + s = r + m$, then $s = m$, and is additively right cancellative if $s + r = m + r$, then $s = m$, [3].

In this article, M will represent additive inverse semiring that satisfies the condition, for all $r \in M$, $r + \acute{r}$ is located in the center $Z(M)$ of M .

A semiring M is called a prime semiring if for any $r, s \in M$, if $rMs = 0$ implies either $r = 0$ or $s = 0$, M is semiprime if $rMr = 0$, implies that $r = 0$, and M is m -torsion free if $mr = 0$, $r \in M$ implies $r = 0$. A commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$ in an inverse semirings defines as $[r, s] = rs + rs'$ and, $r \circ s = rs + rs$, [4].

An inverse semiring M is said to be has a commutator which is not left (right) zero divisor if there exists $r, s \in M$ such that $[r, s]t = 0$, $(t[r, s] = 0)$, $t \in M$, implies that $t = 0$, [5]. We call a map $d: M \rightarrow M$ a derivation, when $d(rs) = d(r)s + rd(s)$ holds for all $r, s \in M$, and we call it a Jordan derivation when $d(r^2) = d(r)r + rd(r)$ holds for all $r \in M$. An additive mapping $R: M \rightarrow M$ is called a left (right) centralizer in case $R(rs) = R(r)s$ ($R(rs) = rR(s)$) holds for all $r, s \in M$. We follow Zalar [6] and refer to R as a centralizer when it is both a left and a right centralizer. An additive mapping $R: M \rightarrow M$ is called a left (right) Jordan centralizer in case $R(r^2) = R(r)r$, ($R(r^2) = rR(r)$).

In [7] Albas introduced the α -centralizer notation and the Jordan α -centralizer notation, which are a generalization of Jordan centralizer and centralizer, and tested under specific conditions on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, where every Jordan α -centralizer is α -centralizer, and where α is a surjective homomorphism. An inverse semirings considered in different directions by numerous authors (see for example [8-16]). In this work our aim is to consider the results of Majeed and Meften [17, 18] in the inverse semiring.

2. α -Centralizer of inverse semiring

In this section we present the definition of left (right) α -centralizer, left (right) Jordan α -centralizer of a semiring M , and some lemmas that will be used later.

Definition 2.1

A left (right) α -centralizer of a semiring M is an additive mapping $R: M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies $R(rs) + R(r)\alpha(s)' = 0$, ($R(rs) + \alpha(r)R(s)' = 0$) for all $r, s \in M$, a α -centralizer of a ring M is both left and right α -entralizer, where α is an additive mapping on M .

Definition 2.2

A left (right) Jordan α -centralizer of a semiring M is an additive mapping $R: M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies $R(r^2) + R(r)\alpha(r)' = 0$ ($R(r^2) + \alpha(r)R(r)' = 0$) for all $r \in M$. A Jordan α -centralizer of a ring M is both left and right Jordan α -centralizer, where α is an additive mapping on M .

Clearly, the left α -centralizer of M is the left Jordan α -centralizer and similarly, the α -centralizer for M is the Jordan α -centralizer for M .

Lemma 2.3 [10, 11]

Let M be an inverse semiring, then for all $r, s \in M$, $r + s = 0$, and $r = s'$. Note that in general $r + r' \neq 0$, $r + r' = 0$, if and only if there exists some $s \in M$ with $r + s = 0$.

Lemma 2.4 [10]

For all $r, s \in M$, the following are holds:

- i. $(r + s)' = r' + s'$.
- ii. $(rs)' = r's = rs'$.
- iii. $r'' = r$.
- iv. $r's' = (r's)' = (rs)'' = rs$.

Lemma 2.5 [12]

For all $r, s, t \in M$, the following are holds:

- i. $[r, r] = 0$.
- ii. $[r + s, t] = [r, t] + [r, s]$.
- iii. $[rs, t] = r[s, t] + [r, t]s$.
- iv. $[r, st] = s[r, t] + [r, s]t$.

Lemma 2.6 [10]

Let M be a semiprime, if $r, s \in M$ such that $rxs = 0$ for all $x \in M$. Then $rs = sr = 0$.

Recall that an additive map is an additive in each argument.

Lemma 2.7 [17]

Let M be a semiprime and $F, G: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be additive mappings. If $F(r, s)wG(r, s) = 0$ for all $r, s, w \in M$, then $F(r, s)wG(t, q) = 0$ for all $r, s, w, t, q \in M$.

3. Main Results

The following theorem is the generalization of the theorem in [17].

Theorem 3.1

Let M be 2-torsion free semiring, following each left (right) Jordan α – centralizer. If one of the following statements about R is true, then R is a left (right) α – centralizer:

- i. M is a semiprime semiring has a commutator which is not a zero divisor.
- ii. M is a non commutative prime semiring.
- iii. M is a commutative semiprime semiring.

Where α is a surjective endomorphism of M .

Proof :

$$R(r^2) + R(r)\alpha(r)' = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in M \tag{1}$$

If we replace r by $r + s$, we get

$$R((r + s)^2) + R(r + s)\alpha(r + s)' = 0$$

By Definition 2.1, we have some terms became = 0

$$\begin{aligned} R(r^2) + R(rs + sr) + R(s^2) + R(r)\alpha(r)' + R(r)\alpha(s)' + R(s)\alpha(r)' + R(s)\alpha(s)' &= 0 \\ R(rs + sr) + R(r)\alpha(s)' + R(s)\alpha(r)' &= 0 \tag{2} \\ R(rs) + R(r)\alpha(s)' + R(sr) + R(s)\alpha(r)' &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$F(r, s) + F(s, r) = 0$$

$$F(r, s) = F(s, r)'$$

Where $F(r, s) = R(rs) + R(r)\alpha(s)'$ and $F(s, r) = R(sr) + R(s)\alpha(r)'$ for all $r, s \in M$.

By replacing s with $rs + sr$ and using (2), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} R(r(rs + sr) + (rs + sr)r) + R(r)\alpha(rs + sr)' + R(rs + sr)\alpha(r)' &= 0 \\ R(r(rs + sr) + (rs + sr)r) + R(r)\alpha(rs)' + R(r)(sr)' + R(r)\alpha(sr)' + R(s)\alpha(r^2)' &= 0 \tag{3} \end{aligned}$$

In other way using equation (2), we have

$$R(r(rs + sr) + (rs + sr)r) + R(r)\alpha(rs)' + R(s)\alpha(r^2)' + 2R(rsr)' = 0 \tag{4}$$

Comparing (3) and (4), we obtain

$$R(rsr) + R(r)'\alpha(sr) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in M \tag{5}$$

If we linearize (5), we get

$$R(rsz + zsr) + R(r)'\alpha(sz) + R(z)'\alpha(sr) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in M \tag{6}$$

Now we shall compute $K = R(rszsr + srzrs)$ for all $r, s, z \in M$ in two different ways. Using (5) we have

$$K = R(r)\alpha(szsr) + R(s)\alpha(rzrs) \tag{7}$$

using (6), we have

$$K = R(rs)\alpha(zsr) + R(sr)\alpha(zrs) \tag{8}$$

comparing (7) and (8)

$$R(r)\alpha(szsr) + R(s)\alpha(rzrs) = R(rs)\alpha(zsr) + R(sr)\alpha(zrs)$$

$$R(r)\alpha(s)'\alpha(zsr) + R(rs)\alpha(zsr) + R(s)\alpha(r)'\alpha(zrs) + R(sr)\alpha(zrs) = 0$$

since $F(r, s)$ is a additive mapping, we arrive at

$$F(r, s)\alpha(zsr) + F(s, r)\alpha(zrs) = 0 \text{ or all } r, s, z \in M \tag{9}$$

Since $F(r, s) = F(s, r)'$ for all $r, s \in M$, using this fact and equality (9), we obtain

$$F(r, s)\alpha(z)[\alpha(r), \alpha(s)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s, z \in M \tag{10}$$

Using Lemma (2.7), we have

$$F(r, s)\alpha(z)[\alpha(u), \alpha(v)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s, z, u, v \in M \tag{11}$$

Using Lemma (2.6), we have

$$F(r, s)[\alpha(u), \alpha(v)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s, u, v \in M \tag{12}$$

(i) Where M has a commutator which is not a zero divisor using (12) and α is onto, we have

$$F(r, s) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in M$$

(ii) If M is a non commutative prime semiring using (11) and α is onto, we have

$$F(r, s) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in M$$

(iii) If M is a commutative semiprime semiring, now we shall compute $J = R(rszsr)$ in two different ways, using (5)

we have

$$J = R(r)\alpha(szsr) \tag{13}$$

$$J = R(rs)\alpha(zsr) \tag{14}$$

Comparing (13) and (14), we arrive at

$$R(r)\alpha(szsr)' + R(rs)\alpha(zsr) = 0$$

$$(R(rs) + R(r)\alpha'(s))\alpha(z)\alpha(sr) = 0$$

$$F(r, s)\alpha(z)\alpha(sr) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s, z \in M \tag{15}$$

Let $\psi(r, s) = \alpha(r)\alpha(s)$, it's clear that ψ is a additive mapping, therefore

$$F(r, s)\alpha(z)\psi(r, s) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s, z \in M$$

Using Lemma (2.7), we have

$$F(r, s)\alpha(z)\psi(u, v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s, z, u, v \in M$$

Implies that

$$F(r, s)\alpha(z)\alpha(uv) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s, z, u, v \in M \tag{16}$$

By replacing $\alpha(v)$ with $F(r, s)\alpha(z)$, α is onto, and M is a semiprime semiring, we have

$$F(r, s) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in M$$

That is mean

$$R(rs) + R(r)\alpha'(s) = 0.$$

By similar way we can prove

$$R(rs) + \alpha'(s)R(r) = 0.$$

Corollary 3.2

Let M be a 2-torsion free prime semiring, then every left (right) Jordan α -centralizer R is a left (right) α -centralizer.

In the following, we generalized the second proposition in [18], as following to α -centralizers for semiprime semiring, so to prove this result, we need the following lemmas

Lemma 3.3

Let M be a semiprime, f is a (α, α) – derivation of M , and $a \in M$ some fixed element, where α is a surjective endomorphism of M

- i.If $f(r)f(s) = 0$ for all $r, s \in M$ then $f = 0$.
- ii.If $ar + ra' \in Z(M)$ for all $r, s \in M$ then $a \in Z$.

Proof:

$$i.f(r)\alpha(s)f(s) = f(r)f(sr) + f(r)f(s)\alpha(r)' = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in M$$

Since α is surjective, and M is a semiprime, we have $f = 0$

$$ii.Let f(r) = \alpha\alpha(r) + \alpha(r)a'd(r)$$

It is clear that f is a (α, α) derivations, since $f(r) \in Z(M)$ for all $r \in M$, we get $f(s)\alpha(r) = \alpha(r)f(s)$ and also $f(sz)\alpha(r) = \alpha(r)f(sz)$.

Hence

$$f(s)\alpha(zr) + \alpha(s)f(z)\alpha(r) = f(s)\alpha(r)\alpha(z) + f(z)\alpha(r)\alpha(s)$$

$$f(s)\alpha(z)\alpha(r) + f(z)\alpha(s)\alpha(r) = f(s)\alpha(r)\alpha(z) + f(z)\alpha(r)\alpha(s)$$

Since M is semiring, we get

$$f(s)[\alpha(z), \alpha(r)] = f(z)[\alpha(r), \alpha(s)]$$

Since α is surjective take $\alpha(z) = a$. It is clear that $f(a) = 0$, so we obtain

$$f(s)[a, \alpha(r)] = f(s)f(a) = 0$$

by (i) we get $f = 0$ and hence $\alpha\alpha(r) + \alpha(r)a' = 0$, for all $r \in M$.

Since α is a surjective, therefore $a \in Z(M)$.

Lemma 3.4

Let M be a semiprime semiring and $r \in M$ some fixed element. If $R(x) = r\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)$, and $R(x \circ s) = R(x) \circ \alpha(s)$ for all $x, s \in M$. Then $r \in Z(M)$, where α is a surjective endomorphism of M .

Proof:

By the assumption

$$R(xs + sx) + R(x)\alpha(s)' + \alpha(s)'R(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, s \in M$$

Its clear that R is an additive map

$$R(xs) + R(sx) + R(x)\alpha(s)' + \alpha(s)'R(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, s \in M$$

On the other hand, we have

$$R(xs) + R(sx) = r\alpha(xs) + \alpha(xs)r + r\alpha(sx) + \alpha(sx)r$$

$$= r\alpha(x)\alpha(s) + \alpha(x)\alpha(s)r + r\alpha(s)\alpha(x) + \alpha(s)\alpha(x)r$$

$$R(x)\alpha(s)' + \alpha(s)R(x)' + r\alpha(x)\alpha(s) + \alpha(x)r\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)r\alpha(x) + \alpha(s)\alpha(x)r = 0$$

$$(r + r')\alpha(x)\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)\alpha(x)(r + r') + \alpha(x)\alpha(s) + r\alpha(s)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)r'\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)r'\alpha(x) = 0$$

Since $r + r' \in Z(R)$

$$\alpha(x)(r' + r + r')\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)(r' + r + r')\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)\alpha(s)r + r\alpha(s)\alpha(x) = 0.$$

$$\alpha(x)r'\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)r'\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)\alpha(s)r + r\alpha(s)\alpha(x) = 0$$

$$\alpha(x)'(r\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)r') + (\alpha(s)r' + r\alpha(s))\alpha(x) = 0$$

Since α is surjective

$$r\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)r' \in Z(M)$$

The second part of Lemma (3.3) now gives we us $r \in Z(M)$.

Lemma 3.5

Let M be a semiprime semiring, $R: M \rightarrow M$ an additive map, which satisfies $R(xos) = R(x)o\alpha(s)$ for all $x, s \in M$, then $R(r) \in Z(M)$ for all $r \in Z(M)$, where α is a surjective endomorphism of M .

Proof:

Take $r \in Z(M)$ and denote $a = R(r)$.

$$2R(rx) = R(rx + xr) = R(r)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)R(r) = a\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)a$$

Let

$$S(x) = 2R(cx)$$

is satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} S(xos) &= 2R(r(xs + sx)) \\ &= 2R(rxs + srx) \\ &= 2R(rx)\alpha(s) + 2\alpha(s)R(rx) \\ &= S(x)\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)S(x) \\ &= S(x) o \alpha(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(xos) &= 2R(r(xs + sx)) \\ &= 2R(x(rs) + (rs)x) \\ &= 2\alpha(x)R(rs) + 2R(rs)\alpha(x) \\ &= \alpha(x)S(s) + S(s)\alpha(x) \\ &= \alpha(x)oS(s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$S(xos) = S(x)o\alpha(s) = \alpha(x)oS(s) \text{ for all } x, s \in M$$

By Lemma (3.4), we have $a = R(r) \in Z(M)$.

Theorem 3.6

Let M be 2-torsion free and $R: M \rightarrow M$ be an additive map, which satisfies $R(xos) = R(x)o\alpha(s) = \alpha(x)oR(s)$ for all $x, s \in M$, then R is α -centralizer of M , if one of the following statements hold:

- (i) M is a semiprime semiring has a commutator which is not zero divisor.
- (ii) M is a non-commutative prime semiring.
- (ii) M is a commutative semiprime semiring.

Where α is a surjective endomorphism of M , and $\alpha(Z(R)) = Z(R)$.

Proof:

$$R(xs + sx) = R(x)\alpha(s) + \alpha(s)R(x).$$

$$R(xs + sx) = \alpha(x)R(s) + R(s)\alpha(x) \text{ for all } x, s \in Z(M).$$

If we replace s by $xs + sx$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &R(x)\alpha(xs + sx) + \alpha(xs + sx)R(x) \\ &= \alpha(x)R(xs + sx) + R(xs + sx)\alpha(x) \\ &= \alpha(x)R(x)\alpha(s) + \alpha(x)\alpha(s)R(x) + R(x)\alpha(s)\alpha(x) + \alpha(s)R(x)\alpha(x) \\ &= R(x)\alpha(x)\alpha(s) + R(x)\alpha(s)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)\alpha(s)R(x) + \alpha(s)\alpha(x)R(x). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two above equations, we get

$$(\alpha'(x)R(x) + R(x)\alpha(x))\alpha(s) = \alpha(s)(R(x)\alpha'(x) + \alpha(x)R(x)) \text{ for all } x, s \in M.$$

Now it follows that

$$[R(x), \alpha(x)]\alpha(s) = \alpha(s)[R(x), \alpha(x)] \text{ holds for all } x, s \in M.$$

But α is surjective, then we get

$$[R(x), \alpha(x)] \in Z(M).$$

The next goal is to show that $[R(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$ holds for all $x \in M$.

Take any $r \in Z(M)$.

$$\begin{aligned} 2R(rx) &= R(rx + xr) \\ &= R(r)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)R(r) \\ &= 2R(r)\alpha(x). \\ 2R(rx) &= R(xr + rx) \\ &= R(x)\alpha(r) + \alpha(r)R(x) \\ &= 2R(x)\alpha(r). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.5, we get

$$R(rx) = R(x)\alpha(r) = R(r)\alpha(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in M.$$

$$R(x)\alpha(r) + R(r)\alpha(x) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} [R(x), \alpha(x)]\alpha(r) &= R(x)\alpha(x)\alpha(r) + \alpha(x)R(x)'\alpha(r) \\ &= R(x)\alpha(r)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)R(r)'\alpha(x) \\ &= (R(x)\alpha(r) + R(r)'\alpha(x))\alpha(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since M is semiprime semiring, $\alpha(Z(M)) = Z(M)$, and $[R(x), \alpha(x)]$ itself is central element, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [R(x), \alpha(x)] &= 0 \text{ holds} && \text{for all } x \in M. \\ 2R(x^2) &= R(xx + xx) = R(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)R(x) \\ &= 2R(x)\alpha(x) \\ &= 2\alpha(x)R(x) && \text{for all } x \in M. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, we get our result.

Corollary 3.7

Let M be 2-torsion free prime semiring and $R: M \rightarrow M$ an additive mapping which satisfies $R(x \circ s) = R(x) \circ \alpha(s) = \alpha(x) \circ R(s)$ for all $x, s \in M$, then R is a α -centralizer of M , where α is a surjective endomorphism of M , and $\alpha(Z(M)) = Z(M)$.

If M is prime ring, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.8

Let M be 2-torsion free prime semiring, then every left (right) Jordan α -centralizer is a left (right) α -centralizer, where α is a surjective endomorphism of M .

Theorem 3.9

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime with identity, and let $R: M \rightarrow M$ be an additive mapping. Suppose that $R(r^3) + \alpha(r)R(r)\alpha(r)' = 0$ holds for all $r \in M$. In this case, R is a α -centralizer, where α is a surjective endomorphism of M .

Proof:

Replacing r by $r + 1$ in the relation, where 1 is the identity element, we obtains

$$\begin{aligned} R((r + 1)^3) + \alpha(r + 1)R(r + 1)\alpha(r + 1)' &= 0 \\ R(r^3) + 3R(r^2) + 3R(r) + R(1) + \alpha(r)R(r)\alpha(r)' + \alpha(r)R(r)'\alpha(r)' &+ \alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r)' \\ + \alpha(r)R(1)'\alpha(r)' + R(r)\alpha(r)' + R(r)'\alpha(r)' + R(1)\alpha(r)' + R(1)'\alpha(r)' &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 3R(r^2) + 2R(r) + R(1) + \alpha(r)R(r)'\alpha(r)' + \alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r)' + \alpha(r)R(1)'\alpha(r)' \\ + R(r)\alpha(r)' + R(1)\alpha(r)' + R(1)'\alpha(r)' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting r' for r in the relation above

$$3R(r^2) + 2R(r)' + R(1)\alpha(r)R(r)'\alpha(r) + \alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r) + \alpha(r)R(1) + R(r)\alpha(r)' + R(1)\alpha(r) + R(1)'\alpha(r) = 0.$$

Comparing the two above relations, we obtain

$$6R(r^2) + 2\alpha(r)R(r)'\alpha(r)' + 2\alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r)' + 2R(r)\alpha(r)' = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in M, \tag{17}$$

and

$$2R(r) + \alpha(r)R(1)' + R(1)\alpha(r)' = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in M. \quad (18)$$

We want to prove that $R(1) \in Z(M)$. According to Eq. (18) one can replace $2R(r)$ on the right side of Eq. (17) by $\alpha(r)R(1) + R(1)\alpha(r)$ and $6R(r^2)$ on the left side by $3R(1)\alpha(r^2) + 3\alpha(r^2)R(1)$ which gives after some calculation

$$\begin{aligned} 6R(r^2) &= \alpha(r)(\alpha(r)R(1) + R(1)\alpha(r)) + 2\alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r) + (\alpha(r)R(1) + R(1)\alpha(r))\alpha(r) \\ &= \alpha(r^2)R(1) + \alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r) + 2\alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r) + \alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r) + R(1)\alpha(r^2) \\ &= \alpha(r^2)R(1) + 4\alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r) + R(1)\alpha(r^2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand from Eq. (17) and Eq. (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 6R(r^2) &= 3\alpha(r^2)R(1) + 3R(1)\alpha(r^2) \\ 3\alpha(r^2)R(1) + \alpha(r^2)R(1)' + 3R(1)\alpha(r^2) + R(1)'\alpha(r^2) + 4\alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r)' &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

After some calculation using the property of semiring, we get

$$\alpha(r^2)R(1) + R(1)\alpha(r^2) + 2\alpha(r)R(1)\alpha(r)' = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in M.$$

The above relation can be written in the form

$$[[R(1), \alpha(r)], \alpha(r)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in M. \quad (19)$$

Linearization Eq. (19) gives

$$[[R(1), \alpha(r)], \alpha(y)] + [[R(1), \alpha(y)], \alpha(r)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, y \in M. \quad (20)$$

Putting ry for y in Eq. (20), because of Eq. (19) and Eq. (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[R(1), \alpha(r)], \alpha(ry)] + [[R(1), \alpha(ry)], \alpha(r)] \\ &= \alpha(r)[[R(1), \alpha(r)], \alpha(y)] + [\alpha(r)[R(1), \alpha(y)], \alpha(r)] + [[R(1), \alpha(r)]\alpha(y), \alpha(r)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$[R(1), \alpha(r)][\alpha(y), \alpha(r)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, y \in M.$$

Since α is a surjective endomorphism of M . The substitution $\alpha(y)R(1)$ for $\alpha(y)$ in the above relation gives

$$[R(1), \alpha(r)]\alpha(y)[R(1), \alpha(r)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, y \in M.$$

whence it follows $R(1) \in Z(M)$, which reduces Eq. (18) to the form

$$R(r) = R(1)r \quad \text{for all } r \in M.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

Corollary 3.10

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime semiring with an identity element and let $R: M \rightarrow M$ be an additive mapping. Suppose that $R(r^3) + rR(r)r' = 0$, holds for all $r \in M$. In this case R is a centralizer.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we discussed an inverse semiring, centralizer of inverse semiring, Jordan centralizer, α -centralizer, Jordan α -centralizer. And then we prove that every left (right) Jordan α -centralizer is a left (right) α -centralizer.

References

- [1] S. H. Vandiver. "Note on A Simple Type of Algebra in Which the Cancellation Law of Addition Does Not Hold", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 40, pp. 916-920, 1934.
- [2] P.H. Karvellas, "Inversive semirings", *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* Vol. 18, pp. 277-288, 1974.
- [3] S. Dimitrov, "Derivations on semirings," in *Appl. Math. in Eng. and Econ. -41th. Int. Conf. 2017, AIP Conference Proceedings* 1910, edited by G. Venkov (American Institute of Physics, Melville, NY, 2017), pp. 1-34, 2017.
- [4] M. A Javed, M. Aslam and M. Hussain, "On condition (A2) of Bandlet and Petrich for inverse Semirings", *Int. Mathematical Forum*, vol. 7, no. 59, pp. 2903-2914, 2018.

- [5] M. Ashraf, N. Rehman, "On Jordan generalized derivations in rings". *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* vol. 42, pp. 7-9, 2000.
- [6] B. Zalar, "On centralizers of semiprime rings", *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 609-614, 1991.
- [7] E. Albas, "On τ -Centralizers of semiprime rings", *Siberian Math. J.* vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 191-196, 2007.
- [8] R. KH. Ibraheem, A. H. Majeed, "U- S Jordan Homomorphism of Inverse Semirings", *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2019, vol. 60, no.8, pp. 1783-1790, 2019.
- [9] M. K. Rasheed, F. A. Hameed, A. H. Majeed "On Generalized (α, β) Derivation on Prime Semirings" *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 1591 012080, 2020.
- [10] M. K. Rasheed, A. H. Majeed, "Some Results of (α, β) Derivations on Prime Semirings" *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol.60, no.5, pp.1154-1160, 2019.
- [11] K. AD. Zagher, A. H. Majeed, " (α, β) -Derivations on Prime Inverse Semirings", *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2021, vol. 62, no. 11, pp. 4081-4091, 2021.
- [12] K. AD. Zagher, A. H. Majeed, " (α, β) - Derivations on ideals in prime inverse semirings", *AIP Conference Proceedings* 2414, 040031 (2023);
- [13] S. Shafiq, M. Aslam and M. A. Javed, "Centralizer of Inverse Semiring", *Discussions Mathematica General Algebra and Applications*, vol. 36, pp. 71-84, 2016.
- [14] Y. Ahmed and W.A. Dudek "Left Jordan derivations on certain semirings" *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 624 – 633, 2021.
- [15] M.A. Javed, M. Aslam and M. Hussain, "On condition (A2) of Bandelt and Petrich for inverse semirings", *Int. Math. Forum*, vol.7, pp.2903-2914, 2012.
- [16] S. Sara, M. Aslam, "Centralizers on semiprime MA-semirings" *Quasigroups and Related Systems*, vol. 24, pp. 269 – 276, 2016.
- [17] A. H. Majeed, M. I. Meften, "Jordan θ -Centralizers of Prime And Semiprime Rings", *Baghdad Sci. J.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp.1426- 31, 2010.
- [18] A. H. Majeed, M. I. Meften, "An Identity on θ -Centralizers of Lie Ideals in Prime Rings", *AL-Mustansiriya J. Sci.*, vol. 21, no 5, 2010